















Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2019 with funding from  
Wellcome Library

<https://archive.org/details/s3id13414790>





85375

THE  
NEW MONTHLY  
MAGAZINE

AND  
*LITERARY JOURNAL.*

---

1833.

PART THE FIRST.

---

LONDON:

PUBLISHED FOR HENRY COLBURN  
BY RICHARD BENTLEY, NEW BURLINGTON STREET.

LONDON :  
PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES,  
Stamford Street.



# CONTENTS TO THE FIRST PART

OF 1833.

## ORIGINAL PAPERS.

	Page
THE Politician, Nos. VIII., IX., X., XI., XII., XIII., 1, 7, 137, 141, 265,	401
Count Pecchio's Notions of England . . . . .	13
Some Results of the High Tax Plan . . . . .	17
The Modern Platonist, No. I. . . . .	24
The Love of Fame . . . . .	31
Fragment of a Romance, by Wm. Godwin . . . . .	32
On the Recent Attempts to revolutionize Germany . . . . .	41
Men and Books . . . . .	48
A Shadow, by the Author of "Corn-Law Rhymes" . . . . .	60
Asmodeus at large, Nos. IX., X. . . . .	61, 155
On the Faults of recent Poets . . . . .	69
The Fatal Birth . . . . .	74
On the State of Feeling and Opinion in a Manufacturing Town . . . . .	75
Sharp and Easy—a Dialogue . . . . .	80
Letter to the Editor of the "Quarterly Review" . . . . .	82
Monthly Commentary . . . . .	88, 223, 346, 481
The Lion's Mouth . . . . .	96, 228, 357, 493
On Moral Fictions.—Miss Martineau's Illustrations of Political Economy . . . . .	146
Sonnets to Rosalie . . . . .	152
Ibrahim Pasha, the Conqueror of Syria . . . . .	153
The New Year . . . . .	169
The Aigulets of Anne of Austria . . . . .	171
Ixion in Heaven, Part II. . . . .	175
Private Theatricals . . . . .	185
Domesticity; or, a Dissertation on Servants . . . . .	200
Conversations with Lord Byron, by Lady Blessington, Nos. VI., VII. . . . .	214, 308
Horace à-la-Mode . . . . .	276
Position of Independent Labourers under the operation of Poor-Laws in England . . . . .	277
Paul Louis Courier—His Life and Writings . . . . .	285
Leigh Hunt's Poetical Works . . . . .	297
Life in Death . . . . .	302
To an Inconstant . . . . .	307
Banking in England . . . . .	318
The Death of Hofer . . . . .	326
The Forsaken to her Father . . . . .	328

	Page
Corporation Reform . . . . .	329
Pecchio and Pudding . . . . .	341
The Wondrous Tale of Alroy . . . . .	342
Stuart's Three Years in America . . . . .	409
The Bill of Belial—a Political Allegory . . . . .	413
The Diplomatic Service . . . . .	418
The Genius of Molière . . . . .	429
The Autobiography of an Ugly Man . . . . .	440
Men and Books . . . . .	449
Sketches of Paris . . . . .	461
The Veiled Picture . . . . .	467
The Prayer of Marmaduke . . . . .	475

---

Biographical Sketches of Celebrated Persons, lately deceased,

97, 233, 261, 497

Critical Notices of the most important and valuable Works,

102, 236, 266, 500

New Publications and Literary Report . . . . .	112, 245, 376, 507
The Drama . . . . .	114, 246, 377, 509
Fine Arts . . . . .	113, 247, 377, 510
Proceedings of Societies . . . . .	114, 248, 379, 510
Varieties . . . . .	117, 249, 381, 512
Foreign Varieties . . . . .	120, 252, 383, 514
Rural Economy . . . . .	121, 252, 515
Useful Arts . . . . .	122, 254, 384, 515
Commercial Report . . . . .	123, 256, 385, 516
Bankrupts . . . . .	124, 258, 387, 518
Monthly Political Digest.—Great Britain, 125, 259, 388, 519; The Colonies, 128, 260, 395, 523; Foreign States, 130, 261, 396, 523.	
Appointments, Promotions, Deaths, and Marriages . . . . .	132, 262, 397, 525
Provincial Occurrences in Great Britain and Ireland, . . . . .	133, 262, 398, 525

---



# THE NEW MONTHLY MAGAZINE.

---

## THE POLITICIAN, NO. VIII.

THE REAL STRENGTH OF THE MINISTERS CONSIDERED.—PROBABLE DIVISIONS IN THE CABINET.—MR. STANLEY'S FAULTS AND MERITS.—A VIEW OF THE POSITION OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS, AND THE NECESSITY OF AVOIDING A COLLISION BETWEEN THE TWO ASSEMBLIES.—THE PROBABLE TACTICS OF THE TORY PEERS.

THE world need not be informed that the elections are now over—and an immense majority of what are termed the Whig party returned to the reformed House of Commons. Never had an English administration a stronger body of supporters in the Representative Assembly. Never, therefore, to the eye of the superficial did an English administration appear more powerful. But, examined a little closer, we shall find that what seems the cause of their strength is not unlikely to be the cause of their disunion. An overwhelming preponderance of members are returned, engaged to the most popular opinions, and the consideration of the most popular opinions is at once forced upon the government. The Ministers run every hazard of losing the majority they have obtained unless they consent to embrace the policy to which that majority are pledged. The consequence of this is an immediate discussion among the members of the Cabinet how far to resist the Movement, or how far to advance with it. Had the proportion of reforming members been less great, it is obvious that there might have been less disagreement among the ministers; for the more Liberal would have said to the more Conservative—"With this House of Commons we cannot carry popular measures to the extent *we* wish, and we are contented, therefore, with approaching to the boundary that *you* would appoint." The Conservative policy would have been embraced, and the very necessity of securing *a dubious* majority would have made the Cabinet unanimous. But the amazing strength of the liberal party, and the lengths to which they have carried their professions to their constituents, give one part of the Cabinet the courage to advance, as it strikes into the other moiety of the Cabinet the fear of proceeding. One says—"We have now the power to forward the work of good government." The other says—"Things are gone too far, now is the time to resist continued innovation;" with one it is the very moment to advance—with the other to stand still. This, we have cause to believe, is the real

state of feeling amongst the ministers, (although, perhaps, it is more easy to point out the conservative than the progressing portion,) and thus, as we commenced by saying, their seeming strength is the cause of their probable disunion. We will not take the question of the Ballot as an example; we fancy (despite of mere popular rumours) that we shall find *all* the ministers agreed to resist that measure. So far there is little fear of a schism; too much importance has been attached to some equivocal expressions of Lord John Russell, and of a few immediate partizans of the ministry. The threat—"If men are to be intimidated from giving their votes, *then*, much as we dislike it, we must have the ballot," ought to be regarded merely as an electioneering manœuvre. It simply means—"If *we* are not returned to parliament, we will punish you with a new infliction of popular rights;" and, being safely returned, the excuse for dispensing with the Ballot will be—"The bill has worked well. Let us wait." Or, in other words, "we are now in a majority, what signifies further alteration?" In truth, it is impossible to disguise from ourselves the fact, that when ministers have spoken of the Ballot, it has not been as a boon to the people, but as a punishment to the Tories. A man of ordinary discernment may perceive, therefore, that the "*animis celestibus iræ*" are not likely to be kindled by any extraordinary fervour for securing the Ballot, and that the intimidation which has not prevented the return of my Lord John Russell for Devonshire, will not be considered sufficiently strong to warrant "so dangerous an innovation in our constitutional customs."

But there is a question that cannot be blinked or delayed,—the question of Church Reform; and the degree and nature of that reform can scarcely be a matter of easy arrangement with the ministers. From the line of conduct Mr. Stanley has adopted,—from the unbending haughtiness of his character,—and from engagements to the High-church Party, stronger perhaps than those of any other English member of the House of Commons, (save, it may be, Sir Robert Inglis,) it is difficult to imagine that he will readily subscribe to the pecuniary emancipation of dissenters and the diminished "dignity" of the hierarchical salaries. The most obvious and the most imperiously demanded of all the ecclesiastical reforms (the adjustment of tithes only excepted) is, that the treasuries of the Established Church should only be supported by its members. No reform short of this will satisfy that vast and intrepid body of men, the Dissenters of England, who, by siding with the people on political, have won their confidence on ecclesiastical matters,—so that not to satisfy the Dissenters will, we suspect, be not to satisfy the people. But this species of Reform, however just and moderate, cannot possibly be agreed to by Mr. Stanley:—the man who is pledged to support the enormities of the Church of Ireland, cannot shrink from advocating the petty grievances of the Church Establishment of England: He who thinks that the



Catholic majority should pay the Protestant few in one country may be forgiven for asserting that the Dissenting minority should enrich the preponderating division of the Legitimate Establishment in the other. We can conceive no reform which Lord Brougham would propose from which it is not likely that Mr. Stanley would dissent\*. The latter gentleman stands, indeed, in a peculiar position; he is equally dangerous as an enemy and a friend,—an admirable speaker, he is a bungling statesman; with great talents, he has no judgment; no man debates better or legislates worse; clear, shrewd and penetrating in the House of Commons, he is blinder than a mole in the Cabinet of St. James's or the councils of the Castle at Dublin. He detects every fallacy in an adversary,—he embraces every blunder in a law,—nothing can be happier than his replies or more infelicitous than his motions,—he hastens to commence and never calculates how he is to proceed,—his Bills are brought into the House with a vast flourish of trumpets, they vanish in all the skulking obscurity of defeat,—he compromises the ministerial wisdom by rushing into a motion, and the ministerial dignity by as suddenly forsaking it. Yet this perilous friend would be a terrible foe: he is the only man on the ministerial benches capable of replying to Peel. To take his counsels from the ministry would be an incalculable blessing,—to transfer his voice to the opposition would be an irreparable misfortune.

With this embarrassing ally, popular questions become doubly difficult to the government, and we are sure that there must arise many subjects for consideration on which the opinions of Mr. Stanley will be in the one scale and the expectations of the English people in the other,—the fear of the hostility of the one, the evils of disappointing the other!

And here a new view of the political field forces itself upon us. It may be recollected that, in opposition to the generality of our contemporaries, we insisted that the necessity of a creation of Peers, so far from being removed by the passing of the Reform Bill, would become doubly imperious by that event. We said, "If the Upper Chamber cannot agree with this present House of Commons, how can you hope that it will agree with the next? Are you afraid of a collision now?—be doubly afraid of a collision *then*; at present there is one only ground of dispute,—with your first Reformed Parliament there will be a hundred grounds. Take now, therefore, the opportunity when the apparent urgency of the case excuses all extraordinary measures;—pour into the Upper House that necessary infusion of popular principles which will bring it into sympathy with the Lower;—make your Peers apparently for the passing of one great national measure and the escape

\* Yet the Tories have affected to consider the opinions of Lord Brougham as more congenial with the sentiments of Mr. Stanley than those of any other Member in the Cabinet. It is easy to see through their design in this representation.



from a probable revolution ; but in reality, also, not for the temporary occasion, but for permanent ends ;—not for the punishment of the Lords because they have resisted the people, but for their real safety because they should harmonize with the people.”

Our reader will perceive that we were right ; the necessity for a creation of Peers remains unaltered. Consider the Church Reform, the Taxes on Knowledge, the Abolition of Slavery, nay, the minor points of the opening the East India Monopoly, and repealing the Bank Charter. Is it likely that, on these questions, the Tory majority of the Peers will yield to the liberal majority of the Commons ? It would be madness to expect that England should once more witness the extraordinary spectacle of a monarch beseeching the majority of his hereditary counsellors,—to walk, amidst the hootings of a derisive people, out of their own legislative assembly, and the haughty successors (not, alas ! descendants !) of the Norman dictators of the third Henry, preferring the prayer of their Royal Master to what they solemnly asserted they believed the dictates of their conscience, the safety of the constitution, and the prosperity of the country ;—that humiliating spectacle cannot again occur, the disgrace of it was too foul, and the ludibrium too galling. As vain would it be to expect that the Peers, aware of the danger of being triumphant, would silently submit to perpetual defeats, would relinquish their immense majority over the Ministers they hate with all the bitterness of a hostile party, and all the vengeance of an insulted order,—and that the prudence, which never yet controlled a powerful body, will make them vote against the bias of their opinions and against the urging of their passions. A corporate body is not like one man,—it is not equally open to the view of its own interests : the heat of party, the contagion of example, the force of numbers, will always stir it up, even in opposition to a prudent or a selfish policy. The “ verbal fallacies ” will decorate the cause it adopts,—it will be foolish out of “ a sense of duty,” and fall, by the hands of the people, from “ the noble resolution to combat for its rights.”

We are sure that the justice of these remarks will be commonly acknowledged ; and if so, our policy was right,—and for the sake of the Peers themselves, the necessary creation of new Peers should have been made long since,—are absolutely required now. To the ministers themselves, the want of harmony between the two bodies must present difficulties almost insurmountable, and must be a new source of probable disunion in the Cabinet. For, on the one hand, is a House of Commons all but unanimous, pressing on for measures the most popular ; on the other, a House of Lords, dark and lowering, and eager to inflict an instantaneous death, or, at least, a tyrannical mutilation, on the first popular bill that is ushered into their assembly. What a dilemma for a government !—the bill that pleases one body must offend the other. Every new motion will carry in itself the seeds of a fearful dissension—



every popular benefit will contain the probability of a convulsive struggle with the privileged order. And this *must* occasion endless disputes among the members of the government;—there *must* be some among them who, in every new measure, will look to the Peers, and others who will consider rather the Commons. What different ends!—The poles themselves are not more asunder! The people, too, have cause to be apprehensive, because, with such a House of Lords, the policy of the less popular part of the Cabinet becomes of, perhaps, preponderating influence;—it may also appear, in the eyes of a ministry (who always must be more conservative than a people), the wiser policy to lean to. Thus, supposing the Peers remain at variance with the people, there will be a general suspicion that each popular bill introduced into the House will be but a delusion; that, passing into the next stage of deliberation, it will be assuredly frittered from its efficiency; that the spring found will never descend to the mouths that are thirsting for the stream—but

———“ their currents turn awry,  
And lose the name of action.”

And this suspicion itself is an evil of no inconsiderable magnitude. When the people distrust, even good becomes soured to them—benefits are derived from unworthy motives; the most necessary delays exasperate them, and every unavoidable obstacle seems to them to have been artfully arranged on purpose to thwart their reasonable desires. How, with such a House of Lords, can that state of popular suspicion and its consequent evils be avoided?

Thus, then, when we begin somewhat carefully to examine the real strength of the administration, we find that it is not so firm as it appears—and that we have proved what we have set out by saying, viz., that the very strength of its majority in the Commons may be the cause of its weakness in the Cabinet—it is probable that, ere long, some of the present component parts will be separated from each other, and, by the laws of political gravity, a few fly off to the natural affinities of Toryism, a few remain attached to the stronger attractions (suited to their several qualities) of office—of popularity—of party spirit—or of liberal and conscientious principle.

In the above remarks, which relate to views that the daily journals have of late entirely neglected, we have not the remotest wish either to call up new grounds of popular demand—of public disquietude,—or to embarrass the Administration. But we have desired only, in recurring to the obvious necessity of harmonizing the two Legislative Assemblies at present so discordant, to anticipate, as is the duty of a prudent speculator on State-affairs, a most important question which must shortly be agitated, and which ought to be adjusted *previous* to a collision, and not *subsequent* to it;—in the former, it is an evil wisely remedied: in the latter, a blunder clumsily repaired. And it is also

our wish, in speaking of those difficulties under which the ministry labour, and which, in the general intoxication of an election, so favourable to liberal principles, have been somewhat overlooked, to prepare the people for accidents it is for their interest to foresee; for by continuing to insist on the great reforms for which a Parliamentary reform was required, they will give strength to the more liberal part of the Cabinet, and, in case of a division, will retain their friends in office, and lose only the support of the lukewarm. The ministry must be supported by the people, because, if the people neglect them, it is to the aristocracy they will lean. The ministry must be supported, but in case of a schism, *what part* of the ministry?—those who advise measures popular with the Commons, or those who counsel motions acceptable to the Peers? If it should come to this alternative, let us rather brave the hostility of the Secretary for Ireland as an orator, than consent to his projects as a statesman.

One word more upon a subject (which forms the link between our foreign policy and our domestic), before we turn from affairs at home to those abroad,—the Dutch war. It will, in all likelihood, be on this ground that the Tory Lords will form their first ground of attack on the Ministry: it will be their evident tactics not to delay their division against the Government for measures of home policy more popular in the country; they will probably, on the first onset of the Parliamentary campaign, condemn by the votes of their majority the conduct of the Government and the continuance of the war. What would be the unavoidable result of such a vote? On the one hand, the Government must either resign or be remodelled; on the other, they must throw themselves on the Commons, and support their power by a *counter vote*. Either alternative, how dangerous to the quiet of the country! If the first, the Ministry are shaken—perhaps dissolved; if the last, the House of Lords is at once in open collision with the Representatives of the People. In all honesty, and with sincere respect, we ask the Ministers if they can foresee this choice of evils, and not endeavour to prevent it while there is yet time? “Content the people, and manage the nobles—in that one maxim lies the secret of a wise Government;” such is the observation of Machiavel in one of his happiest passages. There is but one mode, at this day, of “managing” the nobles of England: they must be brought, by a conservative admixture of enlightened men, into subscribing without danger, because without a struggle, to “the content of the people.”

From these considerations, we now turn to indulge in a few remarks upon the aspect of affairs abroad.

---



## THE POLITICIAN, NO. IX.

THE WAR—THE CONDUCT OF OUR GOVERNMENT DURING THE CONFERENCE—THE CONDUCT OF HOLLAND—THE REASONS FOR OUR EXPEDITION TO ANTWERP—OUR POSITION NOW THAT ANTWERP IS TAKEN.

No slight change has passed over the spirit of our times since Swift, by the witty fabrication of Prior's journey to Paris, thought it necessary to prepare the public mind for that terrible calamity—A PEACE.

John Bull was then, indeed, what the author of *Gulliver* describes him—a hot-headed, bullying kind of fellow, with both hands in the pockets which he was always ready to empty to sustain his honour, as he called it, and support his quarrel, which was (generally speaking) somebody else's quarrel, into which he poked his impertinent nose, swaggering and swearing with all the purse-proud, plethoric impudence of a gentleman better fed than taught—"that he would break the peace if he liked it, for he had plenty of money to pay for the mending of it." Who, in the name of Providence, would trace any identity between the rubicund, jolly, and fisticuffing ghost of England's ancient peculiarities, and her present thin, and spare, and careful-looking Genius, who turns up his eyes, and locks up his bureau at the very mention of "war," which he vows to God it is quite impossible that he can pay for? What is it to him, says he, if the Poles are massacred, or the Germans enslaved? Poor creature! he has no pity for any other calamities than his own, and how should he? Has he not borne the world's misfortunes on his shoulders—has he not bled for other people, and paid for other people long enough—getting more kicks than halfpence—meeting with nought but ingratitude and injustice; and what can be expected from him now?—are not his lands full deeply mortgaged—has he not been borrowing, and giving bonds and bills, which some people call his own post obits, deeming they can never be paid but by his death? Then, is not his whole household out of order—has he not got an extravagant, good-for-little chaplain, who is always teasing and squeezing at his farmers' leather purses?—and has he not got a banker, who insists upon keeping all his money concerns secret from him—so that he never knows how much ready cash he has, and is always exposed to the risk of having his drafts dishonoured?—and has he not had a set of stewards, an infamous corrupt set of stewards, who declared they had a right to manage his estate, and expend his revenue, without any leave, or permission, or authority from him,—saying that he had no business with their appointment, for that they had appointed themselves for the last two hundred years at least, and that, therefore, they had a clear and decided, and not to be disputed vested interest in the receiving and paying away of his property? Ay; certes, poor John has gone through a great deal—and what is even worse—he *has got* through a great deal—so that there is little marvel that he is nervous, and querulous, and fidgety, and mightily given to economy and calculation—Lord bless us—The Roman lads, who learnt to divide a farthing into a hundred pieces, were nothing to him. It is no marvel all this, and more the pity; but the difficulty we have always to guard against, when our ruin has been commenced by



one extreme of conduct, is, that it be not completed by another. It follows not as a matter of course, because an extravagant readiness for war is pernicious, that security lies in an avaricious appetite for peace. We have idly—madly interfered with foreign affairs in past times; this forms no reason for totally neglecting them now.

They who have an exaggerated fear of things proceeding to extremities deprive themselves of one of the most powerful means by which such a calamity is averted, viz.—the fears of their enemies. They who adopt the determination never to take up arms, except when the very Palladium of the state is in danger, contend under every disadvantage, and must be altogether ruined by defeat. If anything could justify our Government's culpable indifference to Poland—its tame defence of Germany—and the kind of underhand, pettifogging evasion of the laws which has distinguished its policy in respect to Portugal—it is the raven croak that burst forth at the sight of the shadow in the shape of war; the Tory lamentation, when the arms of France and England were seen united together. “War, war, war,” resounded on every side; for a moment nobody stopped to look and consider what this bugbear really was. One miracle succeeded another. The city of London protested its poverty; Lord Verulam displayed his eloquence. It was a strange thing, it was an awful thing, it was a wicked thing, it was a whiggish thing—nay, there was even radicalism about it, for our fleet was sailing in company with that of the tri-coloured flag—and we were about to assist those rebellious rascals, the Belgians, against the excellent, conciliatory King of the Dutch. The enthusiastic admirers of the economical, peace-loving Mr. Pitt were astounded at the warlike waste that was about to be made of men and money. Poor souls! they could not bear to see Europe desolated by a war similar to that they had so utterly discountenanced! It was a crying shame to think of Old England being again called upon to fight the battles of the Continent. The cry was disgusting as coming from them, though the fact was startling in itself; and not the less so, for the long train of protocols by which this sudden explosion of energy was prepared. We viewed this event, neither quite prepared to approve a proceeding which seemed too violent in its execution, because not complete in its result; nor yet altogether willing to pronounce it unjustified by circumstances, and sure to be a failure. As far as Antwerp is concerned, the impregnable citadel is now taken, and General Chassé—the paragon of Dutch chivalry—has surrendered without a wound! But the capture of the citadel of Antwerp, in bringing us one step nearer to the end—makes us cast our eyes more attentively back to the origin—of the war. And, in considering what is to be our next measure, we revert once more to the plots and policy of the Conference.

The poor Conference!—it died blunderingly as it had lived; and a sorry creation it was! Duplicity here—uncertainty there—a variety of parties having different views, all professedly united and anxious for the same objects: disagreeing when apart—meeting for the purpose of agreement, and compromising the wishes of each in order to procure the consent of all,—was it likely—was it possible—that anything like a fixed and determinate course of policy should be pursued by a body so weak, so irresolute, so divided? No; what was likely to be the case *was* the case:—a perpetual change in language, and a



decided rupture in action whenever the parties were called upon to fulfil the promises or threats which they had made with different intentions. Our own Government, we fear, is liable to one charge, and that a weighty one, throughout the whole of these transactions: never to have determined exactly what it was desirous to do. True, we had an object before us—at least so it was said—*peace*; but is it possible to trace anything like the workings out of a determined plan in the course which we pursued in order to obtain that peace? Now we allowed the Prince of Orange to declare that we were favourable to claims which the Belgian nation had publicly denounced. Now we interfered to prevent the success of his arms to which the Belgian nation seemed quietly to submit. At one time, we apparently made up our minds to force upon Belgium the conditions to which Holland had agreed. At another time we persevered in our resolution to force upon Holland the terms to which Belgium had been made to assent. We do not say that circumstances did not, in some wise, account for this conduct. We admit that there wanted the fixed resolution of a master-mind to control circumstances. If we,—as the parties most interested,—had decided originally upon any one course, and insisted, without stirring one step from our opinion, upon that course being adopted, neither France on the one side, nor Prussia on the other, nor the King of Holland, nor the Government of Belgium,—the first obstinate, the latter insolent,—would, or could have resisted the fiat we pronounced to them. But we have accommodated ourselves to this difficulty, and accommodated ourselves to that difficulty; and in endeavouring to pursue a path in which no obstacles were to be found, have gone at every step farther into a labyrinth in which obstacles surround us on every side. Our two main faults were, favouring the election of Prince Leopold, and changing (after once adopting them) the Eighteen into the Twenty-four Articles. In respect to the first, there is no folly so great in politics as to seem to gain an advantage where no advantage is really obtained. Why did not we think of this before we set the statesmanlike Lord Ponsonby on intriguing to procure “our Great Pensioned” the throne of Belgium? In fixing upon the Sovereign of that country the title of *prefêt of England*, we forced upon him the necessity of becoming the servant of France. We nominally connected ourselves more closely with the cause of Belgium, by the very act which, in reality, tended to separate us from that cause. We placed ourselves, and we placed Leopold himself, in a false position, of which we have already experienced some of the disadvantages, but not yet got through half the difficulties.

As for the change from the Eighteen to the Twenty-four Articles, it was wrong because it was a change; a change not sufficient to do much benefit to Belgium, if Belgium had before been seriously aggrieved, and yet sufficient to give Holland a kind of pretext for not acceding to it. Besides, though the difference between the Eighteen and the Twenty-four Articles is slight, the difference in consideration and moral power—that which should be the great power of all arbitrators—between those who abide by what they have once declared irrevocable, and those who swerve in the slightest degree from what they had pronounced immutable,—the difference between stability and instability, certitude and incertitude, in persons placed in the situation of the Conference, is beyond all calculation. The word “irrevocable,” once abandoned, was from that



moment impotent and ridiculous ; and, as it always happens, an act of violence became necessary in order to maintain a feeble character.

But let us observe, that in neither of these cases which affix a reproach upon our conduct, is there to be found much excuse for that of the Dutch. The King of the Netherlands could hardly complain of our abandonment of his son, since he himself actually refused to consent to his nomination : while the Twenty-four Articles which he refused are so nearly similar to the Eighteen which he accepted, that the Belgian Government obtained the credit for conceding to, and the Cabinet of the Hague showed an inconsistency in resisting, them. The reader remembers the old-fashioned weather-glass, in which there were two little figures who alternately appeared and vanished,—from the construction of the machine they could not appear together. Such a machine has been the Conference ; and such dignified little puppets have been the two puissant sovereigns of Holland and Belgium. One was sure to be all concession and complaisance, when the other was supposed resolved not to concede ; then, again, as this advanced a little, that receded. It would seem as if the pigmy creatures felt proud of obtaining attention, and were resolved not to sink by good sense into insignificance. More especially that excellent King William—a waiter upon Providence—an expectant of some lucky chance—throughout the whole of this interminable affair, has been peculiar for the grace with which he has changed from civility to severity, when his neighbours have by chance shown a disposition to be reasonable. Thus it was curious enough to see the sudden start of the Dutch Cabinet, when, after the note of the 30th of June, it had seemed to invite a negotiation which it knew the then existing administration in Belgium would decline. It was singular enough to see the sudden start of the Dutch Cabinet—the change from the polite desire to do everything which was agreeable, to the stern resolution to insist upon the immediate execution of its precise wishes, when, by a change in the Belgian Government, those difficulties in the way of an arrangement were smoothed away which King William had so cordially expected to encounter. Poor Monsieur Van Zuylen!—all those pretty professions of good will and a desire to oblige, which had been so propitiously lisped forth, were to be at once abandoned for the haughty tones of dignified remonstrance and defiance. M. de Talleyrand smiled, —Lord Palmerston twitched his whiskers,—and this marvellous mystification was denounced in a new protocol ; which, in a paraphrase of astonishment, declared that, just at the moment when it was least to be expected, a manifesto had been launched against the confiding Conference. But the confiding Conference avenged itself in protocol 70 ;—only think, reader, of the miraculous industry by which seventy protocols have been achieved ! Protocol 70 (which though, after the genius of such productions, tolerably lengthly, may be recited in a few words) contains the proposition of France and England ; first, that Belgium should be free, from the 31st of January, 1832, of the arrearages of the debt ; secondly, that if the Belgian territory be not evacuated by the 15th of October, Holland should be inflicted with a weekly penalty of a million of florins levied on the arrearages due from the 31st of January, 1832, and afterwards on the capital of the debt. The plenipotentiaries of Austria, Prussia, and Russia, consented to the first proposition, but declared they had no instructions (the ordinary diplomatic language)



in respect to the second—although, if France and England should unite in any measures of force, to such measures they—the ministers of these states—were authorized to declare that their Government would not consent. A gentle proposition too was at this time made to refer the ultimate decision of the course to be adopted to the court of Berlin. This courteous proposition was refused by France and England, and the measures which have since been carried into execution determined upon and announced.

No one can doubt that decided measures of some sort were become necessary, or that the seventy protocols were to be doomed to ridicule everlasting. Whether the measures adopted were the best, or whether measures of that kind need have been necessary, is another question, which involves not only the conduct of this administration, but of that which had preceded it. But the result of a decided step in affairs ought to be their decision; and the fault which we find with that now made is, that with the Citadel of Antwerp already taken, the subject in dispute is as far from being advanced as before Marshal Gerard crossed the frontier. The inept conduct of the Belgian chamber, which vindicated its character for insolent insignificance to the last, is another circumstance, which, in involving a great principle,—that of interference,—tends much to the embarrassment of affairs. But whatever may be our speculations as to the future in referring to the past—we should only be looking at half the question if we looked at the expedition against Antwerp as a matter by itself. It resulted not only from the state of Belgium, but from the state of France; and it did not happen to be simply a question with us, as to whether we should have recourse to hostile proceedings in conjunction with France, but whether we should do that or allow France to enter Belgium *without our concurrence!* The only condition on which the Duke de Broglie would accept the government was, that of the entry of the French troops into Belgium. We were called upon to assent to, or to oppose this entry. It was insisted upon by no military conqueror, by no warlike genius—but by a minister of peaceful habits—by a man anxious for peace. The long state of suspense and uncertainty in which France, by the agitation of this Belgian question, had been maintained, made it a matter of urgent necessity to a new administration to commence with something like an appearance of decision.

The administration of the Duke de Broglie was the best, if not the only guarantee for repose in France; and with repose in France must be more or less connected the tranquillity of this country. That we were favourable to such an administration, and anxious, if possible, to secure its accession and stability, was one of the causes, no doubt, of the policy our Government pursued, and a course which we are not willing to find fault with. Indeed, it is no marvellous or difficult thing to find fault; and though there is a mystery and an awe in those red boxes, and those long and gloomy passages, and those at once smart and solemn clerks of the foreign-office which rather impose upon the uninitiated; yet there springs up a courage with criticism which enables us to say, though our present minister is able and clever—cleverer and abler than most of his predecessors—yet that the shades of Downing-street are not always classic, and that our foreign affairs have not been conducted with that high and master-hand which wielded the destinies of England in the days of a Cromwell and a Chatham. But if we compare



the state in which we now are, with that in which, if an opposite party had been in power, and an opposite policy had been pursued, we should have been, the most querulous amongst us will see little reason to complain. What answer would a Tory government have given to the Duke de Broglie? Should we have been at peace if Sir R. Peel had been prime minister of this country? We should have been at war:—not in unity with France, but in hostility to France; not for the purpose of procuring a permanent peace by a short effort, but with almost the certainty of commencing a war—a war of incalculable duration—a war of opinion—in which we, the free people of England, would have been engaged against the freedom of France—against the freedom of Germany—against the freedom of Portugal—against the freedom of mankind. The cause of the King of Holland is not the cause of the King of Holland alone. He has connected himself with the oppressors, and we have taken the side of the oppressed: he has destroyed our ancient sympathies and our ancient recollections; and for the same reason that we leagued with his people formerly, we find ourselves opposed to them now. What feeling can there remain in favour of the vanquishers of Alba, when they are become the brethren of the Holy Alliance? What prestige remains to the name of William of Nassau, when it designates the friend of the destroyer of the Poles?

But the citadel of Antwerp is taken—and what now is likely to result? We have expressed, and we repeat, our regret—that affairs were not brought to such a point, that by one effort similar to that we have been making, they would have been decided. We regret that the taking of Antwerp is not more important in itself—but we see beyond its mere possession by the Belgians—a great moral advantage that has resulted from its capture. The union between France and England has been successful in carrying the object it had in view, and, whatever that object might be, its attainment—in the impression it will produce—is of no inconsiderable value.

France and England united, have obtained in three weeks by an act of energy and decision—what—during three years of friendly *conferencing* and *conversationing* in Downing Street, they were unable to obtain. Russia, so potent in her embassies, has slumbered on her arms—and Prussia, so loud in her protestations, has done nothing more than protest—an infinitude of minor questions are now starting up—but these, and such as these, always disappear before a policy that shows moderation in its ends, but determination in its means. The great, and the only important question—is—whether the absolute powers are determined upon a war of principle or not?—If they are—the Union of France and England is necessary in order to obtain victory;—if they are not—that Union may be still necessary in order to maintain peace.

That the French should forsake their ancient ambition, and that we should retain our present alliance, is the best, and perhaps the only check upon a struggle, which, whatever way it terminate, would be a misery to mankind. A calm but bold tone, and a firm bearing—an inclination to avoid war, if it be possible, and to take as our firmest ally, if we must engage in it—*Public Opinion*—such is the policy, and such the thoughts, which should at this time be present to the minister for the foreign department of England, who will have to justify his conduct before a reformed House of Commons.



## COUNT PECCHIO'S NOTIONS OF ENGLAND\*.

“ LET him who wishes to become acquainted with English politics, read M. de Pradt :—let him who reads for reading sake, read the following observations of Giuseppe Pecchio.”

So says Giuseppe Pecchio. Giuseppe is obliging. The Quarterly Review, which, being the great Church journal, invariably acts upon a Christian principle, for it raises the poor work and abases the lofty one ; the Quarterly Review, which makes poets of butlers and butlers of poets—which in political statistics extols Mr. Sadler, and in literature calls Croker's edition of Boswell the “ book that next to Homer the world could least easily lose ;”—the Quarterly Review assures us, that “ the little volume ” of Giuseppe Pecchio “ contains both descriptions and remarks of considerable merit.” The reader, if he has lately studied the Quarterly Review, will therefore understand, that Count Pecchio has written “ a little volume ” of insufferable trash. It is true that he is fond of quoting eminent men : Montesquieu and Helvetius are household words with him. It is ordinarily a bad sign of a man's respectability when you find him always talking of great people ;—the respectability of Count Pecchio as an author is no exception to the rule. True that he philosophizes,—nay, the anonymous editor of the work informs us, “ that it cannot, at any rate, be denied that he thinks for himself.” Happy if, thus “ thinking for himself,” he had reserved solely for his own use the monopoly of the manufacture ! Yes, he philosophizes, and thus he accounts for the philosophy of the English :—“ Who would not become a philosopher if he were shut up in a house for so many hours by the inclemencies of the weather, with a cheerful fire, quiet and obedient servants, a good-humoured wife, and silence within doors and without ? ” It is, we suspect, on such grounds, and on such grounds alone, that Count Giuseppe Pecchio himself has become a philosopher. The experiment has not succeeded. It has often been remarked that the English do not gesticulate so much as their continental neighbours. Giuseppe Pecchio, inspired by his cheerful fire and obedient servants, traces the effect to its causes.—“ Why is it,” saith he, “ that the English gesticulate so little, and have their arms almost always glued to their sides ? —*Because,*” he ingeniously adds, “ *the rooms are so small that it is impossible to wave one's arm without breaking something or inconveniencing somebody.* ” Yet, assuredly, there are times when even a “ good-humoured wife ” ceases to nail the philosopher to the fire-side, and he indulges in a walk !—Does he then gesticulate in Regent-street, or wave his arms in Hyde Park ?—or doth the philosophizing Count suppose that even in those places there would not be room for him to indulge in the mountebank antics which are common to the Continent ? Giuseppe also assures us, that the reason we don't dance well is because *we do not practice, on account of the thinness of the houses ;*—if we cut a caper in the third story, we should go “ like a bombshell ” into the kitchen ! This is one of the remarks, we conclude, which, in the eyes of the Quarterly, have “ considerable merit.” We were not aware, by the way, that it was in houses that the peasants of France practised dancing.

\* Semi-serious Observations of an Italian Exile. Effingham Wilson. 1833.



But here, perhaps, Count Giuseppe is only in jest—no unlikely supposition ; for

“ Gentle dulness ever loves a joke.”

Count Pecchio, however, soon grows undeniably grave. He proceeds to inform us that in England, “ Over-elegance has not yet spoiled that taste for nature which is the prevailing characteristic of the nation ;—dress and *manner*, compliments and salutes—all, even to the conclusion of letters, is redolent of simplicity.”

Alas—and seriously—would that this were true ! We are the most artificial of nations. Look at our fashions—our Almack’s—our watering-places, and then talk of “ simplicity.” But what can you think of a man’s talent for observation, who assures you of our taste for nature ? We can only say with the Editor—“ Count Pecchio thinks for himself.” The Count then wanders through some remarks on the Parliamentary Opposition, without which, he says, we should have no literature,—and an eulogium on turnpike-roads, which is really the best part of the book ; and he then at length finds his way—to Market ! Here one commodity surprises him—it surprises *us* no less.—“ In their markets,” quoth he, “ a commodity is to be met with, which is very rarely found in the markets of the Continent—books !—*How often have I seen two or three hundred volumes exposed for sale on a stall, and disappear in a couple of hours ! Scarcely have I been able to make my way to the bench, such a crowd of farmers has been standing over the books, reading, selecting, purchasing.* What a favourable idea must not the traveller form of the enlightenment of a people who read and buy books !—and what books ?—Not interpretations of dreams, legends, and such nonsense, but Bibles, the works of Addison, Milton—Milton, “ the English Homer !”

The poor dear Count !—He was evidently taken in ;—they were ballads the good people were buying !—and Giuseppe took Nancy Dawson for the Bible, and “ Gallopping dreary dun ” for “ the English Homer !” The Count clenches the paragraph by adding, that “ Education has become so common in England, *that by way of economy, ladies are now employed to make the calculations for the Nautical Almanack.*” The words “ so common in England ” mean—if they mean anything—that the ladies of England are commonly employed in making calculations for the Nautical Almanack. This is probably one of those profound truths which, as the reviewer in the Quarterly expresseth it, “ present a pleasing contrast to the spleen, insolence, and self-conceit of Prince Puckler Muskau !” We allow the fact—the two travellers are *not* alike.

The Count having once given lessons in Italian,—(and, by the way, he states this fact in a manner that does him honour ; and we are ready, while condemning him as an author, to respect him therefore as a man ;—he will not thank us for the antithesis) — becomes acquainted with a Reverend ———, who keeps a footman, who wears “ white cotton stockings, *not clocked however.*” We are informed of this reverend gentleman, that “ His coat, made in the fashion of the English riding-coat, was of *velvet* !—a stuff which excites in all, from king to muleteer, more respect than any other !! *Except this*, there was not the most remote indication of his profession about him !” “ *Except this* !”—



“Profession!”—Why, does the man really think our parsons walk about in velvet coats?—No;—they are bad enough, it is true, but they are not quite capable of *that* yet.

The Count afterwards informs us that, if his readers wish to know the manners of the higher classes, they may consult truer and better painters, *viz.* ‘Pope’s Rape of the Lock—Lord Byron in Don Juan,” and ABOVE ALL, *the Novel published last year under the title of Almack’s!!!* This is excellent! Fancy the Continent judging of our manners by the very worst of all the fashionable novels,—a work written with the pen of a housemaid, and conceived with the soul of a cook. The Count, who, as we are all agreed, thinks for himself,—thinks differently,—and ends his chapter by declaring, that the author of Almack’s “*is an angel,—who writes like an angel.*” So much for the Count’s knowledge of English fashion, and his taste for English literature. Still more singularly does he edify us afterwards,—for he tells us, that “if you be a bachelor and young, (but not licentious—at least openly,) and fall ill,—*you will have the visits of ALL the married and marriageable ladies of your acquaintance.*” Oh, the unconscionable Giuseppe, to set the mouths of his Italian compatriots watering at this intelligence! “*All our pretty ones—did he say all?*” We then have a very charming sentimental episode, in a platonic friendship formed by the Count with a young lady,—who, “knowing that *his linen was neglected,*” “*with gentle violence took upon herself to set every thing to rights!*—mended up (the Count’s) lacerated equipments, and marked his name on his handkerchief and shirts!” Tender sensibility!—Giuseppe—worthy of the name he bears—afterwards thinks it necessary to declare, that “he never had the slightest unbecoming thought of that young lady,—on the word of a man of honour!” If Count Pecchio is not enamoured of the young ladies who pay him visits and “set everything to rights,”—he makes up for his coldness to them, by falling in love with our children. He informs us, that “they are washed two or three times a day; and every day they change their clothes, at least once.” All we can say to this is,—that these are not the children that go to school. He also says, they are made “serene in countenance and healthful in body,” by the “*invariable mildness and placability of their parents!* and the *total absence of unpleasing objects.*” Perhaps the good Giuseppe does not think a birch rod an unpleasing object,—but if he does so think—we beg civilly to inform him, that it is a spectacle presented more frequently to English children, than to those of any other nation under the sun. This must be a difficult truth for the Count to swallow; for, according to him,—“long lamentations and fits of crying”—are never to be heard “in genteel houses.” Did it ever occur to him, that in a *genteel* house, perhaps the nursery is removed as far as possible out of hearing?

A new trait of paternal virtue now strikes the admiring Count. “Here!” he exclaims with enthusiasm, “the father does not interfere at all in the education of his sons: he is absorbed in business, and abandons them, therefore, to the care of the mother!”—Amiable and faithful discharge of fatherly duties,—were it true!—but, alas! the Count is under a delusion—*jurat ire sub umbrâ*—the little masters are “abandoned” to the tender mercies of academical Thwackums. Seriously, on so important a subject as education, a subject on which a



judicious foreigner might have given us so many useful hints—might have exposed so many national errors—it is even more melancholy than ludicrous to find this gentleman, so bepraised in the Quarterly, uttering the most wondrous platitudes, and falling into the most lamentable blunders. In one page he says, gravely, and without the least qualification,—“*all* the boys in the island can ride, because they are accustomed to it from the tenderest age.” In another page he tells us,—“that there are (indeed) two things in the present system of education, that he cannot approve.” Will it be believed, that the first of these is the “*excess of reading?*” Did this gentleman ever converse with one boy educated at a public school? Did he ever attend a wine party at the Universities? The ordinary course of English education is comprised within six volumes—four of them Latin, and two Greek. For what else we know, we teach ourselves when our education is over. But perhaps to Count Pecchio, six volumes may be an excess of reading,—were they like his own they certainly might be! His second objection is to “the stays worn by the ladies.” Profound Count Pecchio!—in male education he sees nothing but too much learning; and in female—only a superabundance of whalebone.

It is impossible to follow this critic of the customs, manners, and institutions of a great nation, through all the disconnected and guideless ramblings into which he wanders, from “plum puddings” to the “integrity of judges,” from the page in which he informs us that Unitarians do not believe in the Trinity—to that in which he hears Mr. Buxton promise justice to some dancing savages.

From these fatiguing excursions, he makes, at length, a long and complacent pause in—our Lunatic Asylums. There ends his book,—and there will we leave himself. Enough has been said to show how crude are Count Pecchio's remarks—how confused and erring his information upon the most ordinary topics on which it has pleased him to treat. It only remains for us to add—that these faults are not counterbalanced by any beauties of composition. His style—in whatever language it be read—will be found flippant without humour—and feeble without simplicity. No new facts bear out the extraordinary poverty of the remarks. From the Dan of the first page, to the Beersheba of the last, “all is barren.” If we be asked why we have singled out this book for exposure, we answer—less for the sake of proving the faults of Count Pecchio, than for that of displaying the grounds upon which the Reviewers of the Quarterly commend. Those critics who perceived no merit in Prince Pückler Muskau, despite his errors—(which we ourselves did not spare)—may well recommend the vapid balderdash of Count Giuseppe Pecchio. The public will now judge for themselves how far reliance is to be placed in the Archimandrites of that journal who, in literature always praise a foolish thing, and in politics never write a wise one.

---

## SOME RESULTS OF THE HIGH-TAX PLAN.

THE annual income of Great Britain has been estimated at three hundred millions; the *net* annual taxation is at present forty-six millions and a half, or nearly *one-sixth* of each individual's revenue. Considering, however, that about one-third of the national income arises from inert capital or property *emphatice*, and that the bulk of taxation virtually presses upon profits, or is raised upon articles which form the staple consumption of the poor and middling classes, but are trivial items in the expenditure of the rich, it is probable that, on the average, *one-fourth* of every *producer's* income is taken from him by taxation. Great as this amount unquestionably is, the pressure is in many cases aggravated by the favour shown to peculiar interests, by the complex mode and by the absurdly heavy rate of our taxation, as well as by the expenses which are rendered necessary in consequence of the high-tax and chandler-shop systems. Amongst the mischievous effects of the former plan are the checks which it imposes upon trade, the unnecessary burdens it throws upon the consumer, the extensive smuggling it induces, and the expense which is incurred in the vain attempt to put it down. The present article will be confined to the effects of the system as connected with the two latter points. Its object will be to show that an useless expenditure is now kept up, and that, virtually, a considerable remission of taxation may be effected with comparatively slender means.

The total amount of the expenses incurred in the endeavour to prevent smuggling cannot well be ascertained. Like many other branches of Government expenditure, the payments are charged upon various funds: part is paid by the Customs, part by the Excise, part by the Navy; and the expenses of prosecutions, &c. are blended with other legal charges, or mixed up with "heads of expenditure" where no mortal would dream of their concealment. The exciseman is as much engaged in preventing smuggling as in "bringing articles to charge," and is at once a "revenue" and a "preventive" officer. It is probable, too, that many of the "coast blockade" have been pensioned amongst other seamen, and are increasing the heavy dead weight of the "Navy non-effective service." The subjoined table exhibits pretty accurately the *direct* expenses, as far as the means of ascertaining them exist. It is compiled from the *Finance Accounts*, excepting the cost of the coast blockade, which is set down at the round amount stated by Government. It should be observed that this branch has been transferred from the Navy to the Customs during the present year, and that a considerable saving is contemplated from the alteration. The items for legal charges, as they stand below, must be taken *cum grano* on both sides.

PAID BY CUSTOMS.	Ireland.	Great Britain.	Total.
Cruisers . . . . .	£13,345	£90,656	£104,001
Harbour Vessels . . . . .	232	4,360	4,592
Preventive Water-Guard . . . . .	111,265	184,906	296,171
Land-Guard . . . . .	.	17,502	17,502
Payments on account of the Coast Blockade . . . . .	.	2,706	2,706
Compensation to Naval Officers employed in the Coast-Guard Service for loss of half-pay .	2,342	10,866	13,208
PAID BY EXCISE.			
Day-Pay to Weighers, Watchmen, Tide-Waiters, Watermen, Set- ters, and Boatmen . . . . .	.	2,184	2,184
Cruisers . . . . .	.	5,948	5,948
Pensions to Officers and Seamen of Cruizers . . . . .	.	570	570
	£127,184	£319,698	£446,882



	<i>Ireland.</i>	<i>Great Britain.</i>	<i>Total.</i>
PAID BY NAVY. (Brought forward)	£127,184	£319,698	£446,882
Expenses of Coast Blockade	.	.	160,000
			£606,882
PAID BY CUSTOMS.—Law charges	.	4,802	4,802
PAID BY EXCISE.—Law charges	5,706	7,651	11,357
	£132,890	£332,151	£623,041

The foreign commodities in which smuggling is chiefly carried on are brandy, geneva, and tobacco (for the mode of smuggling silk goods is distinct from that of running spirits, &c., and those duties are at present under consideration). The net produce of these articles, in 1831, is shown by the following table:—

	<i>Ireland.</i>	<i>Great Britain.</i>	<i>Total.</i>
Brandy . . . . .	£9,923	£1,378,243	£1,388,166
Geneva . . . . .	1,561	25,331	26,892
Tobacco and Snuff . . . . .	626,484	2,333,840	2,960,324
	£637,968	£3,737,414	£4,375,382

From which it appears, that, independent of all charges of collection, the sum of (at least) 610,000*l.* is annually paid to secure 4,375,000*l.*, being a cost of more than 14 per cent., which, added to the expense of collecting, would carry the total to upwards of 18 per cent. Upon a superficial view, such a system appears unsound, and this unsoundness is more manifest on examination; the high rate of duty not only induces smuggling, but (in the two first articles, at least) it most probably causes an actual diminution of the revenue. From 1796 to 1806, the duty on Hollands varied from 7*s.* 6*d.* to 14*s.* a gallon, and the annual average consumption was upwards of 700,000 gallons: the duty is now 22*s.* 6*d.* a gallon, and the annual consumption of *duty paid* is 30,000 gallons. With a tax of from 250 to 450 per cent., the average revenue was at least 350,000*l.*; under a duty of 700 per cent., it is 26,000*l.* The population of the country has increased nearly one-half, the Custom duties three-fourths; but the Custom duty on this particular article has fallen 1300 per cent., or from 350 to 26. As regards brandy, the results are similar in kind, though not in degree. In 1814, the duty was raised from 14*s.* to 18*s.* 10*d.* a gallon (wine measure):† the consumption decreased from 1,820,000 to 720,000 gallons, and the revenue fell from 1,370,000*l.* to 825,000*l.*, though it has since recovered in the amount received, but not in the quantity consumed. In 1689, the annual consumption in England, at a duty of about 100 per cent. on the prime cost, was 1,989,165 gallons. Since that period, the population (including Scotland) has nearly quadrupled; the national income has increased seven-fold, the Custom duties eighteen-fold; but the nominal consumption of brandy is less than it was 140 years ago. If the principle of finance,—that a moderate duty on a staple article of consumption will yield more than an enormous one,—were altogether unknown, these facts would seem to prove that a reduction of the duty to as near rum (9*s.* a gallon) as would be equivalent to the difference of expense between the carriage from the West Indies or Bourdeaux, would not diminish the revenue on brandy. About hollands

\* It is singular that no charge for law expenses appears to have been incurred by the "Customs" in Ireland, above all other places. The only inference is, that they are charged upon some other fund. In Great Britain, too, this is probably the case to a considerable extent.

† The present duty of 22*s.* 6*d.* arises from the substitution of the imperial for the wine gallon, not from the imposition of an additional duty. A portion of geneva was included in the amounts in the text, but the quantity was small, and, of course, does not affect the comparative amount. We have no means of ascertaining the respective proportions.



there can be no question, nor if there were, would it be of any moment in a fiscal point of view: the sum of 26,000*l.* is of no great importance in a revenue of 47,000,000*l.*

The question of tobacco is nearly as clear, notwithstanding the disproportionate rate of the tax. The prime cost of the commodity varies from 3*d.* to 6*d.* per lb.; the duty is 3*s.* per lb., or from 600 to 1200 per cent. The tax upon cigars is, proportionately, much lower. In effecting a reduction with a view to put a stop to illicit trading, the duty must, of course, be considerably decreased: the exact degree it is difficult to fix upon; it might better, perhaps, be determined by a Parliamentary or Government inquiry, when the practicability of an *ad valorem* duty might be also ascertained. Sir Henry Parnell suggests a shilling as an experiment. When the facts connected with the article are examined, it would appear that even 9*d.* a pound might not eventually cause a greater reduction in the revenue than the saving in the reduction of the *fiscal forces* would make up. About 1711, under a duty which Davenant even then complained of as being too high, the annual consumption in *England alone* was 11,260,659lbs. In 1829, the nominal quantity of tobacco consumed in *Great Britain* was only 14,760,618lbs., notwithstanding the increase in wealth, in people, and in the supply of the commodity. In 1798, the quantity of tobacco consumed in Ireland, at a duty of 8*d.* per lb., was eight million pounds a year; in 1829, under the high duty, it was only *four* million pounds, though the population has doubled.

We need not, however, confine ourselves to these articles alone; reasoning from analogies, the results are the same. In 1827, the duty on English spirits (gin) was reduced nearly one-half; in 1829, the quantity brought to charge had more than doubled, and the produce of the duty was *increased* by about 9 per cent. In 1823, the duty on Irish spirits was reduced from 5*s.* 6*d.* to 2*s.* a gallon: the *legal* consumption immediately trebled, with a gain of one-fifth to the revenue. In six years the *quantity* had more than quadrupled—the *revenue* nearly doubled. A similar alteration of duty took place in Scotland: the *quantity* rose from 2. to 5. 7, the *revenue* from 691 to 809. In 1808, the duty on coffee was reduced from 2*s.* to 6*d.* per lb.; the next year the consumption increased *nine-fold*. The same results take place at earlier periods. In 1745, the duty on tea was reduced more than one-half—the revenue almost doubled. In 1751, the duties on English spirits were raised from 7½*d.* to 1*s.* per gallon; the returns of the excise-officers fell from 10½ to 7. In Scotland, no increase of duty, and no variation in quantity, took place till 1760, when, upon an increase of the tax, the quantity sank from 400,000, and sometimes 500,000 gallons to 50,000 gallons. In the same year, an additional duty of 1*s.* 3*d.* per barrel was imposed upon Scotch twopenny: “Instead of 3, 4, or 500,000 barrels, the officers’ books seldom exceeded 100,000 barrels.”

Results of a similar kind, though not quite so striking in degree, might be produced from almost every article subject to taxation. The aggregate presents the same effects. Had the revenue (reports the last Finance Committee) fallen off in proportion to the amount remitted, it would have sunk nine millions instead of three. If the Custom receipts (says Davenant) had risen in proportion to the duties, they would have increased *four-fold*, or to about four millions: instead of that, in twenty years they had merely risen half a million.

In speaking of the increased consumption of an article consequent upon the reduction of a tax, it must not be supposed to arise solely from the reduced price. Much of what was formerly supplied by the smuggler falls into the hands of the regular dealer, and part—in some cases, perhaps, nearly the whole—of the apparent increase is only a transfer. To what extent smuggling is now carried on it is impossible to say; nor would the most searching investigation lead to any positive knowledge. Sir H. Parnell assumes—though, perhaps, somewhat loosely—that twelve million pounds



of tobacco are annually smuggled into Ireland. The Committee of Inquiry in 1783, which especially directed its attention to this point, say—"It is computed, from the best examinations, that upwards of thirteen million gallons of brandy had been smuggled into the kingdom during the last three years," which gives an average of more than 4,300,000 gallons a year, being nearly treble the present duty-paid consumption. Since that time we all know that smuggling has increased. If the first fact be correct, and the same ratio be extended to Great Britain, the duty on tobacco might be lowered from 3*s.* to 9*d.* without loss. If the statement of the Committee be true, and no increase of smuggling has taken place during fifty years, the duty on brandy might be lowered three-fourths, and the produce, without any increased consumption taking place, would be as large as it is now.

Let us recapitulate. Upon overtaxed articles in general use, especially when capable of being smuggled, an extensive reduction of duty increases the duty-paid consumption double, treble, quadruple, and sometimes even more. A small addition of the duty diminishes it in a much greater ratio than the amount of the tax; a large one sometimes all but prohibits the legal use of the article. A similar result may be observed in the aggregate, whilst the consumption of the smuggled commodity very considerably exceeds that of the *duty-paid*. If, however, it be alleged that these are general facts, which, though true in the main, may, from a change in taste, or from other circumstances, fail in the particular instance, there seems a test which, perhaps, offers a tolerably safe guide as to the lowest amount a reduction will realize. It may be assumed, that, on commodities used by the bulk of the people, and which, whether necessities or no, in a philosophic sense men feel it a privation to be without,—

"Queis humana sibi doleat natura negatis,"—

the same sum of money will generally continue to be expended, especially if the articles have been largely smuggled. Applying this theory to brandy, the first cost (we say nothing of adulteration) may be taken at 1,600,000*l.*, of which sum about *one-sixth* is paid for the liquor, and *five-sixths* for the tax. If the duty were reduced one-half, and the same sum expended in the proportion of *one-fourth* for the article and *three-fourths* for the Customs, the revenue would still produce 1,200,000*l.*, being a loss of somewhat less than 200,000*l.* In tobacco, the proportions, on the average, are *one-tenth* for the producer and importer, *nine-tenths* for the fostering care of the state. If the duty were reduced to two-thirds, and one-third left for the commodity, it would still yield a revenue of 2,100,000*l.*, being a loss of about 860,000*l.*: in other words, the income received from the lower rate would be 3,300,000*l.*, which would involve a total loss of about *one million*. To balance this there would be the duty on Hollands, and the savings on the *fiscal force*. If we rate the two together at 500,000*l.*, by risking the loss of half a million of revenue, the actual pressure of taxation might be relieved to the extent of nearly three millions, and the cost of a necessary to the poor diminished by three-fourths, and of a second necessary to the middling classes by one-half.

This opinion as to the total loss by the repeal of these duties differs materially from that of Sir Henry Parnell, who estimates it (though without giving the *data* of his calculations) at three millions, allowing a loss of one million and a half on "spirits," and one million and a half on tobacco. From the facts we have adduced, it would seem that the last estimate is somewhat overrated, especially if 1*s.* per lb. be, as he appears to consider it, a sufficient reduction. In the case of spirits, Sir Henry seems to be proposing a rate of duty, not merely to put an end to external smuggling, but to equalize the taxes on *all* spirits, both foreign, colonial, English, Irish, and Scotch. This consummation is perhaps desirable in a complete financial reform, but is far from indispensable as an immediate relief to the consumer, or as a prevention of coast-smuggling. It is not even necessary to



*equalize* the duties on gin and whisky, in order to stop the illicit trade between Scotland and England; for the large profits which the expenses of smuggling require are sufficient to overbalance a difference of duties. This is more especially the case in foreign smuggling, where the profits of the smuggler must be enormous. He must insure his cargo, (or, what is the same thing, become his own insurer,) and not only his cargo, but his vessel. His expenses are out of all comparison with those of the fair trader: he imports his spirits or tobacco, not in hogsheads, but in kegs;—his vessel must be built stronger, better, with more care in construction, and, in short, to come to the point, at much greater cost;—his crew must be more numerous (unhappily), better equipped, perhaps more skilful, and, it would seem, better paid, to secure their fidelity;—his voyage is longer and more circuitous; sometimes he must virtually make two or three before he is able to *run* in. When this is accomplished, the landing itself is expensive: instead of raising his *cwts.* or *hogsheads* by machinery, he lands his *lbs.* or *gallons* by hand-labour. His work-people, too, are very numerous, especially in proportion to the work to be done; they have been idly looking out for hours, perhaps for nights. When the landing is effected, compare the cost in forming the “store” of the illicit dealer and that of the fair trader: the latter pays a slight dock rent, or sends the commodity to a warehouse built at little comparative expense, and capable of containing large quantities; the stronghold of the former has been formed at very considerable cost for a comparatively small quantity. But when the smuggled commodities are housed, the business is but half done. They have to be distributed in an expensive manner about the country, running the gauntlet through a host of excisemen; not capable, without difficulty and risk, of being introduced into the stock of a regular dealer, and exposed to the chance of detection from a faithless servant or a very conscientious buyer; not to mention that, unless the saving is considerable, many will not purchase a smuggled article, from a fear of fraud, if not from a better motive. Were the whole of the coast and customs’ blockading force dismissed tomorrow, the difficulties of the internal distribution would remain the same. But we do not suggest their total abolition. As long as duties are collected, it is probable that the most advantageous posts for smuggling should be occasionally watched: whether 200,000*l.* (more than the sum which suffices to maintain a “surveyor” and a tax-gatherer for every village in Great Britain) may be necessary for this purpose, or whether it might not be effectually performed by the smaller vessels in the navy at a less cost, is a matter for *practical* consideration. If any doubt should be entertained as to how far a duty of 11*s.* or 11*s.* 6*d.* a gallon on brandy would suffice to prevent smuggling, that point might also be investigated. If it were not, any further decrease should perhaps be followed by a corresponding one on colonial and home-made spirits, which might certainly cause a greater loss of revenue than has been contemplated. Rum is, however, subject to a duty of 9*s.* a gallon, and is not smuggled, although its value, in proportion to the tax, is perhaps higher than brandy would be under the proposed duty. The selling price of smuggled Cognac affords a tolerably safe criterion as to what the price of *duty-paid* ought to be. Under the rate suggested, an article of average quality would sell at from 15*s.* to 16*s.* a gallon. We believe in London the price of indifferent smuggled brandy is about 14*s.* a gallon. If the smuggler were deprived of tobacco, he might perhaps be obliged to require a higher price than this.

But to return. The virtual reduction of taxation, the relief afforded to the consumer, and the diminution of an odious expenditure, are not the only benefits to be expected. The measure would considerably enlarge the foreign, and, by consequence, the home trade, and give rise to a more extended employment of shipping: the increased demand would stimulate the production of commodities abroad; the necessity of an equivalent would increase manufactures at home; and an opportunity would be given for



increased employment of capital and labour in the transport and distribution of both classes of production; whilst the destruction of that virtual monopoly which high duties create would throw the trade more open; the measure, too, might pave the way for a relaxation of the commercial restrictions with France, if the modifications were judiciously made. But its fiscal and commercial results would, perhaps, be of less importance than its moral effects. At present, a great part of the peasantry on our coasts, and, perhaps, nearly the "entire" of the "seven millions," are more or less diverted from regular industry, and engaged in a systematic violation of the law. In this act, no moral crime is, perhaps, abstractedly committed, though, practically, a fraud is perpetrated against the fair dealer, and on all other classes who contribute their quota to the public burthens; but experience shows that men cannot long be engaged in a practice of this kind without becoming irregular and lawless in their habits. Merging all notions of *right* in those of *might*, they get to look upon every law as a mere conventional enactment, devoid of any moral sanction, and become ready for perpetrating any act of violence, and too frequently indifferent to shedding blood. This is a state of things which a government is bound to put an end to, if it be possible, not only from a regard to the individuals themselves, but for the interests of society and its own security; for in the illicit trader will too frequently be found the germs of the robber, the murderer, and, if circumstances should aid him, the incendiary and the rebel. But the only mode of putting down the smuggler is to render his trade no longer profitable. All penal enactments,—all additional precautions,—all increase of the already overgrown *fiscal forces*,—will be of no avail against the *auri sacra fames*. A reduction of duty is the only effectual mode of proceeding: then, and then only, the capital which is now employed in illicit trading (and frequently destroyed altogether) will be diverted to more legitimate employments; whilst the skill—the enterprize—the *labour*—which it now stimulates to lawless violence, and not unfrequently to bloodshed, will be engaged in more peaceful and more beneficial occupations.

Generally beneficial, however, as this measure would be, it will not pass without a struggle. The landlords, the distillers, and the colonial interests will steadily oppose all effectual means for relieving the people and putting down smuggling, on account of the probable injury they might suffer from the increased consumption of brandy. If questions of this kind were settled for the common benefit of all, there would be no hesitation: every gallon of brandy which displaced a gallon of another spirit would, on the average, *pro tanto* double the revenue. If they depended upon *right*, the reduction upon this article would have been made before; for whilst the duty upon home-made spirits has been reduced one-half, the tax on foreign spirits has remained unaltered. It is questionable, however, whether any injury worth speaking of would take place; for, as we have intimated already, in cases of reduced duties, the increased amount "brought to charge" arises principally through the business being transferred from the illicit to the fair trader; nor, unless brandy was consumed to a far greater degree than has been contemplated, would the use of home-made or colonial spirits materially diminish. The principal consumers of brandy are the middling classes, and (in the words of Lord Sandon, speaking of another overtaxed commodity) "that class, so numerous in this country—so far more numerous than in any other,—that middle, or rather that lower division of the middle class, which, though not rich, and therefore obliged to consider closely the prices of all they consume, is yet enabled to command the enjoyment of a vast variety of little superfluities from every quarter of the globe, the contributions of our extended commerce." By this class, and by the classes immediately above it, brandy is used more as a medicine or a cordial than as an absolute article of luxury; and the present enormous tax has no other effect than to stint their comforts, or to drive them, however unwillingly, to encourage violations of the law. If the duty were repealed,



the great consumers of other liquors would still continue their present consumption, partly from habitual taste, and partly from greater cheapness; for, it must be remembered, the proposed duty would be a protective one of about 50 per cent. on gin, and about 20 per cent. on rum,—the tax, in the first case, exceeding the retail price of the “cream of the valley,” and in the second, the prime cost of the article. Even if the effects were different from what we have supposed, it may be questioned (putting an increased revenue altogether out of the question) how far the substitution of a dearer for a cheaper spirit—of a finer *quality* for a smaller *quantity*—may not be desirable? The Church, the Temperance Societies, the Unions,—those who disagree upon every other subject,—agree to denounce gin. A portion of the community, with the bench of Bishops at its head, would put down its use *per fas et nefas*; and the Chancellor himself has declared from the woolsack that he would *prohibit* the very making, were it not for the invasion of the freedom of trade—(and, *perhaps*, the five millions of revenue?) But, in sober seriousness, the case is reducible to this. Is a large and wasteful expenditure to be kept up,—is the public to be restricted in its tastes and comforts,—are the commerce and manufactures of the country to be crippled, and the peasantry to be systematically trained to deeds of lawlessness, violence, and murder,—to prevent a *remotely possible* injury to peculiar interests?

A word or two as regards Ireland. It has been seen that the revenue yielded by these articles in the Emerald Isle amounts altogether to 637,000*l.*, of which 11,000*l.* is for brandy and hollands; 626,000*l.* for tobacco; whilst the cost of the army of observation is 124,000*l.*, or one-fifth of the total amount produced. We are as averse as any one to favour the sister kingdom by exceptions from taxation, or by different rates of duty; yet when it is considered, as we have shown already, that, in 1798, with *half the population*, the quantity of tobacco consumed, at a duty of 8*d.* per lb., was *double* what it now is, we should earnestly recommend the immediate trial, in that country, of a return to nearly the former rate of duty, and of a reduction of the tax on foreign spirits. If the consumption increased, as the facts would warrant us in supposing, the “loss would be a gain;” if it merely returned to the same amount as it was thirty years ago, the revenue would not really be lowered more than 200,000*l.* If the Reformed Parliament can effect *any* retrenchment, the chance of losing such a sum as this can be risked. It is difficult to say how such an amount could be so well disposed of. It would relieve the Irish peasant, (perhaps in the only possible way a remission of taxation can relieve him,) by reducing threefold the price of one of *his* necessities: it would facilitate the collection of the revenue; put an end to an unnecessary expenditure, and a constant source of heart-burning violence and bloodshed; and enable the responsible financier,—who, with limited means at his disposal, might be fearful of *acting* upon principles and general facts, however conclusive,—to risk the probable results of its extension to Great Britain, and of the lowest sum for which the operation might be effected.

---

## THE MODERN PLATONIST.—NO. I.

BY THE AUTHOR OF "DEVEREUX," "EUGENE ARAM," &amp;c.

---

*Prefatory Remarks.*

---

ON looking this morning over our ancient models in Periodical Literature—those Spectators and Guardians—whose meagre sentences and frigid Gallicisms are placed before our youthful emulation as the true sources for acquiring the mastery of our mother tongue—I was struck by one feature common to them all—and which, to my mind, gives to the more celebrated of our Essayists their only legitimate claims to that excellence to which they pretend. The characteristic I allude to is this—a benevolent and a moral purpose!—they teach more than their successors have done;—the virtues and the dispositions are their favourite care;—they moralize though in ruffles;—and are equally given to “the nice conduct” as “to the clouded cane.” The “Tatler” often belies his name—the “Rambler” seems always walking into church—and the “Idler” carries on his shoulders all the business of the schools. Doubtless, amidst these tendencies to sermonize, there is much reverend twaddle, and much false morality, but the general principle is pure, and the general end is wholesome. Our virtuous dispositions require frequent renewal. We must constantly warm them or they fall asleep. It is, therefore, not superfluous to repeat, from time to time, those sentiments that have been the heirloom of the earth’s morality. And in Virtue there is a loveliness not easily worn away by custom. Her cestus defies satiety. Many of those maxims which all homilies contain, such as the beauty of goodness—the shortness of life—the vanity of human desires—may be heard not only without fatigue, but, amidst the selfish vices of our common careers, they refresh us with a return to the feelings that were, to the musings and sorrows of our youth, as the first silver notes of Philosophy, the first maternal comfortings of Religion;—the text is old, but the contemplations it awakens are ever new. Like those buildings by Pericles, which Plutarch describes, there are thoughts which, however ancient, carry throughout all time the flush and bloom of a perennial youth—they are hallowed by the ages they have existed, and the great hearts they have inspired. We feel this in the instance of Proverbs—that popular stock of wisdom in all nations;—there is something mysterious in their antiquity, and solemn in their familiar sameness. The Stagyrte considered them the wrecks of some mighty lore that had perished from the earth, leaving only those relics as the germ of all the philosophies which our sages have since laboured into systems. A bold and grand idea, investing with an ideal majesty the most common images and the most homely truths.



May I deem myself right, then, in considering there are certain preachments and moral admonitions which, however hacknied, lose not their freshness, or their power of appealing to the human heart? Our sorrows, in all ages, have common sources; and, while we mock, we yield to, the common consolations. Thus he who has mourned will turn with no disdainful heeding to the ordinary comfort which the Preacher and the Poet prescribe. The uncertainty of life—its necessary disappointments—are no wearisome subject to him;—he has grown in love with Melancholy, and its language never palls upon his ear;—he is consoled by repeating the very truisms that in lighter moments he despised;—and the words that charm away the bitterness of his grief, are not the less potent for the millions on whom the spell has operated before. In sorrow, then, and also in prosperity, it is well at times to moralize even upon old themes. In the first, nothing is too trite that comforts—in the last, nothing too familiar that warms our tendencies to the springs of good. The sermon is not dull, for it appeals to deep sympathies; nor does the universality of the moral fatigue, when the thoughts it awakens are peculiar—isolated—to each of us his own.

It is with this persuasion that I propose, from time to time, probably in the alternate months, to address my readers upon a few of the graver subjects of human contemplation—a species it may be of Lay Sermon, in which, as in the “*Rambler*,” or its earlier rivals, scriptural allusions are omitted, as being too sacred for works so varied and miscellaneous;—in which Philosophy—Poetry—the softer Letters—may appear with no profane graces;—in which Morality assumes the unpretending tone of the Friend—the Sympathizer, not the Warner or the Prophet;—and whispers something of persuasion without affecting to command. As among the Schools of the Antique Wisdom, that of Plato always seemed to me the noblest and the best adapted to the Religion and the higher notions of that Morality acknowledged by our later times, so I have ventured, though not without a long and patient examination of the writings of the Master, to assume the rank of his Disciple. I propose, ultimately (should the Public not entirely unheed them), to recollect such papers as I may thus put forth, and perhaps to publish them in one work, with “*The Conversations with an Ambitious Student*,” which appeared some time since in this Periodical, and to which, in their style and object, they will assimilate. I shall endeavour to make these Essays, however serious in themselves, harmonize with the most general sources of our thoughts and our emotions—seeking, perhaps, rather the useful than the new. Half the affectations that disfigure, and are rapidly destroying, the literature of the day, have sprang from the desire to say something new without a regard to its truth. Genius is often eccentric—but to be eccentric is not to be a genius;—and in the old Greek proverb, many may carry the thyrsus, but few are inspired by the god.

---



## ON ILL HEALTH, AND ITS CONSOLATIONS.

WE do not enough consider our physical state as the cause of much of our moral—we do not reflect enough upon our outward selves:—What changes have been produced in our minds by some external cause—an accident—an illness! For instance, a general state of physical debility—ILL HEALTH—in the ordinary phrase, is perhaps among the most interesting subjects whereon to moralize. It is not—like most topics that are dedicated to philosophy—refining and abstruse—it is not a closet thesis—it does not touch *one* man, and avoid the circle which surrounds him—it relates to us all—for ill health is a part of Death;—it is its grand commencement. Sooner or later, for a longer period or a shorter, it is our common doom. Some, indeed, are stricken suddenly, and Disease does not herald the Dread Comer;—but such exceptions are not to be classed against the rule; and in this artificial existence—afflicted by the vices of custom—the unknown infirmities of our sires—the various ills that beset all men who think or toil—the straining nerve—the heated air—the overwrought or the stagnant life—the cares of poverty—the luxuries of wealth—the gnawings of our several passions—the string cracks somewhere, and few of us pass even the first golden gates of Life ere we receive the admonitions of Decay.

As the beautiful mind of Tully taught itself to regard the evils of Old Age, by fairly facing its approach, and weighing its sufferings against its consolations, so, with respect to habitual infirmities, we may the better bear them by recollecting that they are not without their solace. Every one of us must have observed that during a lengthened illness the mind acquires the habit of making to itself a thousand sources of interest—“a thousand images of one that was”—out of that quiet monotony which seems so unvaried to ordinary eyes. We grow usually far more susceptible to commonplace impressions:—As one whose eyes are touched by a fairy spell, a new world opens to us out of the surface of the tritest things. Every day we discover new objects, and grow delighted with our progress. I remember a friend of mine—a man of lively and impetuous imagination—who, being afflicted with a disease which demanded the most perfect composure,—not being allowed to read, write, and very rarely to converse,—found an inexhaustible mine of diversion in an old marble chimney-piece, in which the veins, irregularly streaked, furnished forth quaint and broken likenesses to men, animals, trees, &c. He declared that, by degrees, he awoke every morning with an object before him, and his imagination betook itself instantly to its new realm of discovery. This instance of the strange power of the mind, to create to itself an interest in the narrowest circles to which it may be confined, may be ludicrous, but is not exaggerated. How many of us have watched for hours with half-shut eyes the embers of the restless fire?—nay, counted the flowers upon the curtains of the sick-bed, and found an interest in



the task ! The mind has no native soil ; its affections are not confined to one spot,—its dispositions fasten themselves everywhere,—they live, they thrive, they produce, in whatever region Chance may cast them, however remote from their accustomed realm. God made the human heart weak, but elastic ;—it hath a strange power of turning poison into nutriment. Banish us the air of Heaven—cripple the step—bind us to the sick couch—cut us off from the cheerful face of men—make us keep house with Danger and with Darkness—we can yet play with our own fancies, and, after the first bitterness of the physical thralldom, feel that despite of it we are free. “The Earth,”—said Milton, in one of those lofty passages in which his very poetry is eclipsed by his prose,—“The Earth is a point, not only in respect of the Heavens above us, but of that heavenly and celestial part within us. That mass of flesh that circumscribes me limits not my mind ; that surface that tells the Heavens they have an end, cannot persuade me I have any. I take my circle to be above three hundred and sixty. Though the number of the arc do measure my body, it comprehendeth not my mind. While I study to find out how I am a microcosm, or *little* world, I find myself something more than the great. There is surely a piece of divinity to us, something that was before the elements, and owes no homage unto the Sun. *Nature* tells me that I am the image of God, as well as Scripture. He that understands not thus much, hath not his introduction or first lesson, and hath yet to begin the alphabet of man !”

To these words we can add nothing ! Their egotism is the expression of the universal hearts of men. And somewhat of the pride which belongs to them, animates us even on the couch of our bodily infirmity. It is a source of exaltation that we are not conquered, and that the fortress sapped by the Dark Enemy is our prison-house, not our home.

It has been my lot to endure frequent visitations of ill-health, although my muscular frame is strong, and I am capable of bearing great privation and almost any exertion of mere bodily fatigue. The reason is that I reside principally in London, and it is only of late that I have been able to inure myself to the close air and the want of exercise that belong to the life of cities. However, languishing in the confinement of a metropolis, the moment I left the dull walls, and heard the fresh waving of the trees, I revived,—the nerves grew firm—pain fled me—I asked myself in wonder for my ailments ! My bodily state was, then, voluntary and self-incurred, for nothing bound or binds me to cities : I follow no calling, I am independent of men, affluent in means, and, from my youth upward, I have learnt myself the power to live alone. Why not then consult health as the greatest of earthly goods ? But is health the greatest of earthly goods ? Is the body to be our main care ? Are we to be the minions of self ? Are we to make *any* corporeal advantage the chief end—

“Et propter vivendum vivere perdere causas.”



I confess that I see not how men can arrogate to themselves the Catholic boast of Immortal Hopes—how they can utter the old truths of the nothingness of life—of the superiority of mental over physical delights—of the paramount influence of the soul and the soul's objects—and yet speak of health as our greatest blessing, and the workman's charge of filling up the crannies of this fast mouldering clay as the most necessary of human objects. Assuredly health is a great blessing, and its care is not to be despised; but there are duties far more sacred,—obligations before which the body is as nought. For it is not necessary to live, but it is necessary to live nobly. And of this truth we are not without the support of high examples. Who can read that great poet whom I have just cited, and forget that his acts walked level with the lofty eminence of his genius—that he paid “no homage to the sun,” that even the blessing of light itself was a luxury,—was willingly to be abandoned—but the defence of the great rights of earth, the fulfilment of the solemn trust of nations, the vindication of ages yet to come, was a necessity, and not to be avoided—was paramount—was indispensable—and wherefore? because it was a duty! Are there not duties too to *us*—though upon a narrower scale—which require no less generous a devotion? Are there not objects which are more important than the ease and welfare of the body? Is our first great charge that of being a nurse to ourselves? No: every one of us who writes, toils, or actively serves the state, forms to himself, if he knoweth anything of public virtue, interests which are not to be renounced for the purchase of a calmer pulse, and a few years added to the feeble extreme of life. Many of us have neither fortune, nor power, nor extrinsic offerings to sacrifice to mankind; but all of us—the proud, the humble, the rich, the poor—have one possession at our command;—We may sacrifice ourselves! It is from these reasons that, at the time I refer to, I put aside the hope of health;—a good earnestly indeed to be coveted, but which, if obtained only by a life remote from man, inactive, useless, self-revolving, may be too dearly bought; and gazing on the evil which I imagined I could not cure, I endeavoured to reconcile myself to its necessity.

And first, it seems to me that when the nerves are somewhat weakened the senses of sympathy are more keen—we are less negligent of our kind—that impetuous and reckless buoyancy of spirit which mostly accompanies a hardy and iron frame, is not made to enter into the emotions of others. How can it sympathize with what it has never known? We seldom find men of great animal health and power possessed of much delicacy of mind; their humanity and kindness proceed from an overflow of spirits—their more genial virtues are often but skin deep, and the result of good humour. The susceptible frame of Women causes each more kindly and generous feeling to vibrate more powerfully on their hearts, and thus also that which in our harsher sex relaxes the nerve, often softens the affection. And this is really the cause of that increased tendency to pity, to charity, to friendship, which comes on with the decline of



life, and which Bolingbroke has so touchingly alluded to. There is an excitement in the consciousness of the glorious possession of unshaken health and matured strength which hurries us on the road of that selfish enjoyment, which we are proud of our privilege to command. The passions of the soul are often winged by our capacities, and are fed from the same sources that keep the beating of the heart strong, and the step haughty upon the earth. Thus when the frame grows slack, and the race of the strong can be run no more, the mind falls gently back upon itself—it releases its garments from the grasp of the Passions which have lost their charm—intellectual objects become more precious, and, no longer sufficing to be a world to ourselves, we contract the soft habit of leaning our affection upon others; the ties round our heart are felt with a more close endearment, and every little tenderness we receive from the love of those about us, teaches us the value of love. And this is therefore among the consolations of ill-health, that we are more susceptible to all the kindlier emotions, and that we drink a deeper and a sweeter pleasure from the attachment of our friends. If, too, we become, as the body progressively declines from the desire of external pursuits, more devoted to intellectual objects, new sources of delight are thus bestowed upon us. Books become more eloquent of language, and their aspect grows welcome as the face of some dear consoler. Perhaps no epicure of the world's coarse allurements knows that degree of deep and serene enjoyment with which, shut up in our tranquil chambers, we surround ourselves with the WISDOM, the POETRY, the ROMANCE of past ages, and are made free, by the Sybil of the world's knowledge, to the Elysium of departed souls. The pain, or the fever, that from time to time reminds us of our clay, brings not perhaps more frequent and embarrassing interruptions, than the restlessness and eager passion which belong to the flush of health. Contented to repose—the repose becomes more prodigal of dreams.

And there is another circumstance usually attendant on ill-health. We live less for the world—we do not extend the circle of friendship into the wide and distracting orbit of common acquaintance—we are thus less subject to ungenial interruptions—to vulgar humiliations—to the wear and tear of mind—the harassment and the vanity,—that torture those who seek after the “gallery of painted pictures,” and “the talk where no love is.” The gawd and the ostentation shrink into their true colours before the eye which has been taught to look within. And the pulses that have been calmed by pain, keep, without much effort, to the even tenor of philosophy. Thus ill-health may save us from many inquietudes and errors—from frequent mortification—and “*the walking after the vain shadow.*” Plato retired to his cave to be wise; sickness is often the moral cave, with its quiet, its darkness, and its solitude, to the soul.

I may add also, that he who has been taught the precariousness of



life, acquires a knowledge of its value. He teaches himself to regard Death with a quiet eye, and habit\* gifts him with a fortitude mightier than the stoicism of the Porch. As the lamb is shorn so the wind is tempered. Nor is the calm without moments of mere animal extacy unknown to the rude health, which having never waned from its vigour, is unconscious of the treasure it inherits. What rapture in the first steps to recovery—in the buoyant intervals of release! When the wise simplicity of Hesiod would express the overpowering joy of a bridegroom, in the flush of conquest hastening to the first embraces of his bride, he can compare him only to one escaped from some painful disease, or from the chains of a dungeon.† The release of pain is the excess of transport. With what gratitude we feel the first return of health—the first budding forth of the new spring that has dawned within us! Or, if our disease admit not that blessed regeneration, still it has its intervals and reprieves: moments, when the mind springs up as the lark to heaven, singing and rejoicing as it bathes its plumage in the intoxicating air. So that our state may be of habitual tranquillity, and yet not dumb to raptures which have no parallel in the monotony of more envied lives. But I hold that the great counterbalancing gift which the infirmity of the body, if rightly moralized upon, hath the privilege to confer, is, that the mind left free to contemplation, naturally prefers the high and the immortal to the sensual and the low. As Astronomy took its rise among the Chaldean shepherds, whose constant leisure upon their vast and level plains enabled them to elevate their attention undivided to the heavenly bodies,—so the time left to us for contemplation in our hours of sickness, and our necessary disengagement from the things of earth, tend to direct our thoughts to the Stars, and impregnate us half unconsciously with the Science of Heaven.

Thus while as I have said our affections become more gentle, our souls also become more noble, and our desires more pure. We learn to think, with the most august of our moralists, that “earth is an hospital, not an inn—a place to die, not live in.” Our existence becomes a great preparation for death, and the monitor within us is constant, but with a sweet and a cheering voice.

Such are the thoughts with which in the hour of sickness I taught myself to regard what with the vulgar is the greatest of human calamities! It may be some consolation to those who have suffered more bitterly than I have done, to feel that, by calling in the powers of the mind, there may be good ends and cheerful hopes wrought out from the wasting of the body; and that it is only the darkness—unconsidered and unexplored—which shapes the spectre, and appals us with the fear.

---

\* *Exilia, tormenta, bella, morbos, naufragia, meditare, ut nullo sis malo, Tyro. Senec. Epist.*

† *Hes. Scut. Herc. line 42.*

## THE LOVE OF FAME.

BY MRS. NORTON.

Go, dear one, go ! my grief shall sleep  
 Till thou, the cause, art far away ;  
 Since I *might* make thee pause and weep,  
 But have no power to bid thee stay.  
 Go ! win the Fame whose visions bright  
 Have tempted that young heart to roam,  
 And learn how ill its meteor light  
 Can match the sunshine of thy home.

Ah ! then, when all is won, which now  
 Bright in the distance tempts thy soul ;  
 When triumph crowns thy laurelled brow,  
 And hails thee foremost at the goal,—  
 Then shall the secret pang be known,  
 While shouts th' applauding echoes fill,  
 To turn thee from them with a groan,  
 And feel thy heart is empty still.

Then, midst the restless strife, to keep  
 What restless striving hath obtained,  
 Wild doubts across thy soul shall sweep,  
 And tell how little thou hast gained ;—  
 The sleepless nights—the heavy days—  
 The carelessness of all to come—  
 Disgust and weariness of praise ;—  
 Are these—oh ! are they worth thy home ?

Offt shalt thou turn, and inly sigh  
 For simple joys, despised before ;  
 The quiet peace of years gone by,  
 The hope, the happiness of yore.  
 Offt shalt thou pine for words whose breath  
 Scarce stirred the sunnier tides of youth ;  
 And yearn to barter glory's wreath  
 For *one* heart's long-forgotten truth !

Unsatisfied thy soul shall rove,  
 And warm with fancy's fickle glow ;  
 Now soar ambitiously above—  
 Now, passion-fettered, sink below.  
 And thou shalt waste thy life in sighs,  
 Unfit to serve or to command,  
 With hopes that wither as they rise,  
 Like verdure on the desert sand !

---



## FRAGMENT OF A ROMANCE.

BY WILLIAM GODWIN.

[The following is the commencement of the production alluded to in these words in the Preface to "Mandeville":—]

"EIGHT years ago I began a novel. The thought I adopted as the germ of my work, was taken from the story of the 'Seven Sleepers,' in the records of the first centuries of Christianity, or rather from the Sleeping Beauty in the Wood, in Perrault's Tales of *Ma Mère l'Oie* (Mother Goose). I supposed a hero who should have this faculty, or this infirmity, of falling asleep unexpectedly, and should sleep twenty, thirty, or an hundred years at a time, at the pleasure of myself, his creator. I knew that such a canvass would naturally admit a vast variety of figures, actions, and surprises.

"But the nearer I looked at it, the more was I frightened at the task. Such a work must be made up of a variety of successive tales, having, for their main point of connexion, the impression which the events brought forward should produce upon my sleeping-waking principal personage. I should therefore have had at least a dozen times to set myself to the task of invention, as it were, *de novo*."

---

I was born about the middle of the twelfth century from the birth of Christ, in an old and well-fortified castle in Spain, not far from the city of Talavera. My grandfather had served many a hard campaign under the Cid Diaz de Bivas, the thunderbolt of Spain; and the earliest lessons of my infancy were the songs, or *romances*, in which the exploits of this hero were celebrated with the blended enthusiasm of a *cancioneador*, a warrior, and a Christian. My father, whose breeding had been in the tented field, delighted to tell that he had seen the Cid,—that he remembered the time when the aged warrior had held him in his arms, had seated him on his knees as the infant representative of his fellow-soldier, had stroked down the silken locks of his hair, and bade him fight bravely when he grew to man's estate, for the honour of Castille, and the glory of the Holy Cross.

Spain, at the time of my birth, was divided into two great portions, one of which was possessed by the Christians, and the other by the Moors. The Christians were masters of the northern and the middle provinces, under the respective sceptres of the King of Castille, the King of Arragon, the King of Portugal, and the Count of Barcelona. The fertile plains of Andalusia and Granada, together with Valentia and Estremadura, still continued in the hands of the Mahometans. The splendid reign of the Abdalrahmans, caliphs of Cordova, who for several centuries had rendered the Peninsula one of the eyes of the world, was passed away; and the petty princes, who ruled in the scattered fragments of their empire, had sunk under the gallant achievements and the hardihood of the Christian chivalry.

Another and a ruder power had succeeded to that of the Abdalrahmans, and had arrested, though with fitful and uncertain efforts, the fate of



the Moorish empire in Spain. This was that of the Mira-mamolins of Africa. Two races of men, known by this title, successively seized the empire of the Mahometans in this quarter of the world ; and pretending to be immediately descended from the loins of the Great Prophet, challenged the submission of all true believers, as much for the sanctity of their lives, and their celestial destination to power, as the conquests of their sword. Their title imports this—which is correctly written—Emir-al-Mumenir, Commander of the Faithful ; an appellation never applied but in the sense of religious supremacy. The metropolis of their empire was Morocco, a city which owes its foundation to their sway. The two families are known by the appellations of the Almoravides and the Almohades.

The sceptre of Castille had fallen, by the decease of Alfonso the Eighth, calling himself Emperor of Spain, into the hands of Sancho, his son, a prince only twenty-two years of age, when Abou Said, the second prince of the race of the Almohades, entered Spain with a numerous army. Taking advantage of the unsettled state of the kingdom, he captured several considerable towns, while the Moorish governments, hereditary in Spain, scarcely ventured to make a show of resistance against him. Partly converted by his pretensions to a divine commission and title, and partly perhaps awed by the success of his arms, the kings of Granada and Merida made a voluntary surrender of their crowns ; while the citizens of Cordova and Seville, whose princes had shown themselves less docile to the representative of Alla, threw open their gates to the Mira-mamolin, and treated him as their deliverer.

Of the states here mentioned, the kingdom of Merida bordered most nearly on the place of my birth. The reigning sovereign, a prince considerably past the vigour of his years, was ordered by the Almohades into Africa, to pass the remainder of his days in a Mahometan monastery near the city of Fez. His two sons, Abenalhax and Omar, entered themselves among the troops of the victorious prince, and were soon numbered among the most gallant leaders of the Mahometan army.

Such was the state of my country. The prosperous reign of the Emperor Alfonso had given a degree of security to the hearts of the Spaniards, so that we scarcely felt that the soil of the Peninsula was divided between us and the enemies of our religion and our race. Christian and Moor sat down together with a temporary sentiment of harmony and peace. The temper of the two nations towards each other, in several essential respects, may easily be collected from one or two memorable incidents. Alfonso,—that Alfonso who seventy years before had wrested from the Mahometans the city of Toledo,—took to his bed the daughter of Benabad, the Moorish King of Cordova. Sancho the First, one of his predecessors, had for a time fixed his abode in the Moorish capital, and confided his person to the superior skill of Mahometan physicians, that he might be cured of a critical disease. The respective merits of the two people seemed to be adjusted ; and it was admitted on all hands, that the Spaniards surpassed the Moors in military achievements and the warlike character, while the Moors left us at no less distance behind them in all the arts of elegance and refinement, in manners, in music, in poetry, and in philosophy.

My father, who was no longer young, reposed himself after the



various toils of a military life, in his hereditary castle. My mother, who was of the illustrious family of Ponce de Leon, dedicated much of her care to the cultivation of my infancy, and was consummately well qualified for the task she had undertaken. My early years were passed in serenity and peace. I had heard of war: its thunders rolled at a distance, and I perceived their hoarse murmurs as if from the other side of the mountains; but it was a tale only, the report of which had been conveyed to my ears, while its realities had never offered themselves to the witness of my eyes.

Though I was very young at the time of the first great revolution in my existence, yet I remember somewhat of the scenes which preceded it, and I remember them the more perfectly from this very circumstance, which enables me to assign them an exact place in my history. I remember well the way in which the scenery around me first affected my thoughts. The country was mountainous, and the mountains were rugged and barren. It had very little to boast on the score of cultivation: my father and his dependents principally subsisted on the produce of their flocks. The castle in which we dwelt, was built for defence and retreat, and not for luxury. The light of heaven entered it only through narrow loopholes and perforations, piercing its massy and substantial walls. Most of the apartments were small, and the ascent to them by narrow and winding staircases; the hall only, the kitchen, and the stables, were spacious; in the former of which were daily spread two immense tables, where my father constantly sat down at the hour of noon with one hundred and fifty of his followers. The floor of this hall was spread with rushes, and the walls were hung round with shields, and spears, and swords, and all the various apparatus of war.

These things spoke to my childish soul a sufficiently intelligible language; and the tongue of my mother served further in the office of a chorus, explaining and enforcing their precepts and their eloquence. Christianity and war came united from her lips. The glory of the cross, the honours of Christian chivalry, the burning shame that was inflicted on knighthood and Spain by the multitude of mosques, and faquirs, and imans, that still overspread the land, was the daily burden of her thoughts. And deeply was she skilled in the art of adapting these topics to my comprehension, and bringing them into unison with my feelings. There was nothing dry, general, and vague in the discourses of my mother: it was all story and variety of adventure; it consisted of achievements glorious beyond the conception of a frigid and unanimated spirit; of the delivery of damsels from ravishment and slavery; of the undaunted assertion of justice and divine truth to the very teeth of the misbelievers; of everything that in the relation could thrill through my infant heart. The eye of my mother so glistened, too, when she spoke of the sacred triumph of the better cause; and her smile spoke volumes. That smile lives at this moment at the bottom of my soul; I retire into my inmost self, and I see it still: it was the smile of a mother, full of love, condescension, and hope. When she had fed her thoughts with the sentiments of a Christian and a Spaniard, the elevation melted down into a beam of unspeakable softness, that bended itself wholly and undividedly upon her son. I sprang to meet it; and the story and the lesson were sealed up with a kiss.

There was nothing in this period of my life to seduce my mind from



the sole object of its attention. There was no luxury—or at least nothing that appeared to my recollection to be such—amidst the scenes of a very different character that were shortly afterwards presented to me. Whatever my father possessed, of costly materials, or exquisite workmanship, consisted of the spoils he had taken in different incursions against the Moors. I recollect, in particular, the chair of state in which my father sat on certain solemn days, when he gave law and regulation to his vassals. The substance of the chair was ivory, very curiously carved, and it was covered with carpets of rich and brilliant colours. Behind him, as he sat, was suspended a curtain of cloth of gold. But our possessions of this sort were scanty; they were barely sufficient to maintain a certain feeling of pomp and majesty, and were entirely void of that variety and profusion which might tend to relax the soul, and weaken the energies of its fortitude. All was grave, and solemn, and sedate. Whatever I saw, that addressed itself to my feelings of wonder and admiration, had a sort of military march in it. Peals of light and thoughtless laughter never met my ears, nor agitated my muscles. Infected by the character of everything around me, the very smiles of my infancy had a tincture of pride in them; and, like the smiles of my mother, were pervaded with sentiment and conscious elevation. The scenes of nature I beheld were in harmony with this temper. They were admirable,—for they were lofty and bold; and he that looked at them heard, as it were, the genius of the place bidding him awake and be a man. But we saw no laughing fields, no rich fertility, no copious exuberance of a wealthy soil, bidding the mind bask in the sunshine of prosperity, and be drunk with jollity and ease.

An incident occurred during this period which made a deep impression on my memory. My mother had a brother, ten years younger than herself, Signor Rodrigo Ponce de Leon. This youth had spent the greater part of his early years in the family of Don Sancho de Ximenes, which was reported to be the most perfect school in all Castille for the accomplishments demanded in a true knight. He however came more than once to spend a few weeks at a time in the castle of Torralva. My father was a soldier of high character, and worthy of his imitation; and the exemplary and heroic dispositions of my mother were such, that her stripling brother could not fail to drink in just and elevated sentiments from her lips. I am talking of very early times, concerning which I can scarcely trust the reports of my memory; but, to my recollection, Signor Rodrigo stands forth the very model of gallantry, ingenuousness, and good nature. Wise he was in my eyes, for I never saw anything in him but what was the emanation of purity; and whatever he said contributed to enlighten and enrich my infant mind. But what charmed me most in my squire uncle, as I called him, was the full and unsuppressed condescension with which he would often make himself my equal and my playfellow. There were no liberties I did not take with his person; and when I passed over in review the stories my mother told me, he would freely assist me to represent in action the defiances, encounters, and deliverances from bonds and oppression they recounted, and cheerfully join me in “playing at knights.” A stick served us for a horse, and a thorn-bush for a castle to be beleaguered or surprised.

In one of Signor Rodrigo's latest visits, at the time when he had just attained the age of twenty-one years, his errand was to obtain the society



of my father, together with that of his other relations, to do him grace to the court of King Sancho, to which he was summoned, with about twenty other young men of rank, to take upon him the character of a knight. My mother and myself, with the female part of the household, were for several days left alone in the castle, attended by no further guard than was judged necessary to defend us from surprise. After an absence of a few days my father and Signor Roderic returned, the whole party having agreed to partake of a social banquet at our table, as they were now on their journey from Toledo to the borders of Old Castille. The preparations were considerable. At a certain hour the centinel on the barbican gave notice of the approach of the knights, and the gates of the tilt-yard were thrown open to receive them. Previously to their entrance, Signor Roderic alighted from his palfrey, and put on a complete suit of armour; he then walked in solemn state, between my father and his father, followed by the whole troop of knights and squires, to the platform where my mother was seated, and where I, being now seven years of age, stood beside her. He no sooner reached her footstool, than he humbled himself on one knee before her. My mother rose, and threw a scarf she had in readiness over his shoulder. She then raised him with one hand, and fell on his neck, and wept. This ceremony had no sooner passed, than a war-horse was brought to Signor Roderic, on which he vaulted lightly with his armour on, and turning him about, wheeled round the court at full speed, and performed a variety of feats of horsemanship with an admirable grace. He then received a spear, which he brandished with great agility, and riding at the target, struck it full in the midst. After this, he tossed the spear to an attendant, and drew his sword, which he flourished over his head, and which was of so admirable a temper, that as the beams of the sun played upon it, it glistened with a brilliancy hardly inferior to lightning. The ceremony concluded with the whole company proceeding in full march to the oratory of the castle, where a priest of considerable distinction delivered a short, but emphatical and impressive discourse upon the duties of a Christian knight, concluding with an exhortation to Signor Roderic to demean himself in a way worthy of his ancestry and his calling. The whole scene was calculated to make an indelible impression on my infant mind. One thing however did not fail to be afflicting to me. This was the being informed by my mother's favourite female attendant, that my squire uncle existed no longer,—that he had now entered into a very different order of persons, and that the sacredness of his present engagements would be dishonoured by his ever associating with me, and joining in my youthful sports as he had been wont to do.

The little all of my life hitherto had been peace. Every day was for the most part like the day before: my father was surrounded by his vassals; but as the countenances were generally the same, and the garments the same in fashion, and almost the same in colour, the impression made upon me was uniform. By repetition, the objects had hardly the effect of living things to me; they stirred up no semblance of tempest on the surface of my mind; the scene was to me “as idle as a painted ship upon a painted ocean.” But oh, how far was this from tediousness and lethargy! It was the luxury of sensation. It was the joy of a quiet and a satisfied spirit! a joy infinitely superior to that



which is commonly to be found in turmoil and agitation. It was like breathing the purest and most health-giving element on the top of the highest mountains. The mind rested upon its centre, as Adam reposed in Paradise, when the Lord God descended, and by insensible degrees caused a deep sleep to fall upon him,—a sleep that we may conceive to have been full of visions, in which he saw the things that were, even as if they were not. I sometimes viewed the pictures around me, leisurely savouring everything as it presented itself, and suffering each thing to make its own impression, while the mind remained sweetly and joyfully passive; and at other times, shut up in the rarities and fancies of my own spirit, I saw nothing of what was passing, but busily pictured to myself the scenes of an imaginary future, which, because they were childish, did not on that account fail to be interesting to me. I know not whether this will appear to others an exaggerated relation of the experience of six or seven years of age; I only know that it is the faithful history of my own childhood.

I dwell the more on these things on account of the sudden and dreadful stroke, by means of which they all vanished in an instant. Oh, scenes of my youth, how dearly once beloved, now fearfully vanishing for ever! In the subsequent narrative of my life, I shall sometimes have to tell of pleasures, more subtle, elevated, and refined, than those I have just attempted to paint; but there is a memorable difference dividing the one from the other. These were in one sense my truest pleasures. My mind was innocent; my heart was new. I had never known a pain but what was momentary, or sustained a blow that, so to speak, rased so much as the skin of my soul. But, oh, what fearful gashes, what deep intrenchant scars, succeeded to this! Never did my heart recover the same pure and unviolated tranquillity. The pillars of my consciousness were shaken to their basis. The best of my after-life was like that of a man the bones of whose limbs have been broken, and though tolerably set and put together again, yet in the seat of each fracture there remains an unseen knot or protuberance, sufficiently marking to him that will be at the pains to visit it, where the injury had fallen. In my childhood the world to me was innocent; I saw in every form I met an image of myself; and did not doubt that every one was bland, and kind, and good, and void of harm and malice, as I was myself. But the injuries I am going to relate came from the hand of man; and, without pretending exactly to analyse the shades of error and guilt, I was compelled at a very premature period no longer to contemplate man, as such, with the same simplicity. I was driven to entertain sentiments of suspicion, jealousy, and dislike,—to consider human creatures as beings from whom in some cases no less injury was to be apprehended, than from a thunderbolt, a hurricane, or a conflagration. Nor was this speculation, or a tale made at pleasure, or related for amusement. It was brought home in the bitterest way to my feelings. The colour of my mind was tarnished; it was burned up and embrowned by the tropical sun of calamity. What I should have been, if the days of my youth had been protracted to the ordinary period, I cannot decide. But surely my having been forced in a certain sense to become a man, before I had well ceased to be a child, must have made me a very different being, from other men who have not passed through the same state of early suffering.

The visit of my knight uncle, as I was now bid to call him, was short.



It was principally designed for my mother's gratification, who had an inextinguishable desire to behold this brother of hers in the new character which his king had conferred upon him. This passed, he hastened to place himself under the banners of Don Sancho Ximenes. The king, Don Sancho, had summoned all his peers and his chivalry to march against the Mira-mamolin. Abou Said advanced with a numerous army, and crossed the Guadalquivir between Baeza and Andujar. The Christian monarch was not less diligent in his preparations. Signor Roderic was to make his first campaign under the standard of Don Sancho, beneath whose roof he had received the education, and accomplished himself in all the exercises, which at that day were required for the military profession. My father was to lead forth his gallant followers in a band of his own. The campaign was looked forward to with much earnestness and enthusiasm. The Emperor Alfonso had sustained the Christian character in deeds of arms, in an uninterrupted career of glory, which far outshone the tracks of all his predecessors. Sancho, his son and successor, was just twenty-two years of age: and, though the invasion of the Mira-mamolin was naturally a subject of alarm, yet the superior prowess of the Spaniards to that of the Moors,—a fact sufficiently established,—and more than all, the elasticity and spring of a new reign, and the confidence entertained of the good fortune of a young prince of great hopes, and in the flower of his age, made every bosom beat with the expectation of a splendid and decisive success.

With what beautiful manifestations of affection did my mother take leave of her brother and her husband! She was a heroine of the genuine Castilian temper, and needed not have blushed for her sentiments, if she had been placed beneath the eye of a dame of Sparta or ancient Rome. Yet her heart overflowed with all the best and tenderest feelings of a woman. When she bade adieu to the partners of her fortune and her life, and to the beauteous youth who had now just entered upon the epoch of manhood, she knew that they were going to seek for honour in the ranks of danger and death, and that she might never again see them in the reciprocations of kindness and the erectness of life. But she knew that they were born for this. She was persuaded that every human creature, according to the place in which his lot was cast, had duties to perform; and that, without the discharge and the love of these duties, life was not worth the name of life. Every sentiment that could give grace to a human spirit concurred, in my mother, to sustain her, and throw a glory round her in this hour of her trial: the love of her husband's and her brother's honour, the recollection of an illustrious ancestry, the splendid feelings which chivalry nourishes beyond any other institution that man ever conceived, the zeal of Castille and of Spain, and the reverence and the pride attached to the standard of the Holy Cross.

She bade them adieu with the firmness of a resolved spirit. The priest pronounced his benediction upon them in the oratory of the castle; but though that was done in a seemly and impressive manner, and in a way that showed that the holy man was possessed with the spirit of his profession, yet that was nothing to the fervour with which my mother blessed them. When they rode forth from the gates, I went up with the marchioness to the tower of the barbican. Having proceeded to a certain distance on the plain, my father and my uncle turned round their



steeds. My mother put forth her veil from the lattice, and waved it in their sight. The two champions bowed their heads, and after drew their swords and brandished them; having done which, they turned their steeds again, and went forward.

The departure of my father and my uncle took place in the first week of August, in the year 1158. My mother and I were left, as before, with a scanty guard; but that was a source of no uneasiness, particularly as, though there was war between the Christians and the infidels, the seat of contention, as I have already said, was removed from us, and every eye was turned on the side of the Sierra Morena, and the waters of the Guadalquivir. We rather seemed to be left at a distance in this busy scene, and to be called upon, while every nerve was strung for the arduous contention, to possess our souls in patience, and wait quietly for the result. The marchioness in the secret chamber of her soul was doubtless full of expectation and disquietude; but this had a singular effect on her outward behaviour. I never saw her so playful and so condescending: she appeared for the occasion to have laid aside the usual elevation of her soul, and to become an ordinary matron of lowly life or of quiet times. She told me stories; and the tales I now listened to, were not of heroism, but of fancy merely. She talked of fairies and enchantments,—of everything that soothed the imagination, and stole away the senses in a pleasing dream,—of all the wild inventions of the east, aided in its creations by a luxuriant climate, and by all the wealth and magnificence of Damascus or of Delhi. My pleasure was new; I had never found my mother so condescending, or condescending in this key. Lovely she always was; everything she said or did, at least so far as I was concerned, won upon the affections. But, at other times, the love I felt was mingled with admiration and awe. Now it was wonder, but wonder of a different family and class. I gazed on her as she spoke: my eyes glistened; but the ecstasy I felt seemed to draw me into her soul; I was filled almost to bursting with what I heard, but I was not afraid. Oh, moments of peace and joy! Far from war, or the idea that a man could exist that would shed the blood of man; full on the contrary of the feelings of pastoral life, and of the innocence and happiness of the golden age.

Tranquil was the slumber which followed close on a day like this. I committed myself to the arms of sleep, as to those of an assured friend; the period of my repose seemed like that reserved for the commemoration of some great religious event, upon which nothing ordinary and profane was to be feared to intrude.

The impressions of my mind were not those of a true augury. A few hours after midnight, when the silence and darkness of that period were yet at their full, I was startled from my sleep by the sound of the alarm-bell of the castle. In our deep and secure retreat, the night bore a very different character from that which it wears in a populous town. In cities the busy or the wayward mind of man in some individual or other is always awake; from time to time a solitary vehicle is heard rumbling along the streets; the oxen and the sheep with their lowing or their bleatings complain of their inexorable driver; the colloquy is heard of those that lie down late, or rise up early; or the careless song of the reveller rouses him who is vexed with sorrow or disease from his imperfect slumbers. But in a solitary, rural abode, nothing can be heard at certain hours that indicates the existence of man; nature herself seems



to partake in the repose of her favourite son; and the few incidental sounds that occur from time to time are unconnected with each other, indicate nothing and lead to nothing, and appear, like the audible breathings of him who sleeps, to answer no other purpose, than to make the universal quiet a more distinct object of perception. The sound of the alarm-bell in the castle of Torralva was therefore doubly rousing.

I listened in silence; I never remembered to have heard the sound before; my thoughts were confounded. It was a loud and a deafening sound. It was not like the solemn and measured pace of the funeral knell; it expressed horror, and disorder, and affright—the eagerness to do something, with an uncertainty what was to be done. It was succeeded by the sound of steps, hurrying down the stairs of the castle.

I slept in a closet adjoining to the bed-chamber of my mother. By a certain rustling, and the sound of her voice, I perceived she was in motion. I crept quietly from my bed, and put on my clothes. As I opened the door of the closet, I perceived the marchioness passing out by the opposite door of the chamber, and I followed her in silence. She descended the stairs, and came down into the quadrangle. I then took hold of her hand. She had not perceived me before; but she did not repel my overture to join her. She cast upon me a look of encouragement.

Several of the attendants of the castle flitted about the quadrangle with lighted torches; and my mother, crossing the area, proceeded to the barbican and mounted the watch-tower. From thence we were presented with a dreadful spectacle; a town in flames. It was Oropesa, distant scarcely more than a mile from our walls. It had not long before been a flourishing seat of Moorish industry; but since it had been recovered by the Christians, it had fallen into decay. The castle of Torralva was erected for its defence.

The successive volumes of smoke that ascended, the flames, and the flakes of lighter combustible substance carried up with the smoke, were to me a terrible spectacle, and for some minutes fixed my attention. I then looked down into the plain between; which presented a still more intelligible and fearful scene of distress. The inhabitants of the town were seen flying in all directions, and in all directions were pursued, and goaded along and crossed by Moorish horsemen. Men, women, and children fled this way and that, and lifted up their hands, as they ran, with agony and despair. I gazed with earnestness and astonishment. How I hated a Moor! None but a Moor, thought I to myself, would drive the sons of quiet from their homes, would set fire to their houses, hunt, wound, and destroy them, and trample them under their horses' feet. These wretches have nothing human about them but their form; they are more ferocious than the wild beasts of the desert.

In the distance, and nearest to the flames, the Mahomedans and the Christians were mixed together in the wildest confusion; nearer to the castle we could see none but our friends, and persons that had a claim upon us for protection. It was true that the fortress itself had nothing to fear from a vagrant and accidental incursion. But Oropesa was my father's domain; its inhabitants were his clients and dependents. Every drop of blood that fell from them, and that it was in our power to have saved, was a violation of the great compact of society, by which the higher and the lower orders in Spain were bound together; every drop of blood that fell from them would be regarded by the marchioness as her own.



I looked at my mother; I saw a creature I had never seen before,—not different—not unlike her former self—it was the same character, exalted by the great realities, the terrible calamities and miseries, that beset the path of human life. It was an angel now, employed in an angel's office; before she seemed to have concealed what she was, and to have put forth but half her strength; now a ray from heaven played upon her features, and to my eye, a circle of glory, such as I had observed in the paintings of divine personages, surrounded her head. She issued a peremptory order, that the draw-bridge should be let down, the gates thrown open, and the fugitives admitted; with this precaution, over which from the tower she undertook herself to preside, that so many should come in, as could be received without danger that their pursuers should enter along with them, and that then the gates should be shut.

No sooner were the directions of the marchioness obeyed, than to her utter astonishment, a troop of Moors immediately rushed into the quadrangle. \* \* \* \* \*

---

## ON THE RECENT ATTEMPTS TO REVOLUTIONIZE GERMANY.\*

BY THE TRANSLATOR OF THE "TOUR OF A GERMAN PRINCE."

[Although in the following article are many opinions and views from which we differ, the information it contains, the philosophy of its tone, and the high estimation in which we are informed the original paper is held by Germans themselves, make us willingly submit it to the attention of our readers.]

THE responsive echo which the French Revolution of 1830 awakened in Germany is not yet hushed. In Italy, in Spain, in Poland, its voice has been stifled; in Belgium and in England it has led to mighty results. What, let us now inquire, will be its effects on Germany?

Her deep, suppressed, but ever-increasing agitation gives fore-notice of some vast design. The design is this: to accomplish, by every possible lawful means, the amelioration of her political condition. For half a century, it has been imagined that Germany had no other thought—no other project—than that of following blindly in the footsteps of France; while she was, in fact, busied in preparing a philosophical reform, which may hereafter put in requisition all her neighbour's activity.

Somewhat similar is the case now. If people represent to themselves Germany such as Madame de Staël describes it, they are widely mistaken. It is no longer the land of dreams and extasies, of metaphysical groping, of endless theories, of solid piety, of patriarchal manners;—without central point, without connecting bond, without public spirit, without true national strength. Something of all this yet remains. The state of things is, however, fundamentally altered.

As the French Revolution originated in the prevalent theories of the eighteenth century, so do the Germanic nations now advance with rapid strides towards the realization of those abstract principles which have taken root among them for the last fifty years. It would be a great error to confound these principles with those which brought about the French restoration. The philosophical speculations of Germany had by no means a retrograde tendency. They were far rather calculated to advance, than to retard,

---

\* Extracted from the *Bibliothek der Neuesten Weltkunde*. Aarau. 1832—a periodical work edited by H. Malten.



the progress of the human intellect; to point out to it a wider field in which to acquire added perspicuity and comprehensiveness.

The universal re-action which now manifests itself in Germany *against* philosophy does not spring from hatred of the principles it promulgates, but from the eagerness for observation and for action. Questions of a practical tendency, especially those the aim of which is the amelioration of the state of society, are now agitated in such variety and number that they overwhelm all others.

The recollections of the campaign of 1813-14, the promises of princes, and the enthusiastic rising of the people, have *not* been forgotten; they have produced a taste for political life, for a participation in public affairs; the greatness of recent events has excited a kind of impatience to take an active share in them.

The religious disputes, which, but a few years ago, still agitated the country, are drowned by the voice of contemporary interests: the enthusiasm which was awakened at the beginning of the present century, so often deceived, baffled, crushed, has turned into bitterness; and Germany has once more found the sarcastic spirit of her Luther, only to mock at her own dreams, and her trusting, long-suffering good-nature.

These remarks, which are applicable to the whole of Germany, are peculiarly true of Prussia. There, first, have the impartiality of temper, the political cosmopolitanism, which were formerly the distinctive characteristics of Germans, been succeeded by an irritable nationality; there, too, has the admiration excited by the French revolution of July first subsided.

Demagogical influence\* has never been very powerful, nor very widely diffused, in Prussia; it has never had any deep root in the minds or the interests of the people.

Prussia is, in and through herself, tranquil in her present condition. To maintain that she will for ever remain so, were to venture too far: thus much, however, is *certain*,—that she is, of all the German States, the most eager after activity, after practical life, after distinct and peculiar national glory. In Prussia, people and prince are still one,—one as they were in the day of peril; and who can deny that this unanimity is the main, if not the sole, cause of the internal tranquillity she enjoys?

At the first glance it may appear extraordinary that the only *really* popular government in Germany is, in form, an unlimited monarchy; but it must be remembered that this apparent despotism is, in fact, extremely limited:—first, by the rigid conscientiousness and justice of the king; secondly, by wise laws; and lastly, by the universal instruction and light which the government itself has taken care to diffuse among the people.†

\* That which in France has been called Jacobinism, and in England, Radicalism, has, in Germany, received the name of “*Demagogie*,” which I accordingly retain.—*Translator*.

† To these three causes of national security and consequent content, the author might have added a fourth,—the high character of the functionaries of government and administration, from the highest to the lowest, and the universal confidence they inspire. As there are no oligarchical interests to consult, men are appointed to offices for which they have given evidence of fitness; and that vigilance with which Frederic the Great looked out for capable and trustworthy servants, has imposed upon his successors a necessity of, in some degree, following his example. The language which is continually employed in this country, not only by the vulgar, but by people who ought to look beyond *names*, concerning Prussia, “the military despotism,” “the great camp,” &c., is a lamentable proof of the efficacy of mere words. A government which has provided with religious care that every one of its subjects not only *may*, but *shall* and *must*, be instructed; and which, after thus training their minds to examine, trains their bodies to resist (if need be) the acts of bad rulers; a government which puts into the hands of *every man* first books and then arms, is surely no subject for the self-gratulating contempt of a country which has *no* national education, either for high or low; a large standing army, and



To this may be added, that since the year 1808, and still more since 1813, there has existed a community of interest and of will between prince and people, which is perhaps without example in the history of nations. An enlightened people reposes with perfect confidence on the wisdom of its government. It acquiesces unconditionally in the postponement of those constitutional forms which had been voluntarily promised it, but which recent events might, perhaps, have rendered rather unfavourable than advantageous to the peace and stability of the country.

In the hereditary states of Austria, the mutual relation between people and prince rests on a different basis. There, the nation asks for nothing; and the government has no inclination to volunteer what nobody requires at its hands. The people enjoy a certain kind and degree of happiness under a paternal sway. It may be a question whether it would do well to risk the good it possesses in experiments, or whether it would be certain to obtain compensation for what it would lose by change.

Austria is contented in her actual position: by remaining Catholic at the Reformation, she greatly loosened the strictness of the bond which united her to the rest of the German States. She has formed to herself a different career, and a different destiny; and has sought her aggrandisement at a distance. To the general agitation of opinions which has animated the north of Germany, she has, once more, remained an utter stranger.

Prussia cannot found her hopes of national prosperity on any distant enterprises. She must endeavour to strengthen herself within herself: she must seek to secure her own welfare, as well as that of the neighbouring States, by an intimate union of interests.

The absolute governments of Austria and Prussia hedge in, on the north and south, the constitutional States of Germany. For some years past these latter have exhibited one of the strangest phenomena of the civilized world.

The principle of modern civilization was conquered in France by the Restoration. Who would not have anticipated that the victors would have found means entirely to crush it? They intended it, indeed they attempted it; enthusiasm lent its aid, nor was genius wanting. But a strange inability to reap those fruits of victory which had been confidently expected, soon manifested itself. For fifteen years, the place which France had held in Europe since her first revolution remained wholly unoccupied: none of the German States ventured to take possession of it, and to place itself at the head of civilization. A void was felt in the political world, but no one attempted to fill it.

During the whole period of the restoration in France, Germany appeared to have utterly renounced all her political hopes. The promised constitutions were deferred, and the people seemed to attach no such importance to them as very pressingly to warn the princes to grant them. On the other hand, the regular mechanism of constitutional government was not suffi-

---

a considerable portion of its subjects in a state continually bordering on revolt. We are pleased with what we call our checks. What check has an oligarchy, and another assemblage of persons, its representative, offered, which can compare to a *whole people* educated, trained, and armed? It is deeply to be regretted that the Prussian government continues to prohibit that publicity in its proceedings which it might safely and wisely invite; and that it does not establish organs for the expression of popular opinion, which would only prove how strongly that opinion is in its favour.

As a proof how things go from hand to hand without the least examination, it is worth noting, that Prussia, which is, perhaps, the only country in Europe possessing *no* standing army, in which even the King's guard form a part of that flux body called the army, a third of which returns into the mass of the people every year, and which is raised by a conscription the most equal that may be conceived, is universally spoken of as the country, *par excellence*, of standing armies.—

*Translator.*



ciently attractive to the over-heated imaginations of demagogues to make its general introduction an object of any very vehement desire to them. Even now, as the latest occurrences seem to prove, it is far from answering to their extravagant wishes. They dream the impracticable chimera of a German republic, and, by their political excesses and absurdities, injure the cause of their country, while they imagine they are serving it, more than it is possible to explain here.

We will accuse nobody—we will judge nobody. Every man has his own judge within; but we cannot approve what, as it appears to us, on every view of the subject we can take, may, nay must, compromise the interests of the great German community. The governments will indeed, we doubt not, be able to distinguish the solid quiet kernel from the empty crackling shell. But every true friend of his country ought to avoid what may shock the opinions and feelings either of his fellow-citizens or of foreign nations. Considered under this point of view, the meeting at Hambach, which we shall hereafter examine more minutely, was a very deplorable event.

When the revolution of July broke out in France, nowhere did it create a greater sensation than in Baden, Wurtemberg, Bavaria, and Hessen, where the half-Catholic, half-Protestant population, among whom the Reformation had been but half effected, hoped to obtain that by political institutions, which their religious constitution had left imperfect.

The people of all these different States clearly perceived that a great social principle had been agitated in France. At the first excitement, they resolved to adopt it, without sufficiently examining either how the contest had arisen, or whether the principle was applicable to themselves. Their rivalry with Prussia found a long-desired opportunity of manifesting itself, and had the new government of France been less engrossed by its own affairs and interests, it might easily have exercised a powerful influence over the States in question.

Had the German people felt sufficient confidence in the new spirit which had arisen among them—had they known how to turn it to practical account—it is not to be doubted that their zeal for the new order of things in France would have displayed itself much more warmly and efficiently.

The object which the oppositions in the constitutional States of Germany have in view, and which they are straining every nerve to attain, is more remote, and may lead farther than they at present intend. While the oppositions in Bavaria, Nassau, Hessen, &c., are severally struggling against ministerial oppression, they are laying the groundwork of a grand combination between those countries, whose governments are indirectly forcing them into a closer union.

That constitutional liberty has not made greater progress in Germany during the last fifteen years, is to be ascribed entirely to the fact that it has never been felt as a *want* among the people. Those local liberties, grouped and hedged in here and there between the marches of some ducal sovereignty, have but a feeble and narrow influence. They can exist and thrive only under the condition that something else is the companion of their growth; this something, is neither more nor less than the *grand unity of Germany*.

Not alone by speculative and reflecting men, but by the common sense of the whole people, is this grand unity recognized as a *necessity*; it is the predominant thought, the irrevocable determination of Germany, which nothing can shake; which no force, no illusion, no stratagem can destroy. Religion, law, commerce, freedom, nay despotism itself, are all pressing forward, with resistless course, to this end.

In the fifteenth century, Germany bought the Reformation at the price of its unity. This heretofore uniform State, this empire of the middle ages, which afforded in its indivisible form the archetype of a Catholic State, was splintered into fragments, together with the faith in the national creed.



Each province, from that time, was occupied only with its own political personality. The integrity of the great Germanic body fell into that regular, fructifying anarchy, by which civilization and science have been so greatly advanced.

Since the mantle of the empire was thus torn and divided, two things have brought its parts into nearer conjunction again, and have restored to it a certain consciousness of existence as a whole.

The first is, the philosophical and literary activity of Germany. On the one side, this activity was so great,—men strove with such pertinacity to withdraw themselves from all foreign influences; they confined themselves so completely within the limits of the peculiar character of their country; they were so determined to be, and to remain, native and unmixed German, that there never was a literature, which, at one point of time, was better calculated to exhibit, we might almost say, to recall, the whole foregone life of a people—of a race. It was a retrospective literature.

On the other side, the complete want of stable institutions was supplied by letters. There were a few eternally memorable years for Art, during which she was, what she had been among the Greeks,—a social form—a political bond—a power in the state.

Germans had neither the same laws nor the same fatherland. They were subject to princes and to passions of various kinds. In public life, they met only on the battle-field, often on hostile sides; but *all* were one and indivisible in a poem of Goethe's, in a drama of Schiller's, in an essay of Fichte's.

This dictatorship of Art intervened in all political differences as a mediator and peacemaker. For half a century it was the real bond of union between the States; and it is a glory peculiar to Germany, in modern times, that in the absence of all organic laws, (and, in that respect, at least two hundred years behind all the surrounding countries,) she maintained her equality with them by the might of her intellect alone.

After literature, Napoleon was the power that contributed most to the approximation and union of the German people. The band which poetry and philosophy had woven for the inmost souls of men, he knitted closely by blood, and community of action. That extraordinary development, that unshaken firmness of the national spirit, amid calamity and grief and foreign oppression, are without a parallel in history. To this period is to be traced that character of vehement excitement, of patriotic enthusiasm, and of poetical elevation which Germany alone can exhibit.

Let us picture her to ourselves as she was when the modern Attila burst upon her, and overwhelmed her with his hordes—young and credulous, revelling in wild inspirations, living rather in an ideal than a real world, suddenly awakened out of her dreams by the thunders of the conqueror's voice.

What an awaking, and out of what visions! Meanwhile the general excitement and enthusiasm were too strong to be destroyed even by the torrents of hostile troops which covered the land. The national genius, smitten in its very blossom and pride, withered not, died not, but grew with silent and vigorous growth under the tramp of six hundred thousand soldiers.

Imagine the populations of these various States, severed for centuries, and now re-united by common misfortune; the habits, the passions of so many different places; the kingdoms, the principalities, the duchies; the various dialects, the local rivalries, bound together once more with a strict tie, once more to be dissevered at a stroke.

Then imagine again all this,—these passions, these dialects, these scattered principalities, suddenly heaving with a deep and heavy motion, rolling down into one stream, uniting in one thought, A COMMON FATHERLAND; and you will have some notion of the complication, of the march, of the development, of the intellectual affairs of Germany.

Instead of arriving, as most nations have done, at that living feeling



which constitutes nationality, by means of some one great man sprung from its bosom and standing as the representative of the dearest and the strongest of its peculiar feelings, Germany attained to it solely through the spirit of resistance to the system of the foreign invader.

It is melancholy, but true: Germany, with her supineness—with her gentle, infirm, I might almost say useless, virtues—with her aimless, overflowing genius—with her vague cosmopolitanism—with her divided forms of religion, and her fruitless metaphysical speculations—wanted the hand of Napoleon to clench it, to draw its severed parts together, to circumscribe it geometrically within the limits of its own individuality as a nation, to teach it, to its cost, how it might, *for once*, acquire an organic, living nationality.

Undivided and poetical, ever wandering as chance might guide, within an enchanted circle, Germany only knew herself; she only waked out of her dreaming slumbers to look abroad into the actual world, since she fought and conquered the Colossus of France.

From that time she has felt her own inward worth, as well as her own strength; and as it was to the bloody conflict with Napoleon alone that she owes the discovery of what *she really is*, she now exalts her enemy when dead, as much as she depreciated him while living. She thanks him for the great lesson he taught her, and is firmly resolved to profit by it, as time and opportunity will permit. The revolution of 1830, from the course it took, gave to the cause of the unity of Germany the last prop of which it stood in need.

Cramped, as they are, by the forms within which arbitrary ministers, and over-managing princes, have confined them, the constitutional States of Germany are still labouring steadily and unweariedly at the great work of an universal nationality.

Their ceaseless toils are indeed little obvious amid the noise of the mighty events which agitate the great States of Europe. But let them go on with their work quietly, thoughtfully, as it is their custom and their character to do; let them not be goaded by external exhortations or taunts, which, though they do not shake their constant determination, might yet, in some degree, disturb their calm, temperate, deliberate will and conduct.

Little fitted for revolutionary practices, or for secret conspiracies, which are at utter variance with his heart and character; on the other hand, brave, bold, and steadfast in open action, the German wants *time* to ponder maturely over his project; he wants *time* to execute it with the sedateness and dignity of a man.

Whenever the most enlightened men of every country, without tumult, without violence, without bloodshed, shall have succeeded in instructing the masses as to their true interests—when all the little monarchies and duodecimo princedoms shall, according to their rank, be truly respected by their inhabitants, both in their internal and external relations—then, also, will the day appear in which all these ephemeral sovereignties will voluntarily, and without injury to any individual, melt into one constitutional and national mass.

The monarchical principle, which is apparently still so strong, so impregnable, in Germany, is probably nowhere more infirm and tottering. Divided, parcelled out, as the country has been ever since the sixteenth century, each succeeding shock has overthrown some prop; and what still remains of the genuine monarchical structure is nothing more than a torn canopy resting on worm-eaten pillars. Absolute monarchy, in the sense in which it is generally understood, has long ceased to exist in Germany.

When the German empire was dismembered, one of the princes got possession of the mantle, another of the sword, a third of the crown. The imperial majesty has been plundered on every side, since Luther gave the death-stroke to its influence. Luther has freed his country in more senses than one; her obligations to him are manifold. He has delivered her from



the necessity of having her Mirabeau, her Convention, her guillotine, and her Robespierre. Pernicious privileges and powers—monarchy and aristocracy—he shook them all—he struck them all to the heart. Nothing is now wanting but the peaceful labour of some States to bury their dead. We are told of a king who was found, after a lapse of two hundred years, undecayed in his grave. Nothing could be more venerable—more astonishing. Unfortunately the breath of a child sufficed to reduce him to dust. The whole system of Germany is like this buried king. The slightest shock will make it crumble to atoms; and this shock is, sooner or later, inevitable.

The oppositions of the constitutional States are also struggling, with all their might, to establish an uniformity of institutions in them. Judging from external appearances, it might be imagined that they look to France for support; but, even if France were disposed to give it, it is no longer in her power to chain them to her chariot-wheels, while this unanimity of feeling, which subsists among the oppositions of the several States, includes a thousand pregnant thoughts, among which the forming a really national union is ever the first. Irritable, because it feels itself humbled; gagged, borne down with taxes, vexed with intolerable custom-house regulations, in these States, the peculiar spirit of Germany, so naturally inclined to large cosmopolitan views, is pent up within narrow limits, which it is incessantly struggling to overpass. We may seek long before we find a more lamentable condition.

The contradiction between the greatness of which the German nation is susceptible, and the littleness of the States within which it is attempted to be circumscribed, is now become too glaring to be much longer endured. The political ambition awakened in 1814, finds no sufficient room for its exercise in Duchies and Grand Duchies of a few hundred thousand souls. The noblest and most powerful spirits feel that earth fails them beneath their feet; they fret themselves away against the frontier-stone of some miserable principality, because space to expand in was denied them. Now that local liberties have formed citizens, they want a country—a great fatherland—in which, and for which, they may live free and independent. It is not difficult to foresee the day (a day which accurate calculators may predict with nicety) in which the illusory form of the German Diet, assailed on contrary sides by princes and people, will quietly vanish, and the Phoenix of National Unity arise from the constitutional blending of all separate and local sovereignties. The moment will arrive in which this reform will be as inevitable as that of the Parliament of England, as that of the Peerage of France; for it is not only a political necessity for Germany, it is the immutable law of Protestantism—that political is the invariable consequence of religious reform. In most of the German States the followers of the confessions of Augsburg and Zurich are re-united, after a separation of three centuries. Yet more:—Protestantism, to infuse new life into its torn and severed heart, now forms to itself local constitutions: it is openly striving to unite its scattered members into one synod; and the impending refont of the political structure of Germany is, in fact, but another manifestation of the genius of the Reformation, and is perfectly analogous to her recent religious changes.

Let us now pass from spiritual to temporal interests,—those interests which appear to lead the world, if we view it superficially; we shall find the same results, only more impatiently, more violently displayed.

What was the cry of deliverance of the people of Baden—of the two Hessens—of Saxony—of Hanover, &c. during the agitation which prevailed in them about a year ago? What is the living thought which now prevails through every town and village,—the thought which formerly awakened fervid enthusiasm, now, well nigh turned to desperate determination?

This thought is, the national unity of the collective people of the great German family;—this cry of deliverance is, the annihilation of the artificial



boundaries—the arbitrary marches—within which the various states live, as it were, in ban; without interchange, without bond, without any possible field for industry; each compelled to suffice for itself, and to live in a corner, alone with its misery, as after the Thirty Years' war.

Truly a man must be blind who marks not the awful, lowering gloom of the German people. It does not, indeed, manifest itself, as in France, by tumultuous cries; its aspect is grave, stern, quiet in its fearful intensity. No more reverential petitions; no more popular songs; no more domestic festivals; no risings of the people, as in France; no mobs, as in England; no political addresses; no papers circulated to embitter the minds of the people, or rouse them to revolt. The German wants no such stimulants: *he is silent*; but in this silence lies deepest thought and feeling,—it is the heavy calm that precedes the storm. The Governments ought at length to learn to know the people they govern as they *are*, and not as they imagine them.

Never, in any land, did there prevail a more strongly characterized, a more universal, a more threatening gloom, than that which at present pervades Germany. The political meetings, which know the condition of the various oppressed states, appear to us already perfectly to understand this mute language; for all are labouring with one accord at the annihilation of the Custom-House barriers. This is the first step to national unity. The rest will follow in time.

---

#### MEN AND BOOKS.

Him was lever han at his beddes hed  
A twenty bokes, clothed in black or red,  
Of Aristotle and his philosophie,  
Than robes rich, or fidel, or sautrie.

*Chaucer's Scholar—Thomas Warton—Aldrich—Prior—Parr—Porson—What reading is—Habits of an incessant reader—His beau ideal of existence—Book-poets—Dr. Johnson—More Boswells desired—Heroes and valets-de-chambre—Johnson and Boswell in a new light—A guess at a mystery in Madame d'Arblay's Memoirs of her Father—Disgusting treatment of her by Queen Charlotte—A startling bequest.*

So, in the above verses, said Chaucer of his Oxford scholar, and I doubt not, of himself; for he also in all probability had been at college, and he was unquestionably a great book-worm. The bed, depend upon it, was his own, and the books ranged at the back of it just in that manner; so that he had them above his head, like a blessing, when he went to sleep. In the morning, he had nothing to do but to put up his hand and to take one, when he awoke; and so fall a reading. I fancy him thus occupied in "Canterbury College," when the first beams of the sun were in his window, and the sparrows twittering. His collection of books was not confined to "Aristotle and his philosophie." That was the scholar's, whom he was more immediately describing. Aristotle was not omitted, for Chaucer was learned in all the scholarship of his time; but his bookshelves doubtless comprised "Dan Ovid," and "Boccace his werkes," and "Frauncis Petrarck Laureate," and "Dan Austin," and the *Piers Plowman* of his fellow-collegian Longland;



and *Sir Guy*, and other “romances of price,” and the *Lays* of “Marie.” It was a moot point when he put up his finger over head, whether he would *hitch* down a Doctor of the Church, or a classic, or a “filosofre,” or a poet of Lorraine. I cannot but add, as a matter interesting to us book-men, who love the bodies as well as souls of our books, and like to see how they are dressed, that “black and red” appear to have been the popular scholastic bindings of those days:—

A twenty bokes, clothed in black or red.

They are harmonious colours, and would suit a scholar's old shelves. I suppose the black was for the philosophy, and the red for love.

As I propose, under this head of *Chat upon Men and Books*, to dilate or otherwise upon any subject that comes across me in the course of my reading, provided I think it will be interesting to the intelligent, I shall make no apology for dwelling a little longer on Chaucer's character of his scholar, and quoting the whole of it. It is not only short and full of matter, but will lead me to show what sort of book-worm I am myself, and what pretensions I have to speak on such matters.

A clerk ther was of Oxenforde also,  
That unto logike haddè long ago:  
As lenè was his horse as is a rake.

A hack evidently, and cheated like his master, by the “hostellers” on the road:—

And *he* was not right fat, I undertake—

Both, in short, as far as horse and rider go, were prototypes of Don Quixote and Rozinante; out of the same excess of enthusiasm and temperance in the man, forgetting that the same internal raptures and intellectual absorption did not sustain the beast:—

But looked hollow and thereto soberly:  
Ful thredbare was his overest courtepie.

His upper short cloak.—

For he had gotten him yet no benefice—

Nor was very likely to do it, as we may see by the next line:—

Ne was nought worldly to have an office:

For him was lever (*liefer*, rather) han at his beddes hed

A twenty bokes, clothed in black or red,

Of Aristotle and his philosophie,

Than robes rich, or fidel, or sautrie—

*Sautrie* is psaltry, a kind of harp or dulcimer to sing psalms to:—

But all be that he was a philosopre,

Yet haddè he but litel gold in cofre—

“Yet” is a pleasant assumption; as if anything else was expected of philosophy! or perhaps it is a banter upon such as laboured after the philosopher's stone:—

But all that he might of his friendes hente,

On bokes and on learning he it spente.

And busily gen for the soules praie

Of hem, that gave him wherwith to scolaie.

Of studie toke he most cure and hede;

Not a word spake he more than was nede;

What a delicious Oxford scholar!



And that was said in forme and reverence,  
And short and quick, and ful of high sentence :

That is, he had not much animal spirit, but great faith in whatever he uttered. The closing couplet is beautiful :—

Sounding in moral virtue was his speche,  
And gladly would he learne, and gladly teche.

That is to say, whatever he talked about had a purpose in it, for the good of mankind ; and he was as willing to be taught to that end, as to teach others. Nay, there is an ulterior and exquisite delicacy of sentiment in the way in which this last line is put ; for we are not to take the “gladly teach,” thus coming after the “gladly learn,” as a climax of self-satisfaction. It means, that he was as glad, in all honourable simplicity, to give others the benefit of what he knew, as to know it for his own sake. He would spare himself no trouble to that end—he was not merely wrapt up in his books. Books had made him of “conscience and tender heart,” and shown him that good was to be distributed.

I will venture to say, that in this and other respects I am a bit of an Oxford scholar myself, though my school-days were long ago, and by Oxford I mean Chaucer’s Oxford, or whatever remnants there may be of it in some ingenious corners, and not the university of Toryism and Church-preferment. I recognize, however, real scholars of all classes, provided their honesty is greater than their worldliness, and they have been *brought up* in profitable opinions, not *converted* to them.

Thomas Warton was a Tory ; yet the love of poetry and letters was in him superior to his Toryism, and he became an enthusiastic commentator on Milton. The greatest pleasure I used to have in walking in the grounds of Trinity College, Oxford, was in thinking of Warton, —no great poet nor great man,—but a good, honest, lettered one, worthy to be the friend of poets, and of importance enough in certain walks of literature to be associated in one’s memory with a collegiate life, and avenues of cathedral trees. I claim kindred with him as a brother book-worm, and a hearty lover of genius and good-fellowship. I go much with the smokers and college wits of those days, and have missed them, in my time, both at Oxford and Cambridge. There were no such “*magnanimi heroës*,” when I was at either of the Trinities ; (for in some sort, reader, I have been at both universities—nothing disreputably—and did make a certain rapid progress in the humanities there, by dint of being more *in* the universities than *of* them). There was more magnanimity and heroism in Tom Warton’s edition of Milton, —aye, and in the pipe he smoked of an evening, under certain circumstances of *suburbanity*, than in all the daring and large-minded comments upon *Phi* and *Tau* made by the interchangers of that felicitous designation.

Dr. Aldrich, with his pipe, his architecture, and his catches, I hold to have been a right magnanimous hero, worthy to have “smoked” all the others through their disguises, as old Chapman says Minerva did Ulysses. Dr. King, though a Jacobite, was another ; he could despise the meanness of his own princes. Prior was not a right college man, in the full sense of the word, at once social and sequestered. Almost the only time he speaks of his fellowship, is when he writes to



somebody that he had been "spouting verses in his gown and cap to Lady Harriett;" to wit, the daughter of the minister Harley. Prior was as much a man of the world, as a poet well can be; and yet his *Alma* has a redolence of the fellows' room in it. Gray would have made an admirable collegian, had his fellows been all as good and scholarly as himself,—and as refined,—perhaps I should say, superfine. He had a great deal of humanity under a mask of fastidiousness; yet I doubt whether he could have sat long within the universality of Tom Warton's pipe. Parr was the man for that; only being a dignified clergyman, and the bishop of the Whigs, he thought it necessary to sit in a higher chair than that at "Sheppard's:"—and Parr had none of the poetry of Warton; he could less condescend, because he had less internal refinement. His familiarities never lost something of the magisterial—he was a parson Adams sophisticated—he was the frog of Dr. Johnson's ox, though too comfortable with himself to be in danger of bursting. Upon the whole, Parr was a good scholar, and a proper smoker,—*Ultimus Big-wiggorum*.

To Porson I never could take kindly, wag though he was, and Grecian too; and able to "think in Greek." I wish he would have thought a little more in the Greek of Plato, instead of the Cynics. He was too sordid—I do not mean in point of money,—but he had a scurf on him of want of moral grace and decent companionship. Your later "magnanimous heroes" were too much given up, either to an orthodox worldliness, or formality, or buffoonery. All their poetry was in the books they edited:—they had no real share of it themselves—they had none of the right faith of the Wartons and such men, who loved a tree, a verse, a companion, or a cathedral-window, as much as the poets who talked about them, and loved the poets truly for that reason. The generation that have been lately quitting the university, have left some behind who may revive the right stock, and even improve it,—thanks to an age of greater poetry and philosophy than existed then. Yet they will hardly have the *snugness* of the old times. Narrownesses of all sorts, even of the better kind, are breaking up. The world is demanding the assistance of all her children. We must be content to have old things in old books; luckily, they will remain there for ever, so that we need lose nothing as we advance. We may be as public as we please for the public good, and retreat for refreshment into all the nests of literature. Furthermore, we can create new ones.

I confess that though I am an ardent reformer, because the good of the many requires it, I am so content to be one of the few in certain respects, that with the exception of living by myself, and of having no books but philosophy, I could lead just such a life as Chaucer's Oxford scholar. I am no more a man for "an office" than he was:—I shall certainly get no benefice. I am willing to learn, and to chat upon what I learn; I could spend all my money upon books, and I could have shelves of them at my bed's head. I do put them over my fire-place; and if they are not all bound in "black or red," some are not bound at all, and some look as if they had been bound in Chaucer's time. If this is to be one of the *few*, it will be acknowledged that *few* of the *few* will be disposed to envy me. Nor do I envy them:—there are reasons why I would fain possess some of the advantages, which they know not how to enjoy; but as to exchanging my old books, and my humble



fire-side, and the rich imaginativeness of my poverty, for their chariots, their ennui, and their gaping about for a sensation,—by heavens! I would as soon be a blank sheet of paper in preference to a page of Theocritus!

Consider what I enjoy. These people think that reading is nothing but reading; whereas it is love, pleasure, delight, laughter, delightful tears, glowing sympathies. It is art and nature,—it is landscape,—it is home,—it is foreign countries,—it is fairy-land,—it is past times and present;—it is the company of Homer and Virgil, of Sophocles and Horace, of Ovid and Anacreon, of Montaigne, of Molière, of Le Sage, of Cervantes, of Petrarch, of Boccaccio, of Shakspeare, of Spenser, of Milton, of Chaucer, of the old Dramatists, of the Wits, of the Novelists, of Tristram Shandy, of Boswell, and Pope, and Swift, and the French Memoirs, and the Ana, and Sir Walter Scott, and all the men that ever ravished the ears of the world. Furthermore, it is *not* the Duke of —; and catch me who can at great dinners, and in huge, bookless, heartless, headless, *making-as-if* rooms. Catch me who can among companies who can muster up among them but one idea, and that one the most tiresome in the world,—namely, that they are met together. *Dî boni!*—to think of the stuff that I have been compelled to sit and hear from Lords and Commoners and fashionable people, when I could have been at home reading Gil Blas!

Pope said he preferred reading to any conversation. I cannot say that, because I have been personally conversant with the writers of admirable books, and have found their conversation as admirable, with the additional interest of manners and the men. But Pope was always turning some literary project in his head, which his books assisted. He saw from the page before him a reflection of his fame. I grant, with a late author, that real genuine conversation among men of letters spoils your taste for any other; but then if you can get it when you do converse, and books when you do not, you may surely dispense with all other. I except the society of good-humoured, unpretending people, not without intelligence, especially that of women, who are the only persons to compete with one's books. It is they that have inspired some of the finest things in them. Even “Bayle's Dictionary,” book-worm and bachelor as he was, would have wanted a good deal of its vivacity, if Bayle had never thought of the sex.

But I do not enjoy my books only when at home. They accompany me in the streets; I mean not merely in my pocket, where I always have one as a security against a dull line of houses, or a dead wall; but besides giving me a better relish of whatever pleasure I feel in looking at the shops, they dress the street for me, whenever I please, in the most gorgeous or joyous visions. It is an old story to tell how Pope was born in Lombard-street, and Gray in Cornhill, and Milton in Bread-street, and how Sterne lived in Bond-street, and Handel in Brook-street: but, it is perhaps not quite so old, though equally true, to say, that in passing down Bread-street I enjoy the great visions of “Paradise Lost” or the “Penseroso;” that in the twinkling of an eye, instead of looking at a broker's shop, or an apple-woman, I am walking—

On summer's eve by haunted stream,

or enjoying the “verdurous wall of Paradise” by Cheapside; or am



‘wafted by angels’ through Grovesnor Square on the wings of Handel’s music. The other day I was taken unwillingly from my books to attend a matter of business in Cornhill; so when I came there, I turned Cornhill into a *Prospect of Eton College*, dined with Dr. Johnson at Jack Ellis’s at the back of the Royal Exchange; and finished by taking tea with Belinda and Lord Petre in Lombard-street,—Lombard-street being Hampton Court, and the scene of the *Rape of the Lock*.

By-and-bye, I must return to that matter, and shew what brilliant walks I have taken in bad weather between Puddle-dock and Elysium.

I read incessantly when I am not writing, or when I have no companion. I read at breakfast, I read in my walks, I read at dinner, after dinner, after tea, after supper. I stick my book up against the loaf, or a salad-bowl, by my plate. I am armed with a book in my pocket against all emergencies. If I come to a dull street, or a dreary piece of road, I take it out, and instead of the street or road, I walk through the Vale of Tempe. There is the long dead wall at Kew Gardens, which in November weather has horrible advantage over ordinary pedestrians. I remember how pleasantly I passed it once, partly with reading, partly with thinking of Thomson who lived in the neighbourhood, and who had passed it a hundred times. Besides, there is Pyramus and Thisbe, and the great wall of China, and the walls of Paris and Albracca in the romances, and the walls of Thebes and of Babylon, and the wall of walls of the great Gog and Magog, whom Iskander shut up in the mountains of Caucasus. The word *wall* alone furnishes me with abundance of entertainment. Apollo, as he did the walls of Megara, has touched it with his lyre, and made the hard substance return me a sweet sound.—

—— Saxo sonus ejus inhæsit.

*Ovid. Metam. Lib. viii., v. 10.*

I have a homely study looking upon a country road, with a small but snug fire-place, the fender of which is not too good to tread upon; and over my fire-place is a shelf, upon which I put some of my best-beloved books, including those which I have loved from childhood. Now and then I add a volume from the book-stalls; for in nothing do I resemble Chaucer’s scholar more, than in confining my personal expenditure to that kind of luxury. The picture-shops are above my pocket; and I pass the pastry-cooks’ and the fruiterer’s with all the philosophy of a stomach long accustomed to do without them. But a *new old* book, on a stall, is a luxury I find it hard to resist. My *beau idéal* of life is somebody to love, some good to have done, some poem to be writing, some book to be reading, a tree at my window, a fire in my grate, and a pocket never destitute of shillings to lay out upon the book-stalls.

One of the reasons why I mention these little circumstances of a “poem to be writing,” and “a tree at my window,” is that they help to persuade me that I like nature herself in preference to my very shelves; and as long as there is a tree and a sweet face in the world, this would certainly be the case; but so passionately attached am I to everything connected with reading, that next to the authors who would have been poets under any circumstances, and to the best romancers and novelists, I like those who are poets only because the others were,—or at all events, principally so,—poets by the grace of books. I think them a delightful race, and prefer them before any prose-writers, though I may



not always give them so great a share of my admiration. Certainly the greatest poets have reason to love them, as being the readers that do them the most honour. I cannot but consider Horace as one of those poet-inspired poets, and Virgil too; perhaps all the Latin writers. They are manifestly full of those that went before them. I should sometimes feel hardly sure that Milton was not one of them, but for such strokes of imagination as are clearly no borrowed lightning. The Alexandrian writers, who had grand things in them, were of this class, with the exception of Theocritus, who would have piped in Sicily, had Pan never been heard before him. Dante and Petrarch are originals; and it would be difficult to think that the delightful spirits of Ariosto would not have made him another under any circumstances. It is nevertheless hard to pronounce who would or would not have been poets, but for poets before them, or how far genuine poets may not have been injured by an excess of sympathy with their predecessors. Might not Virgil and Horace have been Homer and Alcæus, had they been born, instead of them, in Greece; and Homer and Alcæus themselves have become secondary people and imitators, under the more effeminate or bookish dispensation of the age of Augustus? It is difficult to think that Ovid's exuberant spirits would not have taken a poetical turn in any age. The question, however, is not to my present purpose. Suffice for me, that ever since books existed, the greatest poets have been among their greatest friends. Shakspeare manifestly swallowed every history and story-book that came in his way: Spenser was a learned reader; Chaucer a devoted one. He says he used to sit over his books till he looked bewildered.

Next to the book-poets, give me (for love, though not always for knowledge) the book prose-men. I mean such as write books *about* books, or upon authors, or out of them, or are made up of scholarship and anecdote, or who in any way, great or small, provided it be delightful, would not have been authors, but for authors before them. Of this description are Menage, and other writers of *Ana*,—Bayle, Boswell (very different men!) and I cannot help thinking Johnson himself. What was original in him, in a high sense of the word, was little if anything; and his perceptions, sound as they were of their kind, stopped short of the greatest originality in others. He was not willing to discuss the claims of a higher order of genius than that of wit and scholarship; and when he did, his judgments have been found wanting. He was the god of conventional good sense; an exquisite talker *ex cathedrâ*, and gave rise to an exquisite gossip.

The natural wish that Shakspeare and others had had their Boswells, has been thought absurd, upon the assumption, that the conversation in old times, was of too abstract or poetical a description. A strange notion surely! as if observers did not talk of the same things in all ages; that is to say, of men as well as books, and of what is going forward in the world, or among their acquaintances. Shakspeare could not have talked like Johnson, inasmuch as he did not live in the reign of George III., and was not the same kind of man. He would not have talked of Pope, and Dryden, and Tom Harvey, and Mrs. Thrale, because there had been no such people; but he would have talked of Chaucer and Spenser, and Marlowe, and Ben Jonson, and of the Tom Harveys and Mrs. Thrales of those days; and there was the play-house, and the Earl of



Leicester, and the maids of honour, and their friend Sir Walter Raleigh, to talk of; and we might have had his opinion of Babington's conspiracy, and Mary queen of Scots, and the Ruthven business, and that of Lord Essex, and Lady Nottingham and the ring, besides a host of things and people that we know nothing about, for want of the chat of those days. It has been supposed, because Beaumont, Fuller, and others speak of "wit-combats," that there was nothing but a contest of small sayings going forward in the coteries of the Raleighs and Fletchers. Doubtless the modes of the time influence all people more or less, and the greatest men are likely to give into the most playful exercises of the fancy; but to think that such men passed the main part of their time in this way, is to undo all that we know of them, and of human nature. How could Raleigh have done, or Fletcher have written, what he did, unless their observation had been constantly at work, and they had, in their intercourse with one another,—

———— relished all sharply,  
 Passioned as we?

One of the reasons why Henry IV. is so interesting a character to posterity, is, that by means of Sully, d'Aubigné and others, we live with him, and know what he said, and how he passed his leisure, and dined, and went to bed. The more we knew of other celebrated men in the same way, the more interesting we should find them. It is said that no man is a hero to his valet-de-chambre; which is not true, for Johnson was a hero to Boswell; and Louis XIV. was a hero to all his valet-de-chambres. I grant that in Louis's instance, it may have been because he was a sort of valet-de-chambre himself, a hero of dress and etiquette. But we, who are no valets-de-chambre, should be glad to have as many biographical records as possible, and to make our own conclusions. If the man is really great, we might only like him the better for what disconcerted the footman. Molière's old woman, out of an instinct of her nothingness, may have thought meanly of her master for reading his plays to her; but we who know why he did it think the better of him, and even advance the old woman in our estimation, at the hazard of her not deserving it.

It has often been suspected, that Boswell, after all, out of some valet-de-chambre misgiving, did not tell us as much as he might have told of Johnson. Nay, everybody has felt certain of it; and a late publication corroborates the suspicion. I will venture to affirm, that Bozzy made a regular footman's mistake in this matter, and that we should have thought higher of his Doctor, for the undoctorial and even vanquished figure he occasionally cut. We should have sympathized more entirely with him, and, depend upon it, not admired what was strong in him the less, for showing himself liable to our mischances. In the second volume of *Madame D'Arblay's Memoirs of Dr. Burney*, there is an account of Johnson's overweening attempt to fetch out Miss Burney in company, and of his absolutely mimicking the voice and behaviour of the young people in *Evelina*! Boswell gives no such anecdote as this, though he must have had hundreds. He thought them beneath his hero's dignity, too much like himself:—

“‘I wish you had been with us last night, Dr. Burney,’ (said Mrs. Thrale), ‘for thinking of what would happen to-day, we could talk of nothing in



the world but a certain sweet book (*Evelina*); and Dr. Johnson was so full of it, that he quite astonished us. He has got those incomparable Brangtons quite by heart, and he recited scene after scene of their squabbles, and selfishness, and forwardness, till he quite shook his sides with laughter. But his greatest favourite is the Holbourn Beau, as he calls Mr. Smith. Such a fine varnish, he says, of low politeness! Such struggles to appear the fine gentleman! such a determination to be genteel! and, above all, such profound devotion to the ladies,—while openly declaring his distaste to matrimony! All this, Mr. Johnson pointed out with so much comicality of sport, that, at last, he got into such high spirits, that he set about personating Mr. Smith himself. We all thought we must have died no other death than that of suffocation, in seeing Dr. Johnson handing about anything he could catch, or snatch at, and making smirking bows, saying he was *all for the ladies—everything that was agreeable to the ladies; &c. &c. &c.*, ‘except,’ says he, ‘going to church with them! and, as to that, though marriage, to be sure, is all in all to the ladies, marriage to a man—is the devil!’—p. 155.

The following passage is from one of Miss Burney’s delightful letters to Mr. Crisp. She is giving an account of a dinner-party at Mr. Thrale’s, where she met the Doctor. Johnson, speaking of some shabby conduct of Sir John Hawkins, said,—

“ ‘This reminds me of a gentleman and lady with whom I once travelled. I suppose I must call them gentleman and lady, according to form, because they travelled in their own coach and horses. But, at the first inn where we stopped to water the cattle, the lady called to a waiter—for a pint of ale! And when it came, she would not taste it, till she had wrangled with the man for not bringing her fuller measure! Now, Madame Duval could not have done a grosser thing!’

“A sympathetic simper ran from mouth to mouth, save mine, and that of Dr. Johnson; who gravely pretended to pass off what he had said, as if it were a merely accidental reminiscence of some vulgar old acquaintance of his own. And this, as undoubtedly, and most kindly, he projected, to prevent any sort of answer that might leave the book a subject of general discourse. And presently afterwards he started some other topic, which he addressed chiefly to Mr. Thrale. But if you expect me to tell you what it was, you think far more grandly of my powers of attention without, when all within is in a whirl, than I deserve.

“Be it, however, what it might, the next time there was a pause, we all observed a sudden play of the muscles in the countenance of the doctor, that showed him to be secretly enjoying some ludicrous idea; and accordingly, a minute or two after he pursed up his mouth, and, in an assumed, pert, yet feminine accent, while he tossed up his head to express wonder, he affectedly minced out, ‘La, Polly! only think! Miss has danced with a Lord!’

“This was resistless to the whole set, and a general, though a gentle laugh, became now infectious; in which I must needs own to you, I could not, with all my embarrassment, and all my shame, and all my unwillingness to demonstrate my consciousness, help being caught, so indescribably ludicrous and unexpected was a mimicry of Miss Biddy Brangton from Dr. Johnson!’—Vol. ii. p. 165.

Evidences of playful behaviour like these are new to the readers of Boswell. His hero laughs, to be sure, and banters, but not in this self-committing way. Johnson, in the pages of Boswell, is never subjected to misconception, or to the suspicion that he ever, in any respect, undervalued himself, except in church-time, or in comparison with Lords and Bishops! Now take the following touching anecdote out of Madame D’Arblay, and see how it tells in his favour:—



“ ‘ I love Burney ! ’ cried Dr. Johnson, emphatically, ‘ my heart, as I told him, goes out to meet Burney ! ’

“ ‘ He is not ungrateful, Sir, ’ cried the Doctor’s bairn, ‘ for heartily, indeed, does he love you ! ’

“ ‘ Does he, Madam ? ’ said the Doctor, looking at her earnestly, ‘ I am surprised at that ! ’

“ ‘ And why, Sir ? Why should you have doubted it ? ’

“ ‘ Because, Madam, ’ answered he, gravely, ‘ Dr. Burney is a man for everybody to love. It is but natural to love *him* ! ’ ”—Vol. ii. p. 175.

Boswell himself is new in this book. He has told us a number of strange things of himself, but he has omitted what Madame D’Arblay tells us, that he was a regular pedantic imitation of Johnson in pomposity of speech, restlessness of manner, and laxity of coat !

“ He spoke the Scotch accent strongly (says Madame D’Arblay) though by no means so as to affect, even slightly, his intelligibility to an English ear. He had an odd mock solemnity of tone and manner, that he had acquired imperceptibly from constantly thinking of and imitating Dr. Johnson ; whose own solemnity, nevertheless, far from mock, was the result of pensive rumination. There was also something slouching in the gait and dress of Mr. Boswell, that wore an air, ridiculously enough, of purporting to personify the same model. His clothes were always too large for him ; his hair, or wig, was constantly in a state of negligence ; and he never for a moment sat still or upright upon a chair. Every look or movement displayed either intentional or involuntary imitation. Yet certainly it was not meant as caricature ; for his heart, almost even to idolatry, was in his reverence of Dr. Johnson.

“ Dr. Burney was often surprised that this kind of farcical similitude escaped the notice of the Doctor ; but attributed his missing it to a high superiority over any such suspicion, as much as to his nearsightedness ; for fully was Dr. Burney persuaded that had any detection of such imitation taken place, Dr. Johnson, who generally treated Mr. Boswell as a school-boy, whom without the smallest ceremony he pardoned or rebuked alternately, would so indignantly have been provoked, as to have instantaneously inflicted upon him some mark of his displeasure. And equally he was persuaded, that Mr. Boswell, however shocked and inflamed in receiving it, would soon, from his deep veneration, have thought it justly incurred ; and after a day or two of pouting and sullenness, would have compromised the matter by one of his customary simple apologies, of ‘ Pray, Sir, forgive me ! ’ ”—Vol. ii. p. 191.

Dr. Burney, it seems to me, was mistaken in thinking that Johnson would have been provoked at his follower’s imitation of him. I have seen instances of the kind in society, and never observed that they were resented. On the contrary, the imitators were the favourites. It is one thing to provoke a man by behaving unlike him, as Boswell did when he was foolish and officious, and another surely to pay him the very highest compliment, by attempting to resemble him, even in his defects. I have no doubt that Johnson’s eyes were quite open to the fact, and that he “ witnessed it, Sir, with complacency.” His nearsightedness was no hindrance to his perception of character and manners. No man, confessedly, saw them more. In fact, he saw whatever he chose to see. The nearer the sight, the closer he looked. That is the only difference between a near-sighted observer, and a far sighted.

There are many other curious evidences of character in this delightful book, that of the fair Memorialist among them. When young, she was painfully bashful, and must have disconcerted those who attempted to



bring her out. Perhaps she was not so handsome as her sisters, and had been kept comparatively in the background, and not petted so much. It is remarkable, that while all her sisters were regularly educated, she had no instruction whatsoever, not even from her father. She was literally self-taught. The excess of reserve and diffidence with which she kept her first work secret from her father,—the bantering and undervaluing tone in which he seems to have been accustomed to speak of her during her childhood,—his astonishment when he saw the novel,—his exclamation of “My God!” at the dedicatory sonnet,—with the tears that came into his eyes, and a variety of other little circumstances, warrant, I think, a suspicion to that effect. Thus bashful and hanging back, with a secret stock of fun and glee, and the sharpest powers of looking out of her corner and studying others, Fanny Burney must herself have been a character as fit for a novel as almost any she drew. Her reserve, amounting perhaps to stubbornness, seems never to have left her. At least, she could exercise it manfully when she chose. She would not talk upon any subject, of the discussion of which she had not thoroughly digested the proprieties. Witness her inflexibility to all the attempts of Johnson to make her speak of Mrs. Thrale. She would not do it for him, even when he was dying. She nevertheless was highly affectionate,—loved her friends,—had a profound admiration for Johnson,—and idolized her father to an extent for which we are hardly furnished with warrant. Why do I say “idolized?” She idolizes him *now*, like a proper, pertinacious, thoroughgoing daughter; and closes her *Memoirs* with a lapidary inscription to his memory, of the most enthusiastic order, in which she records him as the “unrivalled chief,” as well as “historian,” of his “tuneful art.” “Historian,” however, is put by itself in great capitals. The Doctor was manifestly a very pleasing, accomplished, and social man; and he had the power of making his daughter, the author of *Evelina* and *Cecilia*, think him one of the most extraordinary of human beings; which, with all due allowance to a little filial egotism, is a credit to both parties.

On one account it is to be regretted that Madame D’Arblay became acquainted with Johnson. It spoilt her style.\* It has spoilt it up to this moment! Compare the “first sprightly runnings” of her mind in *Evelina*, and in the charming letters to Mr. Crisp, published in these volumes, with the stilted tone of *Cecilia*, and the hard words and obscure phrases she is still fond of; and lament that Fanny Burney was not left to her corner, to be sly, and laughing, and natural for ever. She is a comic genius, who ought to have had nothing to do with tragedy and tragic tones, except by way of the mock heroical, or in the absurd person of “Mr. Delville, senior.” Her Toryism itself is an involuntary

---

\* Our esteemed correspondent is lenient on this score. “Style spoilt,”—God wot, is that all! The whole of the book, save only the letters written before Madame D’Arblay’s marriage, may perhaps be taken as a specimen of the worst English composition that the age has produced. Nothing but a strong sense of gratitude for the delight we experienced ten years since in reading “*Camilla*,” could possibly counterbalance our desire to give, to the marvel of our readers, a few examples of Madame D’Arblay’s English. But the lot of genius would indeed be hard if it did not excite a reverence that forbears somewhat with its faults. Our accomplished correspondent in the text, being himself a man of genius, carries the reverence still farther, and in Madame D’Arblay’s book seems not only to forbear the faults, but to love. His praise makes our caveat necessary:—ED.



burlesque; though there is a pretty redemption of pedantry and good-natured habit in her bringing it out to the world, after the world has done with it; and talking in reverend terms of George III. and Queen Charlotte, and the Duke of Portland. George III. had, indeed, calamities which were reverend; but out of the pale of those he was as common-place a man, of the stubborn and homely order, as can be conceived; and nobody now thinks the better of his stubbornness for his eating mutton and looking like a farmer. As to the Queen, we cannot help thinking there is a bit of the mischief of Fanny Burney in the accounts of *her*.

The Queen, who was a selfish woman, and thought herself perfect because she studied the decorums, pounced upon our authoress, poor Fanny, for a Mistress of the Robes; that is to say, for an attendant who was to provide her with daily amusement, by reading, and furnishing her with ideas. Now readers have heavy work of it at court, especially if (as we suppose Miss Burney did) they stand all the while they read, out of "respect." And so poor Fanny Burney, cut off for years from decent society, and from beloved friends and relations, falls into a terrible illness, and gives manifest signs of consumption. She begged to be released from her office; all her friends said she ought to be; but the Queen would not let her go. The attendant grew worse and worse, fairly wasted away before the Queen's eyes, and at length was suffered reluctantly to depart. This she did upon half-pay; and it is not clear that she would have had that, if the better-natured King had not suggested that she would have earned as much by her pen.

This conduct on the part of Queen Charlotte will not surprise those who remember her. But people really pleasant and virtuous, sometimes startle us with betrayals of weakness on the wrong side of human infirmity. In Madame D'Arblay's first volume, she had been making the reader in love with the character of her mother, the doctor's first wife. I thought her an angel upon earth, and perhaps she was so. But she dies; and just as she is going to heaven, and giving us the last proof of her amiableness, by recommending her husband to marry again, she gives us a most uncelestial dash in the imagination, by choosing for him the ugliest of his acquaintance!

"Her husband," says Madame D'Arblay, with much simplicity, "sacred as he held the opinions and wishes of his Esther, was too ardent an admirer of beauty, to dispense, *in totality*, with that attractive embellishment of the female frame. He honoured and esteemed, with a brother's affection, the excellent Dorothy Young; but those charms which awaken softer sensations, were utterly and unhappily denied to that estimable woman, through her peculiarly unfortunate personal defects."—Vol. i. p. 193.

Miss Young, we are told in another part of the work, was not only denied beauty, both of face and person, but "in the first she had *various* unhappy defects, and in the second she was *extremely deformed*."

The Doctor's second wife was a friend of Miss Young's, and the greatest beauty in Lynn Regis.

---



## A SHADOW.

BY THE AUTHOR OF "CORN-LAW RHYMES."

A POOR, affrighted Worm,  
Where sky and mountain meet;  
I stood before the Storm,  
And heard his strong heart beat.

He drew his black brows down,  
My knees each other smote;  
The mountains felt his frown—  
His dark, unuttered thought.

The Mountains, at his scowl,  
Prayed mutely to the Skies:  
He spake, and shook my soul;  
He scorched me with his eyes.

Alone, beneath the sky,  
I stood, the Storm before:  
No!—God, the Storm, and I,  
*We* trod the desert floor.

High on the mountain-sod,  
The whirlwind's dwelling-place,  
The Worm, the Storm, and God  
Were present, face to face.

From earth a Shadow brake,  
E'en where my feet had trod;  
The Shadow laughed, and spake,  
And shook its hand at God!

Then up it reared its head  
Beneath the lightning's blaze;  
"Omnipotent!" it said,  
"Bring back my yesterdays!"

God smiled the gloom away,  
Wide earth and heaven were bright;  
In light my shadow lay—  
I stood with God in light.

With Him who wings the storm  
Or bids the storm be still,  
The shadow of a worm  
Held converse on the hill.

---



ASMODEUS AT LARGE.—NO. IX.

---

*The non-necessity of a termination to these Papers—The Expediency of writing one's own Life—A Dinner at a Wit's—The Character of a Man à-la-mode—The Nine-pin Parliament—Gully and Cobbett—Electioneering Anecdotes—Don Telesforo de Trueba's new Comedy—Incivility progresses with Civilization—Monck Mason—Plutarch's Musical Instruments—Story of the Three Bailiffs—Walk through London at night—An Adventure—Love and its Disadvantages.*

---

SHALL I ever finish these papers? I intended to conclude them with the new year; but wherefore?—they suit one month as well as another—their subjects always vary—nothing can be more dissimilar than two several numbers of the series,—touching on all subjects, exhausting none. These papers fulfil for the “New Monthly” the same object as the “Noctes” fulfil for “Blackwood's;” and like the “Noctes,” therefore, may be continued while the world continues to furnish matter for criticism and comment.

How many adventures are yet left for me! Thank heaven, I am always getting into some scrape or another; and even when I do seize an interval of leisure, and become orderly, I am only engaged in writing a history of the pranks I have played. Recent biographies have taught me the necessity of one thing—I shall write my own biography, myself! I do not intend to be made into four volumes, price 2*l.* 2*s.*, with “about this time we may suppose,” and “at this event let us pause to imagine his emotions.” No! I shall tell my own plain story in my own best plain way. And never, I will venture to say, has any literary man had a more strange and various life than I have! Happily, too, it is not over yet; the best part is, I hope, to come. Patience, and shuffle the cards.

A dinner at Greville's! that is really a treat. There I shall learn all the gossip of the day. Asmodeus——

“At your service.”

“Ah, my dear Devil, it is an age since I saw you! What have you been about?”

“Playing the devil at elections.”

“Excellent! Have you been standing yourself, or merely exerting your vocation as an agent?”

“Why, as I like making mischief, I went down to a large town in my proper character.”

“What! as a devil?”

“No! as a Conservative. It is to the interest of the Infernals to keep things in this world exactly as they are. We could not be better off. Accordingly they have made a subscription to get as many of us in as possible; and I received three thousand pounds from our Committee in Charles Street, in order to contest the borough of ——.”

“Well, and ——”

“No sooner did I appear at the balcony than they began to stone me. I leave that fate for your martyrs (stones don't agree with us), and I retired into the dining-room to harangue my committee. Mean-



while the riot thickened—windows crashed—bones smashed—beer flowed, and I sent out half-a-dozen agents to bribe the waverers. In a word, I kept the town for three days in a most diabolical state, and retired handsomely on the day of nomination, with some dozen or two of drunken souls booked for out voters in the general election below. I served myself better than I did my employers of Charles Street. But where are you going? I see you are dressed—for conquest?”

“Oh, I am going to dine with Greville, a man whom, in all probability, you will know better one of these days. Suppose you accompany me incog. ?—his parties are agreeable enough.”

The Devil consented, and I drove him to Greville’s in my cabriolet. He made himself invisible during dinner, and he performed the same charm with a couple of bottles of champagne—the imp loves his glass.

Greville is one of those men who make it *a point* to live in May Fair. He is so very much the *ton*, that he is a little *mauvais ton*. His horses are *too* handsome—his liveries *too* plain—and his cook *too* good. His imagination is above the level of that mediocre faculty—*Taste*; and he always wishes to play the *ideal* of the fine gentleman, rather than the reality. He is witty—learned—versatile—and luxurious. He was made for a Frenchman, and has lived half his life in Paris—his age is thirty-five—his eyes dark—his voice soft—and his linen and teeth the whitest things in the world.

We sat down to dinner to the number of four; all, except myself, fresh from electioneering; all once more M.P.’d into the prospective dignity of franking.

*1st Diner out.* “Famous Parliament!—the last blow to the Tories, and the first to the Destructives!—all Whigs.”

*2d Diner out.* “Yes, the Nine-pin Parliament—an immense *juste milieu*, and two little extremes.”

*Greville.* “My friend Gully returned! L—— says with a mock gravity that he will be a very dangerous reasoner—for his arguments will be so-*fist-ical*!”

*Myself, alias A——.* “To such an extreme, I fear, as to be given absolutely to fibbing.”

*2d Diner out.* “I hear he is quite an Utilitarian, and much addicted to *Mill*.”

*A——.* “Then he must have ratted; for in his earlier life he was famous for his propensity to *Peel*!”

*2d Diner out.* “There is Cobbett, too, training himself

‘To tread with sturdy steps the *mountain’s* brow.’

How the deuce—(Greville, some wine—Chablis, if you please)—how the deuce is he to bear our hours? The old fellow swears in his Register that he goes to bed at eight, and that is the reason he’s so hearty; faith, we shall kill him by the end of the first week—the *stroke* of twelve will be his death-*blow*.”

*Greville.* “His maiden motion is to be, ‘That Burdett’s property be confiscated to the payment of the National Debt.’”

*1st Diner out.* “He will be insatiably long—he thinks nothing of three hours—and he is especially anxious to eclipse Brougham’s celebrated prolixity on Law Reforms.”



Greville. "Jealousy and vanity are his two great characteristics ; he will wish to outshine O'Connell, and he'll die of rage at his failure."

1st Diner out. "But the best of all is my friend —. I met him on the road to his borough, with a travelling equipage of two bull-dogs, two boxers, a military friend, and a brace of pistols. 'I like to be prepared,' said —, twirling *his mustachios*, 'in case people behave unhand-somely!'"

All. "Ha, ha—so like——"

A——. "What sort of a thing is Trueba's comedy?"

Greville. "Very good, on the whole ; sharp—smart—Spanish,—with a true enough perception of the comic, and a dash of philosophy about it. He's a clever fellow that Trueba, if he would not write so much."

A——. "His fecundity reminds me of what Hazlitt says of Lope de Vega. 'What impertinence to boast of writing a comedy *before* breakfast—he had plenty of time to do it *after*!'"

1st Diner out. "Very good! Who said that? Haz—Haz——"

A——. "Hazlitt."

1st Diner out. "Who? Hazlitt—I never heard of him! Is he in society?"

A——. "Not in your set, I fancy."

2d Diner out. "Oh, one of your authors—eh!"

1st Diner out. "Authors! nay, I know all the best of them—by title at least."

A——. "Do you? let's hear them—count away."

1st Diner out (on his fingers). "Byron—Scott—Southey—Moore, —and—and—ay—Campbell; that's all."

Greville (humming a tune).

"Who is wise—is wise—is wise,  
Studies books in reading men."

"Take some hock, A——, and don't puzzle my friend here, who, I can assure you, is so fond of the belles lettres, that when we were at Eton together he inscribed his gun with the old motto—

'Delightful task  
To teach the young idea how to shoot!'"

A——. "Yes; and he wrote 'Styx' on his sword-cane—meaning to express, in one word, that it was letiferous."

1st Diner out (evidently pleased). "Psha! let me recommend this *Matelotte*.—How is William Brougham?"

Greville. "Recovering fast, to the despair of six unsuccessful candidates, who, at the report of his death, all started for London, in the hope of Southwark. I am heartily glad of it; for he is a capital fellow—very amiable, and very clever."

A——. "You recollect K——? Well, he sent a courier on to the borough of —, saying, he understood there were two gentlemen standing for it unwilling to pledge themselves. He begged to announce that a gentleman was coming, in his carriage and four, willing to pledge himself to anything."

Greville. "Ha! ha!—that's excellent. Apropos of pledges. Young — calls them '*infernal* things.'"

1st Diner out. "Why, I thought he was a desperate Radical."



*Greville.* "Yes; but he says that even the staunchest Radical *must* think pledges——*damn-a-tory!*"

*1st Diner out.* "So Lord Abercorn has taken Chesterfield House. What a succession of pretty faces!—Lady Abercorn after Lady Chesterfield. How the great Lord—Philip Dormer—would bow and smile, if he were alive!"

*Greville.* "What's the reason, A——, since you're a philosopher, that the more civilized we grow, the more uncivil we become? Witness France and England: in both, the 'Old School' signifies everything polished, and the 'New School' everything rude."

A——. "I suppose because Courts form manners—and as we grow wiser, Courts grow out of fashion. Thus, by degrees, Kings themselves unconsciously follow, instead of setting, the popular mode; and Louis Philippe and William the Fourth value themselves on their bourgeois simplicity, because bourgeois simplicity is a means to be popular. So much for Reason,—now for Song. Who's to have the Opera this year? Now Monck Mason is gone, I intend to afford myself a box."

*Greville.* "Ah, the poor Monck!—he is now going to make a Monastery of the Pantheon. Certainly, Monck was a good type of a musical instrument,—devilish hollow—and formed to make a noise."

A——. "Like all musicians in that respect, who are usually the most inane of God's creatures! Our friend there, who knows all the Authors by heart, will tell you that Plutarch said the best instruments in his time were made out of the jaw-bones of asses."

*Greville.* "Ha! ha!—not bad, that!"

A——. "Plutarch is obliged to you."

*1st Diner out.* "So G—— has gone on the Continent. He says there are no waters like those of Aix-la-Chapelle to rid him of his hereditary complaint."

*Greville.* "What's that?"

*1st Diner out.* "Duns!"

A——. "Ha! ha! Yes, it is very true,—it is hereditary; his father was more afflicted than himself. Apropos of that; did you ever hear how Old G—— served the three bailiffs?"

*Greville.* "No;—let's have it."

A——. "Well; G—— had retired to a quiet watering-place, after innumerable and most narrow escapes,—where he proposed to enjoy himself under a feigned name—and a red wig. Unhappily, however, he was tracked—trapped—and arrested by three sturdy fellows in his own house. The fertile genius of G—— was not dismayed. With his habitual politeness, he begged the bailiffs to be seated, placed a large round of beef and two or three bottles of wine before them, and entreated permission to write to a friend a few miles off, and await the answer, previous to his departure for the 'Debtor's side.' The bailiffs, pleased with the beef and wine, consented. G—— wrote a note to a captain of a vessel, who only waited a favourable wind to set sail, and who had found much difficulty in pressing sufficient seamen. At that time impressment was carried on with the most rigorous severity.

"As soon as the Captain arrived,—which he did with half a score of tall fellows at his heels,—G——, pointing to the bailiffs, who were still making merry, exclaims—'Ah, my dear friend, these are the three persons I mentioned in my note,—just the thing for your vessel. Observe



how strong they are ;—did you ever see men more stoutly built ? Take them, my good friend ; nay, no thanks—I make you a present of them.’ The Captain, *enchante de son cadeau*, ordered his escort instantly to seize the astonished bailiffs ; and, despite their struggles and protestations, they were hurried away, and shipped off next day to the East Indies.”

Greville. “Ha ! ha ! ha !—A New Way, indeed, to pay Old Debts !”  
\* \* \* \* \*  
\* \* \* \* \*

“ Oh ! Asmodeus,” said I, as I walked forth from Greville’s, arm-and-arm with the Devil, “ what a beautiful night ! Who shall say that a great city hath not as much poetry as the solitudes of fields and streams ? The silence of these mighty marts of industry and pleasure—the mystery that hangs over every house, thus still and impenetrable—a record, and often a romance, in each—the muffled shapes stealing across from time to time ; and if, wandering from these statelier quarters, you touch near upon the more squalid abodes of men—the stir—the hubbub—the wild mirth of desperate hearts—the dark and dread interest that belongs to crime. Then, anon, in some high chamber, you see a solitary light—waning not, nor blinking, through the gloom. How often have I paused to gaze on such a light, and busy myself with conjecture ! Does it shine over the deep delight of study—the open volume and the worn brow—the young ambition of Knowledge—that false friend which nurseth in her bosom disease and early death ? Does it wake beside the vigil of some woman heart, beating for the approach of a guilty leman—or waiting, in chillness and in dread, the slow and heavy step of one returning from the reeking haunts of the gamester—her wedded mate, perhaps her early love ? Is there not more poetry in this than in wastes, pregnant only with the dull animal life ? What have the woods and waters equal to the romance of the human heart ? And here, too, Asmodeus, what scope for enterprise—that life of life ! What variety—what incident ! Verily, the knight-errant of old knew not half the adventures that may befall a man, young, bold, and gallant, in a great city. Is it not so, Asmodeus ? You are the demon of intrigue—I appeal to you ! ”

“ Why, I must own you speak truth. But if so fond of adventure, why not seek it ? Do you observe that door ajar—there yonder, in that street opening to our right ; and do you not note something of a white drapery, just visible at the aperture ? There is an adventure for you ! ”

“ Thanks. I obey the hint. Wait here my return.”

Warmed with wine, and my spirits heightened by the bracing air of the night, I was indeed ripe for any adventure : so gliding rapidly into the street which Asmodeus indicated, I arrived at the half-open door. It was one of those moderately-sized houses which characterize the smaller streets of Mayfair. The lamp burnt opposite, bright and steady : the apparition of the white drapery was gone. Trusting to my lucky stars, I stole lightly up the steps, and entered the passage. All was gloom and shadow.

“ Is that you ? ” murmured a voice in the dark.

“ It is myself, and no other,” said I, in a breathless whisper.

“ Follow me, then,” answered the voice ; and the door was softly shut.



“ I am in for it,” thought I: “ so much the better.” My hand was gently seized by fingers so soft and delicate that I felt a very strange sensation tingling up to my shoulder-bone—perhaps it did not stop there. I followed my conductor, who glided on with a light step, and we soon began to ascend the stairs. We passed the first landing-place. “ I hope to heaven,” thought I, “ the lady is not a housemaid. I have a horror of the servile. But her hand—no! this hand is not made for mops!” We halted at the second floor. My conductress opened a door, and, and—shall I break off here?—I have a great mind—no! I’ll go on. Well then, reader, I found myself in a room—not alone—ah! not alone with my guide—but with three other damsels, all sitting round a table, and all under twenty. A pair of wax candles illumed the apartment, which was a well-furnished, but not gaudy, dressing-room. I looked round, and bowed with a most courtly gravity. The ladies uttered a little scream.

“ Anne! Anne! who have you brought here?”

Anne stood thunderstruck—gazing at me as if I was the red man in “ *Der Freischutz*.” I, in my turn, gazed at her. She was apparently about five and twenty—quietly, but well dressed—of a small and delicate shape, with a face slightly marked with the small-pox. But such a pair of black eyes!—and those eyes very soon began to dart fire!

“ Who are you, Sir?—How dare you?”—

“ Nay, nay—pray no scolding. Is it my fault, fair Anne, that I am here? You see I can do you no mischief. There are four of you; and what is one odd fish among so many?”

“ Sir!”

“ Sir!”

“ This is too bad!”

“ I’ll raise the house!”

“ Get out!”

“ Go along with you!”

“ What do you take us for?”

“ Pardon me, that is exactly the question I was going to ask you!—What did you take *me* for?”

“ Did Mr. Gabriel tell you ——” began my guide, who on looking at me twice, and seeing I was under thirty, and not dressed like a house-breaker (for it is only your swindlers who are great dandies, and go by the name of Ferdinand Augustus) began a little to relent from her first rage;—

“ Gabriel, Gabriel,—oh, my guardian angel!” thought I—for, as by intuition, I suddenly guessed at the origin of the whole proceeding. “ Yes,” said I aloud, “ Mr. Gabriel *did* tell me that you wished to have your fortunes told, and being engaged himself, he sent me as the ablest of his pupils to supply his place. Oh, Mr. Gabriel is a great man: ladies, pray be seated—a pen and ink if you please—what hour were you born, ma’am?—allow me to take this chair.”

Now the reader probably knows that Gabriel is a celebrated fortune-teller, in great request at the west end of the town; he has been consulted at all times and by all persons—I myself have had my fortune told by him—and he gave me seven children, for which I thank him, as I ought! In fact he is a friend of mine, and of yours too, dear reader—if you pay him his fees.

Now the damsels looked at each other, a smile broke over the face of



Anne ; it spread like contagion—nay, it broke out into a giggle—in a few minutes we became excellent friends. Luckily I knew a little of the mysteries of soothsaying—chiromancy is one of my strong points, and as to nativities, what did Gabriel promise me seven children for if I was not to know something about casting a birth?

We became excellent friends—the girls were young, merry, innocent, and, there being four of them, fearless. I counted the lines in their hands—made all sorts of odd figures out of Euclid, and by the help of the Asses' Bridge, I foretold Anne a Lord's elder son. They produced a bottle of sherry and some cakes ; oh, how happy we were, how talkative—how gay ! I blessed my stars and Asmodeus, and stayed there till one o'clock. I found that three of the young ladies were the daughters of the Oikodespotes, the master of the house, and after some sifting I learnt his name ; I recognized it (for one can't live in London without knowing a little about every one) as that of a man of respectable parentage, who had married an actress early in life, and become involved in difficulties ; he could not work or beg, but he could live upon his wits—he gambled—won—entered as a dormant partner in a celebrated gaming-house, and made a decent competency without much public disgrace. His wife had been long dead. She had left him three daughters ; I had often heard of their personal attractions, but he had kept them tolerably well immured from temptation. I now saw them ; yes, as I said before, they were gay, but as yet innocent : the imperfect education they had received, the want of all maternal care, and the example of no very decorous parentage, made them eager for amusement and adventure ; just the persons to make an appointment with old Gabriel, and to forgive the error which introduced a young astrologer in his stead. But, the fourth maiden ! now, now, I come to her. Fancy, then, a girl of about seventeen, with a face younger, a form maturer, than her years ; her hair dark, soft, silky, and arranged like a Christian's, viz. not in those irredeemable ringlets which trail down like a banyan tree, but parted, with two slight curls on either temple—her forehead white and transparent, straight eyebrows, long lashes, with eyes of a real blue,—not that cold grey which passes off for blue with the undiscerning, but rich, radiant, deep as Raphael himself, in his purest dream of colour, would have made them—an indifferent nose (I for my own part am contented with a secondary order of nose in a woman—the best are too severe)—piquant, and well set—a mouth, so fresh and young, that you might fancy it like that of hers in the fairy tale, from which dropped flowers in their tenderest bloom—teeth small, white ; and slightly parted each from the other—a peculiarity not against my taste, though the physiognomists call it deceitful—beautiful hands—a satin skin—a dimple—and a laugh like silver. Such is the picture of Julia L., and I am over head and ears in love with her. She talked little, and when she did speak looked away shyly, and laughed prettily, colouring all the while. This was very intoxicating—I blessed the Devil for the good thing he had put me up to, and when Anne conducted me down stairs, as the clock struck one, and they promised to admit me when I called the next day, I thought my first youth had returned to me, and I was once more eighteen. Ah ! happy age ! What hopes then were mine, and what a heart ! Can I love another again ? Certainly not. Very well. Then I can see Julia with perfect safety.



Asmodeus was with me at breakfast the next morning; I shook him cordially by the hand;—nay, I all but embraced him. He grinned his most withering grin at my transports.

“Moderate yourself, my dear friend,” said the Demon, “what are you about to do—are you going to plunge into this *amour* or not?”

“*Amour*!—plunge!—bah!—I am going to see Julia.”

“I wash my hand of the consequences,” said Asmodeus.

“Do you foresee them, then?”

“That is a question I may not answer;—but does not every creature, with a grain of common sense, see how such follies invariably end?—Well; well—recollect the old fable of the pot of clay and the pot of gold going down the stream—the pot of clay is so proud of its friend, and the first moment the tide brings them fairly together, it is broken to pieces!”

“What rhodomontade is this, Asmodeus?—what have pots of gold and clay to do with me and Julia?”

“All women in love resemble the pots of clay—*voilà tout*.”

The warning tone of the Demon made some impression on me, but it soon wore off. I repaired to the house—was admitted—and saw Julia once more; she is even lovelier by day than at night, her complexion is so fresh and pure;—youth clings round her like a garment of light, and its robe is yet all sparkling with the dews of childhood. I wish she would talk more—her silence oppresses me with the weight of my own emotions; yet her eyes are less prudent than her lips, and we converse very agreeably by their help. So, then, I am in love—fairly in love. I have long had a presentiment that that pleasant accident was about to happen; nay, I told the Devil so, and he would not believe me. I think, upon the whole, I bear the event with becoming fortitude; and, after all, it has its evils; all other enjoyments become trite beside it;—play ceases to intoxicate—wine hath lost its sparkle—companionship wearies—one grows very dull at one’s club. Love need well have its charms to recompense us for all the pleasures it spoils; and I have not yet got to the most delicious part of the history—correspondence! When one begins to receive letters, a new existence fills one—there is an ether in one’s veins. What sweet triumph to extort those expressions from the pen, which afterwards *must* be ratified with the lip, however bashful it be; with what new objects the day is filled; what a new excitement attaches itself to time!—“In two hours hence I shall hear from her!”—with what expectation—what hope—what fear—what palpitating nerves—one lives till then! But, alas! how do all these extasies end?—in woe, if the suit be not successful—in satiety, if it is. No doubt this extreme love is a false calculation. I agree with Mr. Mill, “we ought to be brought up differently.” But as, unhappily, I was educated in the old system, I fear I cannot mend myself, so I must be very careful with my children. They shall be trained up to a proper economy of the passions, and shall never get in love, without knowing exactly what it will cost them!—Meanwhile I shall take these geraniums to Julia. Reader, farewell, and long for next month, that you may know more.

(*To be continued.*)

---



## THE FAULTS OF RECENT POETS.

POEMS BY ALFRED TENNYSON\*.

MR. TENNYSON'S Poems have shared the fate of much poetry in the present day—they have been too lavishly praised by the reviewers: (critics we were about to say—but there is scarcely a critic in the language)—and too contemptuously by the public. By the help of the reviewers, a man may now make a high reputation, and be called the celebrated Mr. So and So—and yet only print an edition of fifty copies:—even then, to get rid of them, it may be necessary to *give* them all away. When reviewers pause, and the public slights, it is a proof of the little consequence the public attaches to reviews. The best of the joke is, that the reviewers, finding themselves so impotent, have taken to a theory, that good poetry must be unpopular. Fortifying themselves with the almost solitary exceptions of Wordsworth and Shelley, they have lately been dealing forth a vast heap of most wretched metaphysics and worse criticism, to prove the sure sign of a great poet is, that it requires all the patience of Job to read him! Thus they excuse their own want of power to cry up a mediocre poet, and leave to the public the vulgar consolation of being able at least to admire Shakspeare and Homer. Admirable poet!—cry some of these gentry to Mr. Tennyson—so gentle, so tender, so subtle, so sublime!—you are so great, that the public will never appreciate you!—Mr. Tennyson is a clever man and a thinker;—as he grows older, he will see that if he follow the precept conveyed in such praise, his muse will be wasted in affectations, and his heart sickened with disappointment.

When poetry cannot touch the common springs of emotion—cannot strike upon the Universal Heart,—there is a fault somewhere. Shelley would have been not a less, but a greater poet, if he had studied simplicity more; and Wordsworth would have been among the most generally admired poets of his language, if he had shunned as a pestilence his prevalent fault, and studied simplicity less. It is not philosophy to utter in grand words the rhapsodies of insanity—nor a grace to babble forth, in Nursery rhymes, the prattle of childhood. The world is right, and the reviewers are wrong. Nothing was ever more true or profound, than the remark of Aristotle:—"The people at large," said he, "however contemptible they may appear when taken individually, are not, when collectively considered, unworthy of sovereignty: they are the best judges of music and of poetry; the general taste is better not only than that of the few, but even than that of one man, how skilful soever he may be!"

The besetting sin of most of the recent poets has been that of affectation!—in vain have they pretended to originality—they have been among the most servile, and the most infelicitous of imitators—they have not, it is true, imitated Pope and Dryden—but they have copied, with most unfortunate assiduity, the worst conceits of the poets of the time of Charles II., and the most coxcombical euphuisms of the contemporaries of Elizabeth—the latter, for the most part, imitators from the Italian. This recurrence to the spirit of a former

\* Moxon, 64, New Bond-street.



day had its charm at first—nay, even its wisdom—but now the gloss of it is over—and the repeaters of the same tone have become *fade* and sickly—the echoes of an echo:—the newer aspirants to Parnassus have united with these models, models even more dangerous, and draw their inspiration now from Keats, and now from Herrick, or copy one line from the Sonnets of Shakspeare, in order to pillage the next from the Fragments of Shelley. The genius of Keats and Shelley scarcely redeemed their own faults; and it is more than doubtful whether the former will ever rank with posterity among the classic names of the age. Judge, then, how inexcusable must be their imitators, who, in copying their faults, have not even originality to plead for them!—they get, by half poisoning their muse, the paleness of their master, but no cummin juice can give them his genius.

Mr. Tennyson has much in him worthier of a better fate than, if he mind the pens of reviewers, he will attain to: he is full of faults; and his faults have been so bepraised, that he runs the natural danger of thinking them beauties. He has filled half his pages with the most glaring imitations, and the imitations have been lauded for their originality. He will be angry with us for attempting to undeceive him; but if the prime of his life be consumed in the pursuit of fame—of which a few sickly peculiarities he may now easily eschew is able to deprive him,—he may hereafter confess we did not act an unfriendly, though an unpleasing part by him, in assuring his young muse, that to resemble an old poet is not to be original—that Keats and Shelley are abominable models—that the public are better judges of literary merit than reviewers,—and that the applause of the latter (the most jealous of all traders) is the surest proof of the neglect of the first—his legitimate—tribunal!

We appeal now to all impartial readers—not drunk with the Wordsworthian pap—whether there be any just cause or reason, besides the rhyme, why the two following specimens of Mr. Tennyson's genius should be called *poetry*:—

#### O DARLING ROOM.

##### I.

O darling room, my heart's delight,  
Dear room, the apple of my sight,  
*With thy two couches soft and white,*  
*There is no room so exquisite,*  
*No little room so warm and bright,*  
*Wherein to read, wherein to write.*

##### II.

For I the Nonnenwerth have seen,  
And Oberwinter's vineyards green,  
Musical Lurlei; and between  
The hills to Bingen have I been,  
Bingen in Darmstadt, where *the Rhene*  
Curves toward Mentz, a woody scene.

##### III.

Yet never did there meet my sight,  
In any town, to *left or right*,



*A little room so exquisite,  
With two such couches, soft and white ;  
Not any room so warm and bright,  
Wherein to read, wherein to write."*

---

TO CHRISTOPHER NORTH.

You did late review my lays,  
    Crusty Christopher ;  
You did mingle blame and praise,  
    Rusty Christopher.  
When I learnt from whom *it* (?) came,  
I forgave you all the blame,  
    Musty Christopher ;  
I could *not* forgive the praise,  
    Fusty Christopher."

The severity of the last poem is really scalding ; an infant of two years old could not be more biting.

Mark now the magnanimous scorn of rhyme in the following stanza :—

From the bank, and from the *river*,  
He flashed into the crystal *mirror*,  
' *Tirra lirra, tirra lirra,*  
    Sang Sir Launcelot.

Again, what gratuitous affectation !—

Sometimes, with *most intensity*  
Gazing, I seem to see  
Thought folded over thought, *smiling asleep*,  
*Slowly awakened*, [what *does* this mean ?] grow so full and  
    deep  
In thy large eyes, that, overpowered quite,  
I cannot veil, or droop my sight,  
But am as nothing in its light.

And this, too, is love-poetry, which ought to be simple, true, and wholly unaffected, or Burns is a fool at it.

Among the many sins of later poets, which fully excuse the public for contemning their poetry, is a want of all manliness in love. They languish, and drawl, and roll the eyes, and faint ; drivel without tenderness, and gloat without being voluptuous. There is an eunuch strain about them ; their lachrymose whinings only weary ; their unintelligible raptures only disgust. From this sin, Mr. Tennyson, who may serve in many respects as the incarnation of Modern Poetry, is of course not free ; but at times there are lines and thoughts which show he is above his system, and that he could be really amorous if he only knew how to set about it. We quote two stanzas which, though not quite free from the taint of affectation, are yet full of beautiful feeling, and serve to show that our poet is worthy the trouble of admonition :—

Look through mine eyes with thine: True wife,  
    Round my true heart thine arms entwine,  
My other dearer life in life,  
    Look through my very soul with thine.  
Untouched with any shade of years  
    May those kind eyes for ever dwell ;  
They have not shed *a many* tears,  
    Dear eyes ! since first I knew them well.



I've half a mind to walk, my love,  
 To the old mill across the wolds,  
 For look! the sunset from above  
 Winds all the vale in rosy folds,  
 And fires your narrow casement-glass,  
 Touching the sullen pool below.  
 On the chalk-hill the bearded grass  
 Is dry and dewless. Let us go.

There is a metaphysical poem in the volume called "The Palace of Art;"—we shall only say of this edifice, that Shelley found all the materials;—"A Dream of Fair Women,"—a most conceited title, has also a strong *Shelleyan* savour. Other poems, called "The Hesperides," and "Ænone," again are of the best Cockney classic; and Keatesian to the marrow,—*ex. gr.*

'O mother Ida, hearken ere I die.  
 First spake the imperial Olympian  
*With archèd eyebrow smiling sovranly,*  
*Fulleyèd Here.'*

But as a counterpoise to these, are two very sweet and natural poems, called "The May Queen," and "New Year's Eve." If Mr. Tennyson would lean more to the vein manifest in these poems, he would soon insensibly detach himself from his less wholesome tendencies, and would be in everybody's mouth, and out of the reviewers' good graces. There is also in his little volume another poem of remarkable beauty, called the "Death of the Old Year;" we extract it in justice to Mr. Tennyson, though we can ill afford the space:—

#### THE DEATH OF THE OLD YEAR.

##### I.

Full knee-deep lies the winter snow,  
 And the winter winds are wearily sighing;  
 Toll ye the church-bell sad and slow,  
 And tread softly and speak low,  
 For the old year lies a-dying.  
 Old year, you must not die,  
 You came to us so readily,  
 You lived with us so steadily,  
 Old year, you shall not die.

##### II.

He lieth still: he doth not move:  
 He will not see the dawn of day.  
 He hath no other life above.  
 He gave me a friend, and a true true love,  
 And the New year will take 'em away.  
 Old year, you must not go.  
 So long as you have been with us,  
 Such joy as you have seen with us,  
 Old year, you shall not go.

##### III.

He frothed his bumpers to the brim;  
 A jollier year we shall not see,  
 But though his eyes are waxing dim,  
 And though his foes speak ill of him,  
 He was a friend to me.



Old year, you shall not die.  
We did so laugh and cry with you,  
I've half a mind to die with you,  
Old year, if you must die.

IV.

He was full of joke and jest,  
But all his merry quips are o'er.  
To see him die, across the waste  
His son and heir doth ride post-haste,  
But he'll be dead before.  
Every one for his own.  
The night is starry and cold, my friend,  
And the New year blithe and bold, my friend,  
Comes up to take his own.

V.

How hard he breathes ! over the snow  
I heard just now the crowing cock.  
The shadows flicker to and fro :  
The cricket chirps : the light burns low :  
'Tis nearly one o'clock.  
Shake hands, before you die.  
Old year, we'll dearly rue for you.  
What is it we can do for you—  
Speak out before you die.

VI.

His face is growing sharp and thin,  
Alack ! our friend is gone.  
Close up his eyes : tie up his chin :  
Step from the corpse, and let him in  
That standeth there alone,  
And waiteth at the door.  
There's a new foot on the floor, my friend,  
And a new face at the door, my friend,  
A new face at the door.

Yet even in this fine poem the reader will perceive the strong love of imitation, and recognize the style and verve of our elder minstrels. In this instance the imitation has been felicitous. *O ! si sic omnia !*

The advice we would give to Mr. Tennyson is applicable to the generality of the "gentle craft" of the day—*Sapere principium et fons*. Common sense should be the staple of fine verse as of fine prose. The public would rather bear (and very properly) a return to the simplicity of Goldsmith or the polish of Pope, than the unmetrical affectations of second-rate imitators of third-rate men, whom the world *has* "willingly let die:"—Midases who prefer Pan to Apollo, and—

" Whose muses on their racks  
Scream like the winding of ten thousand jacks."

Thank Heaven ! it will never be the fashion to grate the ear and to puzzle the mind ; and it will be in vain to convince the public—a better critic, let Mr. Tennyson be assured, than the Aristarchs of the *Westminster Review*—that good poetry is manufactured by setting horrible metaphysics to still more horrible music. The style of " Anne's Day," and that of " George the Third," had at least the unpretending merit

of plainness, and that is better than an emasculate floridity. There is a “Bad Florid” in verse as in prose; we do not tolerate the last; but the reviewers, and the recent poets, desire to make us tolerate the first. In whatever new volumes of poetry we open we see the same stamp and character: in some, Folly sitting complacent in its fetters;—in others, as in the case of Mr. Tennyson, Genius struggling to escape. We have been thus harsh with our young poet because we have more hopes of him than of most of his contemporaries. And it is time for a PoET once more to arise;—arise from the puerilities, the conceits, the effeminacies that cling around the School and Time, from which he must emerge, and awake to the noble and masculine views of his high vocation. Thus duly awakened, and befittingly inspired, how lofty is the position he may occupy!—how magnificent the objects which surround him! The elements of the old world shaken—the mine latent beneath the thrones of kings, and the worm busy at their purple—the two antagonist principles of earth, Rest and Change, mightily at war!—Every moment has its history; and every incident in the common streets of men is full of the vaticination of things to come. A poet, rapt in the spirit of this age, will command the next! What themes and what fame may be reserved for one whose mind can be thus slowly nurtured to great thoughts by great events; steeped in the colours of a dread, yet bright time; elevated with the august hopes that dawn upon his species; and standing on the eminence of one of those eras in the records of the world, in which—

“WE SEE, AS FROM A TOWER, THE END OF ALL!”

---

### THE FATAL BIRTH.

BY THE AUTHOR OF “CORN-LAW RHYMES.”

Foul parent of fair child! swoll’n Bread tax, thou,  
 On plunder’d Commerce, didst beget Reform:  
 We read a bright to-morrow on her brow,  
 And make our hope thy nursling of the storm!  
 But many a fanged worm, and human brute,  
 On whose dark hearts the eye of Love ne’er smil’d,  
 Would fain the promise of her morn refute.  
 Die, then, Dread Power, and have no other child!  
 For *it is written*, that thy second-born,—  
 If second-born thou have,—shall thunder-strike  
 Temple and tower, of strength and splendour shorn  
 By hands with famine lean; and, Sampson-like,  
 Shaking the pillars of the gold-roof’d state,  
 Whelm high and low alike in one remorseless fate.



A LETTER FROM THE AUTHOR OF "CORN-LAW RHYMES"  
ON THE STATE OF FEELING AND OPINION IN A MANUFACTURING TOWN.

*To the Editors of the New Monthly Magazine.*

GENTLEMEN,—There is war in the city of soot. The hand of the workman is lifted against his master, and not in vain, if his intention be to close the butcher's shop. Yet, alas ! if the master defeat the workman, the same result is probable ; for, while they are injuring each other, a third party, resisted by neither of them, is devouring the substance of both.

"As I am undersold by foreigners," says the employer to the employed, "instead of raising your wages, you should lower them, or you will give my trade to the Germans." "I can but starve, then," replies the workman : "the question is not whether you will lose your trade, for that catastrophe is certain, if we are to pay sevenpence per pound for beef, while our rivals pay only twopence-halfpenny. If I would work for nothing, and give you all my wages, you would tamely suffer the money to be taken from you by the basest of mankind, and be poor still. The real question at issue between us two seems to be, whether I shall starve *after* you lose your trade, or *before* ? Yet why should I starve even then ? If your trade go to Germany, I will follow it thither ; and in the meantime, no matter by what means, I will get as high wages as I can, that I may be able to pay for my passage over the herring-pool."

"The Germans," continues the master, "can undersell me forty per cent., and yet obtain twice my profits." "Then they can give twice your wages," answers the workman ; "and the sooner you remove your capital to Germany, and I my skill and labour, the better for us both. It is plain, from your own showing, that if the German workmen are not better paid than I am, the fault rests with themselves ; for their masters can at least afford to give higher wages ; but if there is any truth in your assertions, you will soon be unable to pay any wages at all."

"If you will not work for reasonable wages," resumes the master, "my work shall be done by apprentices." "But," replies the workman, "I will not suffer you to take another apprentice ; no, not one." "Then you are a tyrant," exclaims the master. "The world is full of them," retorts the servant : "it is not the fault of our masters if we have not been brought down to potatoes. How long is it since you sent me to York Castle, merely because I did my best to obtain the fair price for my labour ? And do you now blame me for following your example ? Curses always come home to roost." "Yes," says the master, "you will find it so."

Now there is no misrepresentation in the statements of the master manufacturer. Every word is true.

The silver-platers of the Continent undersell us twenty per cent. in price, and fifty in pattern. Still the blind *will* not see.

In another year, perhaps, the merchants of Sheffield will import cutlery from Germany, the German scissors being already fifty per cent. cheaper



than ours; for the cutlers of Modlin pay only fifteenpence per stone for bread, while we pay three shillings. Still the blind *will* not see.

The cutlers of Belgium make and sell for twentypence a complete set of steel knives and forks, consisting of twenty-four pieces; and the saw-makers of Belgium make and sell, for one shilling and sixpence each, saws equal to ours at nearly twice the price. But then the Belgian artisans and capitalists are not impoverished by act of parliament. Still the blind *will* not see.

The Russians, in the market of New York, undersell John Barber's razors thirty per cent., Joseph Rodgers and Sons' cutlery forty per cent., and cast cutlery, in general, fifty per cent.; for the Russian workmen, when they buy two pecks of corn, do not lose, or throw away, the price of one peck; in other words, they are not compelled by law to give a shilling for eightpence. Still the blind *will* not see.

"Oh, but we shall soon have our bread as cheap as our neighbours." Yes, when our manufactures have left the kingdom,—when we have neither edgetools, nor saws, nor knives, nor scissors, nor money, to give in exchange for bread, we shall have it as cheap as our neighbours have it; for capital will not stay here, for potato-profits, if it can get roast-beef profits elsewhere. *But the blind will then see.* Instead of obtaining, permanently, as they might have done, the fair average price of Europe for their wheat, say forty shillings per quarter, at their doors, they must then be satisfied with two-thirds of that price, say about twenty-four shillings per quarter, at Hamburgh or Amsterdam. Hey, then—but not for a miracle!—let the blind see when it is too late; if they are to be a fate unto themselves; and it is written that they shall break stones on the high roads for subsistence! But how horrifying to our souls, to our bones in the grave, will be the music of their gruntle, when, after receiving eightpence for twelve hours' hard labour, they visit the paradise of the market, and there, with their miserable earnings, buy bread—not at thirty-six pence per stone, as their victims do, but at fifteen! "Good bye, fine fellow!" "Who is that vagabond?" "Lord, Sir, he was once a great gentleman, who kept a parson of his own." Well, if the enemy thank God for crime and carnage, may not *we* thank him, if he make themselves his instruments in ridding us of a nuisance—these suicides of their own prosperity, who toil not, neither do they spin? Have they not wickedly and foolishly destroyed more capital, in the memory of one generation, than all the lands of England would sell for at the bread-tax price; and in less than twenty years produced more crime and misery than all other causes in a hundred? This is a subject on which the press has basely, and almost universally, shrunk from the performance of its duty, to the infinite injury of the people, and the now probably inevitable and hopeless ruin of their oppressors, who seem doomed to open their eyes on the edge of a precipice, over which they must plunge headlong. But of all the treason against all, in this matter, that of the Philosophers of Useful Knowledge has the most brass in it. They calmly ask, what the workmen would say if a conspiracy existed to raise the price of beef, butter, bread, and ale? As if *that* conspiracy were not the cause of all our heart-burnings, our agonies, and our despair!

It is frightfully amusing, dismally instructive, to observe the deep hatred, the blasting scorn, with which the working classes of this town, and



their betters, as they are called, regard each other. They are all deplorably ignorant on the subjects which most nearly concern them all; but the workmen, I think, are less ignorant than their employers, in spite of the pains which have been and are taken, by the ultra-pious and intellectual, to keep them in ignorance. Will your readers believe, that the "Westminster Review"—the book most likely to teach our workmen what they most need to know—has been, and is excluded, by an express law, from our Mechanics' Library? Such, however, is the fact; the wisest and the best have had their own way, and we are now reaping the consequences. But if our first merchants themselves have yet to learn the alphabet of political economy, can we wonder that rich and poor alike are quarrelling about effects when they ought to be removing causes?

Nor is it less horribly amusing and instructive to observe, how completely the aristocratic leaven has leavened the whole mass of society here. Even our beggary has its castes. All try to seem rich, that they may not be thought poor, and all, but the tax-fed, are in danger of poverty. Perhaps the most frightful symptom of our social disease is exhibited by the masters who have been workmen, and who exceed in arrogance and insolence, by many degrees, the cab-driving sons of the sons of the dunghill sprung. Next to them, in their vituperation of the poor, are the insolvent—and their name is Legion. There must be some reason why Calamity, like an old woman, lives for ever. Hanging by a hair over the grave dug for Hope, do they vilify the all-plundered poor to conciliate the rich? If so, the flattered and the flatterer are worthy of each other.

"Well, Mister What's-your-name, I hear you still think we must have a free trade, or a revolution." "Yes, I do." "But if we have a free trade, what will become of the landlords?" "They never ask what will become of you, if we are not to have a free trade. Why care for people who care for nobody but themselves? Your wheel-barrow is not a coach-and-four; it is the grapery that is in danger, not your grand epergne, plated with sham silver." "Well, but Mr. What's-your-name, how is your trade now?" "Very bad." "'Pshaw, we never prospered more than at present. Look at that new street! what an income is rising there!" "That income is not rising, but sinking. More than one half of the capital expended there, is already lost for ever, in taxes on wood, bricks, and bread." "Bread! come, that is a droll joke! what has bread to do with building? The money, however, must have come from somewhere." "True; but do you know that the poor-rates of England and Wales last year increased eight per cent. on the average? There is not one county upon which to hang a quibble; not one was stationary, in not one was there a decrease; and the increase was greatest in those counties, on which depends the prosperity of all the rest. In Warwickshire, the increase was sixteen per cent.—in Lancashire, twenty-two. Does this look like prosperity? A little more such prosperity will close the manufactories from one end of the kingdom to the other; and then your favourite, Wetherell, will see the difference between a mob that chooses to do evil, and one that cannot avoid doing it." "Well, but Mister What's-your-name, you should not be ungrateful. You see, God has sent his scourge, the cholera, among us." A few months since, a very big man, in a certain great house, blamed



his Majesty's Ministers for the precautions they took against that disease. Shortly afterwards it arrived at his own door, but it passed on, and entered not; how, then, can it be of God? Are famine and bad government your gods?" "Well, you are a queer fellow, Mr. What's-your-name. But what do you think of your Radicals now? The men are masters." "Yes, Sir; but, instead of trying to establish low wages, which signify low profits, had you not better try to raise profits by joining with your men, heart and hand, to effect the removal of the great cause of contention?" "What! submit to the beggars? I would starve first." "Now, Mr. Sneak-for-nought, if you were weighed, are you worth three-halfpence? First, let it be possible for you to become rich in England, and then, perhaps, you may despise the poor without being ridiculous.

"But our magnificoes are magnificoes indeed. We have, at least, half-a-dozen ancients of yesterday, who, rather than suffer the Reform Bill to pass, and see the rabble, as the saying is, rise in intellect and respectability, would welcome the Russian despot and his Cossacks. They openly declare they would." "Well, Mr. What's-your-name, what do you want with me?" "I have been writing another book, and wish you to subscribe." "Why don't you write a book which a gentleman could read?" "I am not a gentleman, Sir." "If you are, you are a queer one. Put me down for a copy, however: I suppose I must patronize your vanity, or whatever else you call it. But what do you think of things now? Our ministers are not fit to make tailors of." "Very true, Sir." "Would you not rather live under the Emperor Nicholas than under a government like ours?" "I have more than once thought I should." "Well, we shall soon have him here, and better him than the rabble. We want a vigorous government." Now these magnificoes care not one straw whether their king be called William or Nicholas; but when they talk of a 'vigorous government,' they intend that the slave-whip shall be wielded by themselves; they never imagine, for a moment, that a barbarian, as unlettered as his horse, might possibly knout the holy cross on their respectable backs, from huckster to huckster and from buttock to buttock? I know not whether they had the pleasure and the honour to inform Lord Wharncliffe that nobody likes the Reform Bill; but, I hope, neither he nor they will wait for wisdom, until the long-maned charger of the Scythian shout over his hay in their drawing-rooms, 'Aha! where are they?' There is no occasion for the assistance of the Moscovite to complete our destruction; England can ruin herself. Our great merchants and manufacturers acknowledge that their business is profitless, but they cannot see that there is a cause in operation which will deprive us of our trade, even if the master wholly resign his profits, and the artizan his wages. It is vain to reason with the least unreasoning of our magnificoes; not one of them can be made to believe that he has lost the ten thousand pounds which he never possessed. It is quite impossible, they all say, to lose what does not exist. The assertion is absurd, but seems unanswerable; and so Calamity, like these old women, lives for ever."

There is one subject on which the great vulgar of this town are nearly unanimous in opinion—I mean the necessity of an issue of small notes. They know nothing about the laws of currency; on the con-



trary, if put to their choice, they would, I verily believe, choose Pitt's inconvertible ones. We have, however, a few reasoning maniacs, who pretend to know something of the matter, and who presume to doubt whether Pitt's Bill or Peel's Bill has done most mischief. They audaciously enquire, how it happened that a ministry, advocating the principles of free-trade, interfered with the natural laws of currency, and consequently with the freedom of trade in money? They actually impugn the wisdom of encouraging a huge and mischievous banking establishment, to the injury of all the useful banks in the nation. They stupidly imagine that there is no difference, in principle, between a one-pound note and a five-pound note; and they wonder, with the simplicity of idiocy, why we are compelled to have the note which we do not want, and prevented from having the note which we do want. They innocently ask, why bankers should not be allowed to issue one-pound notes, payable in gold at the counter, and with no other restraint than the mutual watchfulness and jealousy of the respective issuers! When told that if one-pound notes reappear, the gold coins will disappear, they reply, that if so, very few gold coins can be wanted; and that, by an issue of small notes, controlled by no law but the natural law of the case, "one pound might indeed be made to do the work of five." When reminded of the crisis of 1825, they ridiculously assert, that the law alone was the cause of the crisis—that law which sagaciously made one over-grown bank liable to furnish specie for the whole realm; and furnish it in greatest abundance, when directly interested in furnishing none at all. For, they say, if the thousand banks of the empire had each been liable to provide gold (not bank paper) for the payment of its own notes, all the gold wanted would have been found; and no inconvenience whatever would have been sustained by them or the community. When told that theory is but theory, they sneeringly answer, that Watt's steam-engine was theory fifty years ago. These fellows, I have little doubt, would rather give a shilling for a peck of good foreign wheat, than thrice that sum for the same quantity and quality grown in their own country. If I were in authority, I would hang every man of them to-morrow. I know, Gentlemen, you do not agree with me on the Currency Question—and perhaps not altogether upon other points—but you will be glad, perhaps, to give insertion to these opinions of the inhabitant of a great manufacturing town. The people, to be governed well, must be known well.

I have the honour to be, your most obedient Servant,

EBENEZER ELLIOTT.

---

## SHARP AND EASY.—A DIALOGUE.

*From a forthcoming Comedy called "London Tradesmen."*

*Easy.* The fact is, Mr. Sharp, bad debts is the ruin of trade. Talk of Reform and all that 'ere!—I says that bad debts is the ruin of men like you and me, Sharp, what is in business, and expects to live by business.

*Sharp.* Bad debts, Mr. Easy! why I has none or scarcely any, so to speak—I never lets them 'ere fellows what can't pay get my goods.

*E.* But how, Mr. Sharp! if a gentleman, what is a gentleman, comes to my shop, how is I, what wants custom, to refuse his custom, and he perhaps such a cunnexion?

*S.* Oh, to be sure, sarve any gentleman what pays,—but that 'ere's the query. Says I, when a gentleman, what looks like a gentleman, comes to my shop, says I, I think I can sarve you, says I, looking at him, as well as any man what's in my line, says I; but, Sir, you'll excuse me, I'm frank spoken, ready money's the word wi' me! so says he, if so be as he is a true gentleman, "Well I have no objection to pay ready money, provided I don't pay credit price." Oh! then I knows where the land lies—discount, says I, 25 per cent. "Then it is perfectly understood," says he: Sir, says I, I am your humble sarvant.

*E.* But, Mr. Sharp, that is so unpleasant to my feelings, that mentioning ready money.

*S.* Why so, Mr. Easy?

*E.* Why you see, Mr. Sharp, it is not every gentleman what wants goods has the cash, and yet he may be a gemman what has great expectations!

*S.* Yes, Easy, but how long is a tradesman to wait for a gentleman's great expectations? For my part I never asks him what his expectations is, for then I should 'spect he'd tell me a lie; so I says, in a way you know, what is it, good Sir, that ye hev in your pocket; for, says I, here's my goods, where's your money?

*E.* Oh! I never waits long. I gives 'em tether for a time, and then when I sees they won't pay, I asks 'em; then I gets a bill with interest and charges, and then I laws 'em; and if then they wants time, I takes another bill; and if then they don't come to the scratch, I laws 'em in right arnest: that is, if they an't no friends, and don't set off to Boulogne. It's a shame, I say, for an honest tradesman to have sich a many bad debts in his books.

*S.* What, Easy! you laws your customers! and, I dare say, gaols 'em when all's come to?

*E.* Yes—them what won't pay.

*S.* Why, you, Easy, what seems so pleasant in your back shop, are you so hard when you bestride a six-and-eightpenny lawyer?

*E.* Oh, it be'nt that! I can't abide to ask a gentleman for money when he walks into my shop, and I meets him, bowing; and he comes forward so pleasant, and then looks back at his cab, and says, so agreeable-like, "John," says he, "you may wait." But then if he don't pay, then, you knows, I knows it's all a take in: so, though I hates to higgle or to dun, I just steps to the 'torney, and he's my wife's first cousin, and so I says—"Here's a bit that 'ill make the pot boil." So



he and his man works 'em for a week; for there's none of 'em likes going to gaol, though some must.

S. Why, really, Easy, you takes a vast deal of trouble. I saves it at once. I gives the discount, and you only gets it after all, and perhaps not; for who pays money in gaol? Besides, having a 'torney for your relation, that an't creditable for a tradesman; and spending all your Sundays in altering your books, according as circumstances alters.

E. Oh! I don't mind all that; but I can't abide asking a gentleman for money. I'd rather have any trouble than that.

S. Why, then, your bad debts are all your own fault. I don't think, in fifteen years, I have fifty pounds of bad debts. Cause why?—I've always said to any gemman what 'plied to me, says I, with me, says I, it's the best goods in London; but it's dust down. Oh, but, says the gemman, I will pay the credit price, and expect the credit time. Very good, says I, but I don't do the business in that 'ere way; for if, says I, you should be disappointed, where should I be? and I hates to send a gentleman, what should be at home wi' his family, to prison, among a set o' blackguards; so, says I, Sir, I am sorry it be'nt in my power to sarve you.

E. That accounts, Sharp, for your having so little custom.

S. Very true, friend Easy, I an't much. I lives over my shop; an't no great family, so to speak, and, altogether, gets on but so so like, yet never wants. But you—you keeps your country-house; your gig; and people does say, you be going to start a yellow body.

E. And why should I not? I, Sharp, lives as well as hundreds what are in my debt. If I were to show my books, I'd shame half London; for if I didn't catch a real gentleman, I'd have his nevey or his cousin; or, what's the same thing, one of the same name, and see how he'd stand that i' the morning papers!

S. But you were complaining just now of your bad debts.

E. Oh yes; that's what I calls my "Rascals' Book."

S. I suppose, then, your "Rascals' Book" is what you swears by.

E. He! he! you say right; I swears by it and at it; for, to tell you the truth, Sharp, and that's what I wanted to tell you, I'm in an awkward quandary.

S. Oh! Mr. Easy, I'm sorry to hear it. What's the matter?

E. The fact is, a very heavy bill of mine comes due to-morrow; and I've had a very heavy bill, a nobleman's, I'm sorry to say, Mr. Sharp, dishonoured to-day; and my bankers' book is deuced low; and I thought that perhaps you might be of use, doing business in your ready-money way.

S. Oh! that's it, is it, Mr. Easy? Why, you see, I has got a trifle at my banker's, and I has got another trifle in the funds; and I has lately bought a little estate, what my father was born upon; but I an't got nothing I can lend to you, for you sees I sends nobody to gaol; and so you sees, if so be as I were to make you bankrupt i' the three months, I should, perhaps, get but a small dividend. But how much do you want?

E. Ah, that's a good fellow, now you talk reason. Say four or four hundred and fifty would serve my turn.

S. Four hundred and fifty!—why I'm sure o' this, that all that 'ere stock you've got at Tottenham Farm, your willa, and all that 'ere boo-

tiful furniter, and all those hosses, and that gig, and your son John's cab, and that 'ere young woman's poney-chaise what he keeps, and all that, must be 'worth much more than that 'ere trifling sum!

*E.* Oh, Mr. Sharp, I have often heard you was a hard man, but now I knows it.

*S.* Hard, Mr. Easy! what d'ye mean? I never shuts anybody up. I never takes bills for double what's due; nobody rots in gaol for me. I keeps no 'torney by my writs—not I. I lives on my own, giving no man credit for what he an't, and only gives what I've got for what he's got. Don't talk to me of being hard, Mr. Easy!—you are not hard at first, no, no such thing, as soft as a bog; but when a poor devil once sinks into you, ancle deep, I'll be——bankrupt, if he ever twists himself out!

*E.* Oh, nonsense, nonsense, Mr. Sharp!—never heard such language in my life. You quite shocks me, Mr. Sharp; good morning, good morning. I hopes no offence. Good neighbours still, I hopes. I was only joking. You has your mode of doing business, and I has mine.

[*Exit M. Easy.*]

*Stat* Sharp on his steps at his shop-door; his braces down, his knee breeches untied, his hands in his pocket, a sniggle on his face, sans hat, sans neckcloth, slippers on his feet, whistling “the devil among the tailors.”

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR OF “THE QUARTERLY REVIEW.”

SIR,—There is that in your style which usually betrays you! Your writings are impressed with a stamp of smallness peculiarly their own—and I do not flatter you when I assert that I know no man living who possesses the same power of incorporating the narrowest sentiments in the meanest language. Thus, whether you are attacking a Ministry or eulogizing a job, you are equally yourself! The same man who was indecent in Adam Blair, and illiterate in Valerius—the same man who, in “Peter's Letters to his Kinsfolk,” praised the regularity of his own features, and decorated his pages with the caricatures of his friends. It is impossible for you to veil yourself—your step betrays you—all other intellectual impressions seem gigantic beside the print of your mind! Nor is this your only peculiarity:—You are remarkable for your disdain of grammar; and, being at the head of a Critical Journal, you kindly bestow upon us, by your own example, a knowledge of all the infinite varieties of bad writing. In opening the present Number of the “Quarterly Review,” (p. 391,) at the commencement of the Review of “Zohrab the Hostage,” I fancy, Sir, that I detect you in the following phraseology, equally noble and correct:—“This is the best novel that has appeared for several years past; indeed, *out of sight (!) superior* to all the rest of the recent brood.” In this sentence, by omitting the words, “it is,” before the elegant expression, “out of sight,” you have benevolently shown us the beauty of good English by no equivocal example of bad. What “*out of sight superior*” may mean, is not



easily understood ! the grace of the expression, perhaps, atones for its being a little unintelligible.

I shall proceed, Sir, to quote a few more of those felicities of language which so aptly illustrate your claims to the dignity of a censor of other men's works.

Correct metaphor:—

“ Flimsy tissues *swarming*.”

Pure English:—

“ Side by side, with a sentimental gypsy, deeply learned in the minor poets of the Elizabethan age, figures the late Mr. Henry Fauntleroy, scene over the Debtors' Door at Newgate—and *all the rest of him !* ”

Him ! Whom ? Mr. Henry Fauntleroy ! the rest of Mr. Henry Fauntleroy, or of the debtor's-door at Newgate ? Sir, I may compliment you on having imitated the language of the showman ; but I cannot congratulate you on the success of the buffoonery. An awkward merry-andrew is the most pitiable of spectacles.

Again—

“ Eternal rhapsodies about the personal feelings, opinions, circumstances, and prospects of such a man as Lord Byron might be borne with even in *such a piece* as Don Juan ; but things LIKE THIS make one sorry for authors of less distinguished rank.”

‘ Things like *this* ?—things like what ?—like Don Juan ?—that is the only grammatical construction of your sentence !—but no !—you allude to “ eternal rhapsodies ;” and you—the editor of the *Quarterly Review*—identify the plural “ rhapsodies” with the singular “ this.”

Again—

“ The neighbourhood of a remote encampment, the description of which is among Mr. Morier's happiest passages *of that class*.”—

*What class ?*—here you leave us without any clue whatsoever ; for you have not in the preceding paragraphs been referring to any class of writing, and we can scarcely suppose that you mean to speak of *the “ class of a remote encampment,”* the only visible construction to be put upon your words.

Yet again—

“ How few are the novels of this class, laying their scene in the writer's native country, that can stand such a test ; and yet which of them, that is not prepared to encounter it, asks our *acceptance*—(acceptance of what ?)—except on the presumption of our gross ignorance ; or can expect if we *are informed*—(informed of what ?)—a better verdict than incredulus odi ! ”

I think you may really defy the ingenuity of the most accomplished penny-a-line man to write a worse piece of composition than that which you here display to our admiration.

Polished phraseology—

“ Walking about, *for a little*, without attendance.”

“ For a little ! ” Suffer me respectfully to ask in what new school did you learn that expression ? Was it in your desire for simplicity that you thus transplanted the language of the chambermaid to the pages of a Critical Journal ? or do you think that by resorting to the authorities of the nursery you recur to the first principles of your language ? The notion is probable,—for it is worthy, Sir, of yourself.

Accuracy in metaphors—

“ These incidents, which follow each other with breathless rapidity of



effect, bring every *interest that has been stirred*—to a point (!)—and *then every knot is cut* at once by the assassination of Aga Mohamed," &c.

In this sentence, Sir, your researches into philosophy appear with that "same breathless rapidity of effect" which you have deservedly praised in Mr. Morier; and we learn with a startling celerity, that things which are *stirred* come to a *point*, and that having been thus "stirred," and thus converted to "a point," they are as suddenly conjured into *knots*! So miraculous a power of transformation,—so excellent a trick of verbal necromancy,—is more honourable to your ingenuity than your judgment, and is scarcely perhaps consistent with the grave office which incorporates with the protector of our constitution the guardian also of our language!

Amidst these more decided evidences of your graceful pen I may readily, Sir, omit the lesser characteristics, which also serve to betray it. It is only you who "take this opportunity of *marking one remarkable exception!*" who "give *consecutiveness of influence* to an *element of character!*" and conclude an essay which enlarges on the necessity of care and skill in composition with the following smooth, noble, and elaborate peroration:—"We are persuaded that if its author were to write a novel of English manners of his own day, he could *hardly* miss to produce (miss to produce—what grandeur of phrase!) a decided reaction in the public taste. Even on Eastern ground we think it *hardly* possible that the compactness and life of his fable, and the grace of his language should fail of contributing largely to that desirable *issue.*" Having thus established your right to approve or to condemn the works of your cotemporaries, I have the satisfaction of returning you my sincere thanks for an honour you have afforded to myself. Seeing the praises you have heaped upon the poetry of Miss Collings, and the prose of Mr. Lister—upon the biographical accuracy of the late edition of Boswell, and the dramatic excellence of Francis the First,—I did, Sir, I confess, knowing also my own demerits, form some apprehension that one day or other you might extend the same degrading approbation to myself! I felt my faults, and I trembled at the scourge of your applause. In the vigorous desperation of alarm, I resolved to prevent the possibility of such a misfortune; and for the last twelve months I have sought, by constantly indicating your blunders\* and expatiating on your absurdities, to free myself from all chance of the ignominy of your kindness, and entail upon the works, which, not to myself indeed, but through many channels and in no lukewarm words, Goethe and Scott have sanctioned with their esteem, the additional honour of a sarcasm from the author of Reginald Dalton. It is a triumph to think that I have not toiled in vain, and I cannot express to you the exultation that filled my mind, when I found a writer of your pretensions straining his upward features into a sneer, and talking superciliously of the "class I belonged to." You recommend me "Zohrab" as a model; I examine the style of the adviser, and think that a parsing exercise might be the most useful

---

\* See the Article on "The Quarterly Review" in the February Number of this Magazine, "The Wilful Misstatements of the Quarterly Review" in the number for April, &c. I the more particularly allude to former expositions of the errors of "The Quarterly," in order to prove, first, that they are of no uncommon occurrence, and secondly, that it was long before that venerable journal favoured me with its sneer, that I provoked its hostility by revealing its demerits.



model for himself. You say that in historical composition I have outraged "*the last barrier of propriety*;"—I look at the grammatical composition of my rebuker, and I find, to my consolation, that he has outraged *the first*. In one of the islands in the South Sea, when a chief is to be reprov'd for any fault, it is said, by some traveller, that they select a fool to admonish him. In that island, Sir, your admonitions might possibly be of greater effect than in this;—yet not so, for here the custom is only reversed, and the degradation is accomplished not by the censure but the eulogium of the fool.

Sir, it gives me pleasure, not to reply to you, but to display you. I am not defending myself. I am about to expose the system on which you attack others, and when I have finished (the task will not be long), I trust that if you have any natural sentiments of compunction, you will lavish your compassion (the only atonement in your power) on your victim, Mr. Morier,—that amiable man and respectable writer, left to shiver under your encouragement, and to writhe beneath your praise. I would fain put myself out of the question in any remarks I may address to you; but it is for the public that I consent to be egotistical. My cause in this instance is their own. If the author be misrepresented, the public are deluded; and the public will therefore forgive me even for replying, Sir, to you—for the public, who care nothing about authors, care a great deal about themselves. Not indeed that I can pretend to be without a natural vanity in my task; for you will observe that the degree of honour you have done me in your criticism is exactly in proportion to your incapacities to criticise.

First, Sir, you advance the following assertion:—

"One of the cleverest writers of the class, for example, the author of '*Pelham*,' distinctly avows that in his opinion the canon which had hitherto been held the most imperative of all (namely that which forbids devoting any *considerable* portion of a work of this sort to persons or incidents nowise bearing on the developement of the fable) is *useless and absurd*."

Now, Sir, the public does not care, as I have intimated before, a straw whether I said this or not; but it has a right to care whether a writer in a public journal that was once esteemed an authority in literature is careful or careless of the truth. Will it then be believed that the author of "*Pelham*" never said any such thing at all? He never said that such a canon was useless and absurd; but that in his opinion it was open to controversy, and, in referring the reader to "*Anastasius*," "*Amelia*," and "*Gil Blas*," he gave examples that scenes and characters might be introduced in a novel, unnecessary to the development of a catastrophe, and yet agreeable to the resemblance of nature and life. You say that "this is to lose sight altogether of the principles of art," and to suppose that imitation simply *quâ* imitation, (in your own classic phraseology) "*will do!*" I leave you in possession of a sentiment which, in condemning my opinion, condemns the examples of Hope, of Fielding, and Le Sage. You proceed as follows:—

"These gentlemen, since they permit themselves such more than epic use of materials rejected by the drama, might be expected to abstain from those features of dramatic composition which are peculiarly and especially incompatible with the epic form; yet here again they are perpetually delinquents. They avail themselves, in diffuse narrative, at every turn, of expedients which are only allowed in the drama, because of its exclusive characteristic—*namely, as the species that brings* (?) personages and events directly before the spectator himself, without the palpable interven-



tion of any third party. But this absurdity reaches its climax in the autobiographical novel—the very essence of which is, to present things as they occur to the writer. With these artists nothing is more common than to have an autobiographical hero describing a scene with his own father or brother,—known from the beginning, as it afterwards appears, by *him* to be such,—and yet leaving us in ignorance that the personage was his father or his brother, until the discovery of that fact *to us* comes to be a matter of convenience *to him* in the unravelling of his third volume. This is blinking all the peculiar difficulties of the form of composition, depriving it of all its counterbalancing peculiar advantages, and introducing into its main structure the very trickeries which it was meant expressly to avoid.”

In order that the above passage may not be misinterpreted, you refer expressly to the author to whom you allude in the following note:—“ See the ‘ Disowned,’ by the author of ‘ Pelham.’ ” Now, mark, and hug yourself in your candour, the ‘ Disowned,’ to which you refer as an autobiographical novel, is not in any way whatsoever autobiographical. It is strictly a novel told in the third person; the hero never, except in dialogue, speaks for himself: its principal fault is, that it shuns too much even the semblance of autobiography, and does not possess a single one of the qualities you have erroneously attributed to it. The hero *never* “ describes a scene with his own father or brother;” and you, therefore, either ignorantly misrepresent, or wilfully pervert, the work that you analyse \*. I leave you, Sir, to make your choice of the alternative—it is one honourable to a critic;—for my own part I would fain be generous, and attribute to you only the lesser fault—that of ignorance. And to read books without knowing the contents, must be a trifling error to one who has written books without knowing the language.

You are pleased, Sir, to think it highly absurd to represent “ Devereux,”† (a *petit maître*, according to your interpretation of his character) as living on an intimate footing with the principal writers and men of genius belonging to his age. I should have thought, Sir, that your own experience, limited as I allow that to be, might have taught you that men, with even inferior pretensions to that imaginary *petit maître*, might still, by very ordinary circumstances, be thrown into the society of their superiors, partake in their plots, and affect their friendship.

You may know possibly of some man—let us imagine an obscure

---

\* Nay, to so great an extent was the avoidance of the autobiographical novel carried in “ The Disowned,” that it is expressly stated in the Introduction to the second edition of that work, that its design “ *was not to detail a mere series of events in the history of one individual or another, but to personify certain dispositions influential upon conduct.*” Can anything be so remote from the plan of an autobiographical novel?

† Here occurs another instance of the want of honesty in the Reviewer:—

“ The hero, an impudent wonder of nineteen, is gravely represented as living on the footing of *intimate friendship* and *confidential intercourse* with Bolingbroke, Pope, Swift, the Regent Orleans, Count Anthony Hamilton, Admiral Apraxin, Czar Peter I., and his consort.” Now, Reader, mark—The hero is, at *no* time of his life represented as being on the footing of “ *intimate friendship*” with Pope, Swift, Admiral Apraxin, or Count Anthony Hamilton;—they are only introduced as persons whom he meets in the ordinary acquaintanceship and intercourse of society. Swift appears but once,—and the only conversation detailed between Devereux and Pope occurs—*not* when the former is nineteen, but when he is four or five and thirty.’ If in so trifling a matter, and so slight a work—the system of *misrepresentation* be thus adopted by the Quarterly Reviewers—how much more would it be adopted in matters less easy of detection, and works that afford a greater temptation for political malignity to pervert!



Adventurer from the wilds of Scotland—in whom the corruption of a bad Lawyer has been the generation of a worse Author,—yet who, nevertheless, through a fortunate connexion, through a servility in politics, through a variety of causes idle to enumerate, may associate occasionally with the leading men of his opinions; may prattle about the Scott, and lecture to the Peel, of his age, and bequeath to a “Quarterly” Reviewer yet unborn, the task of wondering how the fly became embedded in the amber, and the stick swam down the stream with the apples.

From the proofs I have now given of your power of doing me honour by your disapproval, you may judge how much gratitude I owe you. I have thought it right to address these lines to you, not because the critic of the “Quarterly” was worthy of an answer, but because the merits of the “Quarterly” are worthy an exposure. The public are rarely interested even in the quarrels of great writers; they are never interested in any retort of censure, or defence that can possibly take place between such as you, Sir, and myself: but the public are always amused at the detection of a pretender, and it cannot but delight my readers to find that he who gravely admonishes others in the highest branches of letters, would scarcely be competent to teach English to a preparatory school; and that it would be difficult to forgive the want of literary honesty with which he distorts the meaning of another’s compositions did he not, with a generous impartiality, confound all sense and dislocate all language in his own\*.

Sir, I have done with you for the present. I leave your reputation as a public Journalist to the chaste and friendly pages of certain of the Sunday newspapers;—those pages may afford yourself a dignified opportunity for an anonymous reply;—or, should you entrust to others the charge of retaliation, (more easy than that of defence), I doubt not that the charge will be readily undertaken by those respectable associates of your youthful career, who will complete by their panegyrics on your literary character the very object I have attempted in these remarks.

I am, Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

THE AUTHOR OF “PELHAM.”

---

\* One word by the way on the puffing system. There never was any system more detrimental to literature; the critic of the “Quarterly” has attacked it very justly; but why, when attacking the system of other booksellers, not attack also the system pursued by Mr. Murray? Was not Fanny Kemble’s feeble Tragedy puffed by every species of bellows—heralded by the “Quarterly” itself several days before the publication of the work? Mr. Murray thought something, we suppose, of the author whom the reviewer condemns, when he affixed, by way of puff, to the advertisements of “Contarini Fleming,” an eulogium that extraordinary work justly deserved, indeed, but which was *anonymously* given, and to which he added, not very fairly, and certainly only through guess, “From an article by the author of Pelham.” What are Mr. Murray’s back-parlour and his coteries but puffing machines? Hath he not practised the worst of all sorts of puffery—the coalition of a gang? What is the “Quarterly Review” itself but a quarterly puff on the genius of its own contributors, or the quartos of its own publisher? Nay, worse than this—for few puffers ever attack their rivals—they are contented with lauding their own wares—but the Review of Mr. Murray is not only complaisant to Mr. Murray’s productions, it is severe upon Mr. Murray’s competitors in trade! So much for the Quarterly Review, and its indignation against the craft of the booksellers! But, perhaps, in the pithy proverb, the “Quarterly Review” considers “own dirt no dirt.”



## MONTHLY COMMENTARY.

Subterranean Professions—The new Champion for Pontefract—An Affair of Honour; or, the Pennyalinian Mystery—A new kind of Parliamentary Pledge—Fire-side Valour—A nice Question in Bigamy—Cookery—Pears's Chemical Durable Ink.

SUBTERRANEAN PROFESSIONS.—In a late comment upon the unseen sources of subsistence in a great metropolis, we omitted a class of which we have since been reminded by an advertisement. One of the snuggest professions going may be recognized under the name of an hotel-decoy.

In the advertisement alluded to, an hotel-keeper makes known, under the strictest seal of secrecy, that he is willing to maintain any gentleman of fashionable connexions who will take the trouble to induce his friends to consider his home their home, during any brief sojourn they may intend to make in London during the season.

Beware, then, most worshipful fashionables, of the pressing intentions of some best fellow in the world, who will not rest until he has fixed you in the most comfortable, and the most moderate hotel in London. Your friend will be put down in the bill, rely upon it; he is a person of no small price. He lives between two hotels; one in town, the other in a fashionable watering-place; except for a month or two, when he retires to his family seat—some cottage in Norfolk, or some lodging in Leicestershire. This ingenious person also pays his tailor in kind,—that is to say, in victims; just as the Minotaur was fed, save that his tribute are not virgins. He lives in the old way, by barter. The only thing such a man was ever known to give money for is his spurs; for these he takes care to buy where it is not seen he never bestrides a horse. Most hotels have their dashing-looking *habitué*, who is acquainted with every body, and though inconstant in all other tastes, is never known to change either his abode or his tailor. He lives upon the best, and the artist who clothes him ought to know his fit, and is sure to do him justice, for is he not his lay-figure—his model—his example? The stupid “builders” of the Strand and Fleet-Street thrust forward their red-faced goggle-eyed little boys of wax, with countenances implying that their jackets throttle them. But the genuine artist takes a flight far above this vulgar plan; he clothes some well-made member of a fashionable club, and in lieu of sticking him at his shop-door, thrusts him forth into the world. This model does not, like the little boys, hold his bill in his hand. No,—that he crams into his pocket; but he can talk, and say, Look you, do you see this coat—God! what a cut. My tailor lives in such a street.

What a refined style of living upon one's friends is this; they never know it, and you never feel it. It is a sort of imperceptible discount paid for ready wit. It is an example of what is said of tithes, if the parson did not get them the landlord would; so here, if you had gone to any other hotel, or employed any other tailor, your bill would have been just the same; your friend would not have got his per centage, but the landlord or the tradesman would have stuck to his regular charges.

A great city is not the multiple of a village; it is a much more curious fabrique; the knowledge is a study that perhaps requires as much attention as the Principia of Natural Philosophy. The calculus is extremely subtle; for instance, such a being as we have been alluding to here is



no compound of ordinary men ; he is formed by a peculiar process, and in the second or third stage of it : he is a fluxion of a fluxion.

THE NEW CHAMPION FOR PONTEFRAC<sup>T</sup>.—The sort of commentation that has been made on the election of Gully for Pontefract is worth notice. It shews how completely we are ruled by names. Had Gully been originally a linen-draper's porter, a footboy, or other humble occupation, and had afterwards become an attorney or a stockbroker, he might have been chosen a member of Parliament half-a-dozen times over and we should not have heard anything about it. But the occupation of a pugilist, and next that of a better on horse-races are held discreditable. Where is the source of this discredit ? It is not in the qualities necessary for success in either pursuit. The pugilist must be brave, sober, temperate, calm ; he never gives way to passion, for that throws him into a disadvantage : he is generally amiable, for the consciousness of power is always supposed inconsistent with fretfulness and irritability : he must be honest, stedfast, true : for fortunes—at least large sums of money—depend upon the fidelity with which he keeps his engagements. Whence, then, all this discredit ? Humanity revolts from the mutual bruising of the bodies that takes place in a contest with the natural weapon. The parties disregard this : it is a trial of endurance, strength, activity, skill. Are not the moral effects good enough to balance the perhaps false sympathies excited by the sight or the reflection upon very transient wounds ? A duellist is a monster in comparison ; but that practice would never be made an objection to a member of Parliament. Much may be said for boxing, and much more for the man who was first in his art, but who, though young, resisted all the temptations consequent upon success, and the patronage of rank and the facilities of vice. The worst that can be said of such a man as Gully is, that he was thrown among disreputable persons of both the highest and lowest ranks, and remained unstained, intact. The mischief of pugilism is, that it brings together a great many vicious characters : but what cause of crowd does not ? And many are not bad, because they wear rough coats and drive but sorry steeds. Pugilism is, or was, the vulgar pleasure ; the popular sport : we are not sure that the commonalty will be any the better for its abolition. If the lovers of it were sometimes disreputable persons—this is not the fault of the art ; the blackguards of low life do not hide their vice either in grand buildings or fashionable attire ; they are ugly without as well as within. The betting-trade is neither more nor less than stock-jobbing ; using horses instead of the funds to decide the event, with these advantages, that it cultivates the breed of a noble animal, encourages a public and most delightful amusement, and is carried on in the open air, on the green turf, or amidst the beauty and fashion of a county, instead of a dark alley and a stifling hole in the closest corner of a crowded city. Here again the better is liable to encounter bad characters, sharpers, and men of broken fortunes : if, therefore, in spite of such contact, in spite of all the inducements to take unfair advantages, an individual becomes notorious for his upright and honourable conduct, and this, too, after the second ordeal, we say that man must have some good stuff in him. And the very prejudices against the two pursuits of his life should be turned into arguments in his favour.

We are not saying that Mr. Gully has any acquirements that fit him



for a legislator : he may or may not : quickness, habits of business, and a nice sense of justice and fair play, he is of necessity distinguished by ; further we cannot say. Of this, however, be sure—he will not be quite a stranger in the Honourable House, and will there stand surrounded by many with whom he has dealt all his life. Some may turn up their noses, but we have no notion of men who act with you in one grand business of their lives, hesitating to join you in another ; but this has always been a privilege of the aristocracy to hail a man with perfect familiarity on one spot, and then not to know you in another. It may be, that day is about to pass.

AN AFFAIR OF HONOUR ; OR THE PENNYALINIAN MYSTERY. — A transaction to which this title is ordinarily given took place in the course of the month, which as it terminated in a wound of that part of the frame which is called the seat of honour, is perhaps rightly so named :—but inasmuch as it arose in a place of shame commonly denominated a “hell,” it might very justly be written an affair of dishonour. This, however, does not regard us ; but the manner in which it reached the public ear regards those who form a part of the public. The day after the transaction, an elaborate report of the circumstances of the quarrel, and the names of all the parties concerned appeared in one of the leading morning papers. It detailed a scene of infamy, a gallant interference, a furious dispute, an “honourable” termination, and a description of the parties. This account was read by everybody in London, that day : the next, in the country, and is on its way, or is arrived in almost every corner of Europe. This report was, however, a circumstantial lie, a concoction which meant no more than that the writer wanted a few shillings, and got them by this method. Letters and reclamations came in from all parts, and before the story had been twelve hours in print, those who had given it wings were aware that it was wrong in every particular, save that a duel had taken place, and that one of the individuals named, or rather misnamed, was wounded, —no matter where.

The next day there appeared in this journal, by the way, the most careful and particular in this point, of all daily papers, no apology, but this notice :—

“\* \* \* The account was inserted from one of those circular reports which are sent to all the morning papers. The party who furnished it will not be employed by us again.”

That is—the Editor’s authority was a penny-a-line man : the account was inserted without inquiry :—the reparation is, that no more lies will be bought of the same lie-merchant.

In the present case probably not much mischief will be done, but it is right that the world should know by what means it is supplied with intelligence of this description in London. There are a number of men prowling about the hotels and the police offices, who are in connexion with tavern-waiters, noblemen’s porters, and policemen. These men are greedy of a rumour—it is meat and drink to them : they are poor, generally broken men, perhaps some of indifferent character : it may be, that the family dinner depends upon the savouriness of the morning’s wallet. As soon as one of these emissaries of fame pounces upon a “fact,” he retires with his sheet of transparent paper and his



diamond pencil to a neighbouring coffee-room, and under the inspiration of a pot of porter, or a glass of brandy, according to the value of the information, and which is probably shared by his veracious informant, unfolds the mysteries of his "fact." Being paid by the line, an additional circumstance is an additional sixpence, which will account for the fulness of the information supplied to the public. By means of his folds of transparent paper and his pencil, as many copies are produced at once as he requires. Behold, then, the public intelligencer now on his rounds. One copy of his novellette is left at each of the newspaper offices, and is placed before the editor of the department in which it falls;—if he likes the story, it is inserted: if not, it is swept among the things doomed to oblivion. The writer, or rather author, is only paid in case of insertion, and then only for the part inserted, and per line according to length. Hence this class of men are called penny-a-line men.

Nothing can be clearer than that they must necessarily be the disgrace of the press, and the nuisance of the public. Persons earning a subsistence in this certainly not creditable manner, are confounded with the true Journalist; and their inventions are, in fact, served up in the same dish, and no way distinguishable except in internal value. This of course tends to degrade the profession, and has the effect often of keeping out of it men who might do it honour. Besides the perpetual instances of falsehood, the constant contradictions and reclamations that appear before the world, diminish the authority of the press, and altogether destroy it in many quarters.

Then, again, is it to be tolerated that the name and reputation of every man in the metropolis is to be placed at the mercy of these modern highwaymen? The merest accident, the paltriest difficulty, or any perhaps in itself merely unpleasant circumstance, such as may commonly occur in any family whatever, is enough; give these men but a hint,—a fulcrum for their pencil, and they will move the world. The unsuspecting, and perhaps injured party, rises next morning a public character; and just as the porter or the brandy has inspired, the hero, or the devil of a romance.

A person so afflicted has the benefit of the law of libel; but alas! misfortune or injustice must have driven the party mad before he seeks such redress. He then truly falls among thieves. To say nothing of the expense of the transaction, he becomes first the fair game of an ingenious and reckless barrister or two, who will dress him up in more hideous guise than boys do Guy Faux on the 5th of November; and then when he is ready for the fire, there stand his own old friends, the reporters and the editors, ready to terminate the ceremony with a glorious *auto-da-fé* in honour of the immaculate purity of the paper. In short, a man in these circumstances is first libelled in the department of fashionable news, and the same dish is served up as with additional sauce, under the head of legal intelligence. No; for the honour of the press, and the advantages of the people, steps ought to be taken to guard against the commission of the offence.

The case above alluded to, everybody will know is that of a Mr. O'Connell and a Mr. Kearney; but twenty others occur in the month, which would equally serve our purpose. Whoever reads in the newspapers the report of any transaction in which he is concerned, will be astonished at its utter want of verisimilitude. In the case of Mr. Kear-



ney, he was accused of pigeoning a young gentleman at a gaming-house—of being, in fact, a regular leg, and keeping a table for play. Not one word of which was true.—“N’importe! Quid novi? Vive le mensonge.”

A NEW KIND OF PARLIAMENTARY PLEDGE.—Mr. Martin Stapylton,—whose exertions to engage the attention and secure the suffrages of the North Riding of Yorkshire are of the most curiously energetic description,—puts forth, in one of his numerous address-circulars and self-recommendations, a very singular claim to confidence. Reporting his progresses with a most amusing vanity, among other things, he says,

“At Pickering I was equally enthusiastically received. The same at Malton, where I spoke on Saturday night, *on the sill of a window*, for I knew not fear in addressing a people who were so unanimously generous towards me. Mr. Rider, a friend of mine of twenty years’ standing, told me in the public news-room at Malton, that no other man in England would have ventured to speak from such a dangerous situation. But braver than me are those lordly men who persist in forcing their candidates against the plainly-expressed choice of the whole population of the North-Riding.”

Here is a footing on which to get into the House. No elevation could turn the head of a man who could stand and speak a county-speech on the sill of a window. Such a legislator may be relied upon as not subject to giddiness. Vain, loquacious he may be, and on the application of a little flattery, he might let fall the morsel from his beak, but he would never tumble down himself. Next, we shall have Herr Cline standing on his head for a couple of hours, by way of winning his way to the top of some county-poll. Suffrages have been won before now by inverting the order of things,—She loved me for the dangers I had run; so it is with Martin Stapylton, wooing the county of York. He puts forward, by way of irresistible charm, his venture “i’the imminent deadly breach!” the window-sill of a Malton inn.

FIRE-SIDE VALOUR.\*—People are everywhere heard to complain of the slowness of the siege of Antwerp, and the newspapers profess themselves tired of repeating each day that there is nothing remarkable to record. It is curious to see how fond peaceable folk are of brisk military movements; there is nothing a quiet, timid sort of man loves at breakfast so much, as a good hot dispatch; a bayonet charge sends him to his toast with a double relish, and he swallows his last cup of tea with great satisfaction over a pretty cruel return of killed and wounded. “What are these French about?” cries the quidnunc; “nothing done—only a hundred and forty killed in the trenches. What are they about—why don’t they push on? It was reckoned that five hundred would be killed a day, and here you see there are not above ten?” This, perhaps, is a creature that would not hurt a fly; and if a shell was rumoured to have burst in the next street, would go into fits. The snigger a man is over his fire, the more he wants hot work: he is bodily so disposed to quiet and comfort, that if he had not something to shake his sympathies a little, he would fall asleep. It is when a man wants a stimulus,—when he has his person softly laid up in an easy chair, his legs on a stool, and the draughts closed up, and servants moving in and out gently with appliances for the restoration of the animal man, that he begins to chafe over the indolence of the army. “What are they doing,—why all this

\* Written before the taking of the Citadel.



shilly-shallying,—why don't they fight? Talk of sickness, weather, and want of provisions, stuff! I say they ought to have fought and driven the enemy out of the country. And as for the fortress you speak of, why did not the General storm it: I say with such a battering-train, and troops like ours, Sir, he ought to have stormed it, and taken it, and put the garrison to the sword, Sir, by way of example. That would have been something!" Talk of this kind gives a zest to the supper-tray; and makes up for the deficiency of appetite arising from too copious a dinner.

A NICE QUESTION IN BIGAMY.—“At Maidstone Assizes, John Penson was indicted for feloniously marrying Eliza Brown, by the name of Eliza Thick, his first wife, Anne Wooton, being then alive.

“The two marriages were proved, the one at Deptford, and the other at St. Martin's-in-the-Fields, in London. It appeared, that after marriage with Anne Wooton some disagreement arose between the prisoner and her, and an agreement of separation was drawn up. After this he paid his addresses to Eliza Brown. He showed the agreement of separation, and she, confiding in his statement that he was quite free from his wife, married him. They were married by bans, which were published in the name of “Eliza Thick.”

“Mr. Espinasse, on the part of the prisoner, submitted, that as the second marriage would be void by the Marriage Act, in consequence of the bans having been published in the wrong name of the woman, the prisoner could not be convicted on this indictment.

“Mr. Baron Gurney said that the objection could not be of any avail, as it only affected the second marriage. That marriage was void, however solemnized, as the first was a good one. There was a marriage, in fact, between the prisoner and Eliza Brown, and whether all the forms necessary to constitute a valid marriage, if no previous marriage existed, were not adopted, was of no consequence. If such an objection were allowed to prevail, nothing would be easier than for persons disposed to commit such offences as the present to leave some defect in the forms required by the Marriage Act, and thus escape from the punishment due to their offence.

“The Jury found the prisoner guilty.

“Sentenced to twelve months' imprisonment and hard labour.”

This judge-made law is sometimes as droll as “crowner's quest law.” This man is to be punished for a second marriage, never in fact duly solemnized. Had this marriage been the sole marriage, the parties could never have derived any legal advantage from it; why then should the man be saddled with the disadvantages of it?—Suppose the marriage had been only half solemnized, and that ELIZA THICK had fainted, or the clergyman had dropped down in an apoplectic fit in the middle of the ceremony,—it would have been no legal marriage, and the man would not have been liable to punishment. It is now as little a legal marriage as if the above accidents had occurred. Besides, in this case, the change of name is voluntary on the part of the female—she is not deceived: all the circumstances had been explained to her:—she went to church, knowing the existence of another wife, and wishing that, at any rate, under the circumstances, the arrangement should take place with as much decency as possible. In fact, the second marriage was no marriage; and yet the man is punished precisely as if it were, and he had been guilty of involving a female in all the consequences of such an engagement. The *gravamen* of bigamy is the injury of the woman, and here the woman vitiates the marriage knowingly.



COOKERY.—There is a very general idea abroad, that the French are peculiarly artificial in their preparation of meats for the palate, and that the English taste is distinguished by its simplicity. In this, as in so many other things, we apprehend that the superficies alone is looked at. True, the Englishman prides himself on his joint, and pretends, when he sits before his mountain of flesh, that he is approaching to a state of nature. But with how many condiments is he not prepared to savour his viands? has he not vinegars and sauces innumerable, mustard, pepper, salt, horseradish, and other flavourous pungencies, which, when joined to gravy rich and hot, altogether make up a *plat*, worthy of any continental epicure! This is called plain living, simply because the cookery goes on in the dining-room instead of the kitchen. A Frenchman takes his dish as it pleases the *chef de cuisine* to send it, and he would as soon think of tampering with his coat as his meat,—both tailor and cook are *artists*, and each considered equal to his business. In England a gentleman relies upon his servant, a mere subordinate, for nothing but precise roasting and boiling, and is himself in reality his own meat-preparer. England expects every man to be his own cook. All that is trusted to the kitchen is the application of heat. The composition of flavours is supposed not only an art above the Leonora of the realms below, but to require the test of each individual's palate. Thus it would seem that a general system of cookery serves France, while the individuality in this country demands that each man should interfere in the composition of his own dish. What is called *seasoning* is carried to an Oriental pitch at all English tables; while in France, we are struck by the extreme insipidity of their most elaborate *chef d'œuvres*. What is called French cookery in this country, is in fact, truly English: it is the table composition manufactured over the kitchen stoves, and owns no original in France, where they strive after variety of flavours, but to the utter contempt of what an Alderman would esteem in the way of richness. Vegetable heat is absent from every French work of culinary art. There is a story of a French cook leaving his English master because he added salt to one of his preparations on its appearing at table: deeming himself an *artiste* probably of the first class, he was as much offended as a painter would be with an amateur purchaser, who newly-tinted his skies. No English cook would be offended to hear that her master had emptied all Lazenby's shop on her choicest dish. This is but a trivial discussion, but illustrates a favourite position of ours,—that national differences consist more in words than things, and that any apparent difference in the forms of things, arises from some material difference in the resources of the country. Thus, continuing the same train of illustration, in London fish is boiled because we have it fresh; in Paris it is stewed, in all forms, because the distance from the coast presents it in a putrid shape to the Paris market, and makes it unfit to be cooked in any other fashion. For the same reason, animal food of all kinds is stewed down in that country, because, from a deficiency in the breed of cattle and the art of grazing, it is neither sufficiently tender nor juicy to be offered to the masticators, without having previously undergone one of the stages of digestion.

The respect entertained for French cookery in England is the respect felt for regular art above empiricism. In England every man quacks his plate: in France the artist proceeds on a well-understood system.



The profession thus comes to be acknowledged, and the art acquires a technology which gives it importance, and, to those who glory in hard words, affords an opportunity for a vain display. Though an individual epicure may succeed on his own plate, by means of a variety of condiments, in fabricating a delicious compound, he can neither offer it to another nor give it a name: the French artist, on the contrary, when he has studied forth a new variety of palatable flavours, can offer it to a whole table, and sanctions and canonizes the dish for ever by the imposition of a title. Here are the elements of the apparent superiority of French cookery over English!

“PEARS’S CHEMICAL DURABLE INK.—For writing on silk, linen, cotton, &c., with a common pen, without any previous preparation. If *children’s* clothes were generally marked with this invaluable article, it would lead to a *discovery*, in many instances, where they may be *stolen* from their relatives and friends;—a custom so *very prevalent* in the present day, that every possible precaution ought to be taken to prevent the repetition of such an odious and criminal transaction.—Price 1s. 6d. per bottle.”

If one of Mr. Pears’s puffs should survive the wreck of nations, and be unrolled like a Herculaneum MS. for the benefit of a future age, what will the antiquaries of that day think of England, in which the prevalent custom of child-stealing made durable ink so indispensable for the marking of infant petticoats? Would not the learned dispute whether the document related to Old England or New Zealand? Would not they confound the Antipodes together, and say here they stole and here they ate children, and *vice versâ*, until it was not quite clear whether London or the Bay of Islands had the advantage of civilization? But how does the the inventive Mr. Pears reconcile the necessity of his child-preserving ink with the spread of the Malthusian doctrines? We thought it was universally understood that children were the vice of the age: they are said to be eating out the adults, undermining their dinners, usurping their platters, and, in fact, swarming like another plague of Egypt. How is it then that the “odious and criminal transaction” of child-stealing should be so rife in this wicked land? The superfluity of children has, in fact, been of late so enormous, that it has tempted villains to Burke them, under the idea that they would never be missed, and that as they were not wanted for population, they might be used for dissection, just as farmers manure the ground with sprats when they are caught in too great quantities for consumption! And in the midst of all this glut of younglings, here comes Mr. Pears with his one-and-sixpenny bottle to mark their little petticoats,—at a time, too, when mothers are far more distressed for materials to make them than ink to mark them! If Mr. Pears wishes to deserve the gratitude of posterity he should come forward with some grand nostrum, invaluable but cheap, which should prevent the little dears from infesting a family in numbers above half-a-dozen; or if, in case of their appearing at an extravagant rate, which should, by merely tipping their ears or their shoulders, “without any preparative and with a common pen,” just make angels of them, and leave their brethren to the enjoyment of a decent share of pudding. Marking ink, indeed!—when a mother has marked the little petticoats above No. 6 or 7, she may well cast aside Mr. Pears’s one-and-sixpenny bottle, and let all the rest take the chance of “the custom so very prevalent in the present day.”



## The Lion's Mouth.

“ALIENA NEGOTIA CENTUM.”—*Horat.*

*To the Lady Susan Hamilton, on her Marriage with the Earl of Lincoln.*

Fair Countess Bride ! by birth and beauty crowned !  
 Grant that an unseen, unknown hand, may fling  
 One wreath among the many to be found  
 At feet where joy's own flowers delight to spring !  
 All nature vies to deck her cherish'd rose—  
 Skies shower their richest influence on its head ;  
 The Sun his brightest radiance o'er it throws,  
 And purest zephyrs odorous fragrance shed.  
 Lady ! such rose art thou ! and practised lyres  
 Are proud to tune their strings to hymn thy praise.  
 —Call not the hand presumptuous that aspires  
 To blend this feeble with their worthier lays.  
 Fortune hath blessed thee with no common store  
 From her rich treasury—joy—and wealth—and friends ;  
 And bards ecstastic scarce can call down more  
 Than heaven propitious to its favourite lends.  
 The Persian's serene paradise be thine ! \*  
*“ Warmth without heat and coldness without cold.”*  
 If to my wish Heaven's gracious ear incline  
 Happiest, I ween, art thou of mortal mould !  
 \*       \*       \*       \*       \*       \*  
 Reynolds, with wit as modest as 'twas keen,  
 His name on Siddons' hem dared to engrave—  
 Lady, by you unknown, by you unseen,  
 Not his proud fate I emulate or crave.†  
 He gloried in his picture—I in mine—  
 Who would not joy to live at beauty's feet?  
 Lady ! my eye may ne'er again meet thine—  
 For me the painter's lot were all unmeet !

To our kind correspondent on the word “discrepitude,” we answer that discrepitude is not *quite* without authorities in its favour, though not found either in Johnson or Webster,—but that discrepancy is far the more elegant and classical expression.

“The Pilgrims of the Rhine,” a prose fiction by the author of “Pelham, &c.,” will appear in about six weeks. A contemporary critic having erroneously announced it as an annual, we beg to say, that it does *not* belong to that class of writing, although it will be illustrated by engravings from drawings by Messieurs Roberts and Parris, somewhat after the manner of Rogers's “Italy.”

Our able correspondent “Junius Redivivus” must excuse us for not inserting his reply to the letter respecting Sir R. Birnie,—a correspondence of such a nature might be interminable. Who ought justly to have the last word ?

We are sorry that the work of which Mr. Forman writes does not fall within the scope of our critical department.

---

\* Among the Persians, the idea of Paradise is—warmth without heat and coolness without cold.

† When Sir Joshua Reynolds had finished his portrait of Mrs. Siddons as the Tragic Muse, he inscribed some words on the border of her garment. These were at first thought to be Greek characters, but the courtly artist, pointing out “Joshua Reynolds, pinxit,” declared he had inserted his name thereon, hoping it might thus go down to posterity on the hem of her robe !



## BIOGRAPHICAL PARTICULARS OF CELEBRATED PERSONS, LATELY DECEASED.

SIR JOHN LESLIE.

THIS eminent philosopher was born in April, 1766, and was originally destined by his parents to follow the humble occupations connected with a small farm and mill. Before however he reached his twelfth year his fondness for calculation and geometrical exercises introduced him to the late Professor John Robinson, and by him to Professors Playfair and Stewart. When they first saw him he was still a boy, and they were much struck with the extraordinary powers which he then displayed. After some previous education, his parents were induced, in consequence of strong recommendations, and of obtaining for him the patronage of the late Earl of Kinnoul, to enter him a student at the University of St. Andrew's. Having passed some time in that ancient seminary, he removed to Edinburgh, in company with another youth, destined like himself to obtain a high niche in the temple of scientific fame—James Ivory. Whilst a student in the University there, he was introduced to and employed by Dr. Adam Smith to assist the studies of his nephew, Mr. Douglas, afterwards Lord Reston. Disliking the Church, for which we believe he had been intended by his parents, he proceeded to London, after completing the usual course of study in Edinburgh. He carried with him some recommendatory letters from Dr. Smith; and recollected that one of the most pressing injunctions with which he was honoured by this illustrious philosopher was, *to be sure, if the person to whom he was to present himself was an author, to read his book before approaching him, so as to be able to speak of it, if there should be a fit opportunity.* His earliest employment in the capital, as a literary adventurer, was derived from the late Dr. William Thomson, the author of a “Life of Philip the Third.” Dr. Thomson's ready pen was often used for others, who took or got the merit of his labours; and if we recollect rightly, he employed Mr. Leslie in writing or correcting notes for an edition of the Bible with notes, then publishing in numbers, under some popular theological name. Mr. Leslie's first important undertaking was a translation of Buffon's “Natural History of Birds,” which was published in 1793, in nine octavo volumes. The sum he received for it laid the foundation of that pecuniary independence which, unlike many other men of genius, his prudent habits fortunately enabled him early to attain. The preface to this work, which was published anonymously, is characterized by all the peculiarities of his later style; but it also bespeaks a mind of great native vigour and lofty conceptions, strongly touched with admiration for the sublime and the grand in nature and science. Some time afterwards he proceeded to the United States of America, as a tutor to one of the distinguished family of the Randolphs; and after his return to Britain, he engaged with the late Mr. Thomas Wedgwood to accompany him to the Continent, various parts of which he visited with that accomplished person, whose early death he ever lamented as a loss to science and to his country.

At what period Mr. Leslie first struck into that brilliant field of inquiry where he became so conspicuous for his masterly experiments and striking discoveries regarding radiant heat, and the connexion between light and heat, we are unable to say. His differential thermometer—one of the most beautiful and delicate instruments that inductive genius ever contrived as a help to experimental inquiry, and which rewarded its author by its happy ministry to the success of some of his finest experiments—was invented before the year 1800; as it was described, we think, in “Nicholson's Philosophical Journal” some time during that year. The results of those fine inquiries, in which he was so much aided by this exquisite instrument, were published to the world in 1804, in his celebrated “Essay on the Nature and Propagation of Heat.” The experimental devices and remarkable discoveries which distinguish this publication, far more than atone for its great defects of method, its very questionable theories, and its transgressions against that simplicity of style which its aspiring author rather spurned than was unable to exemplify, but which must be allowed to be a quality peculiarly indispensable to the communication of scientific knowledge. The work was honoured, in the following year, by the unanimous adjudication to its author, by the Council of the Royal Society, of the Rumford medals, which were appropriated to reward discoveries in that branch of science,



which he had so much illustrated and extended. In the same year also the subject of our notice was elected to fill the mathematical chair in the University of Edinburgh.

In the year 1810 he arrived, through the assistance of his hygrometer, at the discovery of that singularly beautiful process of artificial congelation which enabled him to convert water and mercury into ice.

Mr. Leslie was removed to the Chair of Natural Philosophy in 1819, on the death of Professor Playfair. He had previously published his "Elements of Geometry," and an "Account of Experiments on Instruments depending on the Relation of Air to Heat and Moisture." Of his "Elements of Natural Philosophy," afterwards compiled for the use of his class, only one volume has been published. He wrote, besides the works mentioned, some admirable articles in the "Edinburgh Review;" and several very valuable treatises on different branches of physics, in the Supplement to the "Encyclopædia Britannica." His last, and certainly one of his best and most interesting compositions, was a "Discourse on the History of Mathematical and Physical Science," during the eighteenth century, prefixed to the seventh edition, now publishing, of that national Encyclopædia. He received the honour of knighthood in the present year, on the suggestion, we believe, of the Lord Chancellor.

It would be impossible, we think, for any intelligent and well-constituted mind to review the labours of this distinguished man without a strong feeling of admiration for his inventive genius and vigorous powers, and of respect for that extensive knowledge which his active curiosity, his various reading, and his happy memory, had enabled him to attain. Some few of his contemporaries, in the same walks of science may have excelled him in profundity of understanding, in philosophical caution, and in logical accuracy; but we doubt if any surpassed him, whilst he must be allowed to have surpassed many, in that creative faculty—one of the highest and rarest of nature's gifts—which leads, and is necessary to discovery, though not all-sufficient of itself for the formation of safe conclusions; or in that subtilty and reach of discernment which seizes the finest and least obvious relations among the objects of science—which elicits the hidden secrets of Nature, and ministers to new combinations of her powers.

His reading extended to every nook and corner, however obscure, that books have touched upon. He was a lover, too, and that in no ordinary degree, of what is commonly called anecdote. Though he did not shine in mixed society, and was latterly unfitted by a considerable degree of deafness for enjoying it, his conversation, when seated with one or two, was highly entertaining. It had no wit, little repartee, and no fine turns of any kind; but it had a strongly-original and racy cast, and was replete with striking remarks and curious information. His faults were far more than compensated by his many good qualities—by his constant equanimity, his cheerfulness, his simplicity of character, almost infantine, his straightforwardness, his perfect freedom from affectation, and, above all, his unconquerable good-nature. He was, indeed, one of the most placable of human beings; and if, as has been thought, he generally had a steady eye, in his worldly course, to his own interest, it cannot be denied that he was, notwithstanding, a warm and good friend, and a relation on whose affectionate assistance a firm reliance could ever be placed.

In private life, no man was ever more thoroughly sincere, simple, and unaffected. There was not a shade of hypocrisy or assumption in his character: he said at all times exactly what he thought, and never dreamed of disguising or modifying any opinion. Hence he was supposed by some, who only knew him imperfectly, to have foibles of which he was quite as free as most other men; the only thing which he lacked being the art to conceal and varnish.

#### PROFESSOR SCARPA.

Antonio Scarpa was born at Friuli, in the year 1745. His family was obscure and humble, and it was only through the assistance afforded him by a distant relative that he was enabled to pursue his early studies; his protector, however, soon dying, left young Scarpa entirely dependent upon his own resources. Obstacles and difficulties now surrounded the young student upon all sides, yet they did not quench that ardour and thirst for knowledge which were such great characteristics in his after career of life; he "bated no jot of heart or hope," he clung the firmer to the profession which he had chosen, and in proportion to the struggles which he saw it would be incumbent on him to make, did he persevere with an enthusiasm which was soon crowned with the most encouraging success. His first work, a



treatise on the structural anatomy of the "*Finestra Rotunda*," was written at an early age, and excited general attention. This first work was followed up in a few years by some able disquisitions on the senses of hearing and smell, which raised the young author to the first rank among anatomists. Hitherto his celebrity had been confined to his own country, but it was his work on the "*Nerves of the Heart*" which first attracted the attention of the anatomists of Europe towards him. Treatise after treatise now came from his pen, and among them the "*Commentary on the Intimate Structure of the Bones*." In the year 1800 the "*Essay on the chief Diseases of the Eye*" made its appearance: it has gone through several editions in the original, and has been translated into almost every language in Europe. It was in this work that Scarpa so successfully advocated the propriety of depression in cataract—an operation which he rescued from disuse, if not from oblivion. In 1809 appeared the splendid folio on "*Hernia*," which displayed the true and scientific hand of a master in every line. It would be needless here, and our space will not permit us to notice further in this place than to particularize his papers on Lithotomy, Hydrocele, Aneurism, Deformities, &c. He was a Member of the Italian Institute, a foreign Associate of the Academy of Sciences of Paris, and a Fellow of the Royal Society of London. When the Professors of the University of Pavia threw themselves at the feet of the conqueror of Marengo, Scarpa alone was absent, but it was observed that Buonaparte did not overlook this open neglect of homage. By the house of Austria his talents and his loyalty were duly valued. When one of the first wives of the present Emperor of Austria was dangerously attacked, a flag of truce (for it was war time) was sent to demand the services of Scarpa. The surgeon of Italy crossed the Tyrol, occupied as it was by the two hostile armies,—the French outposts put him into the hands of the Austrians, and a similar formality was observed on his return. Scarpa had an exquisite taste for the fine arts, and possessed a noble collection of paintings, by the Italian masters. The museum of Pavia also owes to him much of its valuable contents. In person he was tall, his figure graceful, and, to the last (notwithstanding his great age,) perfectly erect. In his manners he was gentlemanly and amiable. He spoke several languages, but the Latin he decidedly preferred: simple in his mode of living, he had only few wants to gratify, and he is understood to have died in the possession of a large fortune.

## DR. SPURZHEIM.

Science has, during the month, lost one of its most indefatigable professors. The name of Dr. Spurzheim has been universally known and as widely respected throughout the whole civilized globe. He was born on the 31st of December, 1776, at Tongueits, a village near Treves, on the Moselle. His parents cultivated a farm of the rich Abbey of St. Maximin de Trèves, and he received his college education at the University of that city. He was destined for the Church, but in 1799, when the French invaded that part of Germany, he went to study medicine at Vienna, where he became acquainted with Dr. Gall, with whom he remained for so many years in close connexion. He entered with great zeal into the consideration of the new doctrine of Phrenology; and, to use his own words, "he was simply a hearer of Dr. Gall till 1804, at which period he associated with him in his labours, and his character of hearer ceased."

Dr. Gall was at this time struggling, with but little prospect of success, to obtain converts to his novel and striking, but singular and unpalatable, theory; and in Dr. Spurzheim he found one who entered into his views with his whole soul. From this junction phrenology, as a science, may date its birth.

Having completed his medical studies, he and Dr. Gall quitted Vienna in 1805, to travel together, and to pursue in common their researches into the anatomy and physiology of the whole nervous system. During the period which elapsed between the introduction of Dr. Gall's Lectures, at Vienna, and the time when he and Dr. Spurzheim quitted that capital, the doctrine had made a rapid progress, not only in general diffusion, but in solid and important additions, by their joint labours.

From 1804 to 1813 they were constantly together, and their researches were conducted in common. They left Vienna in March, 1805, to proceed direct to Berlin, and from that time until November, 1807, visited the following places, jointly lecturing and pursuing dissections of the brain:—Leipzig, Dresden, Halle, Jena, Weimar, Göttingen, Brauerschweig, Copenhagen, Keil, Hamburgh, Bremen, Munster, Amsterdam, Leyden, Dusseldorf, Frankfort, Wurtzbourg, Maubourg, Stuttgart, Carlsruhe, Tustall, Friezbourghen, Brisgau, Doneschingue, Heidelberg, Manheim, Munich, Augsburg, Ulm, Zurich, Berne, and Basle.



From this period until 1810 he was engaged with Dr. Gall in compiling and bringing out in Paris their great work, entitled "*Anatomie et Physiologie du Systeme Nerveux en Général, et du cerveau en particulier.—Avec des observations sur la possibilité de reconnoître plusieurs dispositions intellectuelles et morales de l'homme et des animaux, par la configuration de leurs têtes.*" Par F. F. Gall et G. Spurzheim, in four volumes folio, and One Hundred Atlas Plates. Price 1000 francs (40*l.* sterling.)

After its completion their joint labours ceased, when Dr. Spurzheim published his "*Observations sur Phrenologie,*" his works on education, and some other small works in French. In 1813 he paid another visit to Vienna, where he took his degree of M.D. In 1814 he arrived in this country. During his stay here he published two editions of his *Physiognomical System*, in 8vo.; his *Outlines*, 12mo.; and his octavo work on *Insanity*. He delivered lectures in London, Bath, Bristol, Dublin, Cork, Liverpool, and Edinburgh.

Dr. Spurzheim continued his labours in Paris until 1825, contributing "largely to the advancement of Phrenology, by enriching it with important discoveries; by introducing into it philosophic arrangement, and pointing out its application to many interesting purposes connected with the human mind." In 1825, at the solicitation of a great number of his friends, he again visited London, and gave a course of Lectures at the Crown and Anchor, to a numerous class; another short course at Willis's Rooms, and several courses of Dissection of the Brain at St. Thomas's and St. Bartholomew Hospitals, and some in the Medical Schools. During his residence among us he published his "*Phrenology, or the Doctrine of the Mind, and of its relations between its Manifestations and the Body,*" with Fifteen Engravings; also "*A View of the Philosophical Principles of Phrenology.*" Having made a considerable impression, he was again invited to visit England, when, after lecturing in London, he went to Bath, Bristol, Birmingham, Manchester, Liverpool, Derby, and Cambridge University; and during this and the following years he sojourned at most of the principal places in England, Ireland, and Scotland, lecturing to very large classes, and obtaining the esteem and regard of all who had the pleasure of enjoying his society; by such he was invariably spoken of in the highest terms as a scholar and a gentleman, and a true philosopher. During this latter period he published "*The Anatomy of the Brain, with a general View of the Nervous System,*" with Eleven Plates. "*Phrenology in Connexion with the Study of Physiognomy,*" with Thirty-four Plates. "*A Sketch of the Natural Laws of Man;*" "*Outlines of the Science;*" and several pamphlets, letters, and answers to objections made to the science.

During the latter part of the year 1829 he lost Madame Spurzheim (who had made all his drawings for his late works, and all the lithographic engravings of his works in connexion with *Physiognomy*). In consequence of this loss, and having received pressing invitations from America, he embarked for that country in June last.

The following passages from an interesting letter addressed by a gentleman in Boston, to Mr. George Combe, of Edinburgh, gives the particulars of his death, and also the estimation in which he was held by the Americans. The letter states that "he died in Boston on the 10th instant, at eleven o'clock P.M., after an illness of about three weeks. On the 17th of September he commenced a course of Lectures on Phrenology in this city, and soon after another course at Harvard University, Cambridge. These Lectures occupied six evenings in the week. He delivered besides a course of five Lectures before the Medical Faculty, on the anatomy of the brain, in the daytime. The subject having met with the most favourable reception, he laboured with great earnestness and pains to elucidate his principles,—being personally admired by our citizens, his time and presence were in constant demand. Added to these continued engagements, our peculiarly changeable climate had an unfavourable influence on his constitution. Sudden change exposed him to cold, and an incautious transition from a warm lecture-room to the evening air was attended with debilitating effects. Regarding his illness of less consequence than the delivery of his lectures, he exerted himself for several days, when prudence required an entire cessation from labour. This was the fatal step; cold produced fever, and this imprudence seemed to settle the fever in his system. His death has cast a gloom over our city. It is not lamented with the cold formality of the world; it produces grief of the most poignant character, and it is expressed in the deepest tones of affected humanity. Although he had been with us but a few weeks, his virtues



and worth were known and acknowledged. His amiable manners, his practical knowledge, his benevolent disposition and purposes, his active and discriminating mind, all engaged the good opinions of the prejudiced, and won the affections of the candid. Alas, how inexplicable are the decrees of Divine Providence! His body has been examined by the medical faculty, and embalmed. This was thought advisable in case his relations should have a desire to remove it. Casts of his head and brain have been taken, and his heart and lungs have been preserved." One of his most intimate friends and fellow-labourers—M. De Ville—by whom the principal portion of this memoir has been contributed, adds this tribute from his personal knowledge of the man:—"Phrenology is essentially the science of morals, and Dr. Spurzheim practised the doctrines which he taught. He was eminently virtuous, and uniformly denounced vice as the parent of misery. He had profound sentiments of religion, in harmony with reason. He was simple in his tastes, eminently kind, cheerful, and liberal in his disposition, capable of warm and enduring attachments, and in his habits temperate, active, and laborious."

#### BARON NEWBOROUGH.

At Glynillifon, the Right Hon. Thomas John Wynn, Baron Newborough, in his 31st year. His lordship was the eldest son of the Baroness Steynberg, (Lady Newborough,) who has lately issued some papers against the legitimacy of the King of the French. Her ladyship, before her marriage with the late Lord Newborough, was Maria Stella Petronella, daughter of Chappini, an Italian gaoler, and by her union with his lordship had two sons, the late Lord, and the Hon. Spencer Bulkeley Wynn, the present Peer. Lady Newborough's work was written to prove that she is by birth a Princess of the House of Orleans, and that the King of the French is the son of Chappini, who was exchanged for her at their respective births. Lady Newborough, after the death of her Lord, formed a second matrimonial alliance in the person of Baron Steynberg, an Austrian nobleman. It is asserted that the present Lord Newborough and his deceased relative have been noticed in passing through the streets of Paris for their extraordinary likeness to the Duke of Orleans and his family. Lord Newborough, who was in his 31st year, was unmarried, and represented the county of Carnarvon in one Parliament. The Peerage is Irish, and bears date 1776.

#### LORD RIBBLESDALE.

Lord Ribblesdale died early in the month at Leamington, in his 43d year. He was a nobleman of retired habits, generally residing on his estate at Gisborn, Yorkshire, which had been the principal residence of his family for five hundred years. The possessions of the noble house of Lister upon the borders of the river which gives origin to the title, are, by descent, of some antiquity, having been acquired about the 6th of Edward the Second, 1312, by the marriage of John, son of Sir Thomas Lister, with Isabel, daughter and heiress of John de Bolton, from whom the present possessor is 19th in lineal descent. The late Lord succeeded to the Barony (of the creation of 1797), in Sept. 22, 1826, and formed a matrimonial connexion in the same year with Adelaide, daughter of the late Thomas Lister, Esq., by whom he has left an infant family of one son and two daughters. The present Lord Ribblesdale is only in his fifth year, and he is, therefore, the youngest Peer of the realm, as Lady Ribblesdale, his mother, is in respect of age the junior of the widowed Peeresses. Lord Ribblesdale was a supporter of Conservative principles, and voted in the House of Lords against the Reform Bill.

#### THE EARL OF KILMOREY.

This excellent and patriotic nobleman died on the 21st November, at his seat, Shavington, in Shropshire, aged about 85. His Lordship was a descendant of the very ancient family of the Nedeams, of that county; was twelfth Viscount Kilmorey in the peerage of Ireland, to which title he succeeded on the death of his brother Robert, in November 1818, and was by his late Majesty, in 1822, created Earl of Kilmorey and Viscount Newry and Morne, in Ireland. His Lordship was one of the oldest generals in the army, had served in the American war, and was at his death colonel of the 86th regiment of foot. His loss will be severely felt, not only by his numerous family and friends, but by his tenantry, and the poor on his extensive estates both in England and Ireland, among whom, and in the latter more particularly, he expended a considerable part of the income he derived from them.



He was a liberal landlord, and a kind, benevolent, and steadfast friend. His Lordship is succeeded by his eldest son, Francis Jack, Viscount Newry, now Earl of Kilmorey.

SIR HENRY BLACKWOOD, K.C.B.

This distinguished officer died on the 14th of December, at Ballyhedy House, the seat of his brother, Lord Dufferin and Clanboye, in the county of Down. He was in his 62d year, and was the fifth son of Sir John Blackwood, Bart. Sir Henry early distinguished himself in his profession; and at the victory of Trafalgar commanded the *Euryalus* frigate, and was the bearer of the despatches from Lord Collingwood announcing that glorious event. He also rendered himself eminently conspicuous by his gallant conduct when commanding the *Penelope* in the Mediterranean, by his capture of the *Guillaume Tell*, a French 80-gun ship, which struck her flag to Sir Henry, after a smart engagement. He subsequently commanded the *Warspite*, 74, on the Mediterranean station, and was created a Baronet of the United Kingdom by his late Majesty, when Prince Regent, on the occasion of his steering the royal barge on the visit of the Allied Sovereigns to Portsmouth in July, 1814. Sir Henry's commission of Vice-Admiral bears date July 19, 1821. Sir Henry was Groom of the Bedchamber to his present Majesty, when Duke of Clarence, and he retained his place in the royal household to the period of his demise.

---

## CRITICAL NOTICES.

History of the Greek Revolution. By Thomas Gordon, F.R.S. 2 vols. large 8vo.

THE glory of the ancient Greeks, their extraordinary proficiency in "the arts of war and peace," and the deep degradation of their modern descendants, are historical facts familiar to every reader. It was through Greece that the first rays of intellectual light and civilization penetrated the darkness of the European continent, and the seeds of knowledge, wafted from the shores of Africa and Asia Minor, lighted on a soil so congenial to their growth, that the Greeks, having once emerged from the barbarism of savage life, speedily surpassed their Phœnician and Egyptian instructors, and took their acknowledged station at the head of the whole human race. Even at the commencement of their magnificent career, we see them not only giving a Homer and a Hesiod to the world—a possession to posterity for ever—but, though poor and divided at home, covering the shores of the Mediterranean with flourishing colonies, and extending the traces of civilization even to the wilds of Scythia. The glorious exploits of the succeeding period are too well known to need recital. The enthusiastic love of liberty, combined with military skill and valour, of but a part of this small yet mighty people, overthrew, in a series of brilliant and astonishing victories, the gigantic power of Persia, and crushed the brute force of an engrossing despotism never to rise again. It would be quite beside our purpose, however, and something too much perhaps for our readers' patience, to offer even the briefest summary of the ancient history of Greece. A rapid retrospect of the various phases which that illustrious and unfortunate nation has presented during the last two thousand five hundred years, will be found in the introduction to Colonel Gordon's very valuable work, which, notwithstanding the *forty* authors, whom, he informs us, the recent struggle in Greece has called forth, will, we are persuaded, take its place as a standard book in the historical libraries of England. His own peculiar claims to consideration are thus briefly and, as we think, modestly put forward:—

"Conceiving that a day would come when a work more connected, and written on a larger basis, will be acceptable to literary men, the author of the following pages has presumed to take upon himself the task of composing it; because, having served in the Greek army, and lived several years in close intimacy with the people of Hellas, he is indebted to the friendship of numerous individuals who bore a distinguished part in their country's affairs, as well as to the kindness of his Philhellenic comrades, for authentic materials which are not likely either to survive the present generation, or to fall in the way of others. At the same time he has thought



it his duty carefully to peruse all former publications on the topic of Greece, neither affecting to differ from his predecessors where they are correct, nor admitting anything upon their authority unless when assured of its exactitude by his own observations, or by collating oral and MS. evidence worthy of credit. His study, in short, has been, by clearing away exaggeration, rectifying errors and anachronisms, and supplying omissions, to represent the Greek revolution as it really was."

It is but justice to Colonel Gordon to say that he has fully attained this object up to the period when Greece, by the formal recognition of the three great powers, was virtually emancipated from the Turkish yoke, and admitted into the family of European states. But though the immediate object for which the well-known Hetæritic conspiracy was set on foot in 1821 was then accomplished, the *revolution* cannot even yet be said to have terminated. Accordingly, our author promises, that, should the present hope of the establishment of a regular and permanent government be verified, he may be induced to describe, in a supplemental volume, the succession of events from the presidency of Count Capo D'stria to the accession of King Otho the First. Sincerely do we hope that Greece may be indeed permitted to rest from the strife and divisions by which she has so long been torn and made miserable, and enjoy peace and prosperity under the auspices of a firm and regulated freedom; and when she "sits as a queen and knows no sorrow," we shall very gladly hail the re-appearance of Colonel Gordon in the field of history, to tell the story of that happier time.

Euripides. Vol. I. Translated by Rev. R. Potter, A.M. Vol. XXXIV. of Valpy's Classical Library.

We have no space for a dissertation on the merits and defects of Euripides. We think the former have been disparaged and the latter exaggerated in the criticism of the present day. It is obviously inconsistent with the true principles which should guide a decision in matters of poetical taste, to make a man criminal for failure in that which he never attempted: yet this has been done in the case of Euripides. He has been condemned for defect of sublimity and dignity; and this judgment has been allowed to rest on a comparison between him and Æschylus. But it is obvious that he never attempted to be sublime; he knew well that was not his *forte*, and he prudently made perfection in another department of literary enterprise the object of his endeavours. He could not, it is said, have written the "Prometheus,"—granted; neither could Lord Byron have written "Paradise Lost." After all, this sort of contrast proves nothing. Two great authors can be fairly compared only when the spirit of their respective compositions is alike. A resemblance merely in form is always illusory. Pope wrote "poetry," and so did Mrs. Yearsley, the milkwoman. In short, if Euripides is considered on the ground of his own peculiar merits, or be compared with other poets of his own character of mind, one opinion only can be formed—that never have the passions received more vivid expression by dead symbols, than is given them in the works of Euripides; never have hope and fear, and the love that laughs at fear, and rage and jealousy, and envy, that poisons the air in which happiness breathes, and tenderness and pity, and the rest of those swayers of our mortal destiny, been more successfully embraced than by him to whom Salamis gave birth. One word on the present publication. We regret that a more effective memoir of the poet was not prefixed to the Translation,—a work which, in other respects, deserves our approbation: not, indeed, that we are great admirers of the translatory abilities of Mr. Potter, who is, in general, tame and sober enough, but because we know not whom to recommend as a substitute. We have a faint idea of what might have been effected by Shelley, from the specimens which he has left; but as it is useless to regret what cannot be repaired, we again express our approbation of the present performance. The work is well "got up," and tastefully adorned with a bust of Euripides.

Official Reports on the Cholera in Dantzic. By Dr. Hamett.

In June, 1831, Dr. Hamett was commissioned by Government to proceed to Dantzic in order to investigate and report upon the epidemic cholera, then raging in that city; and having accomplished the object of his mission he returned to England, and laid before the first Board of Health, then sitting at the College of Physicians, the results of his inquiries. The accuracy and public as well as pro-



fessional usefulness of which were so clearly apparent that the Committee of the College, in their letter to the Privy Council, recommended the printing of them for the public information, as forming "a very valuable addition to the then known experience of the disease, procured by great diligence and painful and unremitted observation." The opinions of Dr. Hamett (as we shall more fully show presently) went most clearly and positively to prove the true non-contagious nature of the disease. This doctrine was decidedly opposed to that inculcated by Sir W. Russell and Sir D. Barry, whose reports had just then arrived from St. Petersburg. Pope's adage, "Party is the madness of many for the gain of a few," was never more clearly exemplified than in the contemptuous conduct of those whose party had the strongest influence with the Privy Council: theirs was madness itself personified, and those contagionists who have followed their delusive doctrines are now proved to have been few and worthless in number. The Privy Council appear to have given the Doctor all the credit due to the diligence and zeal with which he performed his services, although his views of the communicability of the disease do not appear to have been quite so palatable to their Lordships. In the introduction to the work before us, Dr. Hamett says—

"To my labours in the investigation of the epidemic in Dantzic, the Government and the public had certainly every claim,—but my professional reputation, whatever it may be, is my own natural right; for who can justifiably condemn or approve my conclusions until after he has maturely considered and weighed all the facts upon which they are founded? Left *solely to my own resources*, I was naturally induced to solicit, and soon after was graciously granted in the beginning of May last, permission from the Lords of the Council to present to the public the substance of my official reports."

Of these we are happy to be enabled to speak in high terms of praise and commendation. Of the numerous *local* reports on Cholera, both at home and abroad, which we have seen, there are none more complete or perfect in all their details than those contained in the volume before us. The account of the medical topography and climate of Dantzic, with a circumstantial report of the first appearance of Cholera there, occupy the first part of the reports. The chapters on the description of the three principal forms of the disease, the pathological reports, and the author's opinions on the preventive treatment, all deserve the most attentive perusal. It is, however, in the twelfth chapter, where Dr. Hamett has considered the question of contagion more at length, that he has earned for himself a just claim to the title of a true and staunch supporter of the doctrines of non-contagion. The arguments which he puts forth are clear and lucid, the reasonings deduced from them are at all times just and true; and had Dr. Hamett written nought but this chapter, it would have well deserved a place in the library of every medical man in the kingdom. Our limits will only allow of our making the following extracts:—

"It is necessary to premise that, whenever the word infection is used, that occasional act or power resulting from, and inherent in, certain modified states of the atmosphere, is meant, which manifests a specific morbid influence on the animal economy, and especially on that of all who, from constitution and habit, or from antecedent circumstances in living, may be said to be similarly predisposed to its influence, without, at the same time, the disease so produced being necessarily propagated from person to person by immediate proximity or contact. Such modified states of the atmosphere are accordingly termed *infectious*."

"By contagion is meant that occasional causation in certain diseased persons; or minutely speaking, in their tissues, their secretions and excretions, their breath, and the *effluvia* arising from their persons, and their unaired and unwashed clothes; and consequently in the close air in which they are more immediately confined, which exerts a similar morbid influence on persons coming in immediate contact with them, or within the influential limits of the air, so far rendered morbid by the *effluvia* arising from their persons; and this, be it recollected, independently of an infectious state of the atmosphere of the place at large, or any further deleterious modification of it by any bad state of the locality itself. The diseases in such persons are accordingly called *contagious*."

Much as we have said of the work before us, we cannot conclude this notice without recommending it to the perusal of all who are interested on the subject of Cholera, as a volume constituting as complete a history of the epidemic in one place as could well be written.

A Plan of Universal Education. By William Frend, Esq. 12mo. London. 1832.

This little tract ought to be bound up with Sir Thomas More's Utopia. If any on the perusal should, however, conceive the plan which it recommends to be prac-



ticable, we have no objection to their attempting to carry it into effect. There is nothing essentially in human nature to render success hopeless; and this being the case, it is, no doubt, *possible* to construct society on those principles, which might render its operation easy and effectual. But another foundation must be laid, and the existing fabric taken down and built afresh, on a totally different plan, before education, after this fashion, can have the slightest chance. It may do for a new world; and many of its suggestions may be profitably introduced into the improved systems that are already at work; but church and state must be altered before the plan of universal education, recommended by Mr. Friend, can be regarded in any other light than a beautiful fiction of the imagination.

The Preacher: containing Sermons by Eminent Living Divines. Vol. IV. 8vo. London. 1832.

In a former number we noticed "The Pulpit;" the publication before us is of a similar character. The sermons are taken in short-hand from the mouth of the preacher as they are delivered; but, as we understand, are submitted afterwards to his inspection, and are published with his knowledge and approbation. Churchmen and Dissenters meet in these pages on one common ground; and we are struck with the general agreement among them on all the great points of doctrinal Christianity. For our parts, we wonder where the difference between them lies, and we look in vain for the confirmation of the Popish censure upon Protestants—that they have almost as many differing sects as congregations. We see variety, but no difference, in the sense of the word which implies dissension and opposition of views. They are all Christians, maintaining for the most part the same creed; and we should be glad to learn why they cannot officiate in each other's pulpits, and live together as one fold under one shepherd? Surely if nations must have established churches, they ought to be founded on such liberal principles as will embrace all sincere Christians. We do not perceive that the Lord Bishop of London is a whit more orthodox, or possesses an atom more of talent and ministerial qualification, than his dissenting brethren who appear in these pages without any high-sounding appendage to their names. If this volume affords, as we imagine it does, a fair average of the kind of Christian teaching dispensed from our metropolitan pulpits, then have we abundant reason to congratulate all parties on the rapid advances which they are making in the science of true religion. We hope the practice will follow; and especially that charity, the bond of perfectness, will be cultivated, to the exclusion, not only of sectarian bitterness, but of unbrotherly feeling.

1. French, English, and Latin Vocabulary. By T. A. Gibson.
2. Turner's Latin Exercises. Edited by George Ferguson.

We hardly know what to say about the use of vocabularies as school-books. If intended to take a prominent place in elementary instruction, we are persuaded they fail of their object. They may be advantageously used, certainly, by those who have made some progress in the language, as a means of increasing the *copia verborum* by association either with other radical elements of the same language, or with those of other languages. The work before us is intended to serve as a means of acquiring the French nomenclature to pupils who previously know something of Latin. We approve of the principle of referring one language to another. It fixes both, more or less, in the memory. But let no one who takes up a book of this kind suppose that, in learning French words, he is learning the French language; nothing of the sort,—no more than he who heaps loads of rough bricks together builds a house. The acquisition and the application of languages depend upon the study of masses of words, arranged with regard to a certain end. Had we time and opportunity, we might be inclined to break a lance with Mr. Gibson touching the correctness of some of his etymological derivations. We prefer doing what, perhaps, is better for him—recommending his little book as discovering some ingenuity, and as likely to serve, with good effect, the purposes of a book of reference.

No. 2 requires very little notice: it is well printed and neatly arranged. Those who think much Latin is really gained by wading through books of exercises like the present, in the use of which the pupil is little better than a mere Latin



machine—altering the terminations of words, and that is all he has to alter, merely because he is told to do so, without any demand upon his memory or reflection—those, we say, who are of that opinion, may employ this book with satisfaction. One thing is rather singular. The title-page tells us George Ferguson is the author, or compiler, of the work. The first words of the preface are—“These Exercises, being at first composed by the ingenious Mr. Turner,” &c. Has Mr. Ferguson so materially altered the book that it is no longer Mr. Turner’s? If so, why write “*these exercises*?” If they are, in spite of Mr. Ferguson’s improvements, still Mr. Turner’s, why is the “ingenious” gentleman toppled down from his throne by the usurpation of one of the masters of the Edinburgh Academy? But we have occupied quite time enough with this frivolous question, the only pleasure in treating which is, that it reminds us of the celebrated puzzle of the Athenian school respecting the “personal identity” of a ship, which, in the lapse of many years, had changed all its timbers in course of repairing. We do not know if the cases are altogether analogous; we only know one has suggested the other in our mind.

Reflections on the Politics, Intercourse, and Trade of the Ancient Nations of Africa. By A. H. L. Heeren. Vol. I.

We are quite sure that such a recommendation as we can give of this work will add little or nothing to the reputation in which the author is so justly held here and on the continent. It is true that some of his theories may be impugned, some of his statements controverted, but it is also true that never, until now, has so comprehensive a philosophy been exerted on the perplexity and intricate details of ancient African history. We see everywhere in Heeren’s work the traces of a mind which mocks at difficulties in the ardour of its investigations, yet which is too much under the influence of sound and fixed principles to let that ardour hurry it into preposterous hypotheses. A rigid judgment, subordinate to the relation between facts in the mind of the author, and a correct method presents them in a satisfactory point of view to his readers. The present volume investigates the politics of the Carthaginians and Æthiopians, and is introduced by an admirable essay on the political and commercial department of history in general. We regret that our limits do not allow of our attempting an analysis of the work for the satisfaction of those readers whose taste leads them to studies of this kind. We, however, consult their interests more, when we cordially recommend them to the work itself.

Lives of Eminent British Military Commanders. Vol. III. By Rev. G. R. Gleig.

This volume is highly interesting. It contains the lives of Lord Clive, Marquis Cornwallis, Sir Ralph Abercromby, and Sir John Moore, written with much spirit and knowledge of the subject. The most interesting are those of Clive and Moore—the one remarkable for his spirit and decision in chief command—the other for superior conduct when in a situation subordinate to the highest. The last contains a most stirring description of the unfortunate march and retreat in Spain, which ended in the engagement at Corunna. Mr. Gleig thinks Sir John Moore exceedingly remiss and spiritless in this affair, in opposition to the views of Colonel Napier in his “History of the Peninsular War.” One word on another subject. Mr. Gleig seems very fond of such constructions as these:—“It were contrary to the plan of this work did we enter into this more fully.” We question whether this is idiomatical English. Nor is the style without an occasional slovenliness, which surprises us in an author who can command so many of the graces of composition. But when we are thus driven to verbal criticism, the reader may believe there is little solid ground for animadversion.

Life of Peter the Great. By J. Barrow, Esq. Vol. XXXV. of the Family Library.

If an account of the public career and private character of a man who changed the destinies and character of a great empire, by the influence of exertions emanating from himself, can make an interesting volume, we think there is little to



complain of in that before us. One thing has always struck us in the circumstances under which Peter acted, and that is—that he wrought up a mass of men who would have remained inert without his exertions. There was nothing in the circumstances themselves which gave a reformer those helps by which a solid fabric is often founded. Peter ever formed the tools with which he operated on the rough elements of political and commercial greatness. Mr. Barrow justly supports the authority of Voltaire, which has been absurdly questioned. Great industry seems to have been employed in amassing the materials for this volume. We cannot say so much for the arrangement of them. In this there is much confusion. We have looked in vain for the time of Peter's birth. It may be in some note near the middle or end of the book, which we passed hastily over, but most assuredly it is not in its proper place. Mr. Barrow is not particularly correct in his quotations. He goes rather out of his way to give Campbell a rap for filching, from *Young*, the line—

“ Like angels' visits—few and far between.”

We must say, amidst all this cry of “ stop thief ! ” that the fate of Blair, to whom the line belongs, is very much to be deplored. He certainly is the worst treated of the three. Notwithstanding this, we cordially recommend to our readers this interesting volume.

### Lyric Leaves. By Cornelius Webbe. 12mo.

This book is full of beauty and of promise—we subjoin proofs of our assertion.

#### TO THE NIGHT-STAR.

“ FAIR Star, that beautifies the swarthy night,  
Art thou, indeed, no more than thou dost seem,—  
A halo, a bright spark, shooting thy gleam  
Through thickly-gathering glooms, intent to light  
Late wanderers on their way, whether on stream  
Or shore, less safe, and show them their true plight,  
And where dark danger lurks from wicked sprite  
And headlong cliff?—or art thou, as some deem,  
A world thyself, superior to this Earth,  
This self-imagined ALL, this moulded dust,  
This toy o' the heavens,—whose vainness surely must  
Be serious matter for thy wiser mirth?—  
Whate'er thou art, I lowly worship thee,  
As the fair work of Him who bade thee burn eternally.”

What a rich gem is the piece entitled “ Fairy Revels ; ”—how it glitters and sparkles !—Take the following description :—

“ In sooth it is a curious sight to see  
Them wind the verdant glade traced out to be  
The stage for dance, and rout, and revelry !—  
Soon as still Night upon the wakeful Hours  
Imposed her silence, and the day-born flowers  
Shut till the dawn their golden censers sweet,—  
In quaggy dingle, where their glancing feet,  
Soft as the down of swans, alone dare tread,—  
While yet the stars not half their course have sped,  
Ere Cynthia yet has turned her harvest beams  
Full on the earth, and silver-strow'd the streams,  
The Fairy World, roused from their chinky cells  
In grots unkenn'd by Man, and flower-bells  
Blooming afar from touch of human hand,  
By general summons to all Fairy Land,  
Muster as soon as call'd, like summer swarm  
Of gnats that play when Evening fears no storm.

“ This way they come !—I see the honour'd ground  
Mark'd for their masque ; and hear the fitful sound,  
Now near, now distant, of their herald horn  
Along the languid air with drowsy slowness borne ;  
The shout, the chorus, and the band of shells,  
The lyres by soft winds twang'd, the pealing bells ;—



Behold the numerous lights dim-twinkling seen,  
Which point the pathway of the Fairy Queen ;  
The glistening arms, and helms, and armour bright,  
Pour on my pleased ear, and glance upon my sight.

“ And first come on a martial-marching troop  
Of tuneful-stepping Fays ; and now a group,  
In sheeny garments gaily glittering,  
O'er mossy turf come swimming ring in ring ;  
Each heart as light as the small, frolic feet  
That shake but do not shed the dewy weat,  
Like jewels pending from the daisy's crown  
Sunk in cool slumber on the freshening down.  
Swift they sweep on, with antic-tripping tread,  
By prankish Puck thro' hedge and thicket led ;  
And where they pass the shaken wild-rose sheds  
Star-sparkling dew upon their comely heads.

“ Comes now a beauteous band of Fairy maids ;  
Each bears a rushy torch, through murky shades  
Of darkling forest (lit by no kind ray  
Of star or moon) meant to direct their way.  
They might have trusted to their eyes' young fires,  
Which certes burn with undisguised desires,  
But from the glow-worm they have ta'en that light  
Which makes a day for them in Darkness's despoight.”

But for the soul and sentiment, the music and harmony, which proclaim Mr. Webbe a true poet of nature, we must refer to the volume, taking our leave of him with an extract from his exquisite “ Invocation to Sleep :”—

“ And but for thee, coy Sleep, I perhaps had flown  
From earth's low fields to worlds and fields unknown,  
With fire-eyed Fancy in her winged car,  
Up-travelling high and higher, until that star  
Nearest and first-discerned had seemed as far  
And dim-discernible as heaven from earth ;  
And so had heard the Immortals, in their mirth,  
Singing with silvery voices unto lyres  
Strung by the hymner Praise with golden wires  
Perfect in harmony. And next had seen  
Beings unknown to man,—of form and mien  
Fairer than fairest thing which here we see,—  
Of beauty far too beautiful to be  
Moulded and made of earth. Had walked with them  
The world-wide road to heaven—road with rich gem,  
And gold and silver powdered, whose bright dust,  
Stirred by their feet springing to playful joust,  
Some northern shepherd, on the bleak-aided height  
Tending his wandering flock, sees with affright,  
And dreams ere day of hell-rained fire a flood,  
Of wasting wars, and waters turned to blood,  
Of Ruin trampling audibly and near,  
With every direful ill which men and nations fear.”

A Portraiture of Modern Scepticism ; or a Caveat against Infidelity : including a brief statement of the Evidences of Revealed Truth, and a Defence of the Canon and of Inspiration. Intended as a Present for the Young. By John Morison, D.D.

The above comprehensive title describes the nature and object of this very seasonable, judicious, and valuable work ; but it conveys no adequate idea of the variety of its contents, and the multitude of facts and arguments which are condensed in its pages, and displayed and enforced with a perspicuity and power which cannot fail to satisfy and convince all, who sit down to its perusal with a love of truth in their hearts, and a sincere desire to discover it. We think, with Dr. Morison, that Christianity should accompany the march of intellect ; and that the infidel tendencies which have too visibly marked the diffusion of mere secular knowledge ought to be counteracted by the zealous and persevering efforts of those whose office and happiness it is to teach the science which makes wise unto salvation. We



also quite agree with him that the ever-changing forms of infidelity require to be met as soon as they appear, while its unvarying spirit and character should be perpetually assailed and exposed.

The plan which Dr. Morison has pursued in dealing with the great questions at issue between the adherents and the rejectors of the Christian Revelation, has our most hearty concurrence: we think he is perfectly right in the order which he has pursued in laying down the series of evidence, in working his way from the interior to the outworks, by showing the nature of Christianity and its universal adaptation to the condition of human nature: before he enters upon the discussion of its external proofs, he has assailed the citadel of the heart, and, till this is gained, the Gospel may be embraced as a system, but rejected as an influence. Its truth may be admitted, but its principles will be powerless. The "Portrait of Modern Scepticism," which occupies the first grand division of the work, is drawn with a bold and fearless hand, and we know that it is true to nature. Let all who are inclined to consider infidelity as involving only speculations and mere habits of thinking, irrespective of any moral operation it may exert in forming the character of the individual and the manners of a community, seriously read Dr. Morison's brief survey of the character of that morality which it inculcates and displays, and the practical results of the system, as they have been fearfully developed where it has obtained anything like a paramount influence. Highly as we think of this volume (and it appears to us to combine all the requisites of a clear and manly defence of revealed religion), we should be sorry were it to supersede the perusal of those masterly and elaborate performances which have been brought to bear upon particular objections or to maintain specific positions. For instance, the "*Horæ Paulinæ*" of Paley, Campbell "*On Miracles*," with many others which might be mentioned. As a present for the young, to whom it is especially adapted, it will, we hope, prove as useful as it will be acceptable. The style is animated, the spirit solemn and devout, and to persons of all ages and of all classes it is calculated to administer instruction and delight.

#### The History of Scotland from the Earliest Period to the Present Time.

By Robert Chambers, Author of the "*Picture of Scotland*," &c. In Two Volumes, 12mo.

In our last number we noticed, with approbation, the "*Scottish Biographical Dictionary*." We felt, during the perusal of that work, that its highly-talented Editor, who had in a former publication added "largely and agreeably to the stock of the popular antiquities" of his native land, must be eminently qualified to write its history in a form and style that would be most acceptable to his country and to the public at large, and especially to those whose limited means do not allow them to expend any very considerable sum for the mere purpose of intellectual gratification. In the volumes before us, Mr. Chambers has produced just such a work as we might have expected; it is concise, and yet the stream of narrative is extremely clear, and it flows on with copious freedom. The occasional elucidation of difficult passages in Scottish history, the light thrown upon public characters, that time, and partiality, and prejudice had involved in obscurity, and the liberal principles and judicious observations which pervade the volumes, impress upon them a character of excellence rarely exhibited in similar undertakings. We regret that the style of composition is often careless and slovenly. But the writer is simply intent upon his avowed purpose, and forgets not merely those ornaments and illustrations which fall naturally in his way, but frequently disfigures his pages with blemishes which we hope to see removed in another edition. Revision is the great secret of good writing; and a people, so literate and well-informed as our northern neighbours, have a right to expect in their household historian, if not an elegant, yet a pure exhibition of the language through the medium of which he conveys to them the instruction which he is so well able to communicate. A standard work ought to combine in itself not only all the knowledge which its subject demands, but the graces of a pure and flowing diction.

#### Mortal Life, and the State of the Soul after Death; conformable to Divine Revelation, as interpreted by the ablest Commentators, and consistent with the Discoveries of Science. By a Protestant Layman. 8vo.

The great object of the writer of this large volume is to prove, that "there is A MIDDLE STATE, in which the disembodied soul awaits that judgment which



alone can consign it to eternal happiness or misery, into which it cannot enter until rejoined to a body, changed from its former mortal nature into an immortal one ;—that there is only one time for judgment, which is not yet arrived ;—and that it shall be a general one on all human kind ;—and that as the earth shall hold the bodies of the dead, their souls must, consequently, be now in an imperfect state as beings ; but, nevertheless, alive and awake,—capable of thought and of mental pleasure or woe, and also of communicating with each other.” In prosecuting this object, the writer assures us that he has consulted the most able theologians, philosophers, and learned men, while he has most impartially brought their various opinions and arguments to the test of Scripture, reason, or science. His pages furnish ample proof of the truth of this statement. His speculations are often profound, always ingenious, and if they are sometimes bold and startling, they are advanced with evident sincerity, and an ardent desire to promote the highest interests of mankind. With him Christianity is the only true religion, and immortality the great destiny of man, which he can render happy only by embracing the doctrines and obeying the precepts of Him who has announced himself as the resurrection and the life. We cordially recommend the work to the careful and devout perusal of all who feel the divinity stirring within them, and who are too thoughtful to allow this world, with its anxious cares and fleeting vanities, to engross that time and attention which, as rational and accountable beings, they ought to devote to the contemplation of eternity and its sublime realities.

*A Memoir of the late Captain Peter Heywood, R.N., with Extracts from his Diaries and Correspondence.* By Edward Taggart. 8vo.

The most interesting and valuable portions of this “Memoir” have been long before the public. The “Mutiny of the Bounty,” and other popular records relating to this appalling event, are familiar to our readers. They are here presented in a connected form, with the affecting story at length. Captain Heywood long lived to prove the cruelty and injustice of the persecution, which, at an early period, had well nigh blasted all his prospects and endangered his life. He was a meritorious officer, an amiable and virtuous man, and the youthful members of his profession are here furnished with a lesson to show them the value of character. We trust it will not be lost upon them.

*History of the Battle of Agincourt, and of the Expedition of Henry the Fifth into France in 1415 ; to which is added, the Roll of the Men-at-arms in the English Army.* By Sir Harris Nicolas, K.H. Second edition. 8vo.

It is observed by the late Bishop Nicholson, in his *Historical Library*, that Henry the Fifth’s “single victory of Agincourt might have afforded matter for more volumes than have been written on his whole reign.” Nor will any who have glanced over the contents of the present work question the truth of the remark. A concentration of all recorded facts relative to that expedition appeared to the writer a desideratum which he has supplied at great cost and labour. The story, with all the requisite knowledge of facts derived from the testimony of contemporary writers and documentary authorities, is told in a pleasing manner ; the interest is not merely kept up, but it increases to the last. This true narrative has all the air of a romance, and among the generality of readers it will excite those stirring emotions which are usually awakened by chivalrous and noble deeds. The arms of England were covered with glory ; but the pretext of the English monarch for invading France was flimsy and contemptible. In a moral view no man ever less deserved victory. One valuable result which the history of this event, as related by Sir Harris Nicolas, we think cannot fail to produce, is the removal of every impression that the success of England was humiliating to the honour and real glory of France. We quite agree with Sir Harris that the bravery, the exalted patriotism, and the chivalrous courage of the French character, instead of being tarnished, acquired new lustre “on that memorable occasion.”

This second edition may be considered, in fact, almost as a new work. “The author’s narrative,” he tells us, “has been entirely rewritten, and the utmost pains have been taken to render the statements, which include many new and interesting facts, correct and impartial.” Indeed, we may with truth assert that the labour bestowed on this edition has much exceeded that of the previous one ; and



as he has left no available source of information unconsulted, or neglected any means by which the work could be rendered what it ought to be, he trusts that this account of the Battle of Agincourt may be deemed worthy of the great event which it is intended to commemorate. We can assure the public that this trust is well founded. Every thing that is rare is here collected. The historian and the antiquary are furnished with materials in this volume which they could never hope to accumulate for themselves. It is a library on the subject which it professes to treat; and we should be glad to see other great events illustrative of the national character and history discovering the same laborious research, the same talent in combining and harmonizing apparently confused and contradictory statements, and presenting to the same extent, and gathered into one cabinet, the scattered treasures of contemporary writers.

Memoirs of the Life, Writings, and Character of the late John Mason Good, M.D. By Olinthus Gregory, LL.D. 12mo.

We concur with Dr. Gregory in the hope that these "Memoirs" of his deceased friend, by the delineation of a character of far from ordinary occurrence, and more than ordinary value, will serve to stimulate the activity of some, and to confirm the best principles of others. For ourselves, we have seldom perused a more instructive and delightful piece of biography. It ought to be read with deep attention, by students, not only of the medical, but of every profession. The knowledge it conveys is varied, and embraces almost the entire range of literary, scientific, and theological subjects. We have seldom seen the value, the energy and beauty of religious character, so finely illustrated as in these pages. Dr. Mason Good was truly a great and good man. Of his intellectual character, Dr. Gregory thus writes:

"The leading faculty was that of acquisition, which he possessed in a remarkable measure, and which was constantly employed from the earliest age in augmenting his mental stores. United with this, were the faculties of retention, of orderly arrangement, and of fruitful and diversified combination. If genius be rightly termed 'the power of making new combinations pleasing or elevating to the mind, or useful to mankind,' he possessed it in a marked degree. He was always fertile in the production of new trains of thought, new selections and groupings of imagery, new expedients for the extension of human good. But if genius be restricted to 'the power of discovery or of creative invention,' whether in philosophy or in the arts, they who have most closely examined Dr. Good's works will be least inclined to claim for him that distinction. Be this, however, as it may, there can be no question that his intellectual powers were of a high order; that, in the main, they were nicely equipoised, and that he could exercise them with an unusual buoyancy and elasticity. His memory was very extraordinary, doubtless much aided by the habits of arrangement so firmly established, as the reader will recollect, by sedulous parental instruction. His early acquired fondness for classical and elegant literature laid his youthful fancy open to the liveliest impressions, and made him draw

"The inspiring breath of ancient arts,  
 ——— and tread the sacred walks,  
 Where, at each step, imagination burns:"

and this, undoubtedly, again aided his memory, the pictures being reproduced by constant warmth of feeling."

The third part of the work, which is devoted to the illustration of Dr. Good's religious character, we earnestly recommend to the careless and the sceptical—to those who neglect religion—and especially to those who misunderstand its nature, and are therefore prejudiced against it. Questions of great practical importance are introduced, and so far discussed as they throw light upon the principal subject of the "Memoirs." The extracts from Dr. Good's letters and his unpublished writings, add greatly to the value of the book, which we are confident must, ere long, obtain very extensive circulation.

The Missionary Annual for 1833. Edited by William Ellis.

We have long been apprehensive that this species of literature will be overdone, and that the multiplication of Annuals will occasion such a reduction in their general sale as to render them unproductive and dangerous speculations. The public, however, does not seem to be of our opinion. All ranks and classes have each their appropriate work of this description. We know not why such a flower may not bloom in the Missionary garden; and we think that a "Missionary Annual" may furnish the finest subjects for the arts, as well as open a beautiful and diversified field for literature. This first volume exhibits the most gratifying specimens of both. We doubt not it will be "generally approved, especially by



the friends of religion, as an elegant and appropriate present, attractive in its decorations, and permanently valuable in the interesting and important nature of its contents."

Loudon's *Encyclopædia of Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture*. Parts V., VI., and VII.

There is such an intimate connexion between the exterior and interior of a dwelling, or, in other words, between architecture and furniture, that we are not surprised to find that Mr. Loudon has turned his attention to the latter; and, in Part V. of his very useful work, gives some excellent designs for cottage furniture in different styles, so as to suit the style of architecture employed in the dwelling itself. This is quite a novel feature in works of this nature; and, indeed, we believe, that, excepting the magnificent book of Mr. Hope, there has been scarcely any work published on furniture in England, excepting those designed almost exclusively for the trade. The Designs now before us are simple as well as elegant; and, as with each are given details of the mode of construction, we should think they would be invaluable for newly-established colonies. Parts VI. and VII. are filled with Designs for Farm-houses and Farm-buildings, the object of which, throughout, is, "to economize time and space, and to obtain the greatest possible degree of comfort with the least labour and at the smallest expense." In the Model Designs, Mr. L. has thrown out some very original ideas respecting the construction of farm-buildings; and of the Miscellaneous Designs, which have been supplied by numerous practical architects in different parts of the country, nearly all have been executed and found to answer extremely well.

### LIST OF NEW PUBLICATIONS.

Turner's Annual Tour, royal 8vo. 2l. 2s.  
 Alison's Outlines of Pathology, 8vo. 10s. 6d.  
 Slade's Records of Travels in Turkey, Greece, &c. in the Years 1829, 30, and 31, 2 vols. 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.  
 Traits and Stories of Irish Peasantry, 2d series, 3 vols. post 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.  
 Lights and Shadows of German Life, 2 vols. 8vo. 21s. bds.  
 Hall's Works, Vol. VI. containing Memoirs by Dr. Gregory and Mr. Foster, 8vo. 16s. bds. cloth.  
 Dunlap's American Theatre, 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.  
 The Transactions of the Royal Geological Society of Cornwall, Vol. IV. 8vo. 16s.  
 Bellegarde, the Adopted Indian Boy, a Canadian Tale, 3 vols. post 8vo. 30s. bds.  
 An Inquiry into the Principles of Population, 8vo. 10s. bds.  
 Faulkner's Visit to Germany, &c. 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s.  
 D'Abrantes' Memoirs, Vol. IV. 8vo. 14s.  
 Letters of Sir Walter Scott, post 8vo. 4s.  
 Count Pecchio's Observations on England, post 8vo. 10s. 6d.  
 Buccaneer, 3 vols. post 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.

Lardner's Cyclopædia, Vol. XXXVII. Mackintosh's History of England, Vol. III. fcp. 6s.  
 Valpy's Shakspeare, with Illustrations, Vol. II. 12mo. 5s. cloth.  
 Ebenezer Elliott's Splendid Village, 12mo. 5s. cloth.  
 Gregory's Memoirs of John Mason Good, M.D. Portrait, 6s.  
 T. C. Croker's My Village versus "Our Village," 8s.  
 Motherwell's Poems, 8vo. 6s.  
 Invisible Gentleman, 3 vols. post 8vo. 31s. 6d.  
 Life of a Sailor, 3 vols. post 8vo. 31s. 6d. boards.  
 Heath's Book of Beauty for 1833, with 19 Portraits, 21s.  
 Otterbourne, a Story of the English Marches, by the Author of "Derwentwater," 3 vols. post 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.  
 Chambers's History of Scotland, 2 vols. fcp. 15s.  
 D'Arblay's Memoirs of Dr. Burney, 3 vols. 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.  
 Memoirs of Louis XVIII. written by Himself, 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s.

### LITERARY REPORT.

Among the more important works announced as forthcoming early in the ensuing year, are the several Treatises written in conformity with the will of the late Earl of Bridgewater, by Dr. Chalmers, Dr. Kidd, the Rev. William Whewell, Sir Charles Bell, Dr. Roget, the Rev. William Buckland, the Rev. William Kirby, and Dr. Prout. They will be published separately; and the first, by Dr. Chalmers, will be "On the Adaptation of External Nature to the Moral and Intellectual Condition of Man." The Works of John Skelton, Poet Laureat to Henry the Eighth, now first collected, and containing many long Poems never before printed, with ample Notes and Illustrations. By the Rev. Alexander Dyce.

We hear with pleasure that Mr. Sotheby intends to illustrate the new edition of his

Translation of the Iliad, and forthcoming Translation of the Odyssey, with no fewer than seventy-five of Flaxman's admirable designs.

M. Wilhelm Klauer Klattowski is now in Paris, it is said, occupied in collecting materials for an Icelandic and Runic Manual.

"A Digest of the Evidence before the Secret Committee of the House of Commons, on the Bank of England Charter, with Indexes."

"Essays on Vegetable Physiology, practically applied, and illustrated by numerous Engravings." By James Main, A.L.S.

Mr. Upham announces for early publication the "Translations of the Singhalese Histories and Tracts placed in his hands by Sir Alex. Johnstone, forming a curious Collection of Original Documents illustrative of the History and Religion of the Singhalese."



## FINE ARTS.—EXHIBITIONS.

The coming round of Christmas reminds us that it is some time since we noticed the Exhibitions ; and as the dull, yet merry, month is here, and about to usher in the new-born eighteen hundred and thirty-three, our readers, young and old, will doubtless desire to know what may be seen, when to keep holiday is a sort of duty, pleasant at least, if not profitable. If January be, as it usually is, “ frosty but kindly,” the exhibitions will repay the time that may be expended, and a walk or ride to any or all of them may prove a double source of enjoyment. The Regent’s Park will be the first and greatest object of attraction ; with its Colosseum, and its Diorama, and its Gardens of Zoology, that even now look blooming and beautiful as if the early summer were at hand. The Colosseum, although it has undergone no material change since we last paid it a visit, has been improved by time ; more especially in those delicious walks where the exotics of a hundred lands are brought together to gratify both curiosity and science. The American aloe is now in flower—a sight that one can rarely see in England, and which of itself will be a sufficient recompense to those who enter the Colosseum. The grottos and the cottages are of high interest ; of the former it is impossible to convey an adequate idea, arranged, as they have been, with so much skill and effect. The exhibition, however, contains another attraction, and one to us of no ordinary importance. The graceful saloon is filled with works of art—many of them of a very high order, both modern and antique. Of the Panorama of London it is unnecessary to speak. If the *mimic* has not been seen by the whole population of the *real* London, it ought to have been.

---

From the Colosseum, a few paces bring us to the Diorama, now an established favourite in our metropolis. The two views at present exhibiting are Paris by M. Daguerre, and the Campo Santo by M. Bouton. They are both fine as works of art, and produce an extraordinary effect on the imagination. The spectator need tax his fancy but very little to believe that he is in the actual Campo Santo with its sarcophagi—“ its storied urn and animated bust.” The view is very judiciously taken by moonlight. The city of Paris is taken from Montmartre, and the more prominent features of “ La Belle Ville” are brought together with considerable judgment.

The Egyptian Hall still contains the far-famed Clarence Vase, with its miniature models. The splendid work may be likened only to one of the magnificent creations of the “ Arabian Nights.” Under the same roof is a collection of Etruscan vases, and other relics of the olden time. Those who may be led either by curiosity or a better motive to inspect them will find enough to recompense them for the occupation of an hour.

Burford’s Panorama of Stirling is perhaps, on the whole, his happiest, and will be one of his most successful works. It is a beautiful and highly interesting picture of the northern city, and is, to our mind, more valuable because it is so much more our own than either of the rich and gorgeous cities of the East that have of late years supplied subjects for the pencil of the artist. Panoramas bring back to us the days of our youth, when nothing in art appeared half so delightful and enchanting. Our younger friends should see these, if they see anything in London, during the month that is now with us.

A Buddha Temple—a real Buddha Temple—from the island of Ceylon, is exhibiting at Exeter Hall—the first, we believe, that has ever been seen in England. It was built for a family of high rank, and is arranged precisely after the fashion in which the Cingalese use it for public worship. A vast variety of their deities are shown with it. The model of a copper-mine is also placed in Exeter Hall. It is, perhaps, the most curious, and not the least interesting, of all the exhibitions. The subject is one of which we have read much, but no description can convey even a remote idea of its extraordinary character.

The winter exhibition of the British Artists in Suffolk-street closes the list. It is not a very numerous one, and a day will suffice to inspect them all. It will be a day well spent at any season, but especially now when the season brings holidays.

---

A finely-executed bust, by Behnes, of the Earl of Eldon, has been presented to the Honourable Society of the Middle Temple, by the venerable Lord Stowell ; it is placed in the hall of that Society.



## THE DRAMA.

The Drama will occupy but little of our attention. The 26th of December, as usual, gives birth to a vast variety of novelties, but the previous portion of the month is but as a note of preparation. Managers and actors seem to rest upon their oars, waiting for a fairer wind and a more favourable tide to bear them onward on their voyage. The Pantomimes are generally profitable, and are therefore anticipated with much delight by all connected with the theatres. They have not, however, been altogether idle. Don Trueba has produced a comedy, and a clever comedy it is, notwithstanding it has not "taken," and the critics have almost to a man voted the writer out of their books. It has, undoubtedly, its faults; the Author has sometimes sinned against good taste, and has borrowed largely from his successful predecessors. But he is witty and pointed, and has a keen eye upon the prevailing follies of life. His plot was a mistake. He thought to make one out of half-a-dozen, and the auditor is perpetually asking himself what this and what that has to do with the grand business of the piece. These are not days, moreover, when intrigues are all-attractive,—and upon such, and such alone, hangs the main object of "The Men of Pleasure." At Drury-lane, also, there has been a short-lived revival. Mrs. Centlivre's comedy of a "Bold Stroke for a Wife" has been produced with a strong cast of characters. Farren and Dowton (old, excellent Dowton) had the parts of Perriwinkle and Obadiah Prim. The pruning-hook, however, has been too lightly used with this offspring of an age essentially different from our own, in all that regards the proprieties—we may say, the decencies—of ordinary life. The wit and humour of Mrs. Centlivre do not make amends for the still existing passages that unsuccessfully aim at both, and at a sacrifice of decorum too large to be cheerfully yielded by a modern English audience.

The chief attraction at Covent Garden has been a splendid ballet from the opera of "Masaniello," with the original instrumental and choral music of Auber.

At the Minor Theatres some praiseworthy exertions have been made to produce pieces of a higher grade than ordinary. We shall render them better justice next month.

---

PROCEEDINGS OF SOCIETIES.

## ROYAL GEOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY.

A communication was read from Mr. A. Miller, surgeon, of his Majesty's ship *Ætna*, respecting the discovery of the Compoonee river, on the west coast of Africa; and it contained some additional information to that furnished by Captain Belcher, and read at the Society in the course of the last season. It appears that the *Raven*, tender to the *Ætna*, penetrated as far up the river as the depth of the water would permit, and not less than a hundred miles. It was found to be above a mile in breadth, very deep, and very serpentine in its course. The natives fled with astonishment, and appear never to have had any intercourse with strangers. The paper was accompanied by some account of the Bijooga Indians on the island of Kanyabac, obtained during the visit of the *Ætna* to their islands. Our readers will, perhaps, remember that an attempt was made to settle these islands some years ago by a company formed for that purpose. The jealousy occasioned among the chiefs by the late Captain Beaver, who was for some time on the island of Baluma, had not, it appears, been forgotten; and some unequivocal signs of disapprobation were given to the officers of the *Ætna* by one who understood a little English. The desire of Captain Belcher to obtain bullocks for the use of his crew was peremptorily refused, in consequence of a determination on the part of these people to take nothing but arms and gunpowder in exchange. The islands are described as being exceedingly fertile, and the natives a strong, athletic race of people. They have as yet had little or no intercourse with strangers. In the course of the evening it was announced by the chairman, that a branch Geographical Society had been established at Bombay.

## ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE.

A prayer, in the handwriting, and supposed to be of the composition, of Charles I., discovered in the State Paper Office, by Mr. Lemon, with some remarks by the



Rev. Mr. Clissold, was read at a late meeting. As this document bears date 1631, many years previous to the commencement of the civil wars—as it manifests a deep impression of piety, and appears to have been in daily use by the king—it may be regarded as proving that the unhappy monarch's devotional feelings were not the *consequence* of his adversity. Its style is not generally characterised by that studied antithesis, or by the other peculiarities in which the *Eikon Basilike*, so long attributed to Charles, abounds. A part of a second paper was likewise read, containing extracts from a MS. relating to the escape of King Charles II. after the battle of Worcester, which has lately found its way into the British Museum. It is the narrative of Colonel Gunter, of Rackton, Sussex, who was the person that procured a vessel to transport the royal fugitive to the coast of France, and attended him across the country from Wiltshire to Shoreham, where he embarked. The account purports to have been written, from the colonel's dictation, by his son; and authentically illustrates, by a great number of curious and minute details, the only portion of the romantic events to which it relates in which anything was left to be desired by the historical inquirer.

#### ROYAL SOCIETY.

His Royal Highness the Duke of Sussex was re-elected to the President's chair. He passed some remarks upon the new arrangements relative to the reception or rejection of papers. In future the Society will follow the example of the Academy of Sciences at Paris, admitting no paper into its transactions which has not been previously considered deserving of a place by at least two members of the council, lest any unworthy thing should be engrafted on the stock of that knowledge contributed by such men as Newton, Halley, and in later days, Young, Wollaston, and Davy. In noticing the support given by the governments of other countries to men of science and learning, the president lamented the absence of such encouragement at home. However anxiously he looked to the period when similar support would be provided by our own government, it was with satisfaction he viewed the labours of individuals eminent in literature, the arts, and sciences. He considered the institution of the British Association for the promotion of Science as constituting a proud epoch in the scientific history of the country. A just eulogy was paid to the memory of Cuvier, whose great work on fishes contains descriptions of 6,000 species, 4,000 of which are not to be found in any other writer. The feeling of "hope upon hope" manifested by the Royal Duke, when he came to speak of our intrepid countryman, Captain Ross, was very touching;—that brave sailor had undertaken the solution of the great nautical problem, a north-west passage,—an attempt which baffled the most daring and skilful navigators of the reign of Elizabeth; his fate for three years had remained unknown; and it was with melancholy pleasure that His Royal Highness had become the chairman of a committee appointed to manage a subscription to be employed in ascertaining it.

#### ROYAL ACADEMY.

On the 10th of December, being the sixty-fourth anniversary of the foundation of the Royal Academy of Arts, a General Assembly of the Academicians was held at their apartments in Somerset House, when the following distribution of premiums took place, viz.:—

To Mr. William Edward Frost, for the best copy made in the Painting School, the silver medal, and the Lectures of the Professors Barry, Opie, and Fuseli, handsomely bound and inscribed.—To Mr. Nathaniel Hartnell, for the next best copy made in the Painting School, the silver medal.—To Mr. Edward Petre Novello, for the best drawing from the life, the silver medal.—To Mr. David Brandon, for the best drawing of the principal front of the Bank, the silver medal.—To Mr. John Calcott Horsley, for the best drawing from the antique, the silver medal.—To Mr. William Crellin Pickersgill, for the best model from the antique, the silver medal.

The General Assembly afterwards proceeded to appoint officers for the ensuing year, when Sir Martin Archer Shee was unanimously re-elected President.

*Old Council.*—E. Landseer, R. Cook, W. Daniell, and T. Stothard, Esqrs.

*New Council.*—H. P. Briggs, R. Westall, R. R. Reinagle, Esqrs., and Sir W. Beechey.

*Visitors in the Life Academy.*—Old List—W. Etty, H. Howard, E. Landseer, and T. Phillips. New List—W. Mulready, C. R. Leslie, H. P. Briggs, E. H. Baily, and C. Rossi, Esqrs.

*Visitors in the Painting School.*—Old List—W. Etty, W. Hilton, E. Landseer,



and R. Cook, Esqrs. New List—H. P. Briggs, C. R. Leslie, D. Wilkie, and W. Collins, Esqrs.

*Auditors Re-elected.*—W. Mulready, J. M. W. Turner, and R. Westmacott, Esqrs.

The President remarked, that in the school of painting the exertions of the students were most praiseworthy, and their merits conspicuous. In the school of the living model, the pupils were few, but their efforts were of high character. In modelling from the life, and in the school of architecture, there was a rather extraordinary lassitude; but in the school of the antique both the modelling and the drawing departments were cultivated with successful energy. In conclusion, he observed, that in the Royal Academy all the means of study were afforded, nor were example and precept spared. The principles of every branch of the Fine Arts were developed by zealous and eminent professors; and not only were the productions of living genius submitted to the inspection of the students, but the choicest works of the ancient masters were also offered for their guidance and improvement. Their exertions, then, should be commensurate with the enjoyment of such great advantages,—advantages which were not surpassed in any existing school of art. The members of the Academy felt, it might almost be said, a paternal solicitude for the improvement of the students, since they contemplated in them their future successors. They hoped, therefore, their exertions would be unremitting to qualify themselves for that distinction, and that their professional career would do credit and honour to the arts and to their country.

#### ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY.

At a late meeting the paper read was an explanation of the Hindu formulæ for the quadrature of the circle, by C. M. Whish, Esq., of the Madras civil service. Mr. Whish first extracts several rules from various works, exhibiting the proportion of the diameter to the circumference of the circle, with a degree of accuracy which must cause Europeans to admire the means by which Hindu mathematicians have been able to extend the proportion to so great a length. In one of these works, entitled the “*Tantra Sangraha*,” composed in Malabar in A.D. 1608, it is stated, that if the diameter of a circle be 1, the circumference will be 3,141592653921, &c., which is an excellent approximation, being correct to the ninth place of the decimals. He then goes on to show, that a system of fluxions, peculiar to the authors from whom he quotes among Hindus, has been followed by them in establishing their quadratures of the circle; and that by the same method the sines, cosines, &c. are found with the greatest accuracy. Several different infinite series, extracted from various Brahminical works, are given in illustration; and after some notes on the dates of these works, Mr. Whish concludes by submitting a proof of the 47th proposition of Euclid, extracted from the “*Yuktibhāshā*,” a commentary on the “*Tantra Sangraha*,” above mentioned.

#### ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY.

At the last monthly meeting of the society, it appeared there was a balance in its favour amounting to 517*l.*, and that upwards of 4,000 persons visited the gardens during that month. The Hudson Bay Company presented a fisher weazel, and a young Arctic fox; the latter was found by a ship's crew, floating on a piece of ice in the Arctic Sea, about one hundred miles from the land. It was intimated that the Society would shortly adopt the system of other scientific institutions of the metropolis, and have regular meetings, at which papers connected with the pursuits of the Society would be read. It has been determined also, that Dr. Grant should deliver a course of lectures on the structure of animals. At a recent meeting of the committee of correspondence, a specimen was exhibited of a claw obtained from the tip of the tail of a young Barbary lion, recently presented to the Society. Homer observes (erroneously, however), that the lion, when angry, lashes his sides with his tail; a remark repeated by many of the ancient poets, Greek and Roman. Lucan and Pliny indicate their belief that by this means the animal increases his rage. None of these writers advert to any peculiarity in the tail of the lion, to which so extraordinary a function was attributed; the existence of this peculiarity was discovered by Didymus Alexandrinus, one of the early commentators on the *Iliad*. For centuries the discovery was consigned to oblivion, until within twenty years back the subject was revived by Blumenbach, who verified the accuracy as to the fact, though not admitting the induction of Didymus Alexandrinus. The subject again slumbered until 1829, when Deshayes announced that he had found the prickle on both a lion and lioness which died in the National Menagerie of France.



## MEDICO-BOTANICAL SOCIETY.

At the last meeting a new antidote in cases of hydrophobia was announced upon the authority of Sir Anthony Carlisle. It is the juice of a South American plant, belonging to the genus *Cactus*, a family of plants harmless in their character, and to which belong the common fig and the melon-thistle, often used as food for cattle when grass is scarce. Sir Anthony had received several bottlesful of the liquid, with assurances of its efficacy. He states that it is administered to the unfortunate patient by pouring it down his throat, as he stands perpendicularly buried to the chin in the earth: this part of the process, however, is not indispensably necessary to a cure. Sir Anthony expressed his readiness to attend with any of the members of the Society who belonged to the medical profession, should a case of hydrophobia occur in their practice, in order to ascertain the properties of the liquid.

## VARIETIES.

The Appendix to the Report on the Bank of England Charter contains several returns worth noticing. The value of the Bank premises is estimated at 1,000,000*l.* sterling, and the net profit of the Bank last year was 1,189,627*l.*, subject, however, to the deduction for interest on the capital stock. The annual expense of managing the National Debt appears to be about 170,000*l.* The branch banks cost the parent establishment nearly 35,000*l.* a year. The amount of bills under discount is from three to four millions per month, and the annual loss from that business is about 31,000*l.* The foreign loans contracted during the last ten years exceed fifty-seven millions, upon one-half of which no return whatever has been made for principal or interest. The number of licenses taken out by the country bankers last year was 639: in 1814 the number was 950; and since then the decrease has been gradual. It also appears that 287 commissions of bankrupt have been issued against country bankers during the last twenty years, but the amount of dividends paid under them is not stated.

*Criminal Jurisprudence.*—Various statements have been laid before the Legislature, in order to show the vast extent of crime committed in and about London, upon public and private property, in the course of one year. The offences are specified under six different heads, as follows, viz.:—*l.*

1. Petty thefts committed by servants, apprentices, sweeps, &c., consisting of articles of small value .....	510,000
Small articles of plate, glass, jewellery, &c., stolen by servants ...	200,000
2. Thefts on the River Thames, and quays thereunto adjacent .....	500,000
3. Thefts and frauds connected with the Metropolitan Dock-yards ....	300,000
4. Depredations committed by burglars, highway robbers, &c., computed as follows, viz.:—	
Plate, jewellery, watches, &c. ....	£100,000
Highway robbery of money, notes, &c. ....	75,000
Private stealing and pocket-picking .....	25,000
Stealing cattle, horses, sheep, corn, and provender .....	20,000
	<hr/> 220,000
5. Frauds by coining base money after the similitude of the current coin of the realm .....	200,000
6. Frauds by counterfeit, public securities, bonds, &c., and uttering forged notes and bills of exchange .....	170,000

Making a total of ..... £2,100,000

At the first view the magnitude of the above sum appears astonishing; but although put in round numbers, it is considered, by those who have the best opportunity of judging, to be under rather than over-rated. It should be borne in mind that there are upwards of eighty millions of property laden and unladen on the river Thames in the course of the year.

*Eclipses in 1833.*—In the present year there will be five eclipses of the two great luminaries, of which one of the sun and three of the moon will be visible. The following are the periods at which it is calculated the eclipses will take place in this latitude:—January 6. The moon will be eclipsed, partly visible here: beginning of the eclipse, thirty-one minutes past six in the morning; end, fifty-two minutes



past eight.—January 26. The sun will be eclipsed, invisible here, at forty-one minutes past nine in the evening.—July 1. The moon will be eclipsed, visible here: beginning of the eclipse, fifty minutes past ten in the evening; end, six minutes past two in the morning of July 2.—July 17. The sun will be eclipsed, visible here: beginning of the eclipse, fifty-six minutes past four in the morning; end, thirty-one minutes past six.—December 26. The moon will be totally eclipsed, visible here: beginning of the eclipse, thirty-one minutes past seven in the evening; beginning of total darkness, thirty minutes past eight; middle of eclipse, twenty minutes past nine; end of total darkness, nine minutes past ten; end of the eclipse, eight minutes past eleven in the evening.

The following is a General Bill of the Christenings and Burials within the City of London and Bills of Mortality, from Dec. 14, 1831, to Dec. 11, 1832:—

	Christened.	Buried.	
In the 97 parishes within the walls .....	926 ..	1,293	
„ 17 „ without the walls .....	4,492 ..	5,441	
„ 24 „ outparishes in Middlesex and Surrey, and at the ad- ditional churches belonging to the same .....	17,724 ..	17,310	
„ 10 „ in the city and liberties of Westminster .....	3,832 ..	4,562	
Of the number buried were—			
Stillborn .....	912	50 and under 60 years .....	3,041
Under 2 years .....	5,443	60 — 70 — .....	2,949
2 and under 5 years .....	2,678	70 — 80 — .....	2,194
5 — 10 — .....	1,270	80 — 90 — .....	848
10 — 20 — .....	1,113	90 — 100 — .....	105
20 — 30 — .....	2,215	100 .....	1
30 — 40 — .....	2,749	103 .....	1
40 — 50 — .....	3,086	108 .....	1
Increase in the burials, reported this year, 3,269.			

Increase in the burials, reported this year, 3,269.

*The Anatomy Bill.*—The working of the Anatomy Act is exciting no little dissension among the professors of surgery. The high price of “subjects,” and the undue preference which is said to be manifested in supplying the schools, form the subjects of angry complaints, and several meetings have been held for the purpose of devising measures to remedy the evil. Tuesday, a meeting of surgeons and anatomists, to consider what course ought to be pursued, was held at the Freemasons’ Tavern, when, after a good deal of discussion, it was resolved, on the motion of Mr. Mayo, of King’s College, to try the effect of a circular to the authorities of the various parishes, expressing “a hope that, as early as circumstances will admit, measures will be adopted for an equal distribution of subjects.” The meeting then adjourned for a month. It is stated, by a correspondent of one of the medical publications, as a fact which he knows to be true, “that fees to parish officers, shell, and other expenses, amount to nearly five pounds for each subject; and it is with difficulty they can be procured from some of the parishes, even at that price.” It is added, that “the difficulties of the private schools are such, and the price demanded by parochial officers is, in many instances, so high, that in one or two of the schools not a single body has been dissected during the present season, whilst in others, which proves the advantages of ecclesiastical influence and favour, there has been such a bountiful supply, that there have been burials without dissection.” It is proposed, if the attempt to equalize the supply be successful, to establish a catalogue of equal prices—a sort of “price current” of corpses—among the different teachers of anatomy.

*Antediluvian Remains.*—In the middle of last month, two fishermen, being employed on the banks of the Lippe, near the village of Absen, in Westphalia, at a moment when the water was unprecedentedly low, discovered a heap of bones lying in the bed of the river, and conveyed them ashore. It was a superb and perfect specimen of a mammoth’s head, in excellent preservation, and of an unusual size. For instance, the four grinders are from six to nine inches in diameter, and the two tusks, one of which was found adhering to the chin bone, are between three and four feet in length. The fishermen parted with their prize for a mere song, and it was conveyed to Haltern, where, we understand, after it had been examined by two of the Professors from Bonn, it was sold to them for the use of the Zoological Museum in that University. A further search has been made in the Lippe, but without success.

A curious description of fish, resembling a mussel, was lately discovered by a gentleman at Brighton, in the centre of a chalk stone. It is not known in England, but in Italy it is called the stone-eater. It works its way into the chalk-stone by a kind of saw at its head, and is defended from all its enemies by prickly scales. In



Italy it is prized as a great delicacy, the taste resembling an oyster, but the flavour vastly superior. In Smith's Tour mention is made of the *Mytilus Lithophagus*, or stone-eating *Mytilus*. The columns of the Temple of Jupiter Serapis, at Puzzuoli, are perforated by this species.

*East India House.*—At a Quarterly General Court of Proprietors of East India Stock, on the motion of the Chairman, a dividend of  $5\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. for the half year, commencing on the 5th July last, and ending on the 5th of January next, was declared.

A deputation from the vestry of the parish of St. Marylebone has had an interview with Lord Melbourne at the Home-office, respecting the heavy expense of the New Police. It appeared that the cost of the old watch was under 10,000*l.* per annum; the sum assessed and paid to the commissioners of the new police upwards of 24,000*l.*, a difference of 14,000*l.* The cost of the actual force kept up in this parish is 13,388*l.*, thereby showing that the parishioners are taxed and contribute the enormous annual sum of 10,612*l.* towards the expense of the head establishment, or to make up deficiencies of other parishes. It was also urged that the amount annually assessed by the vestry for the new police rate exceeds the amount annually recovered by them upwards of 2000*l.*, this sum being uncollectable in consequence of the poverty of the householders. Thirdly, the deputation claimed an allowance of 5775*l.*, which sum had been paid by the preceding vestry above what had been recovered from the parishioners.

A few days since a peculiarly long barrow, called "Hevis's grave," was opened in Arundel Park, in the presence of John Gage, Esq. F.R.S. and Frederick Marden, Esq. F.S.A., and other gentlemen of antiquarian research, when much disappointment was experienced, as the barrow had evidently been previously opened, as appeared to be the case with several others which were examined in the course of the day. A few pieces of Roman pottery only and some human bones were found mixed in the soil.

*Ventilation in Coal Mines.*—Since 1809 a system has been in operation in the Staffordshire mines to prevent the accumulation and explosion of carburetted hydrogen, which has been attended with great success. This system proceeds on the fact, that however many dislocations there may be parallel with the backs, only where there is a dislocation or fault across the direction of the backs does carburetted hydrogen accumulate and become destructive. In order to prevent this accumulation along the whole extent of the dislocation or fault, cut off the ends of the back by a drift, into which all their blowers may discharge the gas they generate, close up this drift from the men, and form a vacuum therein either by fire or pumping; the hydrogen, owing to its extreme levity, will flow upwards into this rarefied medium, and the atmospheric pressure along the working courses of the mine will keep the hydrogen in.

*Agricultural Labourers.*—Mr. Baron Gurney, in charging the Grand Jury at Lewes, observed that the increase of crime was alarming, and he thought was owing to the ignorance of the people and want of employment. Youth ought to be instructed; but education, and even religious instruction, would be found comparatively useless if they were afterwards left in a state of idleness—unless employment were found, and a fair remuneration for labour given to them. He meant by this a sufficient reward to the labourer without taking his wages out of the poor rates; not by giving large sums to a man because he had a large family, whilst small wages were given to a single man. The latter ought to be fairly remunerated that he might lay something by against the time when he should marry, to enable him to furnish his cottage comfortably, and to bring up his family decently without becoming a pauper. If the farmers and others did not enable him to do this, he became of course a pauper. He believed that, by the illegal custom of paying labourers partly by wages and partly by poor-rates, the farmer was, even in a pecuniary point of view, a loser; but what was much more to be lamented, this practice destroyed all sympathy between the labourers and their employers. He earnestly recommended to the nobility, gentry, magistrates, and farmers, to endeavour to arouse in the breast of the labourers a spirit of independence. Let those who possess property and influence assist, let the well disposed of all classes endeavour to support the laws, suppress wickedness and crime, find employment for the industrious, and this country would again become happy and prosperous.



## FOREIGN VARIETIES.

*Population.*—A very elaborate paper by M. Moreau de Johnes was lately read at the French Academy of Sciences on the subject of the increase of population. From the statistical documents it contains, it appears that in Prussia the population doubles itself in the space of thirty-nine years, which is the maximum of acceleration exhibited in Europe; in Austria it takes 44; in Russia in Europe 48; in Poland and Denmark half a century; in the British islands 52 years; in Sweden, Norway, Switzerland, and Portugal, 56; in Spain 62; in Greece and European Turkey 70; in the Netherlands 84; in Germany 120; and in France it takes 125 years. The period for all Europe is 57 years. Taken together half a century doubles the population of the northern states, while the southern require 80. The causes of the maximum of acceleration in Prussia, Austria, and Russia, are the vast extent of their territories compared with the population, the protection afforded by cold climates to advanced life, the novelty of civilization which creates and multiplies in its development the means of existence,—the habit of living on little appertaining exclusively to newly civilized nations. The minimum of increase in France, Germany, and Netherlands, is caused by the high degree of civilization which these countries have reached, creating a multitude of wants, and submitting the social condition to a variety of causes, which restrain the extension of the human generation. Great Britain escapes these restraints by the immense outlets which her industry, commerce, and colonies, afford to the wants of her population. In the other States of Europe the natural tendency of the population to increase is restrained, suspended, or destroyed, by intemperance, insufficiency of food, the ravages of inundations, disasters from earthquakes, the pernicious effects of marshes, the irruptions of pestilential or epidemic maladies, feudal servitude, monastic celibacy, military or sacerdotal despotism, concentration of property, the laws relative to succession, &c.

*Canal from the Rhone to the Rhine.*—This canal is completely finished as far as Strasburg, and navigable to the distance of eighty-five leagues; the short distance from Strasburg to the Rhine is the only part of the canal now to be completed.—*Journal du Commerce.*

*Momification.*—This is the name given by the inventors, Messrs. Capron and Boniface Abbot of Paris, to the process which they have discovered of preserving bodies after the manner of the Egyptian mummies. At a recent meeting of the French Academy they exhibited a human body thus preserved, which it was impossible to detect from the real Egyptian mummy. The deceased, clothed in a *robe de chambre*, which left visible only the extremities of the body, appeared to weigh no more than thirty or forty pounds. The violet-coloured skin, the leaden hue, and the fleshless bones, presented an object not very flattering to poor humanity.

*Mechanics' Institutions.*—Ferdinand of Spain has established a new institution, for the express purpose of instructing, gratuitously, those engaged in the arts and manufactures in the scientific principles of their respective trades, on a plan similar to mechanics' institutions. This institution is now flourishing; the lectures on various scientific subjects are regularly given; exhibitions of the works of Spanish industry yearly take place, and Professor Cassa-Seca publishes a Quarterly Journal of Useful Instructions, for the express purpose of spreading the knowledge of them among the Spanish nation. He is evidently a favourite at court, and was sent about three years ago to travel over France and England, to collect scientific information and mechanical discoveries.

*Rail-roads and Steam on the Continent.*—A rail-road between the Weser and the Rhine is about to be commenced; it has received the sanction of the King and States of Hanover, and a company, supported by Government, has been formed to carry it into effect. Several hundred shares, of 500 thalers each, have been taken. The execution of this plan will be of great advantage to the trade of the north of Germany, particularly of Bremen. It is proposed to connect Lubeck and Hamburg by a rail-road and steam-carriages. A steam-coach is now in construction at Copenhagen, which is to run on the new road between Kiel and Altona. The Danish government intends to establish shortly a communication by steam-packets between Kalundborg, in Zealand, and Aarhus, in Jutland.

*River of Vinegar.*—In South America, near Popayan, is a river, called in the language of the country *Rio Vinagre*. It takes its source in a very elevated chain of



mountains, and, after a subterraneous progress of many miles, it re-appears, and forms a magnificent cascade upwards of 300 feet in height. When a person stands beneath this point, he is speedily driven away by a very fine shower of acid water, which irritates the eyes. M. Boussingault wishing to ascertain the cause of this phenomenon, analysed the water of the river, and found among other substances sulphuric and hydrochloric acids. The following is the result of the analysis:—Sulphuric acid, 0,00110: hydrochloric acid, 0,00091: alumine, 0,00040; chalk, 0,00013; soda, 0,00012; silice, 0,00023; oxyde of iron and magnesia, traces.

## RURAL ECONOMY.

The “Norwich Mercury” has published the following statement of an extraordinary increase from sets of the potato:—A row twenty-two yards in length, in which celery had been previously grown, was planted with fifty-three sets, at equal distances, and four inches deep, from which the undermentioned produce has lately been taken up, viz.: eleven and a half bushels (composed of two varieties) commonly called the golden kidney and second-early, which weighed four stone six pounds per bushel (14lbs. to the stone), making an aggregate of fifty-one stone within a pound. In several of these sets were attached potatoes which were as remarkable for their length as their number: two in particular are now described: First, a set of the golden kidney, consisting of eighty-eight potatoes of various sizes, thirty of which averaged nine inches in length, and weighed twenty pounds and a half; fifty-eight four inches in length, weighing eight pounds and a quarter; making two stone and three-quarters of a pound. It might be observed that some of these potatoes actually measured fifteen inches and a half, some twelve, others ten, nine, eight, in a decreased ratio. Secondly, one set of the second-early produced fifty-two potatoes, twenty of which measured six inches in length, and weighed twenty-three pounds; thirty-two averaging three inches in length, and weighing seven pounds and a half, amounting to two stones and two pounds and a half. From the foregoing account it appears that each set will average nearly a stone in weight. The sets were all cut to about three eyes, and the result would seem to justify the practice of leaving that number. The mode of cultivation, it must be granted, was in some degree high and forcing; and though such a produce cannot be looked for in a general way, still we may infer that the grower would be much better remunerated in weight and quality (for these are particularly clean and free from all scabs) were he to bestow more manure, and insert his sets in light rich loam. The accuracy of this statement may be fully relied upon, or easily ascertained, as the potatoes, which were grown in a garden belonging to Mr. Hacon, of Swaffham and cultivated by himself, have been inspected by many horticulturists in the town and neighbourhood.

*Fecundity of the Onion.*—It was recently stated that a gentleman in Lancashire has raised 8,000 ounces of onions from twelve ounces of seed; Mr. Crossley, the engineer of the Macclesfield Canal, residing at Bollington, having seen this statement, was induced to weigh his own crop, when he discovered that from two ounces of seed, the produce was the amazing quantity of 2,496 ounces, or 156 pounds, being nearly double the produce of the former in proportion to the quantity of seed sown.

An intelligent writer in the *Horticultural Register* states that he has adopted the following plan for preserving Dahlia roots, with such success as not to have lost a single root during five seasons. “I choose,” says he, “a fine dry day to take up the roots, and expose them for a few hours to the sun, to dry the mould on them. I then clear away all the dirt I possibly can, wiping each root with a cloth, if necessary. When quite clean, I put them into a boarded closet on shelves, there being but a very thin partition between this closet and a kitchen. In a few days I scatter thinly all over them some very dry sand; they are then left, and only examined from time to time, to see that they do not get mouldy, which, by the bye, I never found to happen.”

A new machine has just been invented by Mr. J. Sellar, a millwright, of Longhill, in Morayshire, for beating barley. It is said to possess many advantages over any machine previously used for that purpose; it is capable of beating from ten to twelve bolls an hour, and never injures the grain as was before often the case. It



does not take up much room, and is not so large as a fanner. It is impelled by the threshing-mill. The process of beating is performed by means of strong steel knives fixed on a cylindrical block of wood, coming in contact with other knives which are stationary. The first mentioned class of knives make five hundred revolutions in a minute, which leaves some idea of the power of the machine.

---

## USEFUL ARTS.

*New Steam Engine.*—Mr. Pellatan is making experiments at Cherbourg on a vessel which he has built for the express purpose of ascertaining the merits of his simplified application of the powers of steam; and the result is said to have been hitherto satisfactory. His object is to get rid of the shock and tremulous motion which attend the use of paddle-wheels, as well as to do away with the steam-funnel. In effecting this, he hopes to be enabled to diminish the weight of the machinery, and of the vessel itself. The mechanism which he has devised lies below the surface of the water, and from not occupying more than a tenth part of the ship's tonnage, much greater space is obtained for the stowage of fuel. The steam is disengaged from behind the after-part of the vessel, close above the water-line.

*Chain Cables.*—A question of some considerable moment has been presented to us, respecting the relative goodness of chain cables manufactured in this country, and those made in Wales. It appears that the American-made cables are not to be trusted; not because the iron itself is not equally good with the foreign, but because there are some bad links in nearly every chain, that give way when submitted to the test which the imported cables will uniformly bear. It has been said that this is owing to the difference in the mode of making the links; that in Wales they are not touched with the hammer excepting whilst they are at a good red heat, and that they are consequently left in an annealed and tough state. We see some valid objections to this explanation; but whatever be the cause, whether the defect is in the iron-master or in the smith, it ought to be discovered, and the remedy applied. There is too much at stake, when a vessel is dependent for safety upon her cable, to admit of any one employing those in which the fullest confidence cannot be placed. If the difference under consideration be in the manipulation, and not in the iron, the facts in reference thereto may lead to valuable results in other branches of the iron business; such, for example, as the manufacture of boiler iron, and indeed of all articles where great tenacity is of essential importance. — *American Paper.*

*Substitute for Paper-Hangings.*—A substitute for paper-hangings has been invented in Manchester, and bids fair, as an article of upholstery, to command an extensive sale. In the spinning and manufacture of cotton, it is well known that there are great quantities of fine waste, commonly called *flyings*. These have been collected, and, by means of hydraulic presses, converted into a kind of thin cloth, which takes the stain equally well with paper, and is found to be a good and cheap substitute for that article on the walls of dwelling-houses.

*Glass Blowing.*—Among the prizes awarded by the Paris Academy of Sciences, at their last sitting, was the following:—"To Israel Robinet, workman, for the substitution of the action of a machine for that of the human lungs in glass-blowing, 8000 francs. By means of this valuable invention, the health of the glass-blower will, in future, be preserved, and the product of his manufacture greatly improved, both as regards accuracy of form and the capability of making articles of greater dimensions than was formerly possible."

Mr. Bradford, a country watch-maker, residing at Newton-Abbott, in Devonshire, has produced several pieces of very curious mechanism. First, a machine representing a lamp, suspended by a small brass rod, hung to the ceiling, which constantly turns round, carrying a quantity of watches and two lights, and is made to work in two different parts. The second is a brass ball, which runs 28 feet 64 times in an hour—being upwards of 21,000 feet in 12 hours—without any individual knowing the cause of its going except the mechanist and his family. The last is a time-piece going without weights or springs, showing the hours, minutes, days of the week, and days of the month.

---



## COMMERCIAL AND MONEY-MARKET REPORT.

The hostile measures to which it has been necessary to have recourse, in order to obtain the cession of Antwerp to the King of the Belgians, the absorbing interest of the first general election under the Reform Act, and the season of the year, have all concurred to repress the animation of trade during the last month; but an opinion is pretty generally entertained by well-informed commercial men, that, from the assurance that may now be felt of the peace of Europe being no further disturbed at present, and from the character of the persons generally returned to the new House of Commons being such as to afford a guarantee, that their measures, though strong, will be tempered with prudence, a spirit of enterprize will be shortly excited in the country, and commerce assume a firmness of tone to which it has long been a stranger.

An attempt was made early in the month to obtain an advance in price on British Plantation Sugars, and some parcels realized 6*d.* to 1*s.* higher than former prices; but, latterly, there has been but little demand on the part of the grocers, and sales could scarcely be effected without a reduction. The deficiency of stock in the Docks, at the commencement of the month, as compared with the corresponding date of last year, was 9500 lbs. and trs., and the average price was 28*s.* 7*d.*, being 6*s.* 9*d.* higher than that of last year. The stock of Mauritius Sugar, at the same time, showed a diminution to the extent of about 20,000 bags, and an increase in price of from 8*s.* to 10*s.* per cwt. By public sale lately, the following prices were obtained:—Low brown, 49*s.*, good ditto, 51*s.* to 52*s.*, and middling, 54*s.* to 56*s.*

The transactions in East India Sugar have been limited, but Bengal maintains an advance of 1*s.* on the Company's sale prices, and Manilla and Siam are held firmly at former quotations. 702 bags of Siam, in indifferent condition, were sold by auction. Grey and low white, 20*s.* 6*d.* to 22*s.* 6*d.*; good white, 22*s.* 6*d.* to 25*s.* A parcel of 320 bags was subsequently all taken in at 22*s.* to 24*s.*

There is nothing doing in Foreign Sugars, except in yellow Havannahs. White qualities, whether Havannah or Brazil, are not inquired for. By public sale, the following prices were realized for 400 boxes of Havannah, part damaged:—Brown, 20*s.*; yellow, 23*s.* 6*d.*; low and middling white, 25*s.* 6*d.* to 26*s.* 6*d.*; good strong white, 27*s.* to 28*s.*

The Refined Market is extremely heavy; the advance in Raw Sugars having made it necessary for the Refiners to make a corresponding addition to their prices, which the purchasers are reluctant to admit. There has been some little demand for fine crushed for the Levant trade.

West India Molasses bring from 29*s.* to 30*s.*, and British, 24*s.* 6*d.* to 25*s.*

The demand for British Plantation Coffee has been lately limited to good and fine

Jamaica and Berbice, which have been taken by the grocers at full prices. In the beginning of the month, there was a considerable disposition to speculate in Foreign and East India Coffee, which produced a rise of 6*d.* to 1*s.* per cwt.; latterly, the market is become dull. St. Domingo may be quoted at 57*s.*; Ceylon, 53*s.* to 53*s.* 6*d.*; Brazil, 53*s.* to 54*s.* A small parcel of Havannah sold at 52*s.* 6*d.* to 53*s.*; and fine Cheribon at 60*s.*

Little has been done in Cotton Wool during the past month, though prices can scarcely be quoted lower. By private sale, 350 bales Surat brought 4½*d.* to 5¼*d.*; 50 bales Bengal, 5*d.*; and 110 bales Bowed, 5¾*d.* By public sale, on the 21st, 490 bales good middling Surat produced 4½*d.* to 4¾*d.*

The sales of Cocoa have been very limited, and a slight reduction has taken place in the price. 780 bags Brazil, put up by auction, were taken in at 29*s.* per cwt.

Spirits, Indigo, Spices, and Dye-woods present nothing worthy of remark; some improvement seems to be taking place in the Silk trade, and fine Italian Raw Silk has been inquired for.

There has been an increased demand for Oils, and the prices may be quoted as follows:—Linseed, 32*s.* 3*d.* per cwt.; pale Rape, 32*s.* 6*d.* to 33*s.*; Whale Oil at 24*l.*, and Sperm at 55*l.* per tun. The Tallow Market is steady, and the price from 46*s.* to 46*s.* 6*d.* per cwt.

There have been large supplies of all sorts of grain at the Corn Market of late; a further reduction of 1*s.* per quarter may be noted in Wheat, Barley, and Oats, and the market generally dull.

Nothing can more clearly indicate the confidence felt by monied men that hostilities would be limited to the reduction of Antwerp, than the fact of the almost total absence of fluctuation in the prices of the public funds, even, as it were, amid the roar of the cannon of the contending parties; the closing prices on the 24th are given below.

## BRITISH FUNDS.

Three per Cent. Consols, shut—Three per Cent. Consols for the Account, 84 three-fourths, seven-eighths.—Three per Cent. Reduced, 83 seven-eighths, 84.—Three and a Half per Cent. Reduced, 91 three-fourths, seven-eighths.—New Three and a Half per Cent. shut.—Four per Cent. (1826), 101 three-fourths, 102.—India Stock, shut.—Bank Stock, 189, 190.—Exchequer Bills, 30, 31.—India Bonds, 22, 23.—Long Annuities.

## FOREIGN FUNDS.

Belgian Loan, 74 one-half.—Brazilian Five per Cent. 47 half.—Chilian 15 half, 16 half.—Colombian (1824), Six per Cent. 10, 11.—Danish Three per Cent. 68 half, 69.—Dutch Two and a Half per Cent. 41 three-fourths, 42.—French Five per Cent. 98 half, 99 half.—French Three per Cent. 68 half, 69 half,—



Greek Five per Cent. 25, 26.—Mexican Six per Cent. 24 half, 25.—Portuguese Five per Cent. 49 half, 50.—Portuguese New Loan, 4 quarter to 4 dis.—Russian Five per Cent. 98 three-fourths, 99 quarter.—Spanish Five per Cent. 15 three-quarters, seven-eighths.

## SHARES.

Anglo-Mexican Mines, 8*l.*, 9*l.*—United Mexican Mines, 4*l.* 15*s.*, 5*l.* 5*s.*—Colombian Mines, 6*l.*, 7*l.*—Del Monte, 18*l.*, 19*l.*—Brazil, 45*l.* 10*s.*, 46*l.* 10*s.*—Bolanos, 135, 145.

## BANKRUPTS,

FROM NOVEMBER 30, TO DECEMBER 14, 1832, INCLUSIVE.

Nov. 30. J. ANDERSON and J. PERRY, Worcester, painters. J. BILL, Brosely, Shropshire, butcher. P. W. BRASS, Hammersmith, oilman. R. BURTON, Clifton, Gloucestershire, victualler. H. C. CARTER, Tooting, linen-draper. R. COCKIN, Doncaster, maltster. S. and E. CREWE, Burslem, Staffordshire, innkeepers. J. DEAN, Liverpool, tailor. S. DRUCKER, Old City Chambers, Bishopsgate-street-within, merchant. J. ESCUDIER, Albemarle-street, Piccadilly, hotel-keeper. N. GEARY, New Bond-street, stay-manufacturer. W. GRAHAM, Rosemary-lane, Whitechapel, victualler. W. HAXBY, Hunmanby, Yorkshire, lace-manufacturer. C. HAYLES, Portsmouth, grocer. T. G. P'ANSON, Aldgate, woollen-draper. W. LEWELLYN, Moneythusloyn, Monmouthshire, miller. W. T. PINWELL, and J. H. PLEASE, Exeter, linen-draper. J. SHAW, Great St. Helen's, general dealer. H. J. SHEPHERD, Beverley, dealer and chapman. C. SNUGGS, Mint-street, Southwark, cabinet-maker. W. T. and I. THOMAS, Narrow-street, Ratcliffe, ship-owners. E. TOBIN, Fleet-street, tailor. R. WHEELDON, Birmingham, victualler. J. WILLIAMS, Fleet-street, stationer.

Dec. 4. H. GOUDE, Leicester, and of Harper-street, Red Lion-square, seedsman. R. WHITBURN, formerly of Ripley, Surrey, and late of Esher, brewer, but now of Hersham, Walton-upon-Thames, Surrey. B. HENSMAN, Queen-street-place, City, money-scrivener. W. REDGRAVE, Grosvenor-street-west, Pimlico, wire-worker and fence-manufacturer. W. BUTLER, Little St. Thomas Apostle, City, painter and glazier. J. O. KETTLE, late of Southampton-street, Strand, tailor. W. COLES, the younger, Mincing-lane, broker. B. PINNEY, Staffordshire-place, Pimlico, picture-dealer. R. GREEN, Bristol, hosier. W. FENTON, late of Belle Vue, Sandal, Yorkshire, schoolmaster, but now of Woodhouse, Leeds, farmer. T. DAUBNEY, Portsea, grocer. T. TUNNICLIFFE, late of Sileby, Leicestershire, lace-manufacturer. T. PEASE, Shrewsbury, grocer. F. LEAR, of Kingswood-hill, Gloucestershire, tallow-chandler and grocer. N. HENWOOD, Penzance, victualler. B. SHAW, Rochdale, hat-manufacturer. H. SPARROW, Wolverhampton, iron-founder. W. SIMS, St. Ives, and of Penzance, Cornwall, grocer and baker.

Dec. 7. W. BENFIELD, St. Mary-at-hill,

perfumer. J. B. BOWLEY, Great Dover-street, Newington, furnishing-ironmonger. M. R. DUN and W. CLEUGH, London-street, Fenchurch-street, merchants. D. GORELY, Great Russell-street, Bloomsbury, wholesale perfumer. F. BEAUMONT, Huddersfield, grocer. R. BRICHENO, Hemingford Grey, Huntingdon, horse-dealer. T. BROOMHEAD, Birmingham, appraiser. D. EVANS, jun. Liverpool, builder. A. MILLER, Hermitage, Westbourne, rope-maker. W. POULTON, Broadleaze, Wilts, cattle-salesman. A. PRATT, Redditch, Worcester, surgeon. E. ROBINSON, Wakefield, hosier. S. G. SIKES, Huddersfield, banker. J. THOMAS, Walsall, Stafford, saddlers'-ironmonger.

Dec. 11. T. HAINES, Piccadilly, seedsman. F. TAYLOR, South Molton street, carpenter. G. F. STRATTON, Park-hill, near Alcester, Warwickshire, pipe-manufacturer. T. WITTER, Liverpool, joiner. W. PETERS, Blackfriars-road, Surrey, wine-merchant. W. HARRIS and W. HARRIS, jun. Liverpool, linen-draper. J. ELD, Walsall, Staffordshire, innholder. J. CROOKE, Burnley, Lancashire, ironmonger. J. GARRATT, Muggerhanger, Blunham, Bedfordshire, publican. J. RABY, Darlington, Durham, grocer. G. A. WHALE, Bocking, Essex, innkeeper. P. WALLIS, Comb Fields, Warwickshire, shopkeeper. S. SMITH, Birmingham, victualler.

Dec. 14. F. WHITMORE, late of Lambeth, brewer. J. SHARMAN, late of Birmingham, grocer and tea-dealer. S. CARTER, formerly of Newbury, since of Speenhamland, Berkshire, afterwards of Farnham, Surrey, surgeon. T. SURFLEN, Abchurch-lane, wine-merchant. J. BLAKE, Norton-falgate, chemist and druggist. J. HOOK, Great Alie-street, Goodman's fields, flour-dealer. H. SAVAGE, now or late of Oxford-street, cheesemonger. M. NEWLAND, formerly of Craven-street, Strand, and now or late of Parliament-street, Westminster, broker. G. BALL, Wood-street, artificial flower and feather manufacturer. A. TOWNLEY, Stockport, Cheshire, bookseller and stationer. J. LINGFORD, Nottingham, ironmonger and iron-founder. H. SHEEN, Leicester, grocer. J. FROST and J. NELSON, Huddersfield, Yorkshire, manufacturers of fancy goods. J. FALLOWS, jun. Oldham, Lancashire, grocer. A. EMMETT, Holden Wood, Haslingden, Lancashire, cotton-spinner.



## MONTHLY DIGEST.

## GREAT BRITAIN.

The following Proclamation for dissolving the present Parliament, and declaring the calling of another, was issued on the 5th of December:—

“ WILLIAM R.—Whereas, we have thought fit, by and with the advice of our Privy Council, to dissolve this present Parliament, which stands prorogued to Tuesday, the 11th day of December instant: We do, for that end, publish this our Royal Proclamation, and do hereby dissolve the said Parliament accordingly; and the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and the Knights, Citizens, and Burgesses, and the Commissioners for Shires and Burghs, of the House of Commons, are discharged from their meeting and attendance on the said Tuesday, the 11th day of December instant; and we being desirous and resolved, as soon as may be, to meet our people, and to have their advice in Parliament, do hereby make known to all our loving subjects our Royal will and pleasure to call a new Parliament; and do hereby further declare, that, with the advice of our Privy Council, we have given orders to our Chancellor of that part of our United Kingdom called Great Britain, and our Chancellor of Ireland, that they do respectively, upon notice thereof, forthwith issue out writs in due form, and according to law, for calling a new Parliament, and we do hereby also, by this our Royal Proclamation, under our Great Seal of our United Kingdom, require writs forthwith to be issued accordingly by our said Chancellors respectively, for causing the Lords Spiritual and Temporal and Commons, who are to serve in the said Parliament, to be duly returned to, and give their attendance in, our said Parliament; which writs are to be returnable on the 29th day of January next.

“ Given at our Court, at St. James's, this 3d day of December, 1832, and in the third year of our reign.

“ God save the King !”

## THE ELECTIONS.

Returns of Members elected to serve in Parliament for the several boroughs, cities, and counties of Great Britain.

Abingdon—Mr. Duffield	Buckingham—Sir H. Verney, Sir T. Freemantle
Andover—Mr. H. Fellows, Mr. R. Etwall	Bucks—Marq. of Chandos, Mr. J. Smith
Anglesea—Sir K. B. Bulkeley	Bury, L.—Mr. Walker
Arundel—Lord D. C. Stuart	Bury St. Edm.—Earl Jermyn, Mr. Eagle
Ashburton—Mr. Poyntz	Caernarvonshire—Mr. Thomas A. Smith
Ashton—Col. Williams	Calne—Earl of Kerry
Aylesbury—Mr. Rickford, Col. Hanmer	Cambridge University—Mr. Goulburn, Mr. M. Sutton
Banbury—Mr. H. W. Tancred	Cambridgeshire—Mr. Yorke, Mr. Townley, Mr. Childers
Barnstaple—Mr. Chichester, Major Fancourt	Canterbury—Lord Fordwich, Mr. Watson
Bath—General Palmer, Mr. Roebuck	Cardiff—Mr. J. Nicholl
Beaumaris—Mr. F. Paget	Cardigan—Mr. Pryce Pryce
Bedford—Mr. Whitbread, Mr. Crawley	Cardiganshire—Mr. W. E. Powell
Bedfordshire—Mr. J. F. Russell, Mr. W. Stuart	Carlisle—Mr. P. Howard, Mr. James
Berkshire—Mr. Palmer, Mr. Throckmorton, Mr. Walter	Carmarthen—Hon. Mr. Yelverton
Berwick—Sir R. Donkin, Sir F. Blake	Carmarthenshire—Mr. E. H. Adams, Hon. G. R. Trevor
Beverley—Mr. Langdale, Mr. Burton	Carnarvon—Sir C. Paget
Bewdley—Sir T. Winnington	Chatham—Lieut.-Col. Maberley
Birmingham—Mr. Attwood, Mr. Scholefield	Cheltenham—Capt. F. Berkeley
Blackburn—Mr. W. Fielding, Mr. W. Turner	Cheshire—Earl Grosvenor, Mr. Wilbraham
Bodmin—Mr. Petre, Mr. Spry	Chester—Lord R. Grosvenor, Mr. Jarvis
Bolton—Col. Torrens, Mr. Bolling	Chichester—Lord A. Lennox, Mr. J. A. Smith
Boston—Mr. J. Wilkes, Major Handley	Chippenham—Mr. Neeld, Mr. H. F. Talbot
Bradford—Mr. Lester, Mr. Hardy	Christchurch—Mr. G. W. Tapps
Brecon—Col. Wood	Cirencester—Mr. Cripps, Lord Apsley
Brecon, B.—Mr. L. V. Watkins	Clithero—Mr. Fort
Bridgenorth—Mr. Pigot, Mr. T. Whitmore	Cockermouth—Mr. Dykes, Mr. Aglionby
Bridgewater—Mr. Tynte, Mr. Tayleure	Colchester—Mr. Saunderson, Mr. W. Harvey
Brighton—Mr. Wigney, Mr. Faithful	
Bridport—Mr. H. Warburton, Mr. J. Romilly	
Bristol—Sir R. Vyvyan, Mr. Baillie	



- Cornwall, East—Mr. Pendarves, Sir C. Lemon  
 Coventry—Mr. Ellice, Mr. H. L. Bulwer  
 Cricklade—Mr. Calley, Mr. Gordon  
 Cumberland, East—Sir J. Graham, Mr. Blamire  
 Dartmouth—Col. Seale  
 Denbigh, B.—Mr. J. Maddocks  
 Denbighshire—Sir W. Wynne, Mr. R. Biddulph  
 Derby—Mr. Strutt, Mr. Cavendish  
 Derbyshire, South—Mr. Vernon, Lord Waterpark  
 Devizes—Mr. Locke, Mr. Gore  
 Devonport—Sir G. Grey, Sir E. Codrington  
 Devon, North—Hon. N. Fellowes, Viscount Ebrington  
 Dorchester—Mr. R. Williams, Mr. A. Cooper  
 Dorsetshire—Lord Ashley, Mr. W. Bankes, Mr. W. Ponsonby  
 Dover—Mr. Thomson, Sir J. R. Reid  
 Droitwich—Mr. J. H. Foley  
 Dudley—Sir J. Campbell  
 Durham—Mr. Harland, Col. Chaytor  
 Durham, North—Mr. Lambton, Sir H. Wiliams  
 Essex, South—Mr. Dare, Sir T. Lennard  
 Evesham—Sir C. Cockerell, Mr. Hudson  
 Exeter—Mr. Buller, Mr. Divett  
 Eye—Sir E. Kerrison  
 Finsbury—Mr. R. Grant, Sergeant Spankie  
 Flint—Sir S. Glynne  
 Flintshire—Hon. E. Mostyn  
 Frome—Mr. Shephard  
 Gateshead—Mr. Rippon  
 Gloucester—Capt. Berkeley, Mr. Phillpotts  
 Gloucestershire, East—Sir W. Guise, Mr. H. Moreton  
 Gloucestershire, W.—Hon. G. Berkeley, Hon. A. Moreton  
 Grantham—Admiral Tollemache, Mr. Welby  
 Greenwich—Capt. Dundas, Mr. Barnard  
 Grimsby—Mr. Maxfield  
 Guildford—Mr. Mangles, Mr. Wall  
 Halifax—Mr. Wood, Mr. Briggs  
 Hampshire, North—Mr. S. Lefevre, Mr. Scott  
 Hampshire, South—Lord Palmerston, Sir G. Staunton  
 Harwich—Mr. Herries, Mr. Tower  
 Hastings—Mr. North, Mr. Warre  
 Haverford—Sir R. B. Phillips  
 Helstone—Mr. Fox  
 Hereford—Mr. Biddulph, Mr. Clive  
 Herefordshire—Sir R. Price, Mr. K. Hoskins, Mr. E. T. Foley  
 Hertford—Lord Ingestrie, Lord Mahon  
 Honiton—Lord Villiers, Mr. Todd  
 Horsham—Mr. Hurst  
 Huddersfield—Mr. Fenton  
 Hull—Mr. Hill, Mr. Hunt  
 Huntingdon—Colonel Peel, Mr. Pollock  
 Huntingdonshire—Lord Mandeville, Mr. J. B. Rooper  
 Hythe—Mr. Marjoribanks  
 Ipswich—Mr. Morrison, Mr. Wason  
 Kendal—Mr. J. Brougham  
 Kent (West)—Mr. Hodges, Mr. Rider  
 King's Lynn—Lord G. Bentinck, Lord W. Lennox  
 Knaresborough—Mr. Richards, Mr. Rotch  
 Lambeth—Mr. Tennyson, Mr. Hawes  
 Lancaster—Mr. Greene, Mr. Stewart  
 Lancashire (N.)—Mr. W. Patten, Mr. Stanley  
 Launceston—Sir F. Hardinge  
 Leeds—Mr. Marshall, Mr. Macaulay  
 Leicester—Mr. Evans, Mr. W. Ellice  
 Leicester (N.)—Lord R. Manners, Mr. C. M. Phillips  
 Leicester (S.)—Mr. E. Dawson, Mr. H. Halford  
 Leominster—Lord Hotham, Mr. Bish  
 Lewes—Mr. J. R. Kemp, Sir C. R. Blount  
 Lichfield—Sir G. Anson, Sir E. Scott  
 Lincoln—Mr. Heneage, Mr. E. L. Bulwer  
 Lincolnshire (Kesteven)—Mr. H. Handley, Mr. T. Heathcote  
 Liskeard—Mr. C. Buller  
 Liverpool—Mr. Ewart, Lord Sandon  
 London—Mr. Grote, Alder. Waithman, Alder. Wood, Alder. Key  
 Ludlow—Mr. E. Romilly, Viscount Clive  
 Lyme—Mr. W. Pinney  
 Lymington—Sir C. Neale, Mr. Stewart  
 Macclesfield—Mr. Ryle, Mr. Brocklehurst  
 Maidstone—Mr. Roberts, Mr. Barnett  
 Maldon—Mr. Lennard, Mr. Q. Dick  
 Malmesbury—Lord Andover  
 Malton—Mr. Pepys, Mr. Wentworth  
 Manchester—Mr. Phillips, Mr. P. Thomson (double)  
 Marlborough—Lord E. Bruce, Mr. Baring  
 Marlow—Mr. J. P. Williams, Col. Clayton  
 Marylebone—Mr. B. Portman, Sir W. Horne  
 Merioneth—Sir R. W. Vaughan  
 Merthyr—Mr. J. J. Guest  
 Middlesex—Mr. Hume, Mr. Byng  
 Midhurst—Mr. F. Spencer  
 Monmouth—Mr. R. Hall  
 Monmouthshire—Lord G. H. Somerset, Mr. Williams  
 Morpeth—Mr. Howard  
 Newark—Mr. Gladstones, Mr. Handley  
 Newcastle-under-Lyme—Sir H. Willoughby, Mr. Miller  
 Newcastle-upon-Tyne—Sir M. W. Ridley, Mr. Hodgson  
 Newport, Isle of Wight—Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Ord  
 Norfolk, West—Sir J. Astley, Sir W. Folkes  
 Northampton, B.—Mr. V. Smith, Mr. Ross  
 Northampton, South—Lord Althorp, Mr. Cartwright  
 Northumberland, North—Viscount Howick, Lord Ossulston  
 Northumberland, C.—Mr. W. Beaumont, Mr. M. Bell  
 Norwich—Lord Stormont, Sir J. Scarlett  
 Nottingham—Gen. Ferguson, Lt. Duncannon  
 Nottingham, South—Earl of Lincoln, Mr. J. E. Denison  
 Nottinghamshire, North—Lord Lumley, Mr. Houldsworth  
 Oldham—Mr. John Fielden, Mr. Cobbett  
 Oxford—Mr. Langstone, Mr. Stonor  
 Oxfordshire—Mr. G. V. Harcourt, Lord Norreys, Mr. Weyland  
 Pembroke—Mr. Owen  
 Pembrokeshire—Sir J. Owen  
 Penryn—Mr. Rolfe, Lord Tullamore  
 Peterborough—Sir R. Hearon, Mr. Fazakerley  
 Petersfield—Mr. Lefevre  
 Plymouth—Mr. Collier, Mr. Bowes  
 Pontefract—Mr. Jerningham, Mr. Gully  
 Portsmouth—Mr. Carter, Mr. Baring



Preston—Mr. Fleetwood, Mr. Stanley  
 Radnor—Mr. R. Price  
 Radnorshire—Mr. F. Lewis  
 Reading—Mr. C. Russell, Mr. C. F. Palmer  
 Reigate—Viscount Eastnor  
 Retford—Mr. Vernon, Lord Newark  
 Richmond—Sir R. Dundas, Hon. J. C. Dundas  
 Ripon—Mr. Stavelly, Mr. Crompton  
 Rochdale—Captain Fenton  
 Rochester—Mr. Bernal, Mr. Mills  
 Rutland—Sir G. Noel, Mr. Heathcote  
 Rye—Captain Curteis  
 Salford—Mr. Brotherton  
 Salisbury—Mr. Brodie, Mr. W. Wyndham  
 Sandwich—Sir E. Trowbridge, Mr. Marryatt  
 Scarborough—Sir W. Johnstone, Sir G. Cayley  
 Shaftesbury—Mr. Poulter  
 Sheffield—Mr. Parker, Mr. Buckingham  
 Shoreham, New—Sir C. Burrell, Mr. Goring  
 Shrewsbury—Sir J. Hanmer, Mr. Slaney  
 Shropshire, North—Sir R. Hill, Mr. Cotes  
 Southampton—Mr. A. Atherley, Mr. B. Hoy  
 South Shields—Mr. Ingham, Mr. Palmer  
 Southwark—Mr. W. Brougham, Mr. S. Humphery  
 Stafford—Capt. Chetwynd, Capt. Gronow  
 Stafford, North—Sir W. Mosely, Mr. Buller  
 Stafford, South—Sir J. Wrottesley, Mr. Littleton  
 Stamford—Mr. Chaplin, Mr. Finch  
 Stockport—Mr. J. Marsland, Mr. J. H. Lloyd  
 Stoke-upon-Trent—Mr. Davenport, Mr. Wedgwood  
 Stroud—Mr. Hyatt, Mr. Ricardo  
 St. Ives—Mr. Halse  
 Sudbury—Mr. A. Taylor, Sir J. B. Walsh  
 Suffolk, East—Lord Henniker, Sir C. B. Vere  
 Sunderland—Colonel Chaytor, Mr. Barrington  
 Surrey, East—Mr. Briscoe, Major Beaucherk  
 Surrey, West—Mr. Denison, Mr. Leech  
 Sussex, West—Lord J. G. Lennox, Earl of Surrey  
 Sussex, East—Hon. C. Cavendish, and H. B. Curteis  
 Tamworth—Lord C. V. Townsend, Sir R. Peel  
 Taunton—Mr. H. Labouchere, Mr. E. T. Bainbridge  
 Tewkesbury—Mr. H. Tracey, Mr. Martin  
 Thetford—Lord T. Fitzroy, Mr. F. Baring  
 Tiverton—Mr. Heathcote, Lord Kennedy  
 Tower Hamlets—Dr. Lushington, Mr. Clay  
 Truro—Sir H. Vivian, Mr. Tooke  
 Tynemouth—Mr. G. F. Young  
 Wakefield—Mr. Gaskill  
 Wallingford—Mr. Blackstone  
 Walsall—Mr. Foster  
 Wareham—Mr. Calcraft  
 Warrington—Mr. Hornby  
 Warwick—Sir C. Greville, Mr. King  
 Warwickshire (South)—Sir G. Skipwith, Sir G. Phillips  
 Wells—Mr. Lamont, Mr. Lee  
 Westbury—Sir R. F. Lopez  
 Westminster—Sir F. Burdett, Sir J. Hobhouse  
 Westmoreland—Lord Lowther, Mr. Barham  
 Weymouth—Mr. Buxton, Sir F. Johnstone  
 Whitehaven—Mr. M. Attwood  
 Whitby—Mr. Chapman  
 Wigan—Mr. Thicknesse, Mr. Potter  
 Wight, County—Sir R. Simeon  
 Wilton—Mr. Penruddocke

Wilts, South—Mr. J. Bennett, Hon. S. Herbert  
 Wilts, North—Mr. Methuen, Sir J. D. Astley  
 Winchester—Mr. Mildmay, Mr. Baring  
 Windsor—Mr. Ramsbottom, Sir J. Pechell  
 Wolverhampton—Mr. W. Whitmore, Mr. R. Fryer  
 Woodstock—Marquis Blandford  
 Worcester—Colonel Davies, Mr. Robinson  
 Worcester, West—Colonel Lygon, Hon. T. H. Foley  
 Worcester, East—Mr. Cooke, Mr. Russell  
 Wycombe—Mr. Smith, Colonel Grey  
 Yarmouth—Colonel Anson, Sir G. Rumbold  
 York—Mr. Petre, Mr. Bayntun  
 York (N. R.)—Hon. W. Duncombe, E. S. Caley, Esq.  
 Yorkshire, East—Mr. R. Bethell, P. Thomson

## IRELAND.

Armagh—Mr. L. Dobbyn  
 Bandon—Hon. Capt. Bernard  
 Belfast—Lord Chichester, E. Tennant, Esq.  
 Carlow—Mr. Vigers  
 Carlow, C.—W. Blakeney and T. Wallace, Esqrs.  
 Carrickfergus—C. K. Dobbs  
 Cavan—Mr. Maxwell, Mr. J. Young  
 Clare—Major Macnamara, C. O'Brien, Esq.  
 Clonmel—Mr. D. Ronayne  
 Coleraine—Sir J. Beresford  
 Cork—Mr. D. Callaghan and Dr. Baldwin  
 Derry—Sir R. Ferguson  
 Derry, C.—Sir R. Bateson, T. Jones, Esq.  
 Donegal—Sir E. Hayes, Colonel Conolly  
 Drogheda—Mr. O'Dwyer  
 Dublin—Mr. D. O'Connell, Mr. Ruthven  
 Dublin University—Mr. Shaw, Mr. Lefroy  
 Dublin, C.—C. Fitzsimon and G. Evans, Esqs.  
 Dungarvon—Mr. Lamb  
 Ennis—F. Macnamara, Esq.  
 Galway—Lynch and MacLaughlin, Esqrs.  
 Kerry, C.—F. W. Mullens, and C. O'Connell, Esqrs.  
 Kildare, C.—E. Ruthven and R. M. O'Ferrall, Esqrs.  
 Kilkenny, C.—Hon. P. Butler, W. Finn, Esq.  
 Leitrim—Lord Clements, and S. White, Esq.  
 Limerick—W. Roche and D. Roche, Esqrs.  
 Lisburn—Mr. Meynell  
 Longford, C.—L. White and J. Rorke, Esqrs.  
 Louth, C.—J. Fitzgerald and H. Bellew, Esqs.  
 Mallow—Mr. T. O. Daunt  
 Mayo—J. Browne and D. Browne, Esqrs.  
 New Ross—Mr. J. H. Talbot  
 Portarlington—Mr. Gladstone  
 Roscommon—O'Connor Don, Mr. F. French  
 Sligo—A. Percival and E. Cooper, Esqs.  
 Sligo, B.—J. Martin, Esq.  
 Tipperary—Hon. C. O'Callaghan, Mr. Sheil  
 Tralee—Mr. M. O'Connell  
 Tyrone—Hon. H. Corry, Sir H. Stewart, Bart.  
 Waterford, C.—Christmas and Barron, Esqrs.  
 Westmeath, C.—M. Chapman, Esq. and Sir R. Nagle  
 Wexford—Mr. Walker  
 Wexford, C.—Robt. Carew, H. Lambert, Esqs.  
 Wicklow, C.—R. Howard, J. Grattan, Esqrs.  
 Youghall—Mr. J. O'Connell

## SCOTLAND.

Aberdeen, B.—Mr. Bannerman  
 Argyllshire—J. H. Callender, Esq.



Ayr District of Burghs—Mr. Kennedy  
 Banff, C.—G. Ferguson, Esq.  
 Berwick, C.—Mr. Marjoribanks  
 Bute, C.—Charles Stuart, Esq.  
 Caithness, C.—Hon. G. Sinclair  
 Dumfries—Mr. H. Johnstone  
 Dumfries, B.—General Sharpe  
 Dundee—Mr. Kinloch  
 Edinburgh—Mr. Jeffrey, Mr. Abercromby  
 Edinburgh, C.—Sir J. Dalrymple  
 Elgin and Nairn, B.—Hon. F. W. Grant  
 Falkirk— — Gillon, Esq.  
 Fife Burghs—A. Johnston, Esq.  
 Fife—J. Wemyss, Esq.  
 Forfar—Mr. Ross  
 Forfar, C.—Hon. B. G. Halyburton  
 Glasgow—Mr. Ewing, Mr. Oswald  
 Greenock—Mr. Wallace

Haddington—Mr. Balfour  
 Haddington, B.—Mr. R. Stewart  
 Kilmarnock Boroughs—Captain Dunlop  
 Kirkaldy B.—Mr. R. Ferguson  
 Kirkcudbright—Mr. R. Fergusson  
 Lanark, C.—Mr. Maxwell  
 Leith, B.—Mr. J. A. Murray  
 Linlithgow, C.—Sir Alexander Hope  
 Nairn and Forres, B.—Colonel Bailey  
 Peebles—Sir J. Hay  
 Selkirk, C.—Robert Pringle, Esq.  
 St. Andrew's District of Burghs—Mr. Andrew Johnston  
 Stirling Boroughs—Lord Dalmeny  
 Wick Burghs—James Loch, Esq.  
 Wigton Burghs—E. Stewart, Esq.  
 Wigtown, C.—Sir A. Agnew

An Extraordinary Gazette was published early in the month, allowing Dutch vessels, in the East and West Indies, in Africa or America, to enter and clear out of the aforesaid places; and it was further ordered, that all Dutch vessels with perishable cargoes, which should have been, or might be detained, should be immediately released, and allowed to proceed on their voyages.

## THE COLONIES.

### CANADA.

From the "Montreal Gazette" we learn that the inhabitants of Sherbrooke County, in a meeting at Lennoxville, unanimously requested their *representatives* to support the encouragement of emigration, and the *plans* of the Land Company, in the House of Assembly during this Session; which example, it was supposed, would be followed by all the other townships. This movement will, we hope, excite the attention of our Colonial Minister, who, regardless of the narrow policy which prompts the French Canadians to grumble at improvements they had not the soul to attempt themselves, will only pursue those measures which at once shall promote the best interests of the colonies and the mother country. It cannot require any force of argument to prove that it is better for England to lend her protection to a well-populated and fertile colony, in preference to a few thinly-peopled towns entirely surrounded by vast forests and tracts of waste land, which a few years back was the condition of the Canadas. Marking the amazing advancement of those colonies, let us hope, in this marching time of knowledge, that some of its valuable light may, through the agency of our Government, fall upon this political *clique*, who abrogate to themselves the title of *Les Enfants du Sol*.

The Legislative Assembly was opened on the 15th, in a speech by the Governor, from which the following is the only extract which is interesting in Europe:—  
 "The period having arrived for effecting a new adjustment of the proportion to be paid to Upper Canada of certain duties levied in this province, the commissioners nominated on the part of the two provinces respectively, under provisions of the Act 3d Geo. IV., cap. 119, have recently met, and entered largely into the consideration of that subject; and although the discussion which ensued thereupon was conducted with no less cordiality and good feeling than with ability and diligence on both sides, I am concerned at having to announce to you that the commissioners have separated without coming to any decision on the important question intrusted to their management; and having, moreover, differed in regard to the appointment conjointly of a third commissioner or arbitrator, it becomes necessary, according to the provisions of the abovementioned act (sec. —) to refer the matter to his Majesty's government for the purpose of obtaining the appointment of an arbitrator under the royal sign manual."

### WEST INDIES.

*Jamaica.*—The speech of Lord Mulgrave to the House of Assembly in Jamaica, on the 30th of October, enters into minute details of the state of the island,



political and commercial, and the cause and effects of the late rebellion, and is a highly interesting document. With regard to the question of slavery, his Lordship states that the Orders in Council will not be enforced, and trusts that the House of Assembly will entertain the subject at an early day, that the measures for the amelioration of the slaves may emanate from the planters and the authorities of the island. The Governor states that he has made the tour of Jamaica; that he has strictly investigated into the causes of the late rebellion, and from all the evidence he has collected, such a great calamity is not likely again to occur. His speech has given the highest satisfaction to all parties in the island.

*St. Lucia.*—Advices from St. Lucia contain a proclamation of Governor Farquharson, in which he states that the Regulations of the Ordinance of 1826 had not been strictly complied with by alien foreigners, and that, in consequence of their “having presumed” to attach their signatures and allow their names to be appended to various petitions and declarations, with an avowed determination to impede the execution of the Order in Council, and a resolution not to pay voluntarily the taxes towards the maintenance of the colonial establishments, the Governor ordered that all alien foreigners, resident in the colony, should, within one month from the date of the promulgation of the proclamation, produce their permits of residence, and that the payment of all arrears of taxes, and all fines and other penalties prescribed for non-performance, would be rigorously enforced.

#### EAST INDIES.

By a proclamation of the Governor of the Mauritius of the 3rd of September, it was decreed, in consequence of the death of John Justin Cooper, Judge of the Supreme Court of Appeal, that until his Majesty’s pleasure be known, Edward Redmond, Esq. should continue to exercise the office of Procureur-General, and Nicholas Gustave Bestel that of Assistant Judge of the Supreme Court. The governor, in honour of his Majesty’s birth-day, had remitted to eleven prisoners, imprisoned for various periods, the remainder of the term of their respective imprisonments.

A dreadful fire occurred on the 25th of July in the fort at Calcutta. It commenced in the arsenal, and destroyed two sides of the square, used as godowns for all descriptions of stores,—such as rope, canvas, tar, turpentine, pitch, military accoutrements, &c. all of which, with the buildings, were completely destroyed. The loss is calculated at several lacs of rupees. The fortunate veering and unexpected subsidence of the wind saved the armoury, which, with its splendid and immense stand of arms, was a long time in imminent danger. To save the armoury, recourse was had to battering down with shot from 18-pounders. The crop of indigo in the East is represented in the last letters as short and bad.

#### CAPE OF GOOD HOPE.

The Cape of Good Hope papers of the 9th September contained an interesting report of the Committee of the Philanthropic Society established there, from which it appears that the number of female slaves emancipated since the establishment of the society on the 15th of November, 1828, had been 102. The number of slaves at the Cape is estimated, in round numbers, at 34,000. The Caffres on the frontier had been attacked by a party of soldiers, under Lieutenant Ross, and driven away, their property being burned. The cause of this is said to be that some stolen cattle having been traced to Caffreland, resistance was offered, and four of the Caffres killed. The whole population of the Kat River Settlement is said to be from 4000 to 5000 persons.

#### GREENLAND.

We have received an account of the Davis’s Straits and Greenland Whale Fishery for 1832. It appears that eighty-one ships had been employed, of which five, viz., the *Ariel* and *Shannon*, of Hull, the *Egginton*, of Kirkcaldy, the *Juno* and *William Young*, of Leith, were lost. The produce of this fishery has been 12,578 tuns, of 252 gallons each, and the quantity of whalebone was about 670 tons weight, valued at about 100,000*l*. The value of the oil was 250,000*l*. The number of seamen employed was nearly 4000.



## FOREIGN STATES.

## FRANCE.

The business of the session in the French Chamber of Deputies commenced by the submission of the budget, or the estimates, of 1833 to the Chamber. The new Minister of Finance (M. Humann) seems to have shown great activity in arranging the necessary business of his department so soon after his appointment. The estimates of next year require an extraordinary expenditure of 166,000,000 of francs, or more than 6,500,000*l.* sterling. The ordinary supplies amount only to 966,000,000 of francs, or somewhat more than 37,500,000*l.* The interest for the public debt absorbs 359,000,000, or about 14,360,000*l.* sterling of this sum. In the collection of the revenue nearly 5,000,000*l.* sterling are expended. After allowing 17,000,000 of francs for the civil list and pensions, there remains about 18,000,000*l.* sterling for all the ordinary supplies of the year. Of this sum the Ministry of Trade and Public Works (formerly that of the Interior) receives about 5,500,000*l.* sterling. The expenditure for the army, ordinary and extraordinary, is estimated at 316,000,000 of francs, or more than 12,600,000*l.* sterling. The navy and other branches of the public service are less onerous for the country. The whole produce of the annual taxes, composing the ordinary ways and means, to meet this great expenditure, is estimated only at 966,630,000 francs, or 37,640,000*l.* sterling. About 167,000,000 of francs, or nearly 6,700,000*l.* will therefore require to be provided by loans or by the sale of the national forests. The immense armed establishments of France thus impose upon her finances burdens and embarrassments which have increased every year since the late revolution, and which it ought to be the policy of her Government to reduce by endeavouring to disarm herself, and by persuading her neighbours to follow her example.

The law submitted to the Chamber of Deputies relative to the power of the Government to declare places in a state of siege, creates a considerable deal of discussion, and it is generally denounced in the liberal papers as a piece of gross legislative tyranny, corresponding to our suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act, or to our celebrated Six Acts. It is intended to enable the Government to rule over the people, and is, perhaps, as bad as those ordinances for which Charles X. was exiled. A decision of a Jury in a trial of the St. Simonians, a few days ago, in which the Jury refused to declare them guilty, though the facts were fully proved, because the law prohibiting men from meeting in a greater number than twenty ought not to be executed, is an instance of the people taking the administration of the law into their own hands in France, similar to that which occurred in England in relation to forgery and stealing in dwelling-houses, and will, no doubt, lead to important consequences. Either the Government must, under the dictation of Juries, alter the law, or the Juries themselves must be set aside—a step which the French Government will now hardly venture to take. We look upon this circumstance of Juries refusing to be parties to the execution of an unjust law, as forming an epoch in the administration of justice. The French Government has shown a disposition to put an end to prohibitory commercial laws, and has submitted measures to the Chamber of Deputies to permit the importation of cotton-twist, Cashmere shawls, Russia leather, embroideries, watches and watch-work, &c., under duties, and to remove the prohibition to export raw and thrown silk. It also proposes to reduce the duty on the importation of live cattle. We hail all such measures with delight, because we are sure that the interests of all the nations of Europe are so similar and so reciprocal, that it is only necessary for Governments to remove these silly and absurd commercial restrictions which tend alike to impoverish all, to bring about a general feeling of mutual kindness and good-will that will be the basis of permanent peace.

## SPAIN.

The Director-General of Spain had published the following decree, passed by the Government of the United States, relative to Spanish commerce, in consequence of the Royal Order of the 29th of April last, admitting American vessels into the ports of Spain upon reciprocal terms:—"Spanish vessels arriving in the ports of the United States are to pay the same tonnage duty as is charged on American vessels in Spanish ports. Vessels, the property of Spanish subjects, arriving from Spanish colonies to the ports of the United States, shall pay the same rate of tonnage as is paid by American vessels in Spanish colonial ports." This act is to be in force from the 1st of January.



## PORTUGAL.

We have news from Oporto down to the 15th, at which time Don Pedro's troops were still in possession of the place, though still unable to chase away the Miguelites. The Pedroites are said, however, to have erected a new battery, which completely commands one of the principal batteries of Don Miguel. The people seem to suffer considerably from Miguel's fire, and on December 7th, twenty-one women and children were killed and wounded. The difficulty is stated to be—where to go to get out of danger. The shipping are not in safety. One vessel, the *Lord of the Isle*, of which the master was purposely made drunk, succeeded in breaking through the blockade, and getting over the bar with supplies. The foreign troops, however, have been more than once in a state of mutiny. On November 28th, Don Pedro's troops made another sortie, but without any decisive success. They burnt some of Miguel's huts, and killed five or six hundred of his men. This whole affair seems, however, desperate, and if Sir Stratford Canning, who has been sent to Madrid to negotiate, it is said, the accommodation between the two brothers, does not succeed, Oporto will be ruined, and Portugal not saved. Don Miguel's General, Santa Martha, as if preparing for the sack of Oporto, gave all neutral vessels till November 27th, to leave the river. They would not profit by his kindness, and remained trusting to Don Pedro keeping him away from them, or trusting to their own Governments to protect them in extremity. Their confidence down to the middle of December had not been deceived, for Don Pedro was then still master of Oporto.

## HOLLAND.

The citadel of Antwerp has at length surrendered. The following is a correct abstract of the terms :

1. Surrender the citadel of Antwerp, the Tête de Flandres, the forts of Burght, Zwyndrecht, and Osteroeel.

2. The garrison to remain prisoners of war till the King of Holland has given up the forts of Lillo and Liefkenshoek.

A French and Dutch officer will be sent to the Hague to communicate this article. The remaining articles relate to the treatment of the garrison, and particularly of the wounded soldiers.

Persons in the fortress not belonging to the garrison placed under the protection of the French army.

4. The garrison to be sent back to Holland as soon as the two forts are surrendered.

6, 7. Means of transport to be furnished at the expense of the Dutch government.

8. The half moon of the gate de la Constance, opposite to the town, to be occupied immediately by the French.

## BELGIUM.

The former Ministry has been re-elected.

## POLAND.

The Emperor Nicholas has issued orders for the transplantation of five thousand families of Polish gentlemen from the province of Podolia to the Steppes on the line of the Caucasus. The order is dated November 21st. The men are to be sent in the first instance—their families are to be sent after them. The selection of individuals is to be regulated by the share which they took in the late disturbances, and by the supposed danger to the state from their mode of living and their general conduct. The University of Warsaw, except the faculties of Medicine and Theology, is abolished. The library and collection of medals are ordered to be transported to St. Petersburg.

## GREECE.

King Otho left Munich on the 6th December, to take possession of the sovereignty of Greece. He was accompanied by the King and Queen about two leagues ; his brother (the Prince Royal) and one of his maternal uncles were to accompany him to Naples. From thence he was to proceed by Otranto and Brindisi to Corfu, the place of rendezvous for the little army which was to accompany him. It was calculated that he would not be able to reach Nauplia before the end of January.

## CHINA.

The latest intelligence from Canton states that the revolt in the interior continues with new successes on the part of the rebels. All the disposable force of Canton had been sent into the revolted district. The governor was on the eve of setting out. A fleet of large travelling boats had passed up the river with troops.



# APPOINTMENTS, PROMOTIONS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

## APPOINTMENTS, PROMOTIONS, &c.

By writ under the great seal, the following eldest sons of Peers are themselves raised to the dignity of hereditary legislators :—

Francis Russell (by courtesy Marquis of Tavistock) is created Baron Howland, of Streatham, in the county of Surrey.

Henry Paget (by courtesy Earl of Uxbridge) is raised to the style and title of Baron Paget, of Bryan Desert, in the county of Stafford.

George Harvey Grey (by courtesy Lord Grey) is called to the House of Peers, as Baron Grey, of Groby, in the county of Leicester.

Edward Smith Stanley (commonly called Lord Stanley) is elevated to the honour of the peerage, under the title of Baron Stanley, of Bickerstaff, in the county palatine of Lancashire.

Lord Sherborne is to be created Earl of Cheltenham.

Sir Thomas Brisbane has been elected President of the Royal Society, Edinburgh, in the room of the late Sir Walter Scott.

Mr. Macaulay has been appointed Secretary to the Board of Control, vacant by the death of Mr. Hyde Villiers.

*Married.*—Yesterday, by special license, at the house of Lord Decies, in Curzon-street, Viscount Beresford to the Hon. Mrs. Hope, of Deepdene, widow of the late T. Hope, Esq.

At Hamilton Palace, Lord Lincoln to Lady Susan Hamilton, sister to the Marquis of Douglas and Clydesdale.

At St. George's, Bloomsbury, by the Rev. John Macaulay, Vicar of Loppington, in Shropshire, Edward Cropper, Esq. of Liverpool, to Margaret, daughter of Z. Macaulay, Esq.

At Ditton Park, Lord Dunglas, son to the Earl of Home, to the Hon. Lucy Elizabeth Montagu, eldest daughter of Lord Montagu.

At Lyndhurst, R. A. Mac Naghten, Esq. Captain in the Bengal Army, to Susanna, daughter of G. Halford, Esq. of Lyndhurst, Hants.

J. Fitzgerald, Esq. son of J. Fitzgerald, Esq. M.P. of Wherstead Lodge, Suffolk, to Augusta, daughter of C. Phillips, Esq. of Garenden Park, M.P. for Leicestershire.

W. Blanshard, Esq. of York, Barrister-at-law, and Recorder of Ripon, to Mary Anne, eldest daughter of the late R. S. Short, Esq. of Edlington Grove, near Horncastle.

At All Souls' Church, Langham-place, T. Kemp, Esq. M.P. for Lewes, to Frances, daughter of C. W. J. Shakerley, Esq. of Camerford Park, Cheshire.

At St. George's, Hanover-square, by the Rev. and Right Hon. Lord Wriothlesley Russell, Cluny Macpherson, of Cluny Macpherson, Chief of that Clan, to Sarah Justina, youngest daughter of the late Henry Davidson, Esq. of Tulloch, North Britain.

At All Souls', Langham-place, by the Very Rev. the Dean of Chichester, Henry Fox Talbot, Esq., M.P., of Lacock Abbey, in the County

of Wilts, to Constance, youngest daughter of Francis Mundy, Esq. of Markeaton, in the county of Derby.

*Died.*—At his seat, Shavington, Shropshire, the Earl of Kilmorey, aged 81.

In Old Quebec-street, the Dowager Lady Palmer, relict of the late Sir J. Roger Palmer, Bart., of Castle Lacken, county of Mayo.

At Naples, Lord Berwick, of Attingham House, Shropshire.

At Dover, aged 70, Captain John Hatley, R.N., the last survivor of the companions of our illustrious circumnavigator Capt. Cook.

At Chelsea Park, Sir Henry Wright Wilson, of Crofton Hall, Yorkshire.

At the age of 89, Francis Burton, Esq. formerly Recorder of Oxford, and Member of Parliament for that city in several sessions. He was senior King's Counsel, Father of the Benchers of Lincoln's Inn, and one of the Judges on the Welsh circuit.

At Castletown, Isle of Man, Colonel Smith, Lieutenant-Governor of that isle, aged 86.

At Florence, the Hon. Mrs. Ffrench, relict of R. J. Ffrench, Esq. of Rahasane, Galway, and sister of Lord Clanmorris.

At Bermuda, Admiral Sir Edward G. Colpoys, Commander-in-Chief on the North American Station, aged 66.

In old Quebec-street, the Dowager Lady Palmer, relict of the late Sir John Roger Palmer, Bart. of Castle Lacken, county of Mayo.

On board his Majesty's packet *Emulous*, on his return to England, from the Mining Provinces of Brazil, for the recovery of his health, Captain George Francis Lyon, R.N. aged 37.

In Great Russell-street, Bloomsbury, Augustus Pugin, Esq. Author of the "Examples of Gothic Architecture," and several other works, in his 64th year.

At Woodstock, John Joberns, Esq. Inspector General of Hospitals, and Senior Surgeon of Middlesex Hospital.

At his house in Piccadilly, of apoplexy, Henry Beard, Esq. late Governor of the Colony of Barbice, in the 52d year of his age.

In Russell-square, of effusion on the brain, the effect of long previous illness, but accelerated by the recent loss of her lamented husband, the Right Hon. Lady Tenterden.

At Edinburgh, the Countess of Cassilis.

At Edinburgh, Viscountess Duncan.

At Naples, Lord Berwick, of Attingham House, Shropshire.

At York, Sophia, wife of Rear-Admiral D'Arcy Preston, of Arkham Bryan, in that county.

At his residence, the Hall, Burton Agnes, near Bridlington, Sir Francis Boynton, Bart.

At Villa Marina, Isle of Man, aged 65, R. Steuart, Esq. Receiver General, &c. for that island; his grandfather, Robert Sorsbie, Esq. was three times Mayor of Newcastle.

The Hon. Colonel Russell, of the Coldstream Guards, M.P. for Tavistock, and nephew of the Duke of Bedford.



## PROVINCIAL OCCURRENCES

IN THE COUNTIES OF ENGLAND, AND IN WALES, SCOTLAND  
AND IRELAND.

---

Several actions have recently been brought against individuals by the Post-Office to recover penalties for sending letters secreted in parcels of goods, instead of through the Post-Office. The penalty is 5*l.* on each letter so sent, and it is understood it has long been a common practice to send a quantity of letters concealed in parcels of goods to London, and thence forward them to persons to whom they are addressed. Some houses have thereby saved a very considerable sum annually, which would otherwise have been paid to the Post-Office. The practice having been detected, numerous actions have been brought, and many of the defendants have applied, during the present term, to the Judge sitting in the Bail Court, to be at liberty to pay the penalties with a view of stopping proceedings, the Counsel for the Post-Office consenting to that arrangement.

Notices have been given that application will be made to Parliament next session for acts authorizing the erection of a new iron bridge over the Thames, from Pimlico, contiguous to the entrance of Lord Grosvenor's canal, to Battersea, on the opposite shore, near the Red House; also of a market for the sale of meat, vegetables, fish, corn, hay, and other articles, in the parishes of St. John the Evangelist and St. Margaret, Westminster. The proposed site of the market is near the Penitentiary, and the bridge will be about midway between Vauxhall and Battersea bridges.

---

## BERKSHIRE.

A meeting has recently been held at Reading to consider the best means of protecting agricultural property against the outrages of the incendiary. Resolutions were adopted for the formation of a Society to be called the "Berks and Oxfordshire Agricultural Association." William Stone, Esq. presided on the occasion. A committee of fifteen gentlemen was formed, of whom the Chairman was one.

## CORNWALL.

The quantity of fish exported from St. Ives this year exceeds 10,000 hogsheads.

A meeting of the Mining Interest has been held at Truro, to receive the report of the committee appointed to prepare a memorial to Government, on the subject of a protecting duty upon foreign copper ore smelted in this country, and on the Duchy tax levied upon tin.

In order to mark that point of land called Gribben Head, to the westward of the entrance of the port of Fowey (which has been sometimes mistaken for St. Anthony's Head,) at the entrance of Falmouth harbour, the Trinity Board have caused a beacon tower to be erected, 85 feet in height, and which stands upon an elevation of 257 feet above the level of the sea.

## CUMBERLAND.

*Draining.*—The excellence of the crop this year in Cumberland is ascribed, in a great degree, to the superior manner in which the farmers there of late have been cultivating the land, by effectually draining it and good following. There are many who do not occupy more than from 100 to 300 acres, that have, within the last twelve months, cut drains and laid with tile, from five to twelve miles in length, and the result is to them, from such practice, both gratifying and profitable. Where such drainage is effected, its good result is obvious to any one by observing the increased bulk of the stack-yards, and also by the superiority of the green crops and pastures.

## DEVON.

It is in contemplation to form a rail-road between Newton Abbott and Torquay, for the purpose of facilitating the intercourse between the former town and that port.

We learn that the bobbin-net manufacturers of Barnstaple have reduced their working hours to nearly one half, which they intend to continue while the present depression continues. They reduce their supply by this restriction about 10,000 racks per week.



## HERTFORDSHIRE.

St. Alban's Abbey is about to be substantially repaired by subscription. Money required, 5700*l.*; 2000*l.* have been already subscribed.

## KENT.

A very numerous and respectable meeting of the inhabitants of this county has been held at Seven Oaks, for the purpose of adopting resolutions to protect their property from the attacks of incendiaries. Lord Amherst was unanimously elected chairman. His Lordship stated that they had assembled in consequence of the many conflagrations which had so lately occurred through the county, and done such serious mischief to the property of the freeholders and farmers. Alderman Atkins said he never for a moment supposed that there existed any compact amongst persons for setting fire to the property of others, and he had been borne out in his opinion by the several cases which had occurred. Mr. Ward, and the other magistrates and landholders present, acquiesced in the proposition, and a large sum of money was immediately subscribed. Resolutions were at once proposed and adopted, and the plan of prevention was immediately commenced. We understand that the neighbouring counties will follow the example set so spiritedly by the county of Kent. It is said that Lord Amherst put down as his subscription the sum of 100 guineas.

An Horticultural Society is about to be formed at Tonbridge Wells under the patronage of the resident gentry and inhabitants. It is said that a large piece of ground upon an eligible part of the common will be enclosed for a garden—the freehold tenants of the Manor of Rustall having most handsomely consented to the wishes of the committee in that particular.

## LANCASHIRE.

The silk weaving in the small villages around Manchester is at present moderately brisk. Fancy weavers are very much inquired for, and the weavers of plain fabrics have also a tolerable supply of work. Wages, however, are very low; the fancy weaver earns about twelve shillings a week, and the plain weaver eight shillings.

*Turn Out of the Hand-loom Weavers.*—The “Manchester Advertiser” states, that at a meeting held on Tuesday, the artisans of this class resolved to resist the reduction of 6*d.* per loom declared by the masters. They denounced any weaver working at this price as an enemy to the trade, and large numbers of them immediately left off work.

## NORFOLK.

In the neighbourhood of Norwich, there are, it is said, nearly 20,000 looms; many of which have recently been employed in the weaving of silk goods, which were formerly engaged in the manufacture of mixed goods.

## NORTHUMBERLAND.

A Water Company has just been formed in Newcastle, capital 20,000*l.*, of which 15,000*l.* has been already subscribed. This concern promises well, and appears to have been placed under such regulations as experience of similar undertakings fully warrants.

## SOMERSETSHIRE.

A bill will be submitted to Parliament next Session for the formation of a new road from Warminster and Frome, by the villages of Freshford and Limpley Stoke, under Claverton Hill, through Bathampton and Pulteney-street, into Bath; affording an easy and eligible line of road, instead of the present fatiguing and dangerous line through Norton, Hinton, and Midford.

An Address from the Clergy of the Diocese has been presented to the Bishop of Bristol, on the existing agitated state of society, as affecting the welfare of the National Church; in which they declare that no changes which may take place in its temporal arrangements will, in any degree, weaken their attachment to it: believing, as they do, that it is the best calculated to promote the interests of the nation in general, and to secure the present and eternal happiness of its own members in particular.

## SUSSEX.

The petition of the merchants of Brighton, to be allowed to import, land, and bond West India, Mediterranean, and other produce at Gorrington's Wharf, Shoreham, has been complied with by the Lords Commissioners of the Treasury.

The new building-stone, invented by Mr. W. Ranger, has succeeded admirably



in the wall erected at Kemp Town for Mr. Lawrence Peel, and Mr. Ranger is now using it in a temple which he is building for Mr. T. Attree, at the villa of that gentleman in the new Park. We understand that it is also the intention of several eminent architects in London to introduce this material in any works which they may henceforth erect; and we repeat our conviction that it must ere long come into very general use throughout the kingdom.

## WARWICKSHIRE.

The Town-hall now building at Birmingham will be of the most ample dimensions. The length will be 140 feet, width 65, height 65. In this noble apartment the musical festivals will be held; with one or two exceptions, it will be the finest music-room in Europe. One end will be occupied by an organ of immense power; in height this instrument will be about 40 feet, and in breadth about 30.

## YORK.

*The Weavers' Turn-out.*—This event, we are glad to say, has at length been accommodated: it would, however, be more gratifying if it had taken place on conditions that were likely to be permanent. Some of the manufacturers were impelled by circumstances to cede the point at issue with their hands; their example was of necessity followed by the employers generally, and the weavers have returned to their occupation.

## WALES.

The Welsh Iron Trade is improving, but prices are low. One of the Glamorgan-shire iron masters has received an order for eight thousand tons of rail-road plates.

## SCOTLAND.

The manufacturing of tartan shawls has been on the increase these several years past, and has been a source of profit to those engaged in it. The weavers employed at these fabrics are better paid than those connected with the cotton and silk manufacture. Last year the manufacturers of these durable and comfortable shawls found great difficulty in supplying the market; and this year, although the demand has scarcely commenced, yet the orders already received cannot be executed. The tartan shawl-weavers are earning at present from twenty to thirty shillings per week.—*Glasgow Chronicle*.

## IRELAND.

The Bank of Ireland has declared a dividend of  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. for the last half-year. A Proclamation has been issued declaring the West Riding of the county Cork to be in a state of disturbance, and requiring an extraordinary establishment of police.

The county of Kilkenny has at length been placed under the "Peace Preservation Act." The accounts we have every day from Ireland are such, that we anticipate this proceeding must soon be a general one. Its present state is a national scandal.

## SHERIFFS FOR IRELAND.

ANTRIM—Charles O'Hara, Esq., O'Hara, John Daniel Chambers, Esq., Rockhill, Letterkenny; John White, Esq., Whitehall; Robert Thompson, Esq., Jennymount.

ARMAGH—Thomas Prideaux Ball, Esq., Crossmaglin; James Eastwood, Esq., Castle-town; Maxwell Cross, Esq., Darton.

CARLOW—Philip Bagnel, Esq., Drum-lackery; Robert Doyné, Esq., Tullow; James Hardy Eustace, Esq., Hardymount.

CAVAN—Charles James Adams, Esq., Retreat, Cavan; Francis Hassard, Esq., Bawn-boy, Ballyconnell; William Rathbourne, Esq., Kilcoggy, Mount-Nugent.

CLARE—Lucius O'Brien, Esq., Dromoland, Newmarket-on-Fergus; Hugh Dillon Massey, Esq., Summerhill, Castleconnell; Charles Mahon, Esq., Cornacalla, Ennis.

CORK—William Henry Moor Hodder, Esq., Hoddersfield, Cove; Richard Longfield, Esq., Longueville, Mallow; Adam Newman, Dromore-House, Cork.

DONEGAL—Hon. John Creighton, Lifford; Thomas Brooke, Esq., Lough Esk, Donegal;

John Daniel Chambers, Esq., Rockhill, Letterkenny.

DOWN—Richard Magennis, Esq., Finnis; Robert Gordon, Esq., Delamont; Samuel Cleland, Esq., Stormont.

DUBLIN—Richd. Monders, Esq., Brackens-town; John Goddard Richards, Esq., Roebuck; John James Baggot, Esq., Castlebaggot.

FERMANAGH—Folliott Barton, Esq., Cloranilly; Henry Richardson, Esq., Rossfad; Jas. Lendrum, Esq., Jamestown, Enniskillen.

GALWAY—Robert Bodkin, Esq., Anna, Tuam; James O'Hara, Esq., Ballymote, Galway; Thos. Kirwan, Esq., Castlehacket, Tuam.

KERRY—Charles Fairfield, Esq., Castle-island; Wm. Gunn, Esq., Ratoo, Tralee; John Coltsman, Esq., Flesk Castle, Killarney.

KILDARE—Hon. John James Pomeroy, Rathangan; Hugh Barton, Esq., Straffan; Henry Baron Roebuck, Killishee.

KILKENNY—The Hon. C. Flower Walker, Durrow Castle; Sir Francis Hamilton Loftus, Mount Loftus; John H. Jones, Malleabrown.



KING'S COUNTY—The Hon. — Toler, commonly called Lord Glandine, Durrow-house; John Tibeaud, Esq., Portnahinch; Joseph Smith, Esq., Mount Butler.

LEITRIM—Peter Latouche, Esq., Castlestreet, Dublin; Francis Nesbit Cullen, Esq., Screeny, Manorbamilton; William Randal Stack, Esq., Annadale, Castlecarrigan.

LIMERICK—Thomas Lloyd, Esq., Beachmount, Rathkeale; Francis Goold, Esq., Marriion-square; George Meares Monsell, Esq., Ballywilliam, Limerick.

LONGFORD—Richard F. Greville, Esq., Granard; James Aughamuty, Esq., Newtownbond; Samuel Blackall, jun., Esq., Corlambar.

LOUTH—Sir Harry Goodrick, Baronet, Ravensdale Park; Edward Tipping, Esq., Ballurgan Park; George Hume M'Cartney, Esq., Louth Lodge, Balbriggan.

MAYO—John Gardiner, Esq., Farmhill, Killylala; George Vaughan Jackson, Esq., Ennis-coe, Crossmalina; Henry Blake, Esq., Fishershill, Castlebar.

MEATH—Gustavus Lambert, Esq., Bean Park; Hon. Randall Plunkett, Dunsany; Sir William Somerville, Bart., Somerville.

MONAGHAN—Andrew Carr, Esq., Newbliss; James Rose, Esq., Hollywood; Robert Bayley Evatt, Esq., Mount Lewis.

QUEEN'S COUNTY—Robert Hamilton Stubber, Esq., Moyne; Edward Wilmot, Esq.,

Woodbrooke; Chidley Coote, Esq., Huntingtown, Portarlington.

ROSCOMMON—St. George Caulfield, Esq., Donomon Castle, Castlereagh; Thomas Tennison, jun., Esq., Castle Tennison, Keaduc; Wm. Robert Wills, Esq., Wills Grove, Castlereagh.

SLIGO—Joseph Meredith, Esq., Cloone-maghan, Sligo; James Knott, Esq., Battlefield, Boyle; William Phipps, Esq., Woodville, Sligo.

TIPPERARY—Hon. John A. D. Bloomfield, Oakhampton; Richard Long, Esq., Longfield; M. Crosbie Moore, Esq., Moorefort.

TYRONE—Robert Montgomery Moore, Esq., Stormhill, Aughnacloy; Samuel Galbraith, Esq., Newgrove, Omagh; John Lindsay, Esq., Loughrea, Cookstown.

WATERFORD—William Villiers Stuart, Esq., Dromana; Gervaise Parker Burke, Esq., Glencairne; William Samuel Currey, Esq., Lismore Castle.

WESTMEATH—E. Briscoe, Esq., Grangemore; Cuthbert Fetherstone, Esq., Mosstown; Cuthbert John Clibborne, Esq., Moat Castle.

WEXFORD—Lord Viscount Stopford, Courtown; William Madden Glascott, Esq., Piltown; Robert Doyne, junior, Esq., Wells.

WICKLOW—Sir George F. Hudson, Bart., Hollypark; Charles David Latouche, Esq., Lugallow; William Kemmis, Esq., Ballynacor.

AGRICULTURE.—*Hop-Duty*.—An Account of the Duty on Hops of the growth of the year 1832, distinguishing the Districts, and the Old from the New Duty:—

DISTRICTS.	DUTY.
	£ s. d.
Barnstaple . . . . .	22 7 10
Bedford . . . . .	31 12 6
Bristol . . . . .	8 1 10
Cambridge . . . . .	0 15 0
Canterbury . . . . .	48,689 18 2
Chester . . . . .	0 9 8
Cornwall . . . . .	7 2 9
Derby . . . . .	432 7 6
Dorset . . . . .	108 5 11
Essex . . . . .	867 15 10
Exeter . . . . .	29 17 4
Gloucester . . . . .	2 14 2
Grantham . . . . .	86 4 8
Hants . . . . .	6,160 9 2
Hereford . . . . .	11,767 11 2
Isle of Wight . . . . .	5 3 6
Lincoln . . . . .	1,628 16 10
Lynn . . . . .	8 17 6
Northampton . . . . .	0 16 2
Oxford . . . . .	11 7 10
	£69,869 14 4

DISTRICTS.	DUTY.
	£ s. d.
Brought forward .	£69,869 14 4
Plymouth . . . . .	14 1 8
Reading . . . . .	9 6 10
Rochester . . . . .	75,861 10 10
Sarum . . . . .	4,386 10 4
Salop . . . . .	1 10 8
Stourbridge . . . . .	1,021 10 2
Suffolk . . . . .	494 12 6
Surrey . . . . .	93 12 4
Sussex . . . . .	86,406 13 8
Uxbridge . . . . .	8 5 0
Wales, Middle . . . . .	54 19 8
Wellington . . . . .	28 12 6
Worcester . . . . .	8,513 14 8
Total .	£241,770 16 2
Old Duty, 1d. 12-20 per lb.	139,018 4 3¼ 4-20
New ¾ 8-20	102,752 11 10¼ 16-20
Total .	£241,770 16 2

G. H. COTTRELL, First Gen. Acct.

Excise-office, London, Nov. 30, 1832.



# THE NEW MONTHLY MAGAZINE.

---

THE POLITICIAN, NO. X.

WHAT IS LIKELY TO BE THE FIRST POLITICAL MEASURE OF THE GOVERNMENT—CONSIDERATIONS ON CHURCH REFORM, AND THE POLICY OF COUPLING THE ABOLITION OF TITHES WITH THE REVISION OF CORN LAWS—THE BALLOT, THE EARLIEST TIME FOR DISCUSSING THAT QUESTION IS THE BEST.

THERE is that period in the history of states when grievances having grown to a certain point, they cannot be singly considered without giving cause to the impatient people to imagine their rulers lukewarm upon the points that are delayed. Necessary procrastination seems to them unseasonable trifling. A great constitutional change is rarely effected until the community has become willing, at all hazards, to try the experiment of possible dangers, in order to remove certain incumbrances. The moment their purpose is effected, and the change secured, the people become clamorous for the outward and visible sign of its effects. They consented to a change to remove their grievances—and they lose not an instant in crowding all the grievances in a body on the puzzled tribunal they have chosen. But the more free a state is, the more delicacy is always shown to sectarian and contesting interests. A despot marches at once to the end he considers good, and removes all the grievances he consents to abolish, while a free assembly is deliberating about one. Thus in changes from republican to monarchical institutions more instantaneous good is effected than by changes from monarchy to republicanism. One man in Athens (Solon) made all the laws—he was the legislative despot for the time; but everybody wanted to amend this and alter that; so many little sects pressed upon him, that the good he had effected for the community became jeopardized by the mere freedom of discussion. And Solon left the country an exile, in order to leave his laws unimpaired. He saw that it was necessary to decide, and the time had arrived when there was only danger in delibe-



ration. Augustus in three years effected more beneficial and popular reforms than the Roman republic effected in half a century after the expulsion of the kings. And hence we may gather this truth, that when a vast variety of reforms, all combated by petty interests, are become necessary, a wise despot is more beneficial to the state, for the moment, than a wise assembly; in which last, a nice regard to the interests of every man naturally retards, by a prolix tenderness, the progress of reformation to the community. We have made this remark because, in the natural impatience of the people for destroying a monopoly in one quarter, and an abuse in the other, we think it right that they should perceive that the very Constitution they value may delay the very measures they desire. There is something almost ludicrous in the manner in which each proposed reform is pushed forward, as the first which ought to engross the attention of Parliament. With one it is the Ballot—with another it is Church Reform—a third declares nothing is so immediately oppressive as the Assessed Taxes—a fourth asserts that the first burthen to be removed must be the Taxes on Knowledge. Then come, *pêle-mêle*, one upon the other, all the questions of all the Monopolies—the Bank Charter, the East India Company, and, most noxious of all, the Planters' monopoly of Negro flesh. *Quantus sudor!* What work for the New Parliament! But which of all the thousand questions demanding the *most* immediate consideration will be lucky enough to obtain it? In the *Ministerial* legislative measures, evidently the Church Reform—and this for various reasons. 1st. Because the state of Ireland is—national prejudice apart—immeasurably more critical than the state of affairs in this country; next, because the evils there complained of are the most intolerable,—and, above all, because the present laws are thoroughly worn out by their own injustice; and so long oppressive, have grown at last inefficacious. The first dread and monstrous Apparition that meets us in facing the state of Ireland, is its Ecclesiastical Establishment—and there the first exorcising Reform must be applied. But Church Reform in Ireland includes the principle of Church Reform in England; and the two measures will probably be either conjoined, or immediately consecutive to each other. Again, the Church Reform is most likely to be brought on the first opportunity, because, as Ministers are resolved to reject the Ballot, it will be their obvious policy to meet the denial of one grievance by the reform of another. The tactics of the Nursery and the Cabinet are pretty much the same:—"You must not meddle with *that* my dear—it is very dangerous—it eats up little boys;—but here



is *this* pretty thing for Jacky to play with!"—The popular Jacky takes the last toy, but he too often retains unabated his resolution for the first. While on the question of Church Reform, we should observe, that the "Examiner" has forestalled, in some very able and well reasoned writing, what we had always intended for our own especial consideration, whenever we came to an elaborate view of the question of Ecclesiastical Reform;—namely, the policy of uniting a revision of the Corn Laws with an alteration in the system of Tithes. Nothing can be more plain than that the most proper time for hazarding a removal of the supposed protection of land, is that in which you take from land the most unquestioned of its burthens. If the agriculturists can be shown that the two measures must go together, and that they are almost parts of one financial, though not legislative, principle, what a world of difficulty in the alarm of some, the prejudice of others, the general obstinacy, and it may be the general selfishness, would be swept away! People would then come to the examination of the involved and difficult—(for, say what the economists will, it is no easy theorem)—question of the Corn Laws, with vigilance indeed, but something of impartiality: they would see that one certain measure of relief was coupled with the consideration of a supposed hazardous alteration; and they would, therefore, be more inclined to calculate dispassionately the nature of that hazard, and the probable results of that alteration. In order to make people reason fairly on any question in which they are themselves concerned, the fear of loss in one quarter should be equilibrated by the certainty of gain in another. With regard to the degree of Church Reform meditated by the Ministers, we feel a shrewd suspicion, that in proportion to the quantum of demand for the Ballot that is resisted will be the quantum of Ecclesiastical Reform acceded to. Still we are at loss to conceive by what tone Mr. Stanley, who, from his position in Ireland, must necessarily have a very considerable share in the proposed Bill, will accommodate his declared opinions to such a measure as will satisfy the people. We incline, indeed, to believe, that that able speaker has less political obstinacy than is commonly attributed to him. The rash are rarely stubborn;—and what they say to-day is no decisive token of what they will do to-morrow.

Having said thus much on the as yet *unconjecturable* secret of Ecclesiastical Reform, because we consider it the legislative question most likely to be first brought forward by the Ministers, we shall proceed to say a few words on that subject, which will receive the earliest attention from the independent Reformers—we mean the Ballot. Now it is said



that this is the worst time to bring forward that question. The "Globe" canters over the principle, and makes a dead halt against the season:—"Years must elapse," cry the procrastinators, "before you will apply the Ballot; meanwhile other questions require immediate discussion—because an immediate adjustment." One word, then, on this point, since it is made the popular cry.

What is the natural time for perfecting a law once passed, and in which deficiencies are perceived? Is it immediately you perceive them, or is it four years afterwards?—Will you wait till the errors have become incorporated with the system, and their roots tough and strong, or will you pluck them out while they are yet young and tender?—Is it not the case with defects in legislation, that every year gives them the quiet sanction of custom, and that the moss of *prestige*, which covers them, is the accumulation of time? Again, look at the question in a conservative point of view:—is it not less exciting—less provocative of that craving after innovation which the Tories so reprobate, to settle at once a popular question, rather than to allow it to be agitated and re-agitated, brooded over, and declaimed upon in all democratic meetings for three or four years?—Is not Lord Brougham's metaphor of the sybil as applicable to one part of Reform as the other?—and is it not fearfully true, that the delay of justice increases only the vehemence of demand and the costliness of concession? The question is capable of a logical dilemma—either the cry for the Ballot will grow weaker by time or not;—if weaker, only the enemies of the question can recommend delay. Mark this, and do not let any of its friends be ensnared by a pretext; but if it does not grow weaker by time, procrastination alone will give it additional strength; and at last, instead of granting a favour you will only appease an excitement. Did we not feel the effects of even the unavoidable delay of the Reform Bill? Was not the boon worn from its precious gloss by the friction of suspense? Did not the constant habit of discussing one popular measure nurse the desire for others? And was not the quantum of content exactly in an inverse ratio with the quantum of delay? Why gratuitously incur in this case the evils of procrastination of which we have been so lately made sensible in another? But to what time should we delay the adjustment of the question? Till towards the period of a dissolution of Parliament? What! is it wise policy in statesmen to select the very time when popular excitement is about to be highest to enter into the deliberation of a popular question? It will be better for the Ballot if they do! The fear of the hustings is stronger than the love of truth. But we must not adopt the cant notion that the Ballot is in reality a new boon to the people; it is, in fact, merely the completion of the past one. The people have received by the Reform Bill the electoral franchise, and they ask only by the Ballot to exercise it with safety.



What is this but a necessary consequence of the prerogative they already possess? Either do not give the dependent classes votes at all, or take care that their votes be not a curse to them. If you ask them to dinner don't hang the sword over their heads: if you allow the bondsmen the rights of the Saturnalia, grant them the safety of the freedom as well as its exercise.

The present time is, then, the best for discussing the question of the Ballot: first, because it is wise to perfect a new law at the earliest possible period after it is brought into operation; secondly, because it is best to take excitement, at the commencement, not the height; thirdly, because the Ballot is not a new concession to the people, but the necessary result of the past one\*.

---

## THE POLITICIAN, NO. XI.

### THE SPEAKER.

THE "Chronicle" has lately (by the subtle reasoning and the original views which have established that able journal as so high an authority among all educated men) raised what hitherto had been considered a mere question of form, into one of absolute principle. Our cotemporary has honoured the proposed re-appointment of Mr. Manners Sutton with a series of leading articles, no less grave and searching, than it has put forth upon the Ballot itself. And in fact there assuredly is something grating to the popular feeling to see the reforming ministers conspire to appoint to the high station of President over the first Reformed Parliament, a man, who—had the question rested upon his casting vote—would notoriously have prevented such a Parliament from ever assembling. Something too of a bungling and halting policy seems at a superficial glance to have been adopted in the whole affair. The Speaker solemnly retires—his resignation is solemnly accepted—thanks are

---

\* We had prepared an article on the Stamp and Advertisement Duties, but a desire for further information on the subject, induces us to delay for the present its appearance. Meanwhile a part of the article (unfortunately copied from an incorrect sheet) having appeared in "THE TOWN" Newspaper, and our remarks having received from that Journal an unmerited importance, and *a sort of official air*, we beg to state, that they can be only considered the result of our own hopes or expectations, and must not be ascribed to any authorized statement of Ministers, or any definite knowledge of the intention of the Cabinet (before which, indeed, we believe the question has not yet been brought.)



awarded to him—speculation turns upon his successor—a peerage is refused—he comes again into Parliament—and the Minister writes him a letter, begging him very respectfully to resume his former situation. “We could not make you,” implies the Minister, “the last Peer, but we can make you the first Commoner. You were too formidable to be admitted into the House of Lords, and so we will give you the very first place in the House of Commons.” Mr. Manners Sutton condescends to accept the offer, and once more the Olympus of the Commons receives its *Ægiochus*.\* All the ostensible arguments that have been alleged by the Whigs in favour of this restoration (save only that of economy) are so unfortunate as to tell against their own power and dignity as a body. “Where so fit a man?” say they. “Where one of the rank, experience, and station sufficient to be a worthy rival to Mr. Manners Sutton?” What! in this proud and aristocratic party, now in its most palmy state—the party of the Russells, the Howards, and the Cavendishes, no fit man to propose as a Whig Speaker of the House of Commons, in opposition to the choice of a faction nearly extinct? Can they say that no man of station and popularity amongst them has studied sufficiently the forms and regulations of the Legislative Assembly to be able to become its President? For we must not suppose that this study would require any very great assiduity, or any very inordinate experience—Few, if any, of these regulations rest upon mere traditional custom. Certain and not numerous volumes contain an explanation of all the forms, orders, and ceremonies of the House, and a man of ordinary application would learn them all in a month. What a confession then of the proverbial Whig indolence, to say, that, among the distinguished Whigs who have sat in Parliament for the last twenty years, no one—even when excited by the ultimate ambition of becoming the first Commoner of Great Britain,—has acquired a competent knowledge of these ceremonial details! Or what a stigma upon Whig respectability, if those who *have* acquired, with great pains, this superficial knowledge, have not the station or distinction to aspire worthily to the honour of displaying it! The question resolves itself into a dilemma—either among the Whigs there is some man fit to be Speaker, or there is not: if there be not, it speaks a grievous want of respectability in the party—if there be, their bringing forward a Tory evinces no less grievous a want of gratitude to their partizans!

---

\* This article was written before Mr. Hume’s motion, and the installation of the Ex-Speaker. What we subsequently say of Mr. Littleton is not falsified by the event. If the Ministers, instead of Mr. Hume, had brought forward Mr. Littleton, his election would have been certain. Mr. Hume’s motion was ill-timed and injudicious;—but how any man returned to Parliament, because of his attachment to the principles of Reform, could yet give his vote to a man notorious for his opposition to Reform would be indeed a marvel—did we not know that Party Inconsistency is accustomed to swallow camels!



Yet, when we come to direct our conjecture towards the secret history of the transaction, we suspect that the Ministers have not acted without a certain policy and discretion. When the Speaker resigned in the last Parliament it was, we believe, the ministerial intention to bring forward Sir Thomas Denman as his successor—a gentleman who, from his high character and universal popularity, would have obtained the chair with as much ease as he would have filled it with honour. A vacancy in his own profession occurs, and, instead of being made Speaker, the Attorney-General is made Chief Justice. Who should succeed Sir Thomas Denman as candidate for the vacant chair?—Mr. Littleton was, undeniably, the most eligible man; and his claims were of that nature that the Ministry could scarcely pass them over by a preference to any other individual. But Mr. Littleton—with a thousand admirable qualities—is not popular among many of the Members of the House of Commons;—the same qualities that make a man esteemed often prevent his being liked by the vulgar, and Parliament hath its vulgar, as emphatically as the mob itself. Supposing Mr. Manners Sutton to be brought forward by the Tories in opposition to Mr. Littleton, there seemed, perhaps, to the Ministry, a great probability that the general popularity of the former, with all the *prestige* and superstition that attached to the notion of his long experience, would give him a majority of suffrages even among the Whigs themselves. They were unwilling to incur the smallest chance of this defeat; which, indeed, as the first measure of the Reformed Parliament, would be no ordinary one; and finding that Mr. Manners Sutton, debarred from his peerage, and once more in Parliament, would assuredly be proposed for the chair, it possibly seemed the most politic course to affect generosity—to renounce the assumption of party superiority upon mere ceremonial matter—and that they might not seem to yield to their opponents, but to precede and forestall their policy—to be the first to offer to Mr. Sutton the situation of which it might be difficult to deprive him.

We do not presume in these remarks to affect any certainty of their truth,—they are merely made in the spirit of conjecture; but we do strongly opine that they are not very far from displaying the whole history of a transaction which has excited so much discussion.

But though the Minister did not—if these observations be true—act without a deliberate and considered policy in proposing the re-election of Mr. Manners Sutton, we think that the policy was mistaken. We believe that if, for instance, Mr. Littleton and Mr. Manners Sutton had been both proposed for the chair, any capricious prejudice against the eminent claims of the former would have been merged at once in strong party feeling. The Press would have fomented that feeling—Members would have felt that their constituents would regard their decision as something more than a ceremony;—it would have been an election between a Reformer and an Anti-reformer, and men just returned from



a triumph resulting from a similar contest, could neither honourably nor decorously vote diametrically opposite to the principle upon which they themselves had been elected—Mr. Littleton would have been chosen by an immense majority; and this would have been the case with any reforming member of character and long standing in the House, even supposing that Mr. Littleton himself had declined the contest, and supposing that *his* successor advanced in all but opinions, claims evidently inferior to the ex-Speaker. The same reasons that throughout the constituencies of England brought in Reformers but of moderate pretensions in rank or talent in opposition to the most distinguished Tories, must surely have operated also in any election in the House of Commons itself;—nor without good reason,—for what pretensions of talent, rank, popularity of manner, can equal in public offices the simple pretension of opinions which the majority consider advantageous to the State? It is in vain for Ministers to say, “This is but a mere ceremony,”—the People may reply, as the Spanish Nobles did to their King—“What are you yourselves but a ceremony?” Besides this—it shows impolicy on a point on which the Whigs have been so often assailed, that they ought to be especially guarded not to deserve the reproach, viz.—the Stuart-like weakness of serving enemies and neglecting friends. The Chair of the House of Commons *is*—to say the least of it—an office of great honour and emolument: shorn as the Ministers are of patronage, they have not too many such places to throw away upon enemies. It would have been a high reward to several who have stood the brunt, and fought the battle, for years; and if they (no common nobleness even among Whigs, whose only fault, according to the “*Edinburgh Review*,” is contempt of office!) are generous enough to prefer fighting the battle to reaping the honours of victory, the People—eagle-eyed in these matters—never love the semblance of ingratitude among rulers. Nor is it wise to show to the main adherents of a party, that the readiest way to purchase the favour of Ministers is to abuse their measures.

Of Mr. Manners Sutton himself all must speak with respect. The urbanity and dignity of his manners, his conciliation and temper, we readily allow; but these are surely no very rare qualities in a high-bred gentleman, presiding over a deliberative assembly. Of his impartiality, truth obliges us to say one word. We have noted instances in which it seemed to us more than doubtful. The leaders of a party cannot be so much aware of this error in a Speaker as the ordinary herd of Members,—the former are sure to be fairly balanced against each other, and to catch the Speaker’s eye when it seems to them the fitting opportunity to rise; but among Members in general it is otherwise. We have often and often, after an effective speech by some of the lesser of the Tory luminaries, when some six or seven of the Reformers, of equal or less calibre, sprung up to reply, observed the Speaker carefully give the pre-



ference to the one least able to do it with correspondent efficiency. To the abler of the young Tory Members he was invariably indulgent; to those of the Liberals pertinaciously blind. This was especially remarkable among those who belonged not to one of the great dominant parties, and who were therefore not so loudly called for by the House, but that they might be condemned with impunity to silence. But this partiality was still more evident in the case of Hunt, whom, as a constant thorn in the side of the poor Reform Bill, the Speaker invariably managed to see the instant he arose. No man, surely, ever less deserved to be heard,—no man ever obtained from the Speaker's peculiar selection such prompt opportunities of delivering his sentiments. We believe the Speaker to be far too honourable to be conscious of his tendency to be partial, which we state, indeed, with reluctance, and after the most scrupulous and dispassionate practical observation;—but a warm partizan is partial, despite himself, and Power winks at its own abuses. We should be very happy if this page, at least, liberal though it be, should ever “catch the Speaker's eye;” and we are quite sure that his attention once aroused to self-examination, his integrity would hereafter keep a strict watch over his inclinations.

One source of undeniable congratulation arises, at least, from the Speaker's re-election,—we have saved 4000*l.* a year. We think, indeed, that the sum might have been saved otherwise, without an equal sacrifice of the dignity of Ministers, the services of friends, and the harmony which, to say the least of it, would be decorous between the Legislative Assembly and its President. Still, however, it is saved! We rate this benefit much higher than the “Chronicle” does: we hug to our heart of hearts that consolatory thought,—four thousand pounds are saved! Oh! how Providence directs our affairs for the best! All this intrigue and counter-intrigue,—this drawing back and coming forward,—this final resignation and speedy return,—this bowing and scraping across the Cabinet,—this plotting of one party counteracted by the manœuvre of another,—this reforming majority, chosen by Reformers, making their first act the election of an Anti-Reformer;—all these various and singular tricks of the time have produced, at least, by a miracle hitherto unknown in the annals of Courts and the shuffling of parties,—a saving of four thousand pounds! In vain does the “Chronicle” say we could have spared the saving; we could not have spared a single stiver. Spendthrift Governments make a miser people. Millions upon millions are we taxed!—it is very true; but we exclaim, with the French Minister—Four thousand pounds!—“*they are the taxes of a village!*”

---



## ON MORAL FICTIONS.

## MISS MARTINEAU'S ILLUSTRATIONS OF POLITICAL ECONOMY.

THERE are two kinds of moral fictions—the one in which some decided end is inculcated (the moral to the fable), as in certain of Miss Edgeworth's tales,—the other in which no *one* distinct end is arrived at, and no solitary maxim worked out from the rich variety of the whole;—but which, nevertheless, abounds in moral lessons and scientific inquiries, in which the heart is touched, the passions elevated, or the mind enlightened. Thus is it with Fielding's novels and Shakspeare's plays. It has been well remarked by Godwin, that the moral *tendency* of a work may often be diametrically opposite to the moral *end*; that is, from the one pervading moral which seems to be the intended result of the fiction. This is very remarkable in Molière's comedies, where the moral end seems often to be the innocence of adultery or the success of knavery; while the moral tendency (which is to display the self-deceits of the heart—the weaker sides of vanity; and, above all, to enlarge the boundaries of our knowledge whether of men or of the consequences of social customs) does more than counteract the signification of the moral end, and conducts us to reformation by opening new vistas into truth. Nothing can be worse than the seeming moral conveyed by the “*Beggar's Opera* ;”—nothing can be finer or more widely instructive than its moral tendency: the end is the impunity of crime, but the tendency is the unravelling of state hypocrisies, and the tricks with which mankind are plundered by the political Peachums. Thus it will often happen that the most valuable works instruct not by the avowed moral but by the latent one; as Le Sage's “*Gil Blas*” has done more for human knowledge, which is the parent of human virtue, than the “*Cœlebs*” of Miss Hannah More. Those fictions are the most complete of purpose in which both the end and the tendency are good, as in the admirable satire of Jonathan Wild, where the tendency is the exposure of vice, and the end is its natural punishment. But fictions of this order, uniting both purposes, are rare; for the element of writers of great power is in the passions and the crimes; and the human interest ceases when the dark and exciting history of these is crowned by some frigid saw, which conjures all the living characters we have seen into shadowy delusions—not formed to move and breathe before us in the various career of actual life, but solely to serve the purpose of a homily and illustrate a moral conceit. Sensible of this, the greatest writers rarely consent thus to dissipate the dread and solemn effect their works can bequeath\*. They know that the more life-like and actual their characters, the deeper the moral feelings, produced by their history, will sink into the soul; and they are conscious, also, that a thousand incidental morals may be destroyed if your attention is coldly chained down to the pedantic examination of one.

---

\* Yet, singularly enough, a typical and pervading moral will be borne more readily, and can be admitted more artfully, into the metaphysical fiction than in any plainer form of conveying morality. The Germans have tried it, particularly Goethe,—the greatest artist whoever lived,—in “*Wilhelm Meister*,” with prodigious effect. And some of the ancient critics have imagined the “*Odyssey of Homer*” to be an allegory, in which Ulysses is the soul, and Ithaca the port of Reason.



Every great writer is more or less of a moralist, often unconsciously to himself; and, in proportion as his sources of interest are sought from the internal, not the outward, characteristics, he is not only the greater artist, but the more instructive teacher. Thus Shakspeare, who draws all his interest from the soul and heart of man, is not only an immeasurably greater poet than Scott, who (with reverence be it said to his just claims to immortality), for the most part, seeks interest in ingenious narrative, in the more ordinary passions, in description of costume, manners, and feudal parade;—but he is also a much mightier moralist, though often offending more visibly against conventional morality. Every revelation of some passion, thought, sentiment that belongs to us, but has not yet been analyzed, is a discovery in morals; and a master is great, not in proportion as he discants on old discoveries, but as he arrives at new. This is an important consideration which, in regarding the relative merit of moral writers of fiction, we are bound to keep in view; always recollecting that the more various, latent, and abstruse the passions touched upon, the more likely is the philosopher to be deep in his science and novel in his discoveries. But in the heaven of philosophical fiction there are many mansions. There may be often truths known to the few which it is almost originality to popularize to the many. For next to inventing a truth, is the merit of making it generally known. This is peculiarly the case with political truths. So few have analyzed them,—and, while so necessary to the public, they have been for the most part treated in so dry a manner,—that to drag them from their retreats,—to gift them with familiar language,—to send them into the world preaching and converting as living disciples, is only a less proof of the inspiration of genius than the primary power of creation. It is to perform to political morals the same task as Addison fulfilled with domestic. Miss Martineau, in the excellent fictions she has given to the world, has performed this noble undertaking, and accomplished this lesser species of inspiration. She has taken the facts of Political Economy, and woven a series of tales, of great and familiar interest, illustrative of the broader and more useful of its doctrines. It is as a writer of fiction, however, that we only regard her; because the province of a writer is to be adjudged, not according to the end which he arrives at, but the means he employs. As we measure the claims of Lucretius to philosophy, not by comparison with philosophers, but with poets; as we call Fielding a novel writer, and only incidentally a moralist; as we consider Plato, though poetical, a philosopher; and Shakspeare, though philosophical, a poet. Besides this, were Miss Martineau viewed only as a political economist, her merits would shrink into an exceedingly small compass; for though, as we before said, it is a great merit to popularize known truths, the merit is that of a writer, not a philosopher. Miss Martineau has not added a single new truth to the science; and it is only the most generally acknowledged axioms which she has ventured to embody in her tales;—this, indeed, with obvious wisdom; for if she had illustrated the more equivocal and less settled principles, the merit of the illustration would have become exceedingly questionable. Illustrations of Political Economy by fiction are something like the application of metaphors to reasoning; they make old truths agreeable, unfamiliar truths intelligible: but you cannot argue equivocal truths by metaphors alone. As a political economist, then,



we do not consider Miss Martineau entitled to high estimation: as a writer of moral fiction, we think she is entitled to a considerable station. We do not indeed agree with our admired contemporary, "The Examiner," in ranking her on the same level with Miss Edgeworth. The end at which she would arrive *may* be equally useful, but the means she employs are less brilliant and of a lower order of genius. She has not, for instance, the simple yet pointed wit of Miss Edgeworth—the wit which almost approaches to Swift's, in "Castle Rackrent," and to Voltaire's, in "Murad the Unlucky." Still less has she the rich, various, racy, national humour which her great predecessor displays—she does not draw forth all those latent qualities which are to human nature what idioms are to language—an index to its deepest stores, and most graceful peculiarities. She has as yet given us no parallel to the Irish postilion and the Irish peeress of the "Absentee;" nor (though she equals Miss Edgeworth in sentiment, and excels her in tenderness)—in stern pathos, and the more terrible interest that may be deduced from the errors of daily life, has she approached, by many degrees, to the death-bed of Vivian—or the almost sublime hiatus which closes the narrative of Basil the Procrastinator. The power ultimately to rival Miss Edgeworth she may possess, but the proof of the power is yet to come. At present, while we hope much from what she may write, we must estimate her by what she has written.

Nor must it be forgotten, that Miss Edgeworth wrote *the first*, and that her writings are equally directed to the elucidation of political morals, though not to the same points in politics which Miss Martineau has selected. The peculiar nature of the subjects chosen by the latter author has contributed greatly to contract the sphere of her inquiry into the diversities of mankind: for the most part her characters are divided into two great *genera*—the one character is prudent, honest, and enlightened—the other is reckless, embruted, and criminal. It is the old division which Miss Edgeworth herself has marked so repeatedly before—well regulated labour, and thriftless indolence. Angus and Ronald, George Grey and Joe Harper, with a few external differences, are merely one exemplification of a common principle, and individuals of the same species of character. On the other hand, Dan the Indolent and Hal the Thoughtless are equally similar in their general aspects. Nor is there, as in Edgeworth, Scott, and our greater writers of fiction—a variety of rich and humorous peculiarities struck out from each, so as to stamp the general attributes with individual and unmistakeable traits. The space, too, to which the writer has confined herself is so limited, that it would require a very short, and almost epigrammatic style, to mark distinctly and vividly the different characters—making point the substitute of elaboration. Marmontel delineates his actors with a stroke:—Richardson, diffuse and lengthy, requires volumes to make you acquainted with *his* creations. The style of Miss Martineau, though not the order of her talents, resembles rather that of Richardson than of Marmontel: the rapid, condensed, antithetical analysis, is perfectly unknown to her—she writes with purity and elegance, but with that style which requires expatiation to do justice to her own conceptions. She is subject, moreover, to another fault—which is the consequence of her choice of subject;—her



dialogue offends verisimilitude—she writes more simply when she narrates, than when she causes her labourers and her fishermen to speak in their own persons. It is easy to see her benevolent and wise purpose in making the poor themselves speculate on truths, rather than be lectured by others into instruction. It opens to them what may be called “Intellectual Independence,” and teaches, on a large scale, the Lancaster system, that the best schoolmaster is the pupil himself. But while this purpose is a full excuse for her practice in drawing philosophical fishermen and Socratical cottagers, the practice cannot but interfere with the effect of the fiction, and the artist-like delineation of the characters. So we feel that Shakspeare, if writing now, would not put into the mouth of a veteran serjeant, in a country village, passages like this:—“*In England the law of primogeniture has encouraged the accumulation of property in a few hands to a very mischievous extent. There are far too many estates in this kingdom too large to be properly managed by the care of one man, or by the reproducible capital of one family.*” Nor would he paint the squire’s footman (however travelled the footman be), as responding most rabbinically to the serjeant on this knotty matter, and suggesting legislative modes to supply the place of the law of primogeniture. “*There might,*” quoth the footman, “*be directions that the land should be sold, and the purchase-money divided, or a legacy of land left to one of the children, charged with portions or annuities to the rest, or an injunction that the family should form a sort of joint-stock company, and cultivate their property by shares.*” All this is very sensible; but to fiction in its most sensible shape we must still apply the rules of fiction; and we cannot help feeling that however oracular the doctrine, the footman is not the fitting Pythius to promulgate it.

This want of keeping between the truth and its propounder, is yet more unpleasantly glaring in the tale called “Weal and Woe in Garveloch,” where, in the most barbarous spot of earth, half-starved fishermen take the most astonishing views on the theory of population; and in this instance of inconsistency, there is a grosser want of truth than in the other tales. The language of the fisherman is never beneath his wisdom; he talks simply indeed, but it is with the simplicity of a scholar. *Ex. gr.*—

“I know,” replied Angus, “that there is always a prevalence of vices in society, that as some are extinguished others arise.” . . . .

“Very few, if any, pass through the trial of squalid and hopeless poverty with healthy minds. . . . I shall never be convinced, unless I see it, that any vice in existence will be aggravated by the comforts of life being extended to all, or that there is any which is not encouraged by the feelings of personal injury,—of hatred towards their superiors, or recklessness concerning their companions and themselves, which are excited among the abject or ferocious poor.”

Now, without this being fine language, it is not natural, it is not conceivable, language in the mouth of a fisherman of Islay. True we are told he is of a superior mind, and in his course of trade has seen a little of the world. But a superior fisherman is a fisherman still; nor does he utter the intricate doctrines of a Malthus in the elegant simplicity of a Hume. We the more allude to this glaring deficiency in art (looking upon a writer of fiction as the greatest and most thoughtful



of all artists), partly because we have seen praise very erroneously attributed to Miss Martineau for the familiarity and naturalness of her dialogue, and partly because in our able and spirited contemporary (*Tait's Magazine*), we have noted opinions (to which Miss Martineau's name is prefixed) upon Sir Walter Scott, which we consider to contain canons of criticism, that, did she resolve to reduce them into practice, could not but operate unfavourably on Miss Martineau's future efforts. She denies (by the way) that Walter Scott knew much of the lower orders. If, like the writer of this paper, Miss Martineau had journeyed over Great Britain on foot, boarded, lodged, travailed and feasted with all varieties of those orders, she would have found reason, perhaps, to reconsider her decision. But to return. One point is clear,—if the developement of fictitious characters be employed for the illustration of principles, there is no evading the fundamental law of all compositions in which fictitious characters are presented to us: namely, the giving to each person, so introduced, the language and the train of thought which he is most likely to use and indulge. That we may translate certain barbarous dialects and provincialisms, which contain nothing characteristic in themselves, we allow; but then they must be translated into language and thoughts, if more intelligible, at least equally natural and appropriate. Miss Martineau, whenever she *does* endeavour to suit the word to the actor, does it too without much discrimination and art; for instance, to the young Irish couple in the “Weal and Woe in Garveloch,” the Irish brogue is freely attributed; but very much as the brogue is represented in the ignorant old farces, with plenty of “*kilt*,” and “*bother*,” and “*jewel*,” but without a glimpse of that rich idiomatic humour which in Miss Edgeworth, Crofton Croker, and Mrs. Hall's sketches, relieve the vulgarity and elevate the provincialism into the *bon mot*. Here, therefore, Miss Martineau's use of the appropriate dialect is entirely superfluous; and we are given an imitation of the national peculiarity too incorrect to be successful, yet too flat to be amusing. Besides, there is something a little uncandid in this instance; for the good, honest, laborious fishermen are made to speak like scholars, however unnaturally,—and the poor worthless Milesian is consigned, without mercy, to his brogue, however unhappily represented.

And now having finished our catalogue of complaints, we come to the more pleasing part of our critical duty, and speak of the counterbalancing merits of Miss Martineau's performances. And in the first place we must beg the reader to observe that it is but fair to attribute the greater part of the defects we have spoken of, not to a want of capacity in the writer, but to the nature of the work—to the limited space of each tale, and to Miss Martineau's evident desire of making everything subordinate to the illustration of certain valuable truths. It is just therefore, in this, as in all works, to consider first, the author's design; secondly, to see if the design be accomplished; if so, we ought to look leniently on many of the faults inseparable, perhaps, from the accomplishment of the design itself. Putting aside the fact that the dialogues are not appropriate to the speakers, nothing can be more clear, succinct, and luminous than the manner in which the reasonings conveyed in the dialogues are expressed and detailed. A remarkable excellence in Miss Martineau, is the beauty of her descriptions—not exaggerated—not



prolix—but fresh, nervous, graphic, and full of homeliness or of poetry as the subject may require. And this power of description extends not only to the delineation of scenery, but also to that of circumstances and of persons. Nothing can be more fine in its way than the description of the hurricane in Demerara—of the fate of the barbarous overseer—of the passionate negro, praying for vengeance in Christ's name by his solitary hearth—of the escape of the fugitive slaves, and the bay and spring of the fierce bloodhound. Nor can anything be more natural, yet picturesque, than Miss Martineau's sketches of English scenery—the farm—the common—the cottage. And when her story exhibits probity in distress, she groups the characters in the most noble, yet touching positions; as, when Kenneth sits down at night by the desolate sea on which his father has launched his boat in quest of food for many breadless mouths. And when the wife, coming also to watch the vessel, finds her brave son weeping on the rock alone;—and there—with the stormy breakers below, and the sea-fowl screaming near, and the bark growing less and less upon the wave—mother and son cheer each other with grave but high thoughts; and the most beautiful of human affections gives dignity to the most humiliating of earthly trials.

Another great excellence of Miss Martineau, and the most irrefragable proof of her talents, is in that nameless and undefinable power of exciting and sustaining interest in the progress of her tale,—which is the first requisite of prose fiction, and without which all other requisites become wearisome and vain. And this is the greater merit; because, as we before said, the nature of the story and its occasional treatment interpose so many obstacles in the way of interest, and are perpetually in danger of marring our belief in the life and actuality of the *dramatis personæ*.

Miss Martineau's talents, and the value of her works, are indisputable. She has arrived at that point of excellence where we begin to estimate the value and adjudge the station of the writer. The greatest and most consummate order of perfect intellect, is that in which the imaginative and the reasoning faculties are combined,—each carried to its height:—the one inspired, the other regulated, by its companion; and though we cannot of course attribute to Miss Martineau these faculties in their greatest extent, we can yet congratulate her on no inconsiderable portion of them united with no common felicity. We wish that when she has concluded this series of political tales, she would put her imagination under less visible and cramped restraint—that her moral may be less centred—that she may take wider flights into the great range of art—that she may be enabled more happily to consult the dramatic harmonies of character—that she may work out yet more extended and beneficial, though less obtruded, results from more costly materials—that she may be encouraged to venture into far deeper researches into the humours and hearts of men, and far more subtle and daring analysis—not of errors, which are the mere effects of passion, but of the passions themselves; for *they* are the great elements of social change, and the loftiest province of an imaginative and philosophic genius.



## SONNETS TO ROSALIE.

BY THE AUTHOR OF "THE VILLAGE POORHOUSE."

## I.

THERE is a quiet cot, its walls are white  
 And covered o'er with foliage green and deep,—  
 And round the casement clustering wall-flowers creep,  
 And in link'd arches o'er the porch unite.  
 Retired and calm that humble hut is placed  
 In a warm valley,—and the smoke upcurls,  
 From the near village, in fantastic whirls  
 Above the sheltering trees. Embowered, and graced  
 By their rich covering, stands that modest dome;  
 The light gate closed before it, and all round  
 The gravell'd path, pinks, daisies, deck the ground:—  
 That simple cot is mine,—my bosom's home,—  
 My heart's own resting-place, for ever fair,  
 For thou, my Rosalie, art smiling there !

## II.

I look into the past ! and see thee there,  
 Laughing, yet chasten'd in thy young heart's glee ;  
 And o'er that brow, unshadow'd yet by care,  
 The rich brown tresses clust'ring wild and free ;  
 Thy bosom heaving with delicious sighs  
 That speak of aught but sorrow,—and thy cheek  
 Flushing with unknown fancies,—and thine eyes  
 Speaking more tenderly than words can speak—  
 Thou lov'st me !

And within those eyes I gaze,  
 Bright with the pure soul's brightness ; and thy smile  
 Reproves in vain—and only tempts—the praise  
 Of lips by smiling made more sweet the while !  
 And there thou standest with that glistening eye,  
 Blushing in youth's first love, my Rosalie !

## III.

I see thee, Rosalie !—thy charms the same,  
 But mellow'd and more lovely ;—on thy knee  
 A fair-hair'd infant laughs with childish glee,  
 Or clings around thy neck to lisp thy name !  
 Still art thou beautiful ; and as thy head  
 Is bent to kiss its cheek, thy tresses brown,  
 Floating in wavy ringlets loosely down,  
 O'er the fair features of the child are spread,  
 Which sleeps within their shadow.—

At thy feet  
 Stands the light cradle, and I see thee place  
 Thy slumbering babe within it, and thy face  
 Grows bright as listening to its breathings sweet,—  
 Thou gazest on its rest, so soft and mild,  
 And callest on thy God to guard thy child !



## IBRAHIM PACHA, THE CONQUEROR OF SYRIA.

WHILE Europe rings with the history of civil change, we have only to cast our eyes to another quarter of the globe to witness the progress of events equally mighty, though by means less new. Ibrahim Pacha has conquered all Syria, and is marching unresisted through the peninsula of Asia. By the last advices the city of Konieh, within two hundred and fifty miles of the famous capital of the Turkish empire, had opened its gates to him, and Europe is prepared for what a year ago would have been considered the incredible event of the Egyptians marching triumphant into Constantinople. Nearly half a century has passed since the rise of the Wahabees in Arabia threatened the destruction of the Mahommedan faith. These bold, perhaps philosophic, votaries of a sublime creed, declared for the unity of the Godhead, and against the authenticity of the prophet. They plundered the grand caravan of Mecca—they captured the pious Hadgees—they defeated the lieutenants of the Sultan, who endeavoured to vindicate the united interests of Religion and Commerce. For a long period the authority of the Sultan was dormant in Arabia and Syria; Egypt was threatened, and the treasury of Stamboul shrank under the influence of the victorious heretics. At length this same Ibrahim, son of the Egyptian Viceroy, offered his services to resist the torrent. At the head of an irregular force he penetrated into the midst of Arabia, delivered the holy cities, defeated the Wahabees even in their own country, and finally, after having granted peace on the most severe terms, carried their princes as hostages to Cairo. For these services Ibrahim was made Pacha of Mecca and Medina,—an appointment which, in the Ottoman empire, gives him precedence before all other pachas, even his own father.

After the conquest of the Wahabees, Ibrahim commenced the formation in Egypt of a regular army, disciplined in the European manner; and by engaging the most skilful naval architects from Toulon, laid the foundation of the present very considerable naval force of Egypt. Utterly discomfited in Greece, the Sultan at length applied for assistance to his Egyptian vassal. Immediately, the young Pacha poured into the Morea at the head of his army, and supported by a powerful fleet; and such was his progress, that nothing but the famous Treaty of London, and its consequence,—the battle of Navarino,—could have prevented Greece from again becoming a Moslemin province. We have been assured, however, by the highest authority, that it was not the intention of Ibrahim to have restored the Morea to the Sultan. The overthrow of the Egyptians by the Allied Powers only stimulated the exertions of Ibrahim on his return to his country. In the confusion of the Porte, he appropriated to himself both Candia and Cyprus, the finest islands of the Mediterranean. In the autumn of 1831, the Egyptian army consisted of ninety thousand disciplined infantry, perhaps not inferior to the Sepoys, and ten thousand regular cavalry. All the world who knew anything about Egypt, ridiculed the unthrifty vanity of the Pacha, and laughed at the ludicrous disproportion between such a military force and the population and resources of Egypt. By the autumn of 1832, however, Ibrahim has conquered all Syria, and almost



the whole of Asia Minor, and is nearer Constantinople than the Russians. Ibrahim Pacha, therefore, is a great man. He is the great conqueror of his age.

He is without doubt a man of remarkable talents. His mind is alike subtle and energetic. He is totally free from prejudice, adopts your ideas with silent rapidity, and his career demonstrates his military genius. His ambition is unbounded; his admiration of European institutions and civilization great; but he avoids, with dexterity, shocking the feelings and prejudices of the Moslemin. A mystery hangs over his birth—he is said to be only an adopted son of the present Pacha of Egypt, but this is doubtful; at any rate, the utmost confidence prevails between Ibrahim and his professed father. The Pacha of the Holy Cities is a great voluptuary; his indulgence, indeed, in every species of sensuality is unbounded. Although scarcely in the prime of life, his gross and immense bulk promises but a short term of existence, and indicates a man sinking under overwhelming disease, and incapable of exertion. His habits are sumptuous: he delights in magnificent palaces and fanciful gardens, and is curious in the number and beauty of his Circassians; but his manners are perfectly European. He is constantly in public, and courts the conversation of all ingenious strangers. His chief councillor is Osman Bey, a renegade Frenchman, and an able man. Less than twenty years ago, Ibrahim Pacha passed his days in sitting at a window of his palace with a German rifle, and firing at the bloated skins borne on the backs of the water-carriers as they returned from the Nile. As Ibrahim is an admirable marksman, the usual effect of his exertions was in general only to deprive the poor water-carriers of the fruits of their daily labour: sometimes, however, his bullet brought blood, instead of the more innocent liquid—but Egypt was then a despotic country. It is not so now. It is not known among us, that the old Pacha of Egypt and his son, in their rage for European institutions, have actually presented their subjects with “The Two Chambers,” called in the language of the Levant the “*Alto Parlamento*,” and “*Basso Parlamento*.” These assemblies meet at Cairo; and have been formed by the governor of every town sending up to the capital, by the order of the Pacha, two good and discreet men to assist in the administration of affairs. The members of the “*Alto Parlamento*” have the power of discussing all measures; but those of the “*Basso Parlamento*” are permitted only to petition. Their Highnesses pay very little practical attention to the debaters or the petitioners, but always treat them with great courtesy. Yet they are very proud, (especially the elder Pacha,) of the institutions; and the writer of this article has heard Mehemet Ali more than once boast that “he has as many Parliaments as the King of England.” In the meanwhile these extraordinary events have wrought singular revolutions in manners—we have for the first time a *Turkish* Ambassador in England.

MARCO POLO, JUNIOR,

---



## ASMODEUS AT LARGE.—NO. X.

## PART FIRST CONCLUDED.

---

*Passion—its History and its Termination.*

---

My adventures now become of a more grave and earnest character than they have been wont to be. The reader must be prepared to confine his interest solely to sublunary sources—the supernatural has vanished from my life—unless indeed, as at times I believe, nothing is so marvellous or so alien to our earthly and common nature as the spirit that animates and transforms us when we love.

It was evening, clear and frosty—I stood in one of the small deserted streets that intersect Mayfair, waiting for Julia. Yes! our attachment had now progressed to that point; we met—alone and in secret. From the hour Julia first consented to these interviews, Asmodeus left me; I have not seen him since.

“My gratitude stops here,” said he. “It was my task to amuse, to interest you, but no more. I deal not with the passions—I can do nothing for you in this affair. You are in love, and in the hands of a stronger demon than myself. Adieu!—when the spell is broken we may meet again.” With those words he vanished, and has I suspect engaged his services for the present to the Marquis of Hertford.

I was waiting then, in this lonely street, for the coming of Julia; I heard the clock strike eight, the appointed hour, but I saw not her dark mantle and graceful form emerging from the cross street which led her to our *rendezvous*. And who was Julia, and what? She was a relation of the gaming adventurer at whose house and with whose daughter I had first seen her—and she lived at somewhat a distant part of the town with a sister who was a widow and much older than herself. Occupied in the business of an extensive trade, and the cares of a growing family, this sister left Julia to the guidance of her own susceptible fancy and youthful inexperience—left her to reflect—to imagine—to act as she would, and the consequence was that she fell in love. She was thoroughly guileless, and almost thoroughly ignorant. She could read indeed, but only novels, and those not of the gravest; she could write—but in no fluent hand, and if her heart taught her the sentiment that supplies skill, her diffidence forbade her to express it. She was quiet, melancholy, yet quickly moved to mirth—sensitive, and yet pure. I afterwards discovered that pride was her prevailing characteristic, but at first it lay concealed. I already loved her even for her deficiencies, for they were not of Nature but of Education.

And who and what is her lover? Long as I have been relating these adventures, I have not yet communicated that secret. Writing about myself, I have not yet disclosed myself. I will now do so:—I am then an idle, wandering, unmarried man—rich, well-born, still young—who have read much, written somewhat, and lived for pleasure, action, and the Hour—keeping thought for study, but excluding it from enterprise, and ready to plunge into any plan or any pursuit, so that it promised the excitement of something new. Such a life engenders more of remem-



brance than of hope; it flings our dreams back upon the past, instead of urging them to the future—it gives us excitement in retrospection, but satiety when we turn towards the years to come; the pleasure of youth is a costly draught, in which the pearl that should enrich our manhood is dissolved. And so much for Julia's lover; the best thing in his favour is that she loves him. The half hour has passed—will she come? How my heart beats!—the night is clear and bright, what can have delayed her? I hear feet—Ah Julia, it is you indeed!

Julia took my arm, and pressed it silently; I drew aside her veil, and beneath the lamp, looked into her face; she was weeping.

“And what is the matter, dearest?”

“My sister has discovered your last letter to me; I dropped it, and—and——”

“Heavens! how could you be so imprudent—but I hope it is no matter—what does your sister say?”

“That—that I ought to see you no more.”

“She is kind; but you will not obey her, my Julia?”

“I cannot help it.”

“Why, surely you can come out when you like?”

“No; I have promised not. She has been a kind sister to me, sir, and—and she spoke so kindly now on this matter, that I could not help promising; and I cannot break my promise, though I may break my heart.”

“Is there no way of compromising the matter?” said I, after a pause.

“No way of seeing me? My Julia, you will not desert me now?”

“But what can I do?” said Julia, simply.

“My angel, surely the promise was not willingly given; it was extorted from you!”

“No, sir: I gave it with all my heart.”

“I thank you.”

“Pray, pray do not speak so coldly; you must, you must own it was very wrong in me ever to see you; and how could this end—God knows, but not to my good and my family's honour. I never thought much about it before, and went on, and on, till I got entangled, and did not dare look much back or much forward; but now you see, when my sister began to show me all the folly I have committed, I was frightened, and—and—in short it is no use talking, I can meet you no more.”

“But I shall at least see you at your relation's, the Miss \*\*\*\*?”

“No, sir; I have promised also not to go there, and not to go anywhere without my sister.”

“Confound your sister,” I muttered with a most conscientious heartiness; “you give me up then,” said I, aloud, “without a sigh, and without a struggle?”

Julia wept on without answering; my heart softened to her, and my conscience smote myself. Was not the sister right? Had I not been selfishly reckless of consequences? Was it not now my duty to be generous? “And even if generous,” answered Passion, “will Julia be happy? Have not matters already gone so far that her heart is implicated without recall? To leave her, is to leave her to be wretched.” We walked quietly on, neither speaking. Never before had I felt how dearly I loved this innocent and charming girl; and loving her so dearly, a feeling for *her* began to preponderate over the angry and



bitter mortification I had first experienced for myself. My mind was confused and bewildered—I knew not which course to pursue. We had gone on thus mute for several minutes, when at the corner of a street which led her homewards, Julia turned, and said in a faltering voice,—“Farewell, sir, God bless you—let us part here; I must go home now!” The street was utterly empty—the lamps few, and at long intervals, left the place where we stood in shade. I saw her countenance only imperfectly through the low long bonnet which modestly, as it were, shrouded its tearful loveliness; I drew my arm round her, kissed her lips, and said, “Be it as you think best for yourself—go and be happy—think no more of me.”

Julia paused—hesitated, as about to speak—then shook her head gently, and, still silent (as if the voice were choked within) lowered her veil, and walked away. When she had got a few paces, she turned back, and seeing that I still stood in the same spot, gazing upon her, her courage seemed to desert her; she returned, placed her hand in mine, and said in a soft whisper,

“You are not angry with me—you will not hate me?”

“Julia, to the last hour of my life I shall adore you; that I do not reproach you—that I do not tamper with your determination, is the greatest proof of the real and deep love I bear to you; but go—go—or I shall not be so generous long.”

Now Julia was quite a child in mind more than years, and her impulses were childlike, and after a little pause, and a little evident embarrassment, she drew from her finger a pretty though plain ring, that I had once admired, and she said very timidly,

“If, sir, you will condescend to accept this——”

I heard no more; I vow that my heart melted within me at once, and the tears ran down my cheek almost as fast as they did down Julia’s; the incident was so simple—the sentiment it veiled was so touching and so youthful. I took the ring and kissed it—Julia yet lingered—I saw what was at her heart, though she dared not say it. She wished also for some little remembrance of the link that had been between us, but she would not take the chain I pressed upon her; it was too costly; and the only gift that pleased her, and she at last accepted, was a ring not half the value even of her own. This little interchange, and the more gentle and less passionate feelings to which it gave birth, seemed to console her; and when she left me, it was with a steadier step and a less drooping air. Poor Julia! I staid in that desolate spot till the last glimpse of thy light form vanished from my gaze.

In the whole course of life there is no passage in it so “weary, stale, and unprofitable,” as that which follows some episode of Passion broken abruptly off. Still loving, yet forbid the object we love, the heart sinks beneath the weight of its own craving affections. There is no event to the day—a burthensome listlessness—a weary and distasteful apathy fill up the dull flatness of the hours—Time creeps before us visibly—we see his hour-glass and his scythe,—and we lose all the charm of Life the moment we are made sensible of its presence!

I resolved to travel—I fixed the day of my departure. Would to heaven that I had been permitted to carry, at least, that purpose into effect! About three days before the one I had appointed for leaving London, I met suddenly in the street my friend Anne, the eldest of



the damsels to whom I had played the sorcerer. She knew, of course, of my love for Julia, and had assisted in our interviews. I found that she now knew of our separation. She had called upon Julia, and the sister had told her all, and remonstrated with her for her connivance at our attachment. The girl described the present condition of Julia in the most melancholy colours. She said she passed the day alone—and (the widow had confessed) for the most part in tears—that she had already lost her colour and roundness of form—that her health was breaking beneath an effort which her imperfect education feeding her imagination at the expense of the reasoning faculty, and furnishing her with no resources, so ill prepared her to sustain. And with her sister, however well meaning, she had no sympathy. She found in her no support, and but seldom even companionship.

This account produced a great revulsion in my mind. Hitherto I had at least consoled myself with the belief that I had acted in the true spirit of tenderness to Julia, and in that hope I had supported myself. Now all thought, prudence, virtue vanished beneath the idea of her unhappiness. I returned home, and in the impulse of the moment wrote to her a passionate, an imploring letter. I besought her to fly with me. I committed the letter to my servant, a foreigner, well-used to such commissions; and in a state of breathless fever I awaited the reply. It came—the address was in Julia's writing. I opened it with a sort of transport—my own letter was returned unopened—the cover contained these few words:—

“I have pledged myself to return your letters in case you should write to me, and so I keep my word. I dare not—dare not open this; for I cannot tell you what it costs me to keep my resolution. I had no idea that it would be so impossible to forget you—that I should be so unhappy. But though I will not trust myself to read what you have written, I know well how full of kindness every word is, and feel as if I *had* read the letter; and it makes me wickedly happy to think you have not *yet* forgotten me, though you soon must. Pray do not write to me again—I beseech you not, as you value the little peace that is left to me. And so, sir, no more from Julia, who prays for you night and day, and will think of you as long as she lives.”

What was I to do after the receipt of this letter? So artless was Julia, that every word that ought to have dissuaded me from molesting her more, seemed to make it imperative to refrain. And what a corroboration in these lines of all I had been told! I waited till dark. I repaired with my servant to that part of the town in which Julia's sister resided. I reconnoitred the house. “And how,” asked I, for the first time, of my servant, “how, Louis, did you convey the letter?”

“I went, sir, first,” answered Louis, “to the young lady, Miss Julia's cousin, in —— street, and asked if I could not carry any parcel to her relation. She understood me, and gave me one. I slipped the letter into the parcel, and calling at the private entrance of the house desired the maid who opened the door to give it only to Miss Julia. I made sure of the servant with half-a-guinea. Miss Julia herself came down, and gave me the answer.”

“Ha, and you saw her then?”

“Not her face, sir, for she had put on her bonnet, and she did not detain me a moment.”

In this account there was no clue to the apartment which belonged to



Julia, and that it was now my main object to discover. I trusted, however, greatly to the ingenuity and wit of my *confidant*, and a little to my own. It was a corner house—large, rambling, old-fashioned; one side of the house ran down a dark and narrow street, the other faced a broad and public thoroughfare. In walking to and fro the former street, I at length saw a sudden light in a window of the second floor, and Julia herself—yes, herself! appeared for one moment at the window. I recognized her gentle profile—her parted hair—and then she drew down the curtain; all was darkness and a blank. That, then, was her apartment; at least I had some right to conjecture so. How to gain it was still the question. Rope-ladders exist only in romances; besides, the policemen and the passengers. The maid-servant flashed across me—might she not, bought over to the minor indulgence, be purchased also to the greater one? I called my servant, and bade him attempt the task. After a little deliberation he rang at the bell—luck favoured me—the same servant as before answered the summons. I remained at a distance, shrouded in my cloak. At length the door closed—Louis joined me—the servant had consented to admit me two hours hence; I might then see Julia undetected. The girl, according to Louis, was more won over by compassion for Julia's distress, whom she imagined *compelled* by her sister to reject the addresses of a true lover, than even by the bribe. In two hours the sister would have retired to rest—the house would be still! Oh, heaven! what a variety of burning emotions worked upon me—and stifled remorse, nay, even fear. Lest we should attract observation, by lingering for so long a time about the spot, I retired from the place at present. I returned at the appointed hour. I was admitted—all was dark—the servant, who was a very young girl herself, conducted me up the narrow stairs. We came to Julia's door—a light broke through the chinks and under the threshold; and now, for the first time, I faltered, I trembled, the colour fled my cheeks, my knees knocked together. By a violent effort I conquered my emotion. What was to be done? If I entered without premeditation, Julia, in her sudden alarm, might rouse the house; if I sent in the servant to acknowledge that I was there, she might yet refuse to see me—No! this one interview I would insist upon! This latter course was the best, the only one. I bade the girl then prepare her young mistress for my presence. She entered and shut the door; I sat down at the threshold. Conceive all I felt as I sat there listening to the loud beating of my own heart! The girl did not come out—time passed—I heard Julia's voice within, and there seemed fear, agony, in its tone. I could wait no more. I opened her door gently, and stood before her. The fire burnt low and clear in the grate—one candle assisted its partial light; there was a visible air of purity—of maidenhood about the whole apartment that struck an instant reverence into my heart. Books in small shelves hung upon the wall; Julia's work lay upon a table near the fire; the bed stood at a little distance with its white simple drapery;—in all was that quiet and spotless neatness which is as a type of the inmate's mind. My eye took the whole scene at a glance. And Julia herself—reclined on a chair—her head buried in her hands—sobbing violently—and the maid pale and terrified before her, having lost all presence of mind, all attempt to cheer her mistress, much less to persuade! I threw myself at Julia's feet, and attempted to seize her hand; she started up with a faint cry of terror.



"You!" she said, with keen reproach. "I did not expect this from you! Go—go! What would you have? What could you think of me—at this hour—in this room?" and as she said the last words, she again hid her face with her hands, but only for a moment. "Go!" she exclaimed, in a sterner voice. "Go instantly, or——"

"Or what, Julia! You will raise the house?—Do so! In the face of all—foes or friends—I will demand the right to see and speak with you—this night, and alone. Now, summon the house. In the name of indomitable Love I swear that I will be heard."

Julia only waived her hand in yet stronger agitation than before.

"What do you fear?" I resumed, in a softer whisper. "Is it *I*?—*I* who, for your sake, gave up even the attempt to see you till now. And *now*, what brings me hither? A selfish purpose? No! it is for *your* happiness that I come. Julia, I fancied you well—at ease—forgetting me; and I bore my own wretchedness without a murmur. I heard of you ill, pining—living only on the past; I forgot all prudence, and I am here. Now do you blame, or do you yet imagine that this love is of a nature which you have cause to fear? Answer me, Julia!"

"I cannot—I cannot—here!—and now!—go, I implore you, and to-morrow I will see you."

"This night, or never," said I, rising and folding my arms.

Julia turned round, gazing on my face with so anxious, so inquiring, so alarmed a look, that it checked my growing courage; then turning to the servant, she grasped her firmly by the arm, and muttered, "*You* will not leave me!"

"Julia, have I deserved this? Be yourself, and be just to me."

"Not here, I say; not here," cried Julia, in so vehement a tone, that I feared it might alarm the house.

"Hush, hush! Well, then," said I, "come down stairs; doubtless the sitting-room below is vacant enough; there, then, let me see you only for a few minutes, and I will leave you contented, and blessing your name."

"I will," said Julia, gaspingly. "Go, I will follow you."

"Promise!"

"Yes, yes; I promise!"

"Enough; I am satisfied."

Once more I descended the stairs, and sat myself quietly on the last step. I did not wait many moments. Shading the light with her hand, Julia stole down, opened a door in the passage. We were in a little parlour;—the gaping servant was about also to enter;—I whispered her to stay without. Julia did not seem to observe or to heed this. Perhaps in this apartment—connected with all the associations of daylight and safety—she felt herself secure. She appeared, too, to look round the little room with a satisfied air, and her face, though very pale, had lost its aspect of fear.

The room was cold, and looked desolate enough, God knows;—the furniture all disarranged and scattered, the tables strewn with litter, the rug turned up, the ashes in the grate. But Julia here suffered me to take her hand,—and Julia here leant upon my bosom, and I kissed away the tears from her eyes, and she confessed she had been very, very unhappy.



Then with all the power that Love gives us over the one beloved—that soft despotism which melts away the will—I urged my suit to Julia, and implored her to let us become the world to each other. And Julia had yet the virtue to refuse; and her frank simplicity had already half restored my own better angel to myself, when I heard a slight alarmed scream from the servant without—an angry voice—the door opened;—I saw a female whom I was at no loss to conjecture must be Julia's sister. What a picture it made! The good lady with her *bonnet de nuit*, and her—but, alas! the story is too serious for jest; yet imagine how the small things of life interfere with its great events: the widow had come down to look for her keys that she had left behind. The pathetic—the passionate—all marred by a bunch of keys! She looked hard at me before she even deigned to regard my companion; and then, approaching us, she took Julia roughly enough by the arm.

“Go up stairs; go!” she said. “How have you deceived me! And you, sir; what do you here? Who are you?”

“My dear lady, take a chair, and let us have some rational conversation.”

“Sir, do you mean to insult me?”

“How can you imagine I do?”

“Leave the house this instant, or I shall order in the Policeman!”

“Not you!”

“How!—Will I not?”

Julia, glad of an escape, had already glided from the room.

“Madam,” said I, “listen to me. I will not leave this apartment until I have exonerated your sister from all blame in this interview. I entered the house unknown to her. I went at once to her own room—you start: it was so; I speak the truth. I insisted on speaking to her, as I insist on speaking to you now; and, if you will not hear me, know the result: it is this—I will visit this house, guard it as you can:—day and night I will visit it, until it hold Julia no more,—until she is mine! Is this the language of a man whom you can control? Come, be seated, and hear me.”

The mistress of the house mechanically took a chair. We conversed together for more than an hour. And I found that Julia had been courted the year before by a man in excellent circumstances, of her own age, and her own station in life; that she had once appeared disposed to favour his suit, and that, since she had known me, she had rejected it. The sister was very anxious she should now accept it. She appealed to me whether I should persevere in a suit that could not end honourably to Julia—to the exclusion of one that would secure to her affluence, respectability—a station, and a home. I was struck by this appeal. The widow was, like most of her class, a shrewd and worldly woman enough: she followed up the advantage she had gained; and at length, emboldened by my silence, and depending greatly on my evident passion for Julia, she threw out a pretty broad hint that the only way to finish the dispute fairly was to marry Julia myself. Now, if there be any propensity common to a sensible man of the world, it is suspicion. I immediately suspected that I was to be “*taken in!*” Could Julia connive at this? Had her reserve so great, yet her love so acknowledged, been lures to fascinate me into the snare? I did not yield to the suspicion, but, somehow or other, it remained half unconsciously on my



mind. So great was my love for Julia that, had it been less *suddenly* formed, I might have sacrificed all, and married her; but in sudden passions there is *no esteem*. You are ashamed, you are afraid of indulging them to their full extent;—you feel that as yet you are the dupe, if not of others, at least of your own senses, and the very knowledge of the excess of your passion puts you on your guard lest you should be betrayed by it. I said nothing in answer to the widow's suggestion, but I suffered her to suppose from my manner that it *might* have its effect. I left the house, after an amicable compromise. On my part I engaged not to address Julia herself any more. On the widow's part she promised that, on applying to *her*, she would suffer me at any time to see Julia, even alone.

For the next two days I held a sharp contest with myself. Could I, with love still burning in every vein, consent to renounce Julia? Yet could I consent to deprive her of the holy and respected station she had it in her power to hold, to pursue my suit, to accomplish its purpose in her degradation? A third choice was left me: should I obey the sister's hint, and proffer marriage?—Marriage with one beautiful, indeed, simple, amiable, but without birth, education; without sympathy with myself in a single thought or habit?—be the fool of my own desire, and purchase what I had the sense to feel must be a discontented and ill-mated life, for the mere worship of external qualities? Yet, yet,—in a word, I felt as if I could arrive at no decision for myself. I remembered an old friend and adviser of my youth,—to him, then, I resolved to apply for counsel.

John Mannering is about sixty years of age; he is of a mild temper, of great experience, of kindly manners, and of a morality which professes to be practicable rather than strict. He had guided me from many errors in the earlier part of my life, but he had impressed no clear principle on my mind in order to guide myself. His own virtue was without system, the result of a good heart, though not an ardent one; and a mind which did not aspire beyond a certain elevation,—not from the want of a clear sense, but of enthusiasm. Such as he was, he was the best adviser I knew of; for he was among the few who can sympathise with your feelings as well as your interests. With him I conversed long and freely. His advice was obvious—to renounce Julia. I went home; I reasoned with myself; I sat down and began twenty letters; I tore them all in a rage. I could not help picturing to my mind Julia pining and in despair; and, in affecting to myself to feel only for her, I compassionated my own situation. At length Love prevailed over all. I resolved to call on the widow, to request permission to be allowed to visit Julia at her house, and, without promising marriage, still to pay her honourable courtship, with a view of ascertaining if our tempers and dispositions were as congenial as our hearts. I fancied such a proposition seemed exceedingly reasonable and *common-sense-like*. I shut my eyes to the consequences, and, knowing how malleable is the nature of women in youth, I pleased myself with that notion which has deceived so many visionaries, that I should be able to perfect her education, and that, after a few years travel on the Continent, I might feel as proud of her mind as I was now transported with her person. Meanwhile, how tempting was the compromise with my feelings! I should see her!—converse with her!—live in the atmosphere of her presence!



The next day I called on the sister, whose dark, shrewd eye sparkled at my proposition. All was arranged! I saw Julia! What delight beamed in her face! With what smiles and tears she threw herself in my arms! I was satisfied and happy!

And now I called every day, and every day saw Julia: but after the first interview, the charm was broken! I saw with new eyes! The sister, commercial to the back-bone of her soul, was delighted, indeed, at the thought of the step in life her sister was to make. Julia was evidently impressed by the widow's joy, and visions of splendour evidently mingled with those of love. What more natural? Love, perhaps, predominated over all; but was it possible that, in a young and imaginative mind, the worldly vanities should be wholly dormant? Yet it was natural, also, that my suspicion should be roused,—that I should fear I was deceived,—that I might have been designedly led on to this step,—that what had seemed nature in Julia was in reality art!

I looked in her face, and its sunny and beautiful candour reassured me—but the moment afterwards the thought forced itself upon me again—I recalled also the instances I had ever known of unequal marriages, and I fancied I saw unhappiness in all—it seemed to me, in all, that the superior had been palpably duped. Thus a coldness insensibly crept over the wonted ardour of my manner, and instead of that blessed thoughtlessness, that Elysian credulity, with which lovers should give themselves up to the transport of the hour, and imagine that each is the centre of all perfection, I became restless and vigilant—for ever sifting motives, and diving deeper than the sweet surface of the present time. My mind thus influenced—the delusion that conceals all faults and uncongenialities gradually evaporated—I noted a thousand things in Julia that made me start at the notion of seeing her become my wife. So long as marriage had not entered into my views—so long those faults had not touched me—had passed unheeded;—I saw her now with other eyes. When I sought in her love and beauty alone, I was contented to ask no more. At present I sought more; she was to become the companion of a life, and I was alarmed—nay, I even exaggerated the petty causes of my displeasure; an inelegance of expression—a negligence of conventional forms—fretted and irritated me in her far more than they would have done in one of my own station. When love first becomes reasonable it soon afterwards grows unjust. I did not scruple to communicate to Julia all the little occurrences of the day, or little points in her manner, that had annoyed me;—and I found that she did not take my suggestions, mild and guarded as they were, in a manner I thought I had a right to expect. She had been accustomed to see me enamoured of her lightest word or gesture—she was not prepared to find me now cavilling and reproving;—her face, always ingenuous, evinced at once her mortification at the change. She thought me always in the wrong, wearisome, exacting, and unjust. She never openly resented at first—merely pouted out her pretty lip and was silent for the next half hour; but, by degrees, my beautiful Julia began to evince traces of a “spirit”—a spirit not indeed unfeminine, and never loud—a spirit of sorrow rather than anger. I was ungenerous (she said)—I had never found these faults before—I had never required all this perfection—and then she wept;—and that went to my heart; and I was not satisfied with myself till she smiled again. But it was easy to perceive that from taking pleasure in each



other's society we grew by degrees to find embarrassment;—the fear of a quarrel, discontent, and a certain pain supplying the place of eager and all-absorbing rapture; and when I looked to the future I trembled. In a word—I repeat once more—“THE CHARM WAS GONE!”

Oh, epoch in the history of human passions!—when that phrase is spoken—what volumes does it not convey!—what bitter, what irremediable disappointment!—what dread conviction of the fallacy of hope, and the false colouring of imagination!—what a chill and dark transition—from life as we fancied it, to life as it is!—In the Arabian tale, when one eye was touched with the mystic ointment, all the treasures of the earth became visible, and the sterile rock was transformed into mines of inexhaustible wealth; but when the same spell is extended to both eyes the delusion vanishes—the earth relapses into its ancient barrenness—and the mine fades once more into the desert;—so in the experience of the passions—while we are as yet but partially the creatures of the enchantment, we are blessed with a power to discover glory in all things;—we are as magicians—we are as gods!—we are not contented—we demand more—custom touches *both* eyes—and, lo! the vision is departed, and we are alone in the wilderness again!

One evening after one of our usual quarrels and reconciliations, Julia's spirits seemed raised into more than usual reaction. There were three or four of her friends present—a sort of party—her cousins (the fortune-seekers) among the rest—and she was the life of the circle. In proportion to her gaiety was my discontent; I fancied she combined with the confounded widow, who evidently wanted to “show me off,” in her own damnable phrase, as her sister's wooer; and this is a position in which no tolerably fastidious man likes to be placed: add to this, my readers very well know that people who have no inelegance when subdued, throw off a thousand little *grossièrities* when they are elated. No ordeal is harder for a young and lovely woman, who has not been brought up *conventionally*, to pass with grace, than that of her own unrestrained merriment. Levity requires polish in proportion to your interest in the person who indulges it; and levity in his mistress is almost always displeasing to a passionate lover. Love is so very grave and so very refined a deity. In short every instant added to my secret vexation. I absolutely coloured with rage at every jest bandied between poor Julia and her companions. I swear I think I could have beat her, with a safe conscience. The party went; now came my turn. I remonstrated—Julia replied—we both lost our temper. I fancied then I was entirely in the right; but now, alas! I will believe myself wrong; it is some sacrifice to a dread memory to own it.

“You always repine at my happiness,” said Julia; “to be merry is always in your eyes a crime; I cannot bear this tyranny; I am not your wife, and if I were, I would not bear it. If I displease you now, what shall I do hereafter?”

“But, my dear Julia, you can so easily avoid the little peculiarities I dislike. Believe me unreasonable—perhaps I am so. It is some pleasure to a generous mind to sacrifice to the unreasonableness of one we love. In a word, I own it frankly, if you meet all my wishes with this obstinacy, we cannot be happy, and—and——”

“I see,” interrupted Julia, with unwonted vehemence, “I see what you would say; you are tired of me; you feel that I do not



suit your ideal notions. You thought me all perfect when you designed me for your victim; but now that you think something is to be sacrificed on *your* part, you think only of that paltry sacrifice, and demand of me an impossible perfection in return!"

There was so much truth in this reproach that it stung me to the quick. It was indelicate, perhaps, in Julia to use it—it was certainly unwise.

I turned pale with anger.

"Madam," I began, with that courtesy which conveys all reproach.

"Madam!" repeated Julia, turning suddenly round—her lips parted—her eyes flashing through her tears—alarm—grief—but also indignation quivering in every muscle—"Is it come to this?—Go!—Let us part—my love ceases since I see yours is over! Were you twice as wealthy—twice as proud—I would not humble myself to be beholden to your justice instead of your affection.—Rather—rather—oh, God!—rather would I have sacrificed myself—given up all to you—than accept one advantage from the man who considers it an honour.—Let us part."

Julia had evidently conceived the word I had used in cold and bitter respect, as an irony on her station as well as a proof of coldness; but I did not stop to consider whether or not she was reasonably provoked; her disdain for the sacrifice I thought so great galled me—the violence of her passion revolted. I thought only of the escape she offered me—"Let us part"—rang in my ear like a reprieve to a convict. I rose at once—took my hat calmly—and not till I reached the door did I reply.

"Enough, Julia—we part for ever.—You will hear from me to-morrow for the last time!"

I left the house and trod as on air. My love for Julia long decreasing seemed crushed at once. I imagined her former gentleness all hypocrisy;—I thought only of the termagant I had escaped. I congratulated myself that she having broke the chain I was free and with honour. I did not then—no—nor till it was too late—recall the despair printed on her hueless face, when the calm low voice of my resolution broke upon her ear, and she saw that she had indeed lost me for ever. That image rises before me now; it will haunt me to my grave. Her features pale and locked—the pride, the resentment, all sunk,—merged in one incredulous, wild, stony aspect of deserted love. Alas!—alas!—could I but have believed that she felt so deeply! I wrote to her the next day kindly and temperately, but such a tone made the wound deeper—I bade her farewell for ever. To her sister I wrote more fully. I said, that our tempers were so thoroughly unsuited, that no rational hope of happiness in our union could exist for either. I besought her not to persuade or induce her sister to marry the suitor, who had formerly addressed her, unless she could return his affection. Whomsoever she married, her fortune should be my care. Doubtless in a little time some one would be to her as dear as I once had fancied myself to be. "Let," I said, "no disparity in fortune, then, be an obstacle on either side; I will cheerfully give up half my own to redeem whatever affliction I may have occasioned her." With this letter I entirely satisfied my conscience.

It is almost incredible to think in how short a time the whole of these events had been crowded—within how few weeks I had concentrated the



whole history of Love!—its first mysterious sentiment—its ardent passion—its dissension—its coolness—its breach—its everlasting farewell!

In four days I received a letter from Julia's sister—(none from Julia.) It was written in a tone of pert and flippant insolence, which made me more than ever reconciled to the turn of events; but it contained one piece of news I did not hear with indifference,—Julia had accepted the offer of her former suitor, and was to be married next week. “She bids me say (wrote the widow) that she sees at once through your pretence, under an affected wish for her happiness, to prevent her forming this respectable connexion;—she sees that you still assume the right to dictate to her, and that your offers of generosity are merely the condescensions of a fancied superiority;—she assures you, however, that your wish for her happiness is already realized.”

This undeserved and insulting message completed my conquest over any lurking remorse or regret; and I did not, in my resentment at Julia's injustice, perceive how much it was the operation of a wounded vanity upon a despairing heart.

I still lingered in town; and, some days afterwards, I went to dine in the neighbourhood of Westminster, at the house of one of the most jovial of boon companions. I had for some weeks avoided society: the temporary cessation gave a new edge to my zest for its pleasures. The hours flew rapidly,—my spirits rose,—and I enjoyed the present with a gust that had been long denied to me.

On leaving the house on foot, the fineness of the night, with its frosty air and clear stars, tempted me to turn from my direct way homeward, and I wandered mechanically towards a scene which has always possessed to me, at night, a great attraction, viz.—the bridge which divides the suburb from the very focus of the capital, with its proud Abbey and gloomy Senate! I walked to and fro the bridge,—gazing at times on the dark waters, reflecting the lights from the half-seen houses and the stars of the solemn Heavens. My mind was filled with shadowy and vague presentiments: I felt awed and saddened, without a palpable cause; the late excitement of my spirits was succeeded by a melancholy re-action. I mused over the various disappointments of my life, and the Ixion-like delusion with which I had so often wooed a deity and clasped a cloud. My history with Julia made a principal part of these meditations; her image returned to me irresistibly, and with renewed charms. In vain I endeavoured to recur to the feelings of self-acquittal and gratulation, which a few hours ago had actuated me; my heart was softened, and my memory refused to recall all harsher retrospection—her love, her innocence only obtruded themselves upon me, and I sighed to think that perhaps by this time she was irrevocably another's. I retraced my steps, and was now at the end of the bridge, when, just by the stairs, I perceived a crowd, and heard a vague and gathering clamour. A secret impulse hurried me to the place: I heard a policeman speaking with the eagerness which characterizes the excitement of narration.

“My suspicions were aroused,” quoth he, “as I passed, and saw a female standing by the bridge. So, you see, I kept loitering there, and a minute after I went gently up, and I heard the young woman groan; and she turned round as I came up, for I frightened her; and I never shall forget her face,—it was so woe-begone,—and yet she was so young and handsome. And so, you see, I spoke to her, and I said,



says I, 'Young woman, what do you do here at this hour?' And she said, 'I am waiting for a boat: I expect my mother from Richmond.' And, somehow or other, I was foolish enough to believe what she said—she looked so quiet and respectable like;—and I went away, you understand; and in about a minute after (for I kept near the spot) I heard a heavy splash in the water, and then I knew what it all was. I ran up, and I just saw her once rise; and so, as I could not swim, I gave the alarm, and we got the boat—but it was too late."

"Poor girl!" lisped an old coster-woman; "I dare say she was crossed in love."

"What is this?" said I, mixing with the crowd.

"A young woman as has drowned herself, Sir."

"Where? I do not see the body."

"It be taken to the watch-house, and the doctors are trying to recover it."

A horrible idea had crossed my mind;—unfounded, improbable as it seemed, I felt as if compelled to confirm or remove it. I made the policeman go with me to the watch-house;—I pushed away the crowd—I approached the body. Oh, God!—that white face—the heavy, dripping hair—the swollen form—and all that decent and maiden beauty, with the coarse cover half thrown over it!—and the unsympathizing surgeons standing by! and the unfamiliar faces of the women!—What a scene!—what a death-bed! Julia, Julia! thou art avenged!

It was her, then, whom I beheld; her—the victim—the self-destroyer. I hurry over the awful record. I am writing my own condemnation—stamping my own curse. They found upon the corpse a letter: drenched as it was, I yet could decipher its characters;—it was to me. It ran thus:—

"I believe now that I have been much to blame; for I am writing calmly, with a fixed determination not to live; and I see how much I have thrown away the love you once gave me. Yet I have loved you always,—how dearly, I never told you, and never can tell! But when you seemed to think so much of your—what shall I say?—your condescension in marrying—perhaps loving—me, it maddened me to the brain; and though I would have given worlds to please you, I could not bear to see the difference in your manner, after you came to see me daily, and to think of me as a woman ought to be thought of; and this, I know, made me seem cross, and peevish, and unamiable,—but I could not help it,—and so you ceased to love me; and I felt that, and longed madly to release you from a tie you repented. The moment came for me to do so, and—we parted. Then you wrote to me, and my sister made me see in the letter what, perhaps, you did not intend; but, indeed, I was only sensible to the thought that I had lost you for ever, and that you scorned me. And then my vanity was roused,—and I knew you still loved me,—and I fancied I could revenge myself upon you by marrying another. But when I came to see, and meet, and smile upon that other,—and to feel the day approach,—and to reflect that *you* had been all in all to me,—and that I was about to pass my whole life with one I loathed, after having loved so well and so entirely,—I felt I had reckoned too much on my own strength, and that I could not sustain my courage any longer. Nothing is left to me in life: the anguish I suffer is intolerable; and I have at length made up my mind to die. But think



not I am a poor love-sick girl only. I am more ;—I am still a revengeful woman. You have deserted me, and I know myself to blame ; but I cannot bear that you should forget and despise me, as you would if I were to marry. I am about to force you to remember me for ever,—to be sorry for me—to forgive me—to love me better than you have done yet, even when you loved me most. It is in this that I shall be revenged !”

And with this wild turmoil of contending feelings,—the pride of womanhood wrestling with the softness—forgiveness with revenge—high emotions with erring principles—agony, led on to death by one hope to be remembered and deplored ;—with this contest at thy heart didst thou go down to thy watery grave !

What must have passed within thee in those brief and terrible moments, when thou stoodest by the dark waters,—hesitating—lingering—fearing—yet resolved ! And I was near thee in that hour, and knew thee not—at hand, and saved not ! Oh ! bitter was the revenge—lasting is the remembrance ! Henceforth, I ask no more of Human Affections : I stand alone on the Earth !

*End of the First Part of “Asmodeus at Large.”*

---

*Note.*—As it is possible that with this first part the fiction of “Asmodeus at Large” may terminate, and as it is highly probable, at least, that it will not for some time be continued, we may as well say a few words on the design and object of the work. Although a part of a series, this first Book is a whole in itself ;—its moral is complete. The more ingenious reader may, perhaps, already have perceived, that, while adapted to this miscellany by constant allusions to real and temporary events, a metaphysical meaning runs throughout the characters and the story. In the narrator is embodied the SATIETY which is of the world ; in Asmodeus is the principle of vague EXCITEMENT in which Satiety always seeks for relief. The extravagant adventures,—the rambling from the ideal to the commonplace—from the flights of the imagination to the trite affairs and petty pleasures of the day—are the natural results of Excitement without an object. A fervid, though hasty, PASSION succeeds at last, and Asmodeus appears no more, because, in Love, all vague excitement is merged in absorbing and earnest emotion. The passion is ill-fated ; but in its progress it is attempted to be shown, that, *however* it might have terminated, it *could* not have been productive of happiness. It was begun without prudence, and continued without foresight. The heart, once jaded, rushes even into love, from a principle of despair ; and exacting too much from novelty, relapses into its former weariness, when the novelty is no more. No flowers can live long on a soil thoroughly exhausted. The doom of Satiety is to hate self, yet ever to be alone.

---



## THE NEW YEAR.

1.  
 OLD Thirty-two ! thou art gone at last,  
 Laid where repose thy brothers ;  
 'Thou sleep'st with the years that are dead and past—  
 Some five or six thousand others.  
 O God ! what myriad men and things  
 In that family vault are crowded ;  
 What victors, victims, empires, kings,  
 Are all in oblivion shrouded !  
 The twelvemonth past is behind us cast ;  
 Thou art gone—thou hast dropped from the tree ;  
 And the bells with their tongue have already rung  
 A welcome to Thirty-three.

2.  
 And well may they ring a merry peal  
 To a whole exulting nation ;  
 For this is the year when we first shall feel  
 Our land's regeneration ;  
 When a Commons' House, by a wise Reform  
 Restored to its proper uses,  
 Shall reclaim our rights, and the Tories storm  
 In their den of rank abuses.  
 In the rest we shall share the bill of fare  
 Of our former gloom and glee ;  
 For the hopes and fears of preceding years  
 Will revive in Thirty-three.

3.  
 There still will be food to banquet those  
 Who delight in crimes and errors,  
 Who dine on their fellow creatures' woes,  
 And sup upon blood and terrors.  
 Though the year may not yield an assassin Cook,  
 To roast an unlucky stationer,  
 We shall not have far to seek when we look  
 For a murderous probationer.  
 An Italian lad is still to be had,  
 If the surgeons will find the fee,  
 Of murder and theft there are plenty left,  
 For enlivening Thirty-three.

4.  
 No Wetherell, monarch of mountebanks,  
 Will ruin another Bristol,  
 Nor insult the House with the mingled pranks  
 Of a Zany and Ancient Pistol.  
 But if party rage could scatter fire,  
 Or inflame by a hot oration,  
 Sir Charles and his clan might still aspire  
 To kindle a conflagration.  
 And Discord's torch will, as usual, scorch,  
 The shores of the Irish Sea,  
 And O'Connell still, if he has his will,  
 Be the Comet of Thirty-three.



5.

Gaul will be moved which will move our gall,  
 I write in a grave not witty sense,  
 And the citizen-king into danger will fall,  
 By falling out with his citizens.  
 Liberty's foes, the monarchical elves,  
 Will bristle in arms like Hectors,  
 And oppressing their people, will dub themselves  
 Their very best friends and protectors :—  
 As they did of late, they will fulminate,  
 A tyrannical decree,  
 And the discontent which last year found vent,  
 Will be louder in Thirty-three.

6.

The Portuguese brothers will play sad freaks,  
 To their people forgetting what both owe ;—  
 The savage hordes, whom we call the Greeks,  
 Will reject their boy-king Otho.  
 In Italy troubles will still abound,  
 His subjects will use the Pope ill ;—  
 Turkey will fall, and a new Mahound  
 Be master of Constantinople.  
 So they who adore times of struggle and gore,  
 The quidnuncs of every degree ;  
 May drink up the dregs of the last year's plagues  
 From the journals of Thirty-three.

7.

So much for the tragic—is nothing left  
 To make us at home light and merry ?  
 O, yes—thank the Fates ! we are not bereft  
 Of our spirited Punch—Londonderry.  
 If he makes us not laugh by his blusterings bold,  
 By his windows, his nurse, or his candles ;  
 He will whet our wit, and, when ridicule's old,  
 Will carefully give it new handles.  
 Newcastle, too, and the Tory crew,  
 When fun wants a butt and a plea,  
 Will enable the folks, who last year cut jokes,  
 To cut them in Thirty-three.

8.

Saint Perceval (if in the House) will use  
 His fist like an auctioneer's hammer ;  
 And because our lives are in general loose  
 For a General Fast will clamour.  
 Saint Irving's nuns will new farces act,  
 And in unknown tongues will gabble—  
 As glibly as if each skull had been crack'd  
 By a brick from the Tower of Babel.  
 The fool to the knave will be dupe and slave,  
 Singeing Long will still finger his fee ;  
 And they who drew gulls in Thirty-two  
 Will draw them in Thirty-three.

9.

Old maids will fondle cats and pigs,  
 Young ones their stays will tighten,



Patients be poisoned still by drugs,  
 Like poor \* \* \* \* \* at Brighton.  
 Beauty will steal our hearts ; the Church  
 And Law will steal our money ;  
 Authors, like bees, will be left in the lurch,  
 And booksellers take their honey.  
 Soldiers will still be flogged at will,  
 But politics won't be the plea,  
 For Somerville's case will prevent disgrace  
 To the Colonels of Thirty-three !

10.

Of last year's deaths will the people talk,  
 And pretending to lament 'em,  
 They will quote the names, as they ride or walk,  
 Of Mackintosh, Scott, and Bentham.  
 While they prate and write—(*Quis temperet  
 A lachrymis talia fando?*)  
 For hours together, with fond regret,  
 Of Townsend and of Dando !  
 In puffing the feats of jockeys and cheats,  
 The Newspaper wights will agree ;  
 Philosophers claim little posthumous fame ;  
 May they all live through Thirty-three !

11.

The world will wag by its ancient rules,  
 Locks will be lock'd in lockets ;  
 Fools will kill time, and physicians fools ;  
 Teeth will be picked—and pockets ;  
 Sots will whet whistles, clowns whet scythes,  
 Bishops in wealth will revel,  
 And swear that the foes of abuse and Tithes,  
 Are Atheists sold to the Devil.  
 Dice will be thrown, and bubbles blown ;  
 We shall eat, drink, marry—and flee !  
 And the farce of life, that last year was rife,  
 Will be acted in Thirty-three !

H. S.

---

#### THE AIGULETS OF ANNE OF AUSTRIA.

(*A Secret Anecdote.*)

THE annals of gallantry, and even romantic fiction, have opened few scenes more strangely magnificent than some of the incidents which mark the rapid but splendid career of that famous Villiers, Duke of Buckingham, who was the idol minister of two monarchs, and the victim of favouritism.

Certain it is, when Villiers was on his short embassy in France that he dared to become an impassioned lover of Anne of Austria, the consort of Louis the Thirteenth. The mysterious interview in the garden at Amiens is mystically revealed in the verses of Voiture, for poets are great tattlers in the history of love-affairs. The Queen, ever a refined coquette, was herself reduced by Buckingham's personal fascination. Deeply enamoured of the peerless Englishman, she ventured to give an evidence of her devotion of a very extraordinary nature. The rival of Buckingham, both in love and politics, the subdolous Richelieu, flattered



his vengeance that, by a bold stroke, he would have been enabled to have exposed this testimony of the Queen's frailty to the eyes of the luckless monarch, who was already kindled by inextinguishable jealousies. Richelieu's extraordinary attempt seems to have led to circumstances on the part of Buckingham which may almost render the tale incredible; but when a minister of state degenerates into a romantic lover, and the honour of the *dame de ses pensées* is in jeopardy, we must recollect that it requires little exertion to set in motion all the resources of power, and the whole machinery of the state. The particulars which we are about to relate are strange, but appear authentic; for they are confirmed by a positive assertion in the Memoirs of the Duke of Rochefoucauld. The romantic incident, which has been preserved by a French manuscript, is not indeed to be found among the writers of secret memoirs in our own country, where indeed the secret must have been confined to the two personages, neither of whom would willingly have revealed it to the other; but this did not happen at the Court of the Louvre, where it not only excited a deeper interest than at the Court of St. James, but involved the fate, and baffled the designs of the highest personages who were the actors in this little drama.

The French monarch had presented his Queen with an uncommon present, whose fashion and novelty at the time were considered as the most beautiful ornament worn. It was what the French term *des ferrets d'aiguillettes de diamans*,—aigulets, or points tagged with diamonds.

On the arrival of Buckingham, every day was a festival. Richelieu gave a magnificent entertainment in the gardens of Ruel, the most beautiful in France; the nobility prided themselves on their suppers, their balls, their concerts, and their masquerades. Buckingham danced with all his peculiar graces; the Queen honoured him as her partner in what is called a "counter-dance," (or, as we commonly call it, a country dance). "And as in this English dance opportunities are continually occurring to approach one another, to give and to cross their hands, the eyes, the gestures, timidity, or boldness, and a thousand indescribable things are too intelligible, though they pass amidst the silence in which such spectacles are performed, out of respect to the public." This Frenchman describes our obsolete country-dances to have been as dangerous as were our *waltzes* on their first introduction.

Richelieu was jealously watchful of what was passing; the Countess of Lanoy gave him an account of everything her prying eyes could discover. Under the specious title of *Dame d'Honneur* our Kings have found means to place near their Queens a perpetual *surveillance*. But as the Superintendent of the Royal House has private *entrées de cabinet* at all times, which are not the privilege of the *Dames d'Honneur*, Madame de Chevreuse passed whole hours alone with the Queen, and the Cardinal, however well informed of the exterior, was very little of what passed between the Queen and her friend. The French Minister pressed Buckingham to close the negotiation of the marriage of Henrietta, but Villiers had no desire to quit the French Court, always finding some occasion for delay. At length the ceremony was performed, with great splendour. In all that had hitherto passed, the Queen had received from Buckingham many proofs of his lively but respectful passion. She certainly was not insensible to love, and if she really caught the flame which she had herself lighted up, there is no doubt that her virtue supported



her, and that Buckingham departed with all the honourable treatment which a stranger can receive from a great Court, and only vexed to recross the seas without any other fruits of his love than that of having been listened to with favour.

There was one indiscretion which escaped from the Queen. On the evening of Buckingham's departure she sent the Duke secretly by Madame de Chevreuse, the gift she had received from her royal consort, the aigulets tagged with diamonds; and this present, which might have been considered a mark of the magnificence of the Queen, became, by the circumstance of the gift, and the pleasure of the mystery, an act of delicate gallantry which charmed the English Duke, and sent him home a happy man.

During the journey of Buckingham, the Countess of *Clarik*, (probably the Countess of Carlisle, for Frenchmen generally spell our names by their ear, which is very bad,) somewhat in pique at what she had heard of the infidelity of her straying admirer, had found out a secret way to correspond with Richelieu, who, on his part, had not omitted anything which tended to inflame the English Countess. This great Minister was well known for multiplying all sorts of means to gain intelligence from all the Courts of Europe; his industry never slumbered, and his treasure was never spared. The present which the Queen had made of her aigulets tagged with diamonds had not escaped the vigilant eyes of the *Dame d'Honneur*, and the secret had reached Richelieu. This Minister had long watched his opportunity to ruin the Queen in the mind of the King, over whom, indeed, he himself exercised the greatest authority, but which sometimes was balanced by the Queen. Richelieu wrote to the Countess of *Clarik*, desiring her to renew her intimacy with Buckingham, and if, in any of the approaching entertainments which would take place on his return, she should observe in his dress aigulets tagged with diamonds, that she would contrive to cut off two or three, and dispatch these to him. Buckingham was too feeble to resist the studied seductions of his old friend; and the Countess found no difficulty in accomplishing her task. At a ball at Windsor Castle, Buckingham appeared in a black velvet suit, with a gold embroidery; a scarf was flung over his shoulder, and from a knot of blue ribbons hung twelve aigulets tagged with diamonds, flaming their hues on the surface on which they played. When Buckingham had retired home from the ball, his valets de chambre perceived that two of the twelve aigulets were missing, and they convinced him that these had not been dropped by any accident, but had positively been cut off. There was something in his recollection of that evening, which bred a suspicion. He felt conscious that whoever had done this had some latent motive. The secret history of these diamond aigulets could only be known to their wearer, yet, notwithstanding, and as it were by intuition, he thought that the honour of the royal giver might, in some mode or other, be concerned in possessing these twelve aigulets entire. He decided that, notwithstanding the artifice of the cunning purloiner, he would prevent any design, if there were any, of the enemies of the Queen that the number should not be diminished. With his extraordinary rapidity of conception, Buckingham struck out a gigantic scheme which no one less than a Minister of State and the most romantic lover could have executed. Early in the morning, couriers were dispatched to close the ports, and



neither the packet-boat with the mail nor any vessel sailing for France were suffered to depart. At that moment, when the Rochellers were waiting for the promised reinforcements from England, an universal panic struck both nations, and war seemed on the point of declaration. However, this sudden cessation of national intercourse was only to gain a single day, that his celebrated jeweller might, at any cost, and with all his skill, procure two aigulets tagged with diamonds, of the same size and appearance of the remaining ten. What cannot such a man and such means effect? The work was finished; and on the following day France and England were at peace. The ports were re-opened, and Buckingham dispatched a secret messenger to France, who conveyed the twelve aigulets tagged with diamonds to the hands of Madame de Chevreuse. He acquainted her with his recent adventure, and communicated his suspicions of the Countess of *Clarik*, who was frequently by his side during the ball, and with whom he had danced. He requested the Queen would receive back what he himself valued most, lest any concealed mystery should prove ruinous to her quiet. The precaution was not useless; for as soon as Richelieu had received the two tags of diamonds sent him by the Countess of *Clarik*, this Minister, who was trying all methods to ruin the Queen in the King's favour, and the royal jealousy had already broken out on her intercourse with Buckingham, now hit on what he concluded to be a certain triumph. He put into the King's head to request the Queen would dress herself more frequently with the diamond aigulets, for that he had been secretly informed that she had valued his present so lightly as to have given it away, or had sold them, for that an English jeweller had offered to sell him two of these aigulets.

The blow aimed by Richelieu rebounded on himself. The Queen, affecting no surprise, with apparent simplicity commanded instantly that her casket of jewels should be brought, and opened by the King. He had the satisfaction of counting the twelve aigulets tagged with diamonds, and seeing the Queen more beautiful than ever by wearing his gift on that day. Her Majesty had also the satisfaction of learning that the King severely reprimanded Richelieu for his perpetual suspicions and his false intelligence; and Richelieu doubtless must have astonished the Countess of *Clarik*, by return of post, in expressing his indignation at being so inconceivably mystified.

Such is the story, which, it will be acknowledged, is at least amusing. It seems so far authentic that it appears to have been written by some contemporary at the French Court, which we may infer, by the cautious defence of the character of Anne of Austria, whose coquetry the writer has palliated, and whose virtue he imagines was her sufficient safeguard. The incredible part is the extraordinary expedient of Buckingham in shutting the ports for a single day while his jeweller was working on the two aigulets to supply the missing ones. The romantic and determined character of Villiers admits the possibility of so bold a manœuvre; but still we can hardly satisfy ourselves of the veracity of this singular tale, without granting Buckingham a depth and a rapidity of penetration beyond his accustomed volatile habits. Love and honour may have been sufficient for his inspiration on this occasion; and as the fact, with some of the details, is alluded to by the Duke of Rochefoucauld in his *Memoirs*, we cannot condemn this anecdote of secret history as a mere fiction.



## IXION IN HEAVEN.—PART II.

*By the Author of "Contarini Fleming" and "Vivian Grey."*

"Others say it was only a cloud, &c."—*Vid. Lempriere's Class. Dict., Art. Ixion.*

## I.

MERCURY and Ganymede were each lolling on an opposite couch in the ante-chamber of Olympus.

"It is wonderful," said the son of Maia, yawning.

"It is incredible," rejoined the cup-bearer of Jove, stretching his legs.

"A miserable mortal!" exclaimed the god, elevating his eye-brows.

"A vile Thessalian!" said the beautiful Phrygian, shrugging his shoulders.

"Not three days back an outcast among his own wretched species!"

"And now commanding everybody in Heaven."

"He shall not command me, though," said Mercury.

"Will he not?" replied Ganymede, "Why, what do you think?—only last night—hark! here he comes."

The companions jumped up from their couches—a light laugh was heard. The cedar portal was flung open, and Ixion lounged in, habited in a loose morning robe, and kicking before him one of his slippers.

"Ah!" exclaimed the King of Thessaly, "the very fellows I wanted to see! Ganymede, bring me some nectar; and, Mercury, run and tell Jove that I shall not dine at home to-day."

The messenger and the page exchanged looks of indignant consternation.

"Well! what are you waiting for?" continued Ixion, looking round from the mirror in which he was arranging his locks. The messenger and the page disappeared.

"So! this is Heaven," exclaimed the husband of Dia, flinging himself upon one of the couches, "and a very pleasant place too. These worthy immortals required their minds to be opened, and I trust I have effectually performed the necessary operation. They wanted to keep me down with their dull old-fashioned celestial airs, but I fancy I have given them change for their talent. To make your way in Heaven you must command. These exclusives sink under the audacious invention of an aspiring mind. Jove himself is really a fine old fellow, with some notions too. I am a prime favourite, and no one is greater authority with Ægiochus on all subjects, from the character of the fair sex or the pedigree of a courser, down to the cut of a robe or the flavour of a dish. Thanks, Ganymede," continued the Thessalian, as he took the goblet from his returning attendant.

"I drink to your *bonnes fortunes*. Splendid! This nectar makes me feel quite immortal. By-the-bye, I hear sweet sounds. Who is in the Hall of Music?"

"The goddesses, royal sir, practise a new air of Euterpe, the words by Apollo. 'Tis pretty, and will doubtless be very popular, for it is all about moonlight and the misery of existence."

"I warrant it."

"You have a taste for poetry yourself?" inquired Ganymede.

"Not the least," replied Ixion.

"Apollo," continued the heavenly page, "is a great genius, though Marsyas said that he never would be a poet because he was a god, and



had no heart. But do you think, Sir, that a poet does indeed need a heart?"

"I really cannot say. I know my wife always said I had a bad heart and worse head, but what she meant, upon my honour I never could understand."

"Minerva will ask you to write in her album."

"Will she indeed! I am very sorry to hear it, for I can scarcely scrawl my own signature. I should think that Jove himself cared little for all this nonsense?"

"Jove loves an epigram. He does not esteem Apollo's works at all. Jove is of the classical school, and admires satire, provided there be no allusions to gods and kings."

"Of course; I quite agree with him. I remember we had a confounded poet at Larissa who proved my family lived before the deluge, and asked me for a pension. I refused him, and then he wrote an epigram asserting that I sprang from the veritable stones thrown by Deucalion and Pyrrha at the repeopling of the earth, and retained all the properties of my ancestors."

"Ha, ha! Hark! there's a thunderbolt! I must run to Jove."

"And I will look in on the musicians. This way, I think."

"Up the ruby staircase—Turn to your right, down the amethyst gallery—Farewell!"

"Good bye—a lively lad that!"

## II.

The King of Thessaly entered the Hall of Music with its golden walls and crystal dome. The Queen of Heaven was reclining in an easy chair, cutting out peacocks in small sheets of note paper. Minerva was making a pencil observation on a manuscript copy of the song: Apollo listened with deference to her laudatory criticisms. Another divine dame, standing by the side of Euterpe, who was seated by the harp, looked up as Ixion entered. The wild liquid glance of her soft but radiant countenance denoted the famed Goddess of Beauty.

Juno just acknowledged the entrance of Ixion by a slight and very haughty inclination of the head, and then resumed her employment. Minerva asked him his opinion of her amendment, of which he greatly approved. Apollo greeted him with a melancholy smile, and congratulated him on being mortal. Venus complimented him on his visit to Olympus, and expressed the pleasure that she experienced in making his acquaintance.

"What do you think of Heaven?" inquired Venus in a soft still voice, and with a smile like summer lightning.

"I never found it so enchanting as at this moment," replied Ixion.

"A little dull? For myself I pass my time chiefly at Cnidos: you must come and visit me there. 'Tis the most charming place in the world. 'Tis said, you know, that our onions are like other people's roses. We will take care of you, if your wife come."

"No fear of that. She always remains at home and piques herself on her domestic virtues, which means pickling, and quarrelling with her husband."

"Ah! I see you are a droll. Very good indeed. Well, for my part, I like a watering-place existence. Cnidos, Paphos, Cythera—you will usually find me at one of these places. I like the easy distraction of a career without any visible result. At these fascinating spots your gloomy



race, to whom, by-the-bye, I am exceedingly partial, appear emancipated from the wearing fetters of their regular, dull, orderly, methodical, moral, political, toiling existence. I pride myself upon being the Goddess of Watering-places. You really must pay me a visit at Cnidos."

"Such an invitation requires no repetition. And Cnidos is your favourite spot?"

"Why, it was so; but of late it has become so inundated with invalid Asiatics and valetudinarian Persians, that the simultaneous influx of the handsome heroes who swarm in from the islands to look after their daughters, scarcely compensate for the annoying presence of their yellow faces, and shaking limbs. No, I think, on the whole, Paphos is my favourite."

"I have heard of its magnificent luxury."

"Oh! 'tis lovely! Quite my idea of country life. Not a single tree! When Cyprus is very hot, you run to Paphos for a sea-breeze, and are sure to meet every one whose presence is in the least desirable. All the bores remain behind, as if by instinct."

"I remember when we married, we talked of passing the honeymoon at Cythera, but Dia would have her waiting-maid and a band-box stuffed between us in the chariot, so I got sulky after the first stage, and returned by myself."

"You were quite right. I hate band-boxes: they are always in the way. You would have liked Cythera if you had been in the least in love. High rocks and green knolls, bowery woods, winding walks, and delicious sunsets. I have not been there much of late," continued the Goddess, looking somewhat sad and serious, "since—but I will not talk sentiment to Ixion."

"Do you think, then, I am insensible?"

"Yes."

"Perhaps you are right. We mortals grow callous."

"So I have heard. How very odd!" So saying, the Goddess glided away and saluted Mars, who at that moment entered the hall. Ixion was presented to the military hero, who looked fierce and bowed stiffly. The King of Thessaly turned upon his heel. Minerva opened her album, and invited him to inscribe a stanza.

"Goddess of Wisdom," replied the King, "unless you inspire me, the virgin page must remain pure as thyself. I can scarcely sign a decree."

"Is it Ixion of Thessaly who says this? One who has seen so much, and, if I am not mistaken, has felt and thought so much. I can easily conceive why such a mind may desire to veil its movements from the common herd, but pray concede to Minerva the gratifying compliment of assuring her that she is the exception for whom this rule has been established."

"I seem to listen to the inspired music of an oracle. Give me a pen."

"Here is one, plucked from a sacred owl."

"So! I write.—There! Will it do?"

Minerva read the inscription:—

I HAVE SEEN THE WORLD, AND MORE THAN THE WORLD: I HAVE STUDIED THE HEART OF MAN, AND NOW I CONSORT WITH IMMORTALS. THE FRUIT OF MY TREE OF KNOWLEDGE IS PLUCKED, AND IT IS THIS, "Adventures are to the Adventurous."

*Written in the Album of Minerva, by  
Ixion in Heaven.*



"'Tis brief," said the Goddess, with a musing air, "but full of meaning. You have a daring soul and pregnant mind."

"I have dared much: what I may produce we have yet to see."

"I must to Jove," said Minerva, "to council. We shall meet again. Farewell, Ixion."

"Farewell, Glaucopis."

The King of Thessaly stood away from the remaining guests, and leant with folded arms and pensive brow against a wreathed column. Mars listened to Venus with an air of deep devotion. Euterpe played an inspiring accompaniment to their conversation. The Queen of Heaven seemed engrossed in the creation of her paper peacocks.

Ixion advanced and seated himself on a couch near Juno. His manner was divested of that reckless bearing and careless coolness by which it was in general distinguished. He was, perhaps, even a little embarrassed. His ready tongue deserted him. At length he spoke.

"Has your Majesty ever heard of the peacock of the Queen of Mesopotamia?"

"No," replied Juno, with stately reserve; and then she added with an air of indifferent curiosity, "Is it in any way remarkable?"

"Its breast is of silver, its wings of gold, its eyes of carbuncle, its claws of amethyst."

"And its tail?" eagerly inquired Juno.

"That is a secret," replied Ixion. "The tail is the most wonderful part of all."

"Oh! tell me, pray tell me?"

"I forget."

"No, no, no; it is impossible!" exclaimed the animated Juno. "Provoking mortal!" continued the Goddess. "Let me entreat you; tell me immediately."

"There is a reason which prevents me."

"What can it be? How very odd! What reason can it possibly be? Now tell me; as a particular, a personal favour, I request you tell me."

"What? The tail or the reason? The tail is wonderful, but the reason is much more so. I can only tell one. Now choose."

"What provoking things these human beings are! The tail is wonderful, but the reason is much more so. Well then, the reason—no, the tail. Stop, now, as a particular favour, pray tell me both. What can the tail be made of, and what can the reason be? I am literally dying of curiosity."

"Your Majesty has cut out that peacock wrong," coolly remarked Ixion. "It is more like one of Minerva's owls."

"Who cares about paper peacocks, when the Queen of Mesopotamia has got such a miracle!" exclaimed Juno, and she tore the labours of the morning to pieces, and threw away the fragments with vexation. "Now tell me instantly—if you have the slightest regard for me, tell me instantly. What was the tail made of?"

"And you do not wish to hear the reason?"

"That afterwards. Now! I am all ears." At this moment Gany-mede entered, and whispered the Goddess, who rose in evident vexation, and retired to the presence of Jove.

### III.

The King of Thessaly quitted the Hall of Music. Moody, yet not



uninfluenced by a degree of wild excitement, he wandered forth into the gardens of Olympus. He came to a beautiful green retreat surrounded by enormous cedars, so vast that it seemed they must have been coeval with the creation ; so fresh and brilliant, you would have deemed them wet with the dew of their first spring. The turf, softer than down, and exhaling, as you pressed it, an exquisite perfume, invited him to recline himself upon this natural couch. He threw himself upon the aromatic herbage, and leaning on his arm, fell into a deep reverie.

Hours flew away ; the sunshiny glades that opened in the distance had softened into shade.

“Ixion, how do you do?” inquired a voice, wild, sweet, and thrilling as a bird. The King of Thessaly started and looked up with the distracted air of a man roused from a dream, or from complacent meditation over some strange, sweet secret. His cheek was flushed—his dark eyes flashed fire ; his brow trembled—his dishevelled hair played in the fitful breeze. The King of Thessaly looked up, and beheld a most beautiful youth.

Apparently, he had attained about the age of puberty. His stature, however, was rather tall for his age, but exquisitely moulded and proportioned. Very fair, his somewhat round cheeks were tinged with a rich but delicate glow, like the rose of twilight, and lighted by dimples that twinkled like stars. His large and deep-blue eyes sparkled with exultation, and an air of ill-suppressed mockery quivered round his pouting lips. His light auburn air, braided off his white forehead, clustered in massy curls on each side of his face, and fell in sunny torrents down his neck. And from the back of the beautiful youth there fluttered forth two wings, the tremulous plumage of which seemed to have been bathed in a sunset—so various, so radiant, and so novel were its shifting and wondrous tints ;—purple, and crimson, and gold ; streaks of azure—dashes of orange and glossy black ;—now a single feather, whiter than light, and sparkling like the frost, stars of emerald and carbuncle, and then the prismatic blaze of an enormous brilliant ! A quiver hung at the side of the beautiful youth, and he leant upon a bow.

“Oh ! god—for god thou must be !” at length exclaimed Ixion. “Do I behold the bright divinity of Love ?”

“I am indeed Cupid,” replied the youth ; “and am very curious to know what Ixion is thinking about.”

“Thought is often bolder than speech.”

“Oracular, though a mortal ! You need not be afraid to trust me. My aid I am sure you must need. Who ever was found in a reverie on the green turf, under the shade of spreading trees, without requiring the assistance of Cupid ? Come ! be frank—who is the heroine ? Some love-sick nymph deserted on the far earth ; or worse, some treacherous mistress, whose frailty is more easily forgotten than her charms ? ’Tis a miserable situation, no doubt. It cannot be your wife ?”

“Assuredly not,” replied Ixion, with great energy.

“Another man’s ?”

“No.”

“What ! an obdurate maiden ?”

Ixion shook his head.

“It must be a widow, then,” continued Cupid.

“Who ever heard before of such a piece of work about a widow !”



"Have pity upon me, dread Cupid!" exclaimed the King of Thessaly, rising suddenly from the ground, and falling on his knee before the God. "Thou art the universal friend of man, and all nations alike throw their incense on thy altars. Thy divine discrimination has not deceived thee. *I am* in love;—desperately—madly—fatally enamoured. The object of my passion is neither my own wife nor another man's. In spite of all they have said and sworn, I am a moral member of society. She is neither a maid nor a widow. She is——"

"What? what?" exclaimed the impatient deity.

"A goddess!" replied the King.

"Wheugh!" whistled Cupid. "What! has my mischievous mother been indulging you with an innocent flirtation?"

"Yes; but it produced no effect upon me."

"You have a stout heart, then. Perhaps you have been reading poetry with Minerva, and are caught in one of her Platonic man-traps."

"She set one, but I broke away."

"You have a stout leg, then. But where are you—where are you? Is it Hebe?—it can hardly be Diana, she is so very cold. Is it a Muse, or is it one of the Graces?"

Ixion again shook his head.

"Come, my dear fellow," said Cupid, quite in a confidential tone, "you have told enough to make further reserve mere affectation. Ease your heart at once, and if I can assist you, depend upon my exertions."

"Beneficent God!" exclaimed Ixion, "if I ever return to Larissa, the brightest temple in Greece shall hail thee for its inspiring deity. I address thee with all the confiding frankness of a devoted votary. Know, then, the heroine of my reverie was no less a personage than the Queen of Heaven herself!"

"Juno! by all that is sacred!" shouted Cupid.

"I am here," responded a voice of majestic melody. The stately form of the Queen of Heaven advanced from a neighbouring bower. Ixion stood with his eyes fixed upon the ground, with a throbbing heart and burning cheeks. Juno stood motionless, pale, and astounded. The God of Love burst into excessive laughter.

"A pretty pair," he exclaimed, fluttering between both, and laughing in their faces. "Truly a pretty pair. Well! I see I am in your way. Good bye!" And so saying, the God pulled a couple of arrows from his quiver, and, with the rapidity of lightning, shot one in the respective breasts of the Queen of Heaven and the King of Thessaly.

#### IV.

The amethystine twilight of Olympus died away. The stars blazed with tints of every hue. Ixion and Juno returned to the palace. She leant upon his arm;—her eyes were fixed upon the ground;—they were in sight of the gorgeous pile, and yet she had not spoken. Ixion, too, was silent, and gazed with abstraction upon the glowing sky.

Suddenly, when within a hundred yards of the portal, Juno stopped, and looking up into the face of Ixion with an irresistible smile, she said, "I am sure you cannot now refuse to tell me what the Queen of Mesopotamia's peacock's tail was made of?"

"It is impossible now," said Ixion. "Know, then, beautiful Goddess, that the tail of the Queen of Mesopotamia's peacock was made of some plumage she had stolen from the wings of Cupid."



"And what was the reason that prevented you from telling me before?"

"Because, beautiful Juno, I am the most discreet of men, and respect the secret of a lady however trifling."

"I am glad to hear that," replied Juno, and they re-entered the palace.

## v.

Mercury met Juno and Ixion in the gallery leading to the grand banquetting hall.

"I was looking for you," said the God, shaking his head. "Jove is in a sublime rage. Dinner has been ready this hour."

The King of Thessaly and the Queen of Heaven exchanged a glance and entered the saloon. Jove looked up with a brow of thunder, but did not condescend to send forth a single flash of anger. Jove looked up and Jove looked down. All Olympus trembled as the father of gods and men resumed his soup. The rest of the guests seemed nervous and reserved, except Cupid, who said immediately to Juno, "Your Majesty has been detained?"

"I fell asleep in a bower reading Apollo's last poem," replied Juno. "I am lucky, however, in finding a companion in my negligence. Ixion, where have you been?"

"Take a glass of nectar, Juno," said Cupid, with eyes twinkling with mischief; "and, perhaps, Ixion will join us."

This was the most solemn banquet ever celebrated in Olympus. Every one seemed out of humour or out of spirits. Jupiter spoke only in monosyllables of suppressed rage, that sounded like distant thunder.

Apollo whispered to Minerva. Mercury never opened his lips, but occasionally exchanged significant glances with Ganymede. Mars compensated, by his attentions to Venus, for his want of conversation. Cupid employed himself in asking disagreeable questions. At length the goddesses retired. Mercury exerted himself to amuse Jove, but the Thunderer scarcely deigned to smile at his best stories. Mars picked his teeth,—Apollo played with his rings,—Ixion was buried in a profound reverie.

## vi.

It was a great relief to all when Ganymede summoned them to the presence of their late companions.

"I have written a comment upon your inscription," said Minerva to Ixion, "and am anxious for your opinion of it."

"I am a wretched critic," said the King, breaking away from her. Juno smiled upon him in the distance.

"Ixion," said Venus, as he passed by, "come and talk to me."

The bold Thessalian blushed, he stammered out an unmeaning excuse, he quitted the astonished but good-natured goddess, and seated himself by Juno, and, as he seated himself, his moody brow seemed suddenly illumined with brilliant light.

"Is it so!" said Venus.

"Hem!" said Minerva.

"Ha, ha!" said Cupid.

Jupiter played piquette with Mercury.

"Everything goes wrong to-day," said the King of Heaven; "cards wretched, and kept waiting for dinner, and by—a mortal!"



"Your Majesty must not be surprised," said the goodnatured Mercury, with whom Ixion was no favourite. "Your Majesty must not be very much surprised at the conduct of this creature. Considering what he is, and where he is, I am only astonished that his head is not more turned than it appears to be. A man, a thing made of mud, and in Heaven! Only think, sire! Is it not enough to inflame the brain of any child of clay? To be sure, keeping your majesty from dinner is little short of celestial high treason. I hardly expected that, indeed. To order me about, to treat Ganymede as his own lacquey, and, in short, to command the whole household; all this might be expected from such a person in such a situation, but I confess I did think he had some little respect left for your majesty."

"And he does order you about, eh?" inquired Jove. "I have the spades."

"Oh! 'tis quite ludicrous," responded the son of Maia. "Your majesty would not expect from me the offices that this absurd upstart daily requires."

"Eternal destiny! is't possible? That is my trick. And Ganymede, too?"

"Oh! quite shocking, I assure you, sire," said the beautiful cup-bearer, leaning over the chair of Jove, with all the easy insolence of a privileged favourite. "Really, sire, if Ixion is to go on in the way he does, either he or I must quit."

"Is it possible!" exclaimed Jupiter. "But I can believe anything of a man who keeps me waiting for dinner. Two and three make five."

"It is Juno that encourages him so," said Ganymede.

"Does she encourage him?" inquired Jove.

"Every body notices it," protested Ganymede.

"It is indeed a little noticed," observed Mercury.

"What business has such a fellow to speak to Juno?" exclaimed Jove. "A mere mortal, a mere miserable mortal! You have the point. How I have been deceived in this fellow! Who ever could have supposed that, after all my generosity to him, he would ever have kept me waiting for dinner?"

"He was walking with Juno," said Ganymede. "It was all a sham about their having met by accident. Cupid saw them."

"Hah!" said Jupiter, turning pale; "you don't say so. Repiqued, as I am a god. That is mine. Where is the Queen?"

"Talking to Ixion, sire," said Mercury. "Oh, I beg your pardon, sire; I did not know you meant the queen of diamonds."

"Never mind. I am repiqued, and I have been kept waiting for dinner. Accursed be this day! Is Ixion really talking to Juno? We will not endure this."

#### VII.

"Where is Juno?" demanded Jupiter.

"I am sure I cannot say," said Venus, with a smile.

"I am sure I do not know," said Minerva, with a sneer.

"Where is Ixion?" said Cupid, laughing outright.

"Mercury, Ganymede, find the Queen of Heaven instantly," thundered the father of gods and men.

The celestial messenger and the heavenly page flew away out of different doors. There was a terrible, an immortal silence. Sublime rage lowered on the brow of Jove like a storm upon the mountain top.



Minerva seated herself at the card-table and played at Patience. Venus and Cupid tittered in the back-ground. Shortly returned the envoys, Mercury looking very solemn, Ganymede very malignant.

“Well?” inquired Jove, and all Olympus trembled at the monosyllable.

Mercury shook his head.

“Her Majesty has been walking on the terrace with the King of Thessaly,” replied Ganymede.

“Where is she now, sir?” demanded Jupiter.

Mercury shrugged his shoulders.

“Her Majesty is resting herself in the pavilion of Cupid with the King of Thessaly,” replied Ganymede.

“Confusion!” exclaimed the father of gods and men, and he rose and seized a candle from the table, scattering the cards in all directions. Every one present, Minerva, and Venus, and Mars, and Apollo, and Mercury, and Ganymede, and the Muses, and the Graces, and all the winged Genii,—each seized a candle; rifling the chandeliers, each followed Jove.

“This way,” said Mercury.

“This way,” said Ganymede.

“This way, this way!” echoed the celestial crowd.

“Mischief!” cried Cupid, “I must save my victims.

They were all upon the terrace. The father of gods and men, though both in a passion and a hurry, moved with dignity. It was, as customary in Heaven, a clear and starry night; but this eve Diana was indisposed, or otherwise engaged, and there was no moonlight. They were in sight of the pavilion.

“What are you?” inquired Cupid of one of the genii, who accidentally extinguished his candle.

“I am a Cloud,” answered the winged genius.

“A Cloud! Just the thing. Now do me a shrewd turn, and Cupid is ever your debtor. Fly, fly, pretty cloud, and encompass yon pavilion with your form. Away! ask no questions;—swift as my word.”

“I declare there is a fog,” said Venus.

“An evening mist in heaven!” said Minerva.

“Where is Nox?” said Jove. “Everything goes wrong. Who ever heard of a mist in heaven?”

“My candle is out,” said Apollo.

“And mine too,” said Mars.

“And mine,—and mine,—and mine,” said Mercury, and Ganymede, and the Muses, and the Graces.

“All the candles are out!” said Cupid; “a regular fog. I cannot even see the pavilion: it must be hereabouts, though,” said the God to himself. “So, so; I should be at home in my own pavilion, and am tolerably accustomed to stealing about in the dark. There is a step; and here, surely here is the lock. The door opens, but the cloud enters before me. Juno, Juno,” whispered the God of Love, “we are all here. Be contented to escape, like many other innocent dames, with your reputation only under a cloud: it will soon disperse; and lo! the heaven is clearing.”

“It must have been the heat of our flambeaux,” said Venus; “for see, the mist is vanished; here is the pavilion.”



Ganymede ran forward, and dashed open the door. Ixion was alone.

"Seize him!" said Jove.

"Juno is not here," said Mercury, with an air of blended congratulation and disappointment.

"Never mind," said Jove, "seize him! He kept me waiting for dinner."

"Is this your hospitality, *Ægiochus*?" exclaimed Ixion, in a tone of bullying innocence. "I shall defend myself."

"Seize him, seize him!" exclaimed Jupiter. "What! do you all falter? Are you afraid of a mortal?"

"And a Thessalian?" added Ganymede.

No one advanced.

"Send for Hercules," said Jove.

"I will fetch him in an instant," said Ganymede.

"I protest," said the King of Thessaly, "against this violation of the most sacred rights."

"The marriage-tie?" said Mercury.

"The dinner-hour?" said Jove.

"It is no use talking sentiment to Ixion," said Venus; "all mortals are callous."

"Adventures are to the adventurous," said Minerva.

"Here is Hercules!—here is Hercules!"

"Seize him!" said Jove; "seize that man."

In vain the mortal struggled with the irresistible demi-god.

"Shall I fetch your thunderbolt, Jove?" inquired Ganymede.

"Anything short of eternal punishment is unworthy of a god," answered Jupiter, with great dignity. "Apollo, bring me a wheel of your chariot."

"What shall I do to-morrow morning?" inquired the God of Light.

"Order an eclipse," replied Jove. "Bind the insolent wretch to the wheel; hurl him to Hades; its motion shall be perpetual."

"What am I to bind him with?" inquired Hercules.

"The girdle of Venus," replied the Thunderer.

"What is all this?" inquired Juno, advancing, pale and agitated.

"Come along, you shall see," answered Jupiter. "Follow me, follow me."

They all followed the leader,—all the gods, all the genii; in the midst, the brawny husband of Hebe bearing Ixion aloft, bound to the fatal wheel. They reached the terrace; they descended the sparkling steps of lapis lazuli. Hercules held his burthen on high, ready, at a nod, to plunge the hapless, but presumptuous mortal through space into Hades. The heavenly group surrounded him, and peeped over the starry abyss. It was a fine moral, and demonstrated the usual infelicity that attends unequal connexions.

"Celestial despot!" said Ixion.

In a moment all sounds were hushed, as they listened to the last words of the unrivalled victim. Juno, in despair, leant upon the respective arms of Venus and Minerva.

"Celestial despot!" said Ixion, "I defy the immortal ingenuity of thy cruelty. My memory must be as eternal as thy torture: that will support me."



## PRIVATE THEATRICALS.

BY AN AMATEUR.

WHAT a fund of pleasurable sensations do these words convey to my ears ! From my very childhood I have ever evinced a passion for plays, theatres, actors, and play-bills. I even have a sort of respect for those nuisances the “ginger-beer, spruce-beer, bottled-ale, and cyder” people, who haunt the minors, and whom, I regret to say, I frequently see and hear also now o’ nights in the hitherto sacred territories of the majors. This perhaps arose from an association of ideas, just as a hungry man luxuriates in the bell which proclaims the approach of dinner, with a tongue unpleasant *per se*, but delightful from its being the forerunner of pleasure : so it was with these living belles. They used to be the first sound greeting my ears on stepping out of the carriage on those nights, when, to his infinite satisfaction, the *dear boy* was taken to Astley’s.

From a boy I grew up to a youth ; and I always found that my spare cash had a vent at the doors of the theatre. From frequenting them I naturally acquired some knowledge on the subject, and was generally esteemed among my school-fellows as an infallible authority on the merits or demerits of this or that piece or actor. Having arrived at this dignity of dramatic lawgiver, the next step, that of becoming an amateur performer, followed as a consequence : everybody said I was a good actor, and I of course was not long in coinciding with so just a decision, and I easily fancied I had a “*turn for the stage*.” This effected, it was all over with me, and I became a confirmed private-theatrical man. Not that I mean that I became a subscriber at the Sans Souci, or the Minor Theatre in Catherine-street : no, *di prohibete nefas*—I thank my stars I never did that yet—my mania took a very different, and I think far wiser turn : my theatrical talents were devoted to the enlivening, by harmless laughter, the family circle. Whenever anything extraordinary was to be done, I always proposed a play, and a play was generally the thing. It is not a momentary or fleeting amusement, (I speak strictly of private theatricals,) for what can equal the fun of getting up such an evening’s amusement ? If well done it takes weeks of preparation ; for half the fun consists of being one’s own milliner or tailor, besides the comfort of wearing one’s own clothes—(oh, I loathe a Monmouth-street dress !)

The first play I ever managed and superintended was that delightful mock-heroic, tragic-burlesque opera of “*Bombastes Furioso*.” In the character of the victorious General I made my first bow before an audience, private or public. Of all pieces, this is the most suited to such an use ; so compact, so easily learnt, so easily remembered, and so easily acted. If it is but correctly spoken, it is sure to be applauded, for it is witty and very short : your audience cannot tire of it, for, like lightning, which is gone ere you can say it lightens, so “*Bombastes*” is finished ere you have time to think of its merits or faults.

My first company consisted of very juvenile performers indeed ; I was the eldest, and was then only sixteen, while the other parts were sustained by my brothers and sister, all between the ages of seven and twelve. It may be readily credited, therefore, that this was their first appearance also, and that I had some degree of trouble in officering so very raw a company. However, I managed to my infinite satisfaction, and gained to myself the character of an excellent actor, both from my own performance, as also those of my brothers and sister, all of whom, of course, received their instructions from me. The great success of my first attempt turned my brain, and for a long time subsequently I was always on the look-out to catch opportunities for gratifying my theatrical ardour ; nor was I without ample field to work on. In the course of the following year I was removed from the public school where I then was, and sent to rusticate at a private



tutor's, for the preparation necessary to my commencing a college life. The Reverend G. B——, LL.D. (not A.S.S.,) with whom I had the good fortune to be so domiciled, resided on a curacy in a village not fifty miles from Alma Mater. To an extensive knowledge of the world, and a great suitability to the duties of his office, this gentleman superadded, what in my mind surpassed all the other qualifications for a private tutor, namely, a willingness to make companions, not school-boys, of his pupils, and ever evinced a desire to communicate a portion of his extensive information to them. He had travelled much during his youth among the busy scenes of the peninsular war, and I soon found out that he had himself, when in Sicily, engaged in private theatricals. This instantly fired me with the hopes of getting up plays, and I resolved to beat up a company. I accordingly, one evening, as all my fellow-pupils were assembled over the fire in the back-parlour, where we had congregated ostensibly for the purpose of preparing our studies for the following day, and of making verses,—but where, in fact, we generally made any thing but verses,—I took the opportunity of turning the conversation to the subject of the stage. Not unlike the man who went to a party of *savants* ready charged with a luminous disquisition on gunpowder, and took the opportunity of the pretended report of a gun to let loose the following remark, “By the bye, what a glorious invention that of gunpowder is !” I also introduced my plan by saying, “Talking of plays, did you ever see ‘Bombastes Furioso?’” As I had calculated, no one had ; and I then recited from memory, no difficult task, the leading points and most witty speeches throughout the whole composition. Somehow or other, I was a tolerable mimic, and had, at that time, acquired the fame of a good actor, as I have before hinted. I exerted all my humour on this occasion, and, with such effect, as to produce roars of laughter. This of course was overheard, and when the cause of such boisterous and unstudious merriment was ascertained, it needed little to bring about a proposal from us for leave to act a play, and to wring an acquiescence on the part of the Doctor. We accordingly started that very night ; I knew the play of “Bombastes” by heart, on which we had fixed, and, as it was not at that time illustrated by Cruikshank, it was not of such easy access as now : I, therefore, sat myself down to the task of writing out all the parts, and finished before I closed my eyes that night. The following evening we had a rehearsal, and I gave it as my opinion that in a week we might be ready for visitors. It was to be kept a grand secret—all our dresses were to be made by ourselves—not even the Doctor's family were to be gratified by a peep at them, and the invitations even were to be worded so as not to convey any thing beyond the idea of a common evening party. In the course of the following day the whole village was set by the ears to find out what “the Doctor's gentlemen” could be about. One lady, in particular, called on the second day, and very kindly told us all the surmises and guesses entertained on the subject by the village people, under the anxious hope of being the first to receive the real information from our own lips ; and, although she promised secrecy, I, who was manager, and was in the room at the time, knew her of old, and therefore kept a most mysterious silence. I confessed having purchased twenty yards of yellow serge (all which was to be expended upon my epaulettes), but said that “I saw nothing singular in such an event.”

“But what were the twelve yards of glazed blue calico for?”

“Oh, I have nothing to do with that ; I suppose Spencer, who bought it, is going to make a present to one of the servants.”

“This would not do,” she said : “she knew there was something in the wind ; perhaps you can tell me,” she added, “why you had your Wellington boots lengthened to such an enormous size by the shoemaker ? Surely you can't wear them so—can you ?”

These I had intended for the General's jack-boots. “Oh,” said I, “I am thinking of making them into fishing-boots, to pull up over my knees.”



“Humph,” said she; and finding nothing could be got out of me, off she went to gather fresh information from the only milliner in the village; shrewdly guessing that, do what we would, if anything like a masquerade was on foot, we must have recourse to Mrs. Aplin to make our ladies’ dresses for us. However, she had cunning people to deal with: Spencer had taken the said blue calico to her for the purpose of being made into “Distaffina’s” gown, but had also given orders to have it kept a dead secret. She vowed she would work with closed doors to keep out vexatious intruders, among whom Spencer had especially pointed out this lady; and not without reason, for really her occupation was scandal and gossip, and that not from any bad motive, but positively by way of employment. Her name was Lock, and she was the wife of a gentleman residing on his own property, which he farmed. Being the second son, he was always called Mr. Peter Lock, and for brevity’s sake, he was usually styled, behind his back, Mr. Peter. She, of course, shared this economy of breath, and was called Mrs. Peter. Spencer gave her the *soubriquet* of “Repeater.” Leaving her, however, to make what she could out of Mrs. Aplin, I proceed to the business of the play. The tinker’s powers were put to their stretch to manufacture tin stars and orders to decorate the person of the king, while I gave him instructions to cut out a most stupendous pair of spurs to ornament the jack-boots with which Mrs. Peter had been so marvellously puzzled. Play-bills were printed by us on silk at great cost and labour, which were to be circulated only on the drawing up of the curtain; for so far was our secret to extend, as to leave the audience in ignorance of what was intended to be represented, after they must have made the discovery that something there was for them to see out of the usual way. The name of the play was no great difficulty to keep secret, as it could only reach them through the medium of the servants; and they, we knew, would not succeed in transmitting that gentle title, pure and unaltered, to the ears of the visitors. Such was the case; for the aforesaid Mrs. “Repeater” told us, the day before the play-day, (for she regularly *dropped* in every day,) that she knew what it was we were going to do; that it was a play, she was certain, though she had not learnt the name, at least she had heard it called by so many and so various appellations, that she could not satisfy herself upon the truth, but that she could make a guess at it, &c. I let her guess, and guess on, till she actually got out of temper at my official taciturnity, and took herself off in a ‘great huff. At length the long-appointed day arrived—*expectata dies aderat*; and, as the moments flew, my heart began to beat high with expectation. I superintended the arrangement of the theatre, while the Doctor ordered the accommodation for the company. In our theatre, which afterwards acquired great celebrity from its compactness and perfection, we had contented ourselves with merely acting a play; we aped no scenery of any description, not even a green curtain—that orthodox appendage to a theatre,—but instead of it made use of window-curtains, which drew across the stage from the middle. We had, however, orchestra-lights, though no orchestra, save the piano; and our only separation from the audience, when the curtain was drawn—I can’t say up—was formed by these lights, which were placed behind a plank stretched in a curve across the room, and covered with green baise. Seven was our hour, and that of dinner was five,—but who could on that day eat? I, as manager, had no time of course to satisfy the cravings of hunger; and as for the rest of the *corps dramatique*, they all looked more like criminals about to march out to execution, than followers of merry Thespis. So nervously inclined were they, that most assuredly their forks would have found the road to any other feature in their faces sooner than to their mouths. I never could eat when I was going to a play, still less could I condescend to do so when about to act myself.

The dinner hour passed, and we had a rehearsal for the last time, more for the purpose of passing the time than anything else. Just as we were in



the middle of the last scene, and I was making a dead pause, methought I heard the distant rolling of a carriage. I listened, so did we all, in breathless silence, and found that it really was an arrival. Down, or rather across went the curtain, and away we all scampered up to our respective rooms, for we had not dressed yet. Here such a scene of confusion ensued as baffles all description, each one in want of some most essential article. "Where's my wig?" exclaimed the king; "I want the powder to put on my hair!" exclaimed I; but, of all the company, poor Spencer was the worst off: he had, unknown to us, got a pair of stays, and in these he had determined to act "*Distaffina*," and to enable himself to bear the unaccustomed pressure, he had put on his armour, for such it was, soon after breakfast. I had frequently had occasion during the day to remark how very slow he was, but never dreamt that his stiffness proceeded from tight lacing. Now, however, his nerves gave way; and just as he had concluded his toilette, during which I plainly saw he was suffering greatly, he was obliged to let the secret out, for he was on the point of fainting. "Good gracious," said I, "Spencer, what's the matter?" no little alarm for the success of the play being mingled with anxiety for his own safety.

"Nothing; oh, nothing at all, except that I can't bear this any longer."

"Bear what? why you are not going to shirk now, and spoil the whole thing?"

"No," said he, "but I am going to take off a pair of stays which I have been fool enough to put on, or I shall certainly faint."

Glad to find it arose from a cause so easily cured, I left him to undress, and proceeded to assist the king, whom I found, *more regum*, utterly unable to do anything for himself: his room was just over the entrance door; and as he bungled his legs in and out of the coat by mistake for his breeches, and put on his waistcoat three times inside out, he had the peculiar satisfaction of seeing the carriages roll down the avenue leading to the garden, and of hearing the busy hum of visitors down stairs. He was in a profuse perspiration, having entirely abandoned all hope of being dressed, as he said, "much before it was all over," and almost crying from very nervous vexation: with my assistance, however, he was soon dressed to his infinite satisfaction; and just as I had put the finishing hand to my own costume, by pulling on the questionable jack-boots, up came the Doctor to announce that the company had all arrived—that they were on the tiptoe of expectation—and that the overture was now about to begin. As he concluded we heard the sounds of the music, which had at that moment anything but a pleasing effect upon our senses. The king, however, took his seat at the throne, assumed the proper melancholy suited to the character, and as the last notes of the overture were played, all was arranged. The chilling sounds of a small bell announced to the audience that the curtains were about to be withdrawn;—all was deep silence till the whole scene was displayed; of course great applause ensued (for private performers always meet with kind and encouraging spectators); this gradually subsiding, the business of the play began with the song, &c.

The whole was received with unbounded applause, especially *Distaffina's* song, which was encored. My dress was the cause of great merriment; and as I marched on at the head of my "brave army," I could plainly see Mrs. Peter's eyes fasten on my jack-boots, as she audibly exclaimed, "There, there are the boots!—I said so!" So pleased were we with ourselves and our reception, that we that evening, after the play, determined to issue cards for another. We fixed upon the "*Mayor of Garrett*," which was got up in the short space of eight days; then we acted "*Tom Thumb*," and added thereto the interlude of "*Pyramus and Thisbe*," taken out of the "*Midsummer Night's Dream*." In each of these two latter I sustained the part of a female: in the first, that of the Princess Huncamunca; while in the latter I was the fair *Thisbe*—that "flower of odorous savours sweet." This was attempting Shakspeare, but Shakspeare in his easiest form; and,



from our success, we determined to take a loftier flight, and perform something else of his. The great point was to fix upon any one play which could be cut down to our means; for, as we only numbered seven, including a very clever girl of ten years old, a daughter of Dr. B—'s, who took the part of Puck, it would be quite out of the question to think of getting up the whole of any one play of Shakspeare. At last we fixed upon the "Tempest." All agreed that I should make a capital Caliban, Spencer a sweet Miranda, while little Fanny was declared to be just the thing for Ariel. It was now summer,—a period when the country, by a strange anomaly, is depopulated of its inhabitants,—and we were obliged to postpone till the winter our next performance. This delay gave us great time for preparation; indeed no expense or pains were spared in decorating our theatre during the recess. Scenes were painted to give all due effect to the shipwreck: thunder, lightning, and rain, were laid in in great quantities; and a great addition made to the theatre by my plan of a proscenium, whereon was nailed a beautifully painted blue and gold curtain, the work of the Doctor, which occupied the whole of the space except that devoted to *the* curtain: and the latter, by machinery, the invention of the village carpenter, was at last made to draw up and down, to my great satisfaction. With constant rehearsals we at last mastered the arduous undertaking; and I looked forward with confidence to the next performance, which promised to be the best as it was to be the last, in consequence of my removal to College, together with Spencer. In rehearsing for Caliban, it had been my aim to disguise myself both in form and voice as much as possible. I accordingly invented a patent dress, fitting tight, and on it I sewed quantities of horses' and cows' tails; the whole country was scoured to procure hair for me, and at last, by pressing into my service the hide of a large black dog, which most opportunely departed this life, I concocted a very complete dress. In my voice I equally succeeded; for, from the idea of Caliban being half a beast, I had taught myself to speak from somewhere lower than my diaphragm, so that my most intimate friends could hardly have recognized my tones,—for I acquired a most satisfactory growl. In addition to the Tempest we got up a farce, translated, as the play-bills (which were now regularly printed) announced, "expressly for this occasion from the French." We were now involved in a regular drama and a farce, and had enough on our hands for amateurs to accomplish. However, as the winter approached, all was ready: we were now old hands at it, and regarded it more as a matter of business than anything else. All the neighbourhood was summoned to this one grand effort, and we mustered nearly fifty spectators,—and calmly did we calculate when it would be absolutely necessary for us to prepare our dresses; so much does habit accustom us to what once were novelties, and as such required particular attention.

The whole play went off admirably, with one exception, of rather a ludicrous nature. I mentioned that we had got a splendid scene for the opening to represent the shipwreck; and it was so arranged as that the vessel should be seen, amid thunder and lightning, to toss and pitch about for a certain time, by means of a slit cut across the scene, till it came to the end of the sea, where, as she could not go any farther, we unanimously agreed it would be as well to sink her. All this machinery was to be worked by Prospero, who was not to come on from out his cave till the ship had gone to the bottom. As I was not to take an immediate part at the opening, I was entrusted with the lightning, while Stephano thundered with a large sheet of tin. Well, the curtain rose; the scene was illumined by frequent flashes of lightning, which bore the proportion of about ten to one of the peals of thunder; for we foolishly acted our respective parts of the storm to the full bent of our power, and I lightened across with my rosin, while Stephano thundered away as quickly as possible. At last it became a complete race who should do most in his own line; and, as I strove to give greater effect to each succeeding flash, by sending it on the stage to



the view of the almost suffocated audience, I, in one grand effort, set fire to the unfortunate galley just as she had got about *half-seas-over*. A shriek arose, which frightened me out of my wits; and still holding the candle, by means of which I had made my lightning, in my right hand, I rushed on the stage to save the house from being burnt down to the ground,—for I conjured up to myself much more danger than there really was. Miranda had sunk down on a log of wood, half laughing half crying, clasping her hands in dumb show, while I seized the ship, which was now emitting smoke and flames—the sails having caught fire—for the purpose of taking it away from the scene, which I expected every moment to see blazing up. All this while Prospero, intent upon his part, was manœuvring the vessel very assiduously behind the scene, and working her most satisfactorily to himself along the aforesaid slit. Of course he knew nothing of the accident, and feeling something pull at the vessel, he only held on tighter. The audience had now lost all fear of danger; but I, acting on the first impulse, was determined to carry off the wreck, *vi et armis*, and I accordingly exerted myself more than ever. Prospero, all potent as he was on the stage, was a match for me off it too; for the more vigorously I pulled the more tenaciously he held on by the bottom of the vessel, till, perceiving a cessation of the storm, and knowing that it ought to have continued till he had sunk the ship, he roared out “What the devil’s the matter? why don’t the thunder and lightning go on?” The shouts of laughter which followed this, aided by my redoubled vehemence, effected my purpose, and he relinquished his hold of the ship, only then beginning to be aware that something had been the matter; and, entering from his cave, proceeded, evidently much disconcerted, with his part.

With this exception, “The Tempest” went off with the greatest éclat. The farce also followed with equal success, except from one trifling bar, which arose from our extreme attention to the play, and the comparatively careless way in which we had got up the farce. Thus it was that we had not had a dress rehearsal, but only satisfied the manager with our assurances that we had all our dresses ready in every particular. The result of this was, that we were all alike ignorant of the costume adopted by each other; and it so happened that (like the people at Matthews’ “Pic-nic,” who brought a leg of mutton each) we had all bought false noses to disguise ourselves as much as possible. These additions and improvements on the human face divine, were kept secret from each other, and only produced as we proceeded to the stage for the purpose of taking our stations preparatory to the rising of the curtain,—for we were all to be discovered. “Are you all ready,” said the prompter. “No, no, no,” from so many voices,—“I haven’t tied on my nose yet.” That operation performed, we were still in the dark as to our mutually grotesque appearance, as the footlights were outside the curtain,—and consequently it was not till that was removed, and the full blaze of light was thrown on the stage, that we were all sensible of the similarity and singularity of our decorations.

The effect was absurd to a degree; it was impossible to begin,—a task which devolved upon me; for I was nearly choked in the vain endeavour to suppress my laughter, every moment getting stronger and stronger. I had, in addition to my nose, stuffed myself to an enormously out-of-the-way size, so much so as actually to remove all possibility of crossing one leg over the other; and, unluckily, I had recourse to this identical movement as a sort of opening, and accordingly lifted up my leg to cross it; but, alas! though I had the power to raise it, as for crossing it that was quite out of the question, and I was obliged to let it drop powerless again into its original position. This failure completely upset the small remains of gravity which might have hovered on my face, and I burst out into a fit of laughter long and loud, which was re-echoed by the rest, and we were all shortly indulging in that agreeable amusement, to the full as heartily as the audience; nor was it till our strength failed, and the prompter and manager, who was off



the stage, who was a grave man, had made as many mouths and faces at us, as there were words nearly in his own part, that anything like silence was restored.

So thoroughly were we all satisfied with the result of that evening's performances, that we agreed there to rest our fame, fearing that we might not equal it in any other attempt, especially as there would not be time enough to get up anything except a farce; for within a fortnight both Spencer and myself were about to go up to Cambridge. We, however, were determined to do something; and, with the Doctor's permission, we took his children into training, almost infants, and, astonishing to relate, we succeeded in drilling them into great perfection. We selected one of the Sacred Dramas, yeleft "David." I was the only man in the company; and enacted the prodigious part of Goliath of Gath. We were a long time finding out a fit and becoming invention, whereby we might convey some faint idea of the Philistine's size to the audience. It was at first suggested that I should act the part in *propriâ personâ*, and various other devices were broached; but we at last adopted an expedient, which was the fruit of the Doctor's fertile brain, and I think deserves credit for its extreme originality. I learnt the part of the Giant, and the Doctor set about to manufacture a representative for his person. This he effected by cutting out on pasteboard and painting, as if in armour, an enormous pair of legs and thighs. Our theatre was about six feet and a half high, and these legs reached from the top down to the floor, and were worked along a cord tied across the stage, which I was to manage from behind the scenes where I was stationed. The effect of these huge lower extremities stalking on the stage with their knees working up and down like a horse afflicted with the stringhalt, was ludicrous and absurd to a degree. Little David reached about up to the calf of his gigantic opponent; and, indeed, in consequence of my mismanagement, he was obliged to run away, for fear of being walked over by these hugeous understandings. As they faced the audience, I am sure they must have looked more like the representatives of the famous Sir Christopher Hatton, for they were so cut as to emulate his far-famed propensity, in the gratifying which you could so easily recognize the dancing-master.

Such happy days soon flew over my thoughtless head. Light of heart, and wanting ballast, as the worthy Doctor used to tell me, I was, by his advice, sent up to the University at the age of nineteen, instead of eighteen, as was the original design of my father; and sincerely do I thank my friends for this timely change in their plans; for, had I been turned adrift, at the age of eighteen, on that sea of rocks, a college life, most assuredly I should not have left a name to point a moral,—however I might have left one which might be useful for the purposes of instructing future generations, and, beacon like, warn them of those dangers to which I fell a victim. As it was, even with that year's additional weight on board, I was guilty, *horresco referens*! of various pieces of indiscretion, and heedlessly plunged into many a scrape, which a moment's consideration could not have failed in warning me against. However I have passed through that fiery ordeal with unsinged wings; and the dangers of those days now serve to lighten my heart of care which comes with age, while I think of them, and "fight my battles o'er again." One of these I shall ever have a lively recollection of, while I tremble to think how narrow was my escape from the *ultimum supplicium* of the University. Every man, on going up to College, is sure to meet with many whom he has known at school, public or private; and as I had the advantage of most, in having successively tried two private schools and one public, previous to going to a private tutor, it may not be surprising that I found many young men with whom I was familiar in times of yore.

Among these one there was with whom I contracted an intimacy beyond the usual acquaintance of two men so situated. We had, while at Westminster, been very good friends; and now, though at different colleges, our



former friendship was renewed and strengthened. Frank was the most singular fellow of all my set,—very eccentric, very idle, and sometimes very expensive. Being a man of keen susceptibility, he was alive to anything approaching neglect, and subject, in consequence, to changes of humour so ever-varying, that it was almost impossible, after having lost sight of him for a few hours, to guess what sort of temper you might be greeted with. With me, however, and I hardly know for what reason, he made an exception, and seldom or ever have we had any difference; while I think that he has cut, over and over again, the whole round of his other friends. Whether it was that I took no notice of what in his conduct others would have objected to, I know not; but so it was, and, consequently, we both became insensibly attached to each other. We were both fond of the same pursuits: we equally delighted in following up exercises of an active nature, rode frequently, and always in company; both attended the Gymnasium, a private club, formed of members of the University, where all sorts of violent exercises were practised, and by few with so much ardour as by Frank and myself. The waywardness of Frank's nature can scarcely be better exemplified than by his treatment of himself in regard to this Gymnasium. I have known him adopt a regular system of diet, and regularly train himself as if for a fight. He would say—"It's no use going on in this way—(he had perhaps been living a very dissipated life, and been very tipsy the very preceding night)—I intend to adopt quite a different plan;" and, accordingly, he would administer a powerful dose of salts—his own prescription—and start to lead a regulated life. This consisted of eating a due and appointed quantity of beefsteaks every morning for his breakfast; drinking a certain number of glasses of wine; dining regularly every day in hall; going to bed early, and getting up early, and walking a great deal before and after breakfast. In this course he would obstinately go on, till he might accidentally get an attack of bile or any trifling uneasiness; and then I have known him revert to all his former dissipation, saying that he had found out that it was all humbug that system of diet, and that he would now eat and drink when, where, and as often as he was pleased so to do; and, accordingly, he would get drunk that night by way of beginning. Thus he used to pass his time in changing his method of treating himself; the absurdity of which was, that he never had any more than two ideas upon the subject; and when he was tired of one he used to return to the other with as much glee and solemnity of conviction in his countenance, as if he had just only for the first time been minded to try that course.

With such a fanciful man for my friend, it may naturally be supposed that we were often in peril of our lives, from the queer pranks which we would put into execution; and that many and narrow were the escapes we had from the talons of the proctors while pursuing our diversions.

Among other resolves of Frank's versatile brain, one was, that he would spend the long vacation of the year 182-- at Alma Mater. For this he had no reason at all, save the non-existence of any necessity for such a choice. To a man who does not remain at College for the express purpose of reading, a residence there at such a time of the year is, in my opinion, only a species of purgatory. However, Frank had an idea of doing it, and he accordingly put it into execution. It was during this voluntary banishment from the world that I, accidentally finding myself near Cambridge, determined to push on and take my friend by surprise, and see how he really liked his choice, nothing doubting but that I should find him an altered man as to his "firm conviction that a man might be just as happy at Cambridge during the long vacation as during term-time." I accordingly arrived by the "Times" coach at nine, and soon found my way to my friend's lodgings, surprised his landlady by presenting my well-known face and asking for Frank, by which name I usually called him.

"Oh, he's down at Jesus College."

"Jesus!" said I; "why who's there that he knows?—whose rooms is he at?"



“ Oh, he’s at nobody’s rooms, Sir ; but you’ll be sure to find him at the butteries there : for he has taken to drinking a thing he calls cup, and he generally goes down to there, and comes home about ten, and——”

“ And very drunk, I dare say,” said I, finishing her sentence for her.

Off I went, and, as Mrs. Perry had hinted, there I found Frank sitting by the fire-place, discussing a foaming tankard of cup\*. Our meeting was just what might have been expected when it was—unexpected, and we very soon finished the said cup, and had got some way into a second, before I had time to ask Frank how he liked Cambridge during the summer. As I imagined, he had completely changed his mind on the said subject of his firm conviction, and he bitterly exclaimed against the dull insipidity of the place. “ There’s no fun going on ; there are so few men up, that the proctors know all the faces of every man in residence like a shepherd does his sheep, and you can’t stir without being known.”

“ Well,” said I, “ but unless I mistake, to-morrow is the first day of the Midsummer fair ; surely there may be some fun there ?”

“ By Jove, so it is ! Let us go now directly ; I dare say we may pick up something good before the day’s gone, yet,” exclaimed Frank ; and so off we set to visit the spot so well known to all Cambridge men as the scene of this fair. To the uninformed it may be as well to premise that the fair is held in a large common-field on the banks of the Cam, and adjoining the grounds of Jesus College. Thither we went ; and, as we proceeded, we could distinctly hear the hammer giving note of preparation for the morrow’s sports.

In making our perambulations, chance led us into conversation with the far-famed Mr. Richardson, that veteran strolling-player, who haunts all the fairs of the kingdom, and with whose well-filled paunch and sandy whiskers every man who ever was at a fair, no matter in what part of the kingdom, must be well acquainted. Frank, having imbibed a great quantity of liquor that evening, was in a fit humour for fun, and being unusually excited by my sudden arrival, was seized, all of a sudden, with one of his whims ; so drawing me aside, he whispered, that if I would consent, he would make a proposal to Richardson to admit us into his company. In order to see something of a life so novel to both of us as that of a strolling-player, regardless of its probable consequences, I heedlessly assented ; and Frank, after beating about the bush for some time, at length broached the subject to the manager, with a suitable hint as to remuneration, &c. &c. That worthy man, having the fear of the proctors before his eyes, or perhaps with a view to enhance the favour, hesitated much, and endeavoured to point out to us the certain consequences which must follow a discovery. But where was the discovery to come from ? Who was to know anything about us, except those interested in keeping silence upon the subject ? Urged by these arguments, Mr. Richardson finally assented ; and this point arrived at, the next thing to arrange was, what we should do ? This was a matter of some difficulty ; for though the company’s performances consisted of a tragedy, comedy, pantomime, and farce, all of which were got through in the incredibly short space of twenty minutes, and therefore could not have demanded much application on our parts to fit us for the personation of the leading characters, yet we wisely abstained from this, and fixed our plan upon Frank’s making his *début* on the slack-rope, which was then in the act of being fixed up a few yards in front of the Royal Pavilion, and on which the clown of the company used to tumble for the purpose of attracting visitors, by giving the crowd a sample, as it were, of the

---

\* Cup is a mixture of beer, wine, lemon, sugar, and spice : this to the uninitiated. By those who have ever made its acquaintance, Frank’s partiality will I think be readily applauded. Falstaff’s eyes, I imagine, hardly glistened so much at the sight of his sack, as those of the admirers of this mixture, which is really most excellent tipple, do at its perfume and flavour.



entertainment likely to be met with inside. Frank and I were both, from our attendance at the Gymnasium, tolerable adepts at this really agreeable exercise; but Frank's figure being more suitable and compact than mine, he had become far my superior in the science of rope-dancing, and to him, therefore, I yielded the *pas* on this occasion. The following evening, therefore, was fixed for our putting into execution this notable plan of ours; and we accordingly, having attended the Gymnasium for the purpose of practice in the morning, made our appearance at the fair at the hour of eight exactly. There was an immense crowd assembled, but more especially round the Royal Pavilion, which seemed, from the gaiety of the dresses worn, and ostentatiously exhibited on the platform, to be the great attraction of the fair. We with much difficulty succeeded in elbowing our way up to the booth, and presented ourselves to Mr. Richardson, following whom we dived into the lower regions, preparatory to Frank's doffing the gentleman and donning the dress of the clown.

Like all establishments of a similar nature, the Royal Pavilion was raised upon a foundation composed of the waggons wherein these followers of Thespis were transported, with all their properties, from one town to another. Under the largest of these waggons was fitted up the green-room, into which we now entered. It was used as the dressing-room, sleeping-room, and green-room equally; and therefore presented to our observation a very tolerable picture of the sort of life which it had been our anxious desire to have a peep at. The floor was mother Earth; while the wheels of the waggon, surrounded by canvas, formed the sides of the room, in height about four feet and a half, nearly filled with boxes and beds, and receiving what little light there was from the uncertain flickering of a dip candle, which, acted upon by the wind, to which free ingress and egress seemed most courteously and studiously allowed, seemed every moment about to give up the ghost, while anon it shot up and emitted considerable light, till, again disturbed by the air, it once more threatened to leave us in total darkness. Such a reception not being a warm one, served, in no slight degree, to damp a portion of our ardour; but there was now no retreating without dishonour, and we kept our opinion to ourselves, blindly determined to persevere.

There was no time to be lost; and Mr. Richardson soon produced a suit of motley character, which, he averred to Frank, was quite clean, and had never been worn yet by any one. This, at least, was a source of comfort. Having finished dressing, it was next necessary that Frank's face should be painted after the most approved fashion of all clowns, in those laughter-moving triangles which decorate the fool, and are his *sine quâ non*. This operation is generally preceded by greasing the patient's face with whatever comes handiest—a candle; and, accordingly, Mr. Richardson, *à l'ordinaire*, was about to go to work in the most methodical way, having seized the burning candle to rub it on Frank's features. To this Frank most decidedly objected; and, after a sharp contest, Mr. R. agreed to forego that part, and to mix up the paints in some water, and thus smear his face in the regular variety of stars and crosses. Under the skilful hands of the manager, Frank's face gradually assumed a most delightful appearance; and that done, he sallied out to ascend the platform, having received strict injunctions as to his conduct on the stage,—such as that he should make himself quite at home, and appear familiar with all the rest of the *corps dramatique*, and that he should exercise his calling by frequently tumbling, &c. &c. &c. I was stationed at one of the corners of the booth, just underneath, with one arm resting on the platform, for the purpose of holding communication with Frank, in case of any alarm from the proctors, in the event of which, as I was a gownsman, and could not converse with him in his assumed character without risk, I was instructed to give a most ominous squint as a signal to decamp. We accordingly took our places,—I on the ground, Frank on the platform, where he exerted himself greatly, and



gained frequent applause from his numberless tricks, which he had acquired by his attendance at the Gymnasium.

While this was going on, I was not unmindful of my share of the farce, and kept a narrow watch upon the proctors, who, dressed in full academics, paraded around the fair, for the purpose of keeping order among the gownsmen, and restraining within due bounds their mirth and hilarity. With fear and trembling I beheld them drawing nearer and nearer every moment, until they actually faced the platform, whereon was Frank, whom I saw evidently ill at ease, and ever and anon turning his eyes upon me; but I saw no reason for apprehension, though I was myself rather nervous at the moment: nor was the shock a slight one which I experienced on hearing the words "Now, Mr. Merryman," uttered by Mr. Richardson, as the signal for Frank to commence operations on the rope. It so happened that just as the order was issued, the proctorial cortège were in the act of passing under the rope, which, suspended in mid air above their heads, looked very ominous indeed. Frank, immediately on hearing these words, I suppose, made up his mind—come what might—to go on; and, shutting his eyes with desperation, plunged headlong down the steps, and rushing on was lost in the crowd, which made way for the Merryman, and then closed upon him, when I lost sight of him, and waited in anxious expectation till I should see him fairly mounted on his cord and launched forth. But no such sight greeted my eyes; I heard a bustle as of a disturbance, which gradually increased, and then distinctly caught the sound of voices in anger, rising above the hum of the fair; and, turning to the platform, I saw Mr. Richardson's usually rubicund visage pale as ashes; and presently he, evidently much agitated, descended the steps, while at the same time I heard voices crying out "Well done, fool! well done, Merryman!" This determined me that something was wrong; and beckoning a man whom I knew slightly, and whom I fortunately saw at that moment, we both pressed on to join the thickening crowd, and ascertain the cause of this tumult,—which I almost dreaded to learn, from a presentiment that poor Frank had been discovered by the keen eyes of the Proctors, in which case it most assuredly must be all up with him, for expulsion would be the consequence of detection. Whatever was the real cause, I was determined to stand by Frank; so, raising a cry of "Shame!—shame!" without knowing whether right or wrong, I made my way through the crowd, and, to my great horror, beheld Frank, who was a small man, in the fell grasp of one bull-dog, while the other was prostrate on the ground. Heedless of the consequences, I levelled a blow at the first of these two animals with so much vigour and so well distanced that he followed his brother, and Frank was at the instant free. My friend now came up and received the fire of the second bull-dog, who had by this time recovered his legs, and I had only time to whisper Frank, "For God's sake make off,—go to the river, swim it, and there remain till you see or hear from me,"—before it was necessary again to prepare for the reception of the united forces of the two Proctors, and pro-proctors into the bargain, who had then, for the first time, become aware of the existence of a row. Frank, I need not say, took the hint, and by dint of great exertions we opened a passage for him to escape through the crowd, who, ever ready for a disturbance, willingly connived at the escape of Mr. Merryman. Making as much head, therefore, as possible against the efforts of the proctorial satellites to secure the original offender, we fought right and left for a few minutes, till we began to feel the sedative effects of the repeated blows which had been levelled at us by the exasperated bull-dogs; and as in all probability Frank was off, or at any rate we had done all we could, we with one consent dropped our guards, and marched off in close custody to the presence of the Proctor—who, like Napoleon at Waterloo, did not interfere in the fray, but, standing aloof, directed the movements of the troops.

Into this awful presence we were then taken; and, after undergoing the usual prescribed routine of question and answer, from the mild question of



“Are you a member of this university, Sir?” down to the imperious command, “Call on me to-morrow, at my rooms, at ten o’clock, exactly,” we were dismissed with injunctions to leave the fair, and retire to our colleges. To this we bowed obedience; and having made our exits, I immediately betook myself to Mr. Richardson, whom I had not seen since he descended to mix in the crowd. I found him in a state of great perturbation, equal to that of the Turks when they gave rise to the following elegant composition:—

“Perturbabantur Constantinopolitani  
Innumerabilibus solitudinibus!”

In such a state was he, dreading the awful fiat of the Vice-Chancellor, enjoining his instant departure from the precincts of the university, vainly endeavouring to stifle all his fears, and trying to act up to the arduous task of exerting his most winning ways to induce the crowd to ascend and view his performances. I drew him aside and inquired if he had seen Frank, and to my satisfaction ascertained that he had not been seen since the fray first began, when he lost sight of him entirely. This satisfied me that he had profited by my interference, and that he had effected his escape. I therefore determined to go to my rooms, which I had taken possession of during my short visit, and to summon to my assistance a friendly gyp whom I used to trust to on more occasions than one. I however took the precaution to demand Frank’s watch and all the contents of his pockets from Mr. Richardson, fearful lest, in my absence, or during the night, he might decamp. I made the best of my way to —— college, and luckily found out the afore-said gyp, and taking my great coat,—for it was now raining,—and giving him Frank’s cloak, we soon returned to the scene of the contest. There I took from him the cloak, and giving him Frank’s clothes, desired him to take them to my rooms, and started myself in quest of poor Frank, who, thought I, will most assuredly have a powerful attack of fever and ague, if he stays much longer reposing on the banks of Cam.

By this time the disturbance and all its effects had passed away, and all the fair wore the usual appearance of a country fair. Instead of the deafening noise which thrilled through my ears when the contest raged at its height, I could only perceive the gentle hubbub which prevails at all assemblages of this sort,—an indistinct rumbling noise, only broken or varied at intervals by the occasional blast of a trumpet, followed by a shrill voice, issuing out of a small window in a small box, and announcing to the gaping crowd that “the smallest and most diminutivest little woman was to be seen; with a faithful representation of Daniel, sitting on a *three-legged* stool, in the Lion’s Den; as likewise a true picture, painted on the very indential spot of the barbarous massacre of the battle of Navarinor, with the horrible *conflagration* of Moscow by the Turkish troops the day after; and all for the small charge of one penny per individual.”

Leaving this behind me to the right I stole along the row of horse-chestnuts which overhung the path; having arrived at the end of which, I fearlessly emerged from my shelter, and made the best of my way across to the boat-house, where there is a foot-bridge—having experienced in my passage sundry heavy falls, for it was quite dark, and slippery from the rain which was then falling heavily; indeed, I wonder how, encumbered as I was by my own great coat and Frank’s cloak, I managed to get across some of the wide ditches which intersect in every direction this level plain. However, “*pedibus timor addidit alas*,” I presume, and I must have flown across some of them, as I at last reached the boat-house without having experienced any very serious damage. I crossed silently, and when on the other side of the river, I paused for a few moments to listen if all were safe; and, being satisfied, I gave a whistle, the most orthodox signal for all heroes, whether they be banditti or Cantabs—being a language that all can understand. Nor was I mistaken, for I immediately caught an attempt at an answer, and not very far from me; and ascending the bank, I saw prostrate



on the ground, cold and shivering, the motley body of my adventurous and ill-starred friend. He, on perceiving me, made an effort, but an ineffectual one, to rise; and, on stooping down to assist him, I could plainly see that he was by no means in a fit state to perform on the *corde volante*, however he might have been a few hours before, for he was shaking dreadfully, his teeth chattering, and unable to stand on his feet.

"Ah, is that you, my dear fellow? I fear that we have carried the joke too far this time, for I feel dreadfully ill."

"Never mind," said I, willing to impart spirits to him which at the time I was far from possessing myself; "never mind, come, sit down here, and let us talk about our affairs."

So saying, I flung the cloak round him, and we seated ourselves cheek by jowl on the banks of Cam. Never, I do believe, were there seen two such outlandish and disreputable figures as Frank and myself at that moment. It was really almost impossible to restrain from bursting into a fit of violent laughter, despite our truly critical situation: even the crying philosopher would not have been proof against a smile if he could have had a glimpse at us, as we sat cold, wet, and uncomfortable, presenting a very good lesson to all amateurs.

I was bad enough—dirty and filthy from the exertions used by me in rescuing Frank, and exhibiting a set of features considerably the worse for the blows which had in so unmannerly a way knocked so rudely at them. But Frank! oh, I was nothing compared to him! There the wretched fellow sat, evidently in great pain (for he had by some misfortune managed, as he afterwards told me, to sprain his ankle), and looking pale as death; his face forming a striking match to his dripping clothes,—the latter being black and blue and red and white, while the former shone in all the hues of the rainbow—his countenance only animated by the expression of fear and pain, while still you might trace the angles of the painted patches on his cheeks and nose. At length he broke out—

"What a fool I was to enter into such a scheme! it was sure to be discovered, and I shall be expelled!"

"How in the name of wonder it was found out, I can't tell," said I; "for no one knew of it—at least, I never made any one acquainted with it—did you?"

"No," said Frank, "I did not; but, at any rate, you could tell me why you squinted so horribly, for that it was that set me off."

"I squint, my dear fellow! I never squinted at all: I was not near you when I heard the beginning of the row; in fact, I never saw you till I spoke to you."

"Was it not you?" said he, turning himself round slowly in his seat, and looking me steadfastly in the face. "Oh, but it must have been—no one could have squinted just at that critical moment but you."

"Then, I can assure you, I have a double somewhere, for I certainly did not squint; but, at any rate, it matters not who squinted, for we must manage to get home, or we shall both catch our deaths, sitting here like two snipes, up to our knees in mud. You must be put to bed, and, in fact, so must I too; so, come, let us go."

Frank rose stiffly, and leaning on my arm he proceeded slowly along, and after some lapse of time we reached in safety our respective homes. My great fear was lest we should meet the Proctors, who would not fail to see the dress which Frank still had on; in which case it would be ruin to us both. As for him, I thought of nothing less than that his die was cast, and inevitable expulsion his fate; while I attached no importance whatever beyond the having to pay something, very probably, for my fun, as I was not in residence.

The following morning I rose early and went to inquire for Frank, whom I found sleeping: I then went off to Professor S——'s rooms to learn my fate. I found him seated at his breakfast table, devouring a very substan-



tial meal, and also some examination papers—the latter of which, on seeing me enter the room, he laid aside, and motioned to me to take a seat. I bowed, and as I raised my eyes to examine the rooms, which were furnished with numberless stags' horns and buffaloes' bones,—*et hoc genus omne*,—I caught a view of my disfigured face in the glass on the chimney, and then dropped my eyes to the ground again, blushing no doubt deeply.

As the Professor did not seem inclined to open the conversation (probably he did not know my purpose), I thought it best to commence, which I did, by “believing that this was the hour appointed by him for me to call.”

At the sound of my voice he seemed at once to recognize me and my case, for he said, “Oh, you are the young gentleman who chose last night to assault my attendants while in the discharge of their duty, and without the slightest provocation to inflict severe blows upon their bodies,—and for what purpose I can't divine, save from the pure spirit of mischief and innate propensity to create disturbances which animate, I am sorry to say, too many young men of the present day. Were you aware that my constables were at that time in the act of protecting my person from the rude impertinence of a painted fool (whom I will have severely punished if I can catch him), when you interrupted them, and thus allowed him to escape?”

During the delivery of this lecture I sat humbly submissive; and as it went on I received increased confidence from the mild and gentlemanly demeanour of the Professor, and gradually became assured that Frank, at any rate, was not discovered; yet I could not divest myself of the feeling that somehow or other we had been discovered, and that Professor S——, who loved a joke, was only playing with me like a cat, before he finally destroyed me. However, I resolved to make a sort of defence, and I accordingly began a long harangue, wherein I apologised for my breach of discipline; and alleged, as an excuse, ignorance of the real nature of the row, till I found myself involved in a contest with the constabulary of the proctors, and that it was then too late. I was going on to give an account of my hearing the cry of shame, and to say that I rushed forward to aid the oppressed, when I was interrupted by the Professor, who laughed and said, “Well, that will do; I do not intend taking any further notice of this, as you seem to be aware of your indiscretion, while at the same time you fairly excuse yourself from premeditating any insult to my authority; I shall therefore pass it over, only giving you a little advice and recommendation.—Do not be so ready another time to undertake the arduous task of champion to every body who cries ‘shame, shame,’ or at any rate ascertain the real state of the case; and as for my recommendation, it is that you make some amends to poor Jeffreys for the injuries inflicted upon him (here I thought I discovered a lurking smile), and to further that object I have ordered him to attend here at this time. And here he is.” As he spoke a rap at the door proclaimed some one's approach, and permission being given, in walked the identical man, Humphrey Jeffreys, the unflinching bull-dog who had grappled Frank, and whom I had caused to release his hold in the uncereemonious way I did—having his eyes and forehead enveloped under a large green shade, put on no doubt to enhance his reward.

“Jeffreys,” said the Proctor, “Mr. Templeton and I have had some conversation about this affair, and I dare say that you will not disagree any more. So I would advise you to accompany Mr. Templeton home. Good morning to you, Sir.”

This signal to retire I did not neglect, and accordingly took my leave, and left the room, followed by Jeffreys down into the court. There I made an arrangement for Jeffreys to come in about an hour to Frank's rooms, where I was to breakfast, and recount my fate to a few men, who were assembled there to do honour to my visit. Thither I went immediately, and found all ready, save Frank, who was not yet dressed, being, as he complained, “cursedly stiff still,” and unable to walk with ease. We sat down without



him, and had nearly got half through, when Frank entered, and just at the same moment there was a tap at the door, announcing Mr. Jeffreys.

Frank shuffled off to the sofa, and there seated himself with a handkerchief up to his face, for fear of discovering himself to Jeffreys.

"Well," said I, "Jeffreys, how much do you value your beauty at?"

"Oh, I don't value my beauty much, Sir; but I hope you won't think me unreasonable if I ask for a five-pound note, for I have been most cruelly beat about by one or another, I can assure you. Besides, Sir, you will recollect that Jack, my brother bull-dog, got a most monstrous hiding from that infernal little blackguard of a play-chap."

(Here the gentleman in the sofa hemmed, coughed, and blew his olfactory nerve long and loud, while I bit my lip to keep my countenance in order.)

"Ah," continued he, "I only wish I could have kept a hold of him a little longer; but somehow or other directly he saw me he took fright at some at or other and let fly slap at poor John, who, being given to asthma, got precious out of wind, and could not come up to the scratch at all—and——"

"Well, well," said I, "here's your money; and now can you tell me any thing of this play-fellow, for whom I got into all this row? What's become of him, do you know?"

"No, Sir, that I don't, nor I can't tell what's become of him. I seed Mr. Richardson to-day, and his people don't know nothing of him at all, they say; but I dare say they do though, and perhaps they don't wish to give him up, for most sartainly he would be whipped at the cart-tail next market-day."

Here I thought I saw Frank's legs quivering, and willing to put an end to the conversation, and dismiss Mr. Jeffreys, I added, "Very proper, indeed; but now, my good fellow, as I have paid you for *my* fun, I should like to see what I have been paying for. Let us see how much of your beauty has been spoiled. Come, take off your shade—I dare say it's all sham."

At this he began to take off his shade, saying, "I hav'n't much beauty to spoil, but it is monstrous unpleasant to be licked in this way; for though I do squint a little——"

"What!" said I, "squint, do you?"

"Squint, do you?" cried Frank, jumping up, and crossing the room at a hop, and inspecting Humphrey's physiognomy; "so he does, by Jove!—the very squint, my dear Templeton, that upset me. Here, you old fool, here's a sovereign for you, and mind you never come near me again as long as you live; there, decamp—evaporate,—make yourself scarce." So saying, he handed him, shade and all, out of the room; and that done, threw himself on the sofa again quite exhausted, while all save me were lost in amazement at his apparently singular conduct.

"I see how it is now," he said, at length; "it was that infernal fellow's eye I caught and took for you; this it was that got me into all this horrid pain;" and he again fell back.

The murder was soon told. In his hurry and nervousness he mistook Humphrey's real squint for my preconcerted signal; and blind with horrors, knowing scarcely anything of what he did, he commenced a furious attack upon the other bull-dog, fancying that he was on the point of seizing him.

Thus ended our notable plan of amusing ourselves. More properly speaking, it did not end there, as Frank was the next day declared in a high state of fever; and for many a week did his teeth chatter under the effects of a severe attack of ague, while I took my departure from the university, satiated with my adventure,—and determined never again to try slack-roping at the midsummer fair.



## DOMESTICITY ; OR, A DISSERTATION ON SERVANTS.

I. I HAVE often thought that there are certain subjects requiring investigation which appear trite and trivial, yet, in their development, may become uncommon and important. This happens when the familiarity of the subject, and the obviousness of the matter, have deterred authors from composing on topics which might honour their humanity more than their genius ; and besides, in such investigations, there remains a difficulty to overcome, that one which the poetic legislator of criticism has declared—the difficulty of composing with propriety, or with elegance, on common things.

I would court the reader's indulgence ; but however the present dissertation be written, it is quite evident that a *Dissertation on Servants* is greatly wanted, and this slight one may serve as a tolerable foundation to raise up a better, and I am almost inclined to promise such an one myself. A domestic subject which fixes the daily attention, and provokes the reiterated complaints of masters and servants, has hitherto found no advocates to plead for, nor an honest judge to arbitrate between the parties.

In the course of this dissertation I shall use the term DOMESTICITY in a more enlarged sense than the dictionary strictly limits. It will here designate not simply the condition of the servile, but also what I wish it to include,—that family-feeling which might be called the love of the house.

II. Servants are not slaves, as many imagine themselves to be, and as ponderous juris-consults seem to opine on the rights of man : for they have perplexed themselves by including in the same chapter, on “ the Power of Masters,” their notions of slavery and servitude. Slavery is a perfect and absolute servitude, where the master's voice is law, while his arm inflicts its penalty. The servant can yield but an imperfect and conditional servitude, formed on a contract regulated by the customs and the laws of the country.

No rights of human nature, no natural equality of man, were ever violated in this social compact. The frame of civilized society could not keep together without servitude. Savages, who have no servants, are actuated by the barbarous principle by which the ancients outraged human nature, when they assumed that the authority of the master over the slave was established by nature herself ; for we see how the strong man commands the weak. Servitude corrects this great evil ; it is a means to protect the feeble from their oppressors, the unfortunate from the fortunate, the poor from the rich. Servitude is an exchange of labour and honesty for maintenance and salary. Each gives what the other wants. A human being, houseless and unprotected, without the knowledge of any craft or art, is admitted into a settled abode, and secures the conveniences for the wants of life.

III. Neither are the grievances of servitude, as servants imagine, more disproportionate in their station than those of other avocations which appear more free, and are not. A servant has peculiar enjoyments : freed from the consuming cares which so often lie in the heart of his master ; his little never made less by the tyranny of events which



never reach him, his mind may be joyous, while he who wears no livery may possibly labour in a servitude more galling than that of his menial. Little has hitherto been attempted to state the real case of servitude. Masters continue to find that their domestics are a convenient evil, and servants, on their side, deem no better of their masters : both are convinced that they are necessary to each other ; yet no two classes in society hold so ill together.

IV. It is the masters who have written on the servants, for servants have rarely had ability, or opportunity to deliver their notions, and to open to us their feelings. Placed in a class of degraded inequality, as it seems to them, embittered sometimes by oppression or by insult, often the sport of reckless caprice, they seem sensible only to the wrongs of servitude. Loose principles and traditional prejudices, and a certain *esprit de corps* of ancient standing, must disqualify them for judging their own cause ; but how many truths could they disclose, how many sentiments would they feel, though they should want the address to demonstrate those truths, or to impress on us those sentiments. We require to have the results of their experience, to enlarge, and often to correct our own. Who can enter into the hidden feelings of persons who by necessity are constrained to disguise or to suppress them ? A conclave of the livery, and a conclave of philosophers, in their discussions and disclosures on servitude, might contribute much reciprocal information. The servants, intimate with their own obscure concerns, would fail in the wisdom of philosophy, and the philosopher would have but a superficial knowledge of the secret circle of the servants'-hall. It is only the domestic who can reveal the real condition of his confraternity. The governing motives of their conduct, however erroneous, we ought to become acquainted with, would we remove so many false conceptions generally received, and substitute so many true ones which remain unknown. Before servants can act justly, they must think rightly, and none think rightly whose judgments do not extend beyond their own sphere.

V. The characteristics of servants have been usually known by the broad caricatures of the satirists of every age, and chiefly by the most popular—the writers of comedy. According to these exhibitions, we must infer that the vices of the menial are necessarily inherent to his condition, and consequently that this vast multitude in society remain ever in an irrecoverably ungovernable state. We discover only the cunning depredator of the household ; the tip-toe spy, at all corners—all ear, all eye ; the parasitical knave—the flatterer of the follies, and even the eager participator of the crimes of his superior. The morality of servants has not been improved by the wonderful revelations of Swift's " Directions," where the irony is too refined, while it plainly inculcates the practice. This celebrated tract, designed for the instruction of the masters, is more frequently thumbed in the kitchen, as a manual for the profligate domestic. Servants have acknowledged that some of their base doings had been suggested to them by their renowned satirist.

Bentham imagined, that were all the methods employed by thieves and rogues described and collected together, such a compilation of their artifices and villanies would serve to put us on our guard. The theorist of legislation seems often to forget the metaphysical state of man. With the vitiated mind, that latent sympathy of evil which might never



have been called forth but by the occasion, has often evinced how too close an inspection of crime may grow into criminality itself. Hence it is that when some monstrous and unusual crime has been revealed to the public, it rarely passes without a sad repetition. A link in the chain of the intellect is struck, and a crime is perpetrated which else had not occurred.

Listen to the counsels which one of the livery gives a brother, more stupid but more innocent than himself. I take the passage from that extraordinary Spanish comedy, in twenty-five acts, the “*Spanish Bawd*.” It was no doubt designed to expose the arts and selfishness of the domestic, yet we should regret that the “*Spanish Bawd*” was as generally read by servants as Swift’s “*Directions*.”

“Serve not your master with this foolish loyalty and ignorant honesty, thinking to find firmness on a false foundation, as most of these masters now-a-days are. Gain friends, which is a during and lasting commodity; live not on hopes, relying on the vain promises of masters. The masters love more themselves than their servants, nor do they amiss, and the like love ought servants to bear to themselves. Liberality was lost long ago—rewards are grown out of date. Every one is now for himself, and makes the best he can of his servant’s service, serving his turn, and therefore they ought to do the same, for they are less in substance. Thy master is one who befools his servants, and wears them out to the very stumps, looking for much service at their hands. Thy master cannot be thy friend, such difference is there of estate and condition between you two.”

This passage, written two centuries ago, would find an echo of its sentiments in many a modern domestic. These notions are sacred traditions among the livery. We may trace them from Terence and Plautus, as well as Swift and Mandeville. Our latter great cynic has left a frightful picture of the state of the domestics, when it seems “they had experienced professors among them, who could instruct the graduates in iniquity seven hundred illiberal arts how to cheat, impose upon, and find out the blind side of their masters.” The footmen, in Mandeville’s day, had entered into a society together, and made laws to regulate their wages, and not to carry burdens above two or three pounds weight, and a common fund was provided to maintain any suit at law against some rebellious master. This seems to be a confederacy which is by no means dissolved.

Such metropolitan servants, trained in depravity, are incapacitated to comprehend how far the personal interests of servants are folded up with the interests of the house they inhabit. They are unconscious that they have any share in the welfare of the superior, save in the degree that the prosperity of the master contributes to the base and momentary purposes of the servant.

VI. When a slave was deemed not a person, but a thing, marketable and transferable, the single principle judged sufficient to regulate the mutual conduct of the master and the domestic was, to command and to obey. It seems still the sole stipulation exacted by the haughty from the menial. But this feudal principle, unalleviated by the just sympathies of domesticity, deprives authority of its grace, and service of its zeal. To be served well, we should be loved a little; the command of an excellent master is even grateful, for the good servant delights to be useful. The slave repines, and such is the domestic destitute of any per-



sonal attachment for his master. He listens but to the loss of his freedom in the sound of the "iron tyrant," as once a servant called the summoning bell. Whoever loved the being they feared? Whoever was mindful of the interests of him whose beneficence is only a sacrifice to his pomp? The master dresses and wages highly his pampered train; but this is the calculated cost of state-liveries, of men measured by a standard, for a Hercules in the hall, or an Adonis for the drawing-room; but at those times when the domestic ceases to be an object in the public eye, he sinks into an object of sordid economy, or of merciless caprice. His personal feelings are recklessly neglected. He sleeps where there is neither light nor air; he is driven when he is already exhausted; he begins the work of midnight, and is confined for hours with men like himself, who fret, repine, and curse. They have their tales to compare together; their unhallowed secrets to disclose. The masters and the mistresses pass by them in review, and little deem they how oft the malignant glance or the malicious whisper follow their airy steps. To shorten such tedious hours, the servants familiarise themselves with every vicious indulgence, for even the occupation of such domestics is little more than a dissolute idleness. A cell in Newgate does not always contain more corruptors than a herd of their servants congregated in our winter halls. It is to be lamented that the modes of fashionable life demand the most terrible sacrifices of the health, the happiness, and the morals of servants. Whoever perceives that he is held in no esteem, stands degraded in his own thoughts. The heart of the simple throbs with this emotion; but it hardens the villain who would rejoice to avenge himself: it makes the artful only the more cunning; it extorts from the sullen a cold unwilling obedience, and it stings even the good-tempered into insolence.

South, as great a wit as a preacher, has separated, by an awful interval, the superior and the domestic. "A servant dwells remote from all knowledge of his lord's purposes; he lives as a kind of foreigner under the same roof; a domestic, yet a foreigner too." This exhibits a picture of feudal manners, and the title of the master here seems to restrict the observation to the aristocratic order. But the progress of society in modern Europe has passed through a mighty evolution. The power long conferred on one class has found an equilibrium by the wealth of another; and in the present more equable diffusion of both, those called "the great," merely from their position in society, are no longer exclusive in the general intercourse. The cumbrous machinery of a superstitious etiquette has worn out; that former impassable barrier which separated the privileged classes from their inferiors in rank. "The great" now enjoy a greater number of domestic hours, are imbued by deeper sympathies, and have adorned the dignity even of an ancient name, by soliciting our affections. In this visible change of habits, of feelings, of social life, the humble domestic has approximated to, and communicates more frequently even with, "his lord." The domestic is now not always a stranger to "his lord's purposes," but often their faithful actor—their confidential counsellor—the mirror in which his lordship contemplates on his wishes personified.

This reflection, indeed, would have violated the dignity of the noble friend of Swift, Lord Orrery. His Lordship censures the laugher in "Rabelais' easy chair" for having directed such intense attention to



affairs solely relating to servants. “ Let him jest with dignity, and let him be ironical upon *useful* subjects, leaving *poor slaves* to eat their porridge, or drink their small beer in such vessels as they shall think proper.” This lordly criticism has drawn down the lightning of Sir Walter Scott. “ The noble Lord’s feelings of dignity deemed nothing worthy of attention that was unconnected with the highest orders of society.” Such, in truth, was too long the vicious principle of those monopolists of personal distinction, the mere men of elevated rank. Lord Chesterfield advises his son not to allow his upper man to doff his livery, though this valet was to attend his person, when the toilette was a serious avocation requiring a more delicate hand, and a nicer person than him who was to walk before his chair, or climb behind his coach. This searching genius of philosophy and *les petites modes* solemnly warned that if ever this man were to cast off the badge of his order, he never would resume it. About this period the masters were menaced by a sort of servile war. The famous farce of “ High Life below Stairs ” exposed with great happiness the impudence and the delinquencies of the party-coloured clans. It roused them into the most barefaced opposition ; and, as ever happens to the few who press unjust claims on the many, in the result worked the reform they so greatly dreaded. One of the grievances in society was then an anomalous custom, for it was only practised in our country, of a guest being highly taxed in dining with a family whose establishment admitted of a numerous train. Watchful of the departure of the guest, this victim had to pass along a line of domestics, arranged in the hall, each man presenting the visiter with some separate article, of hat, gloves, coat, and cane, claiming their “ vails.” It would not have been safe to refuse even those who, with nothing to present, still held out the hand, for their attentions to the diner-out—at table !

VII. The general licentiousness of our metropolitan domestics, freed as they are from every personal responsibility in the eye of the law, and their vices often screened by the timidity or the charity of their masters, is one of the clamorous evils of civilised life—it is the misery of every day—and few families are exempt from this prevalent calamity.

These domestics now form a race ungoverned and apparently ungovernable. Some philanthropists, who have planned institutions for the amelioration of the condition of servitude, have despaired to invent means of sufficient force to repress such habitual depravity. Even men of the most benevolent natures have been driven to suggest coercive measures as their sole remedy. Jonas Hanway, whose days were passed in visions, and in acts of beneficence, could only recommend a system of domestic legislation by submitting incorrigible servants to the pains and penalties of law. Bishop Newton, alarmed at this disorderly multitude, would subject these disturbers of domestic life to a sort of police, resembling the discipline of martial law. De Foe, in an amusing tract of “ Everybody’s Business is Nobody’s Business,” remonstrating against “ the pride, insolence, &c.” of servants, could apply no happier remedy than a more extended contract for service, to be ratified before a magistrate, which should be cancelled in case the servant should allege sufficient cause of ill-treatment. The remedies suggested seem almost as bad as the disorders. To invest masters with the absolute power of a captain over his soldiers might infringe the personal freedom of the do-



mestic ; or putting a servant on trial where the jury should be composed all of masters, their awards might be biassed by prepossessions. All these writers expected that the law would do what we may more safely trust to the operations of moral influence, both in the masters and the servants.

There are two material points which I imagine might be gained by a sort of domestic jurisdiction. The one is to restrain the faculty which servants now exercise of discharging themselves from all their offices at their will ; and the other, to provide against that reluctance which masters experience in specifying distinctly the moral habits of their servants.

Unquestionably one of the great grievances of servitude in reality wholly falls on the master. The servant can dissolve, as it were by magic, the bonds of servitude ; and this usually occurs when admonishment or reprimand is required. The delinquent cannot be punished for so many nameless domestic misdemeanours ; the law dare not touch him ; and often a mistaken sympathy of companionship draws his or her mates into the same whirlpool of disobedience. A whole establishment is cast into jeopardy ; the happiness of an indulgent master is sacrificed : and in reality, here we find that, armed by this absolute power, it is the servant who tyrannises. This oracular and magical word, immutable as fate, is what they term “ Warning ! ” The alternative with the master is either instantly to pay the servant for not doing his work, or to try his temper by keeping an insolent servant during a month. This solemn “ warning ” appears to be nothing more than a custom got up among themselves ; it is an usurped, not a recorded right. De Foe says it rests on no legal foundation—I can discover none ; it is not even alluded to in Blackstone, nor is the term itself, as appropriated by servants, to be found in our classical dictionary. It is unjust that the master should remain continually exposed to the mercy of an obdurate self-willed domestic. The contract for servitude should be a more solemn engagement. Prudent servants will be cautious with whom they contract ; and, indeed, the character of the master is as important to the good servant as the reverse ; but the contract once formed should not be left solely to themselves to dissolve, without assigning a sufficient cause, before a parochial jurisdiction, which should be empowered to free a domestic from his stipulated tasks, or to send him back to perform them.

The other great object would be to secure a true, undisguised character of the servant. Were these PAROCHIAL COURTS solely dedicated to the affairs of DOMESTICS, they might be a national institution. The chief inhabitants of every parish would furnish a gratuitous magistracy ; for what honourable man would refuse to devote a single day, at distant periods, to occupy a seat on this paternal bench ? At this domestic tribunal should the master be summoned, and there, on his oath, and on the pain of penalty, he should answer the main questions on the moral qualities of his discharged servant, and no other, for the ability of the servant can only be obtained by a private conference. No servant should be hired without this parochial certificate. From this mode would result two great advantages. The master must answer, without reserve, what at present he often eludes, and even conceals ; and when the servant comes at length to know that he cannot remove into another place without the attestation of the *parochial character*, he would feel the unavoidable necessity of preserving some decency of conduct to enable him to go forth a free man, and to secure the means of his livelihood.



In the merciful justice of shielding the child of servitude from the domestic oppressor, our legislature, in almost every respect, has inclined to favour the servant, and has done nothing for the master. Servants unquestionably are subjected to the caprices, or to the violence of wayward masters ; but to endure such grievances is, with them, a mere affair of calculation. They are conscious they bear their freedom on their own lips. The truth is, artful servants do not dislike indiscreet masters, for they profit by their impetuous sallies ; harsh words are to be mollified by indulgences, and a hasty blow may be ready money. It cannot be denied that masters have remained wholly unprotected from their menials. No punishment is reserved for their ruinous negligence ; reckless of the waste committed, the servant may injure the property as much as if he robbed it ; but how many other serious causes must be passed by, unregarded and unpunished ? Servants are greatly deficient in generous emotions, and with them the sense of gratitude hardly lasts during the kindness conferred on them. The cold civility bordering on insolence ; the obdurate doggedness resisting all expostulation ; the brutal sullenness of the malignant ; and, often, the voluble blustering of a bullying servant ;—these tyrannize over the timidity or the love of quiet in the superior, and are often tolerated from that aversion to continual change in the masters, whose experience yields but little hope of bettering their condition. The weakness of the master becomes the strength of the servant. The ruling power of the house has been often usurped by an artful domestic, who, equally skilful and remorseless, has practised on the infirmities of a family circle. Such a domestic has depraved the young and wheedled the old ; and, while their foibles have been studied, they have wanted the sense or the courage to shake off the serpent who has coiled about them but to prey on them. The extreme case of a *domesticophobia* has occurred, where the plague and torment of servants have induced some, who preferred their repose to their convenience, or even their station in society, to break up house-keeping. A singular inscription on a Roman tomb records the fate of its sad tenant. She was a matron who, “for the instruction of posterity, testified that she rejoiced to die, that so she might be delivered from the slavery of her slaves.” Their daily quarrels and cabals had embittered the days of this Roman matron.

At length you discharge this unsufferable servant ; but this is no punishment ; with alacrity and hope he only gaily shifts the scene to perform elsewhere. There is no legal punishment for innumerable domestic trespasses. This servant quits you, but claims a character. If you send him out into the world with a lost character he is a destituted being, and you condemn him to a condition worse than transportation. Your humanity is now placed under the dreadful necessity of giving a false character !—that is, a character by which he may obtain a situation. The false character, for such in reality such characters are, is transmitted from one honourable person to another ; and, while all complain that they are constantly deceived by others, they are themselves carrying on the same deception. I have heard a servant boast that he had nearly made the complete circuit of a square and its adjoining streets ; for in no one place had he been refused a character to impede his progress ; yet this was a worthless servant, but an hypocritical knave,—who had ever for a chorus something to express his personal regard to “The Family ;”—“The Family” included the square and the



streets where he dexterously pilfered, lied, and intrigued. Thus the incorrigible servant is furnished with a fatal passport into families, and still more practised in the last than in the former place, such novices become adepts in their vices and their trickery.

VIII. It is in small communities only that we perceive how the affections of the master and the domestic may take root. Look in an ancient retired family, whose servants often have been born under the roof they inhabit, and where the son is serving where the father still serves, and sometimes call the sacred spot of their cradle and their grave, by the proud and endearing term of "Our House." Observe a town of limited extent, where the refined artifices of the metropolis are almost unknown; it is in such places that the *pater familiæ* looks on the remoter members moving together with an unity of feeling; it is in such places that the domestic acts, not oftener prompted by command than by unbidden labours; and such unconstrained service is not like that of those who make a show of their diligence to their masters, which has been emphatically termed "Eye-service." The passion of domesticity is intense in proportion to its contraction. In the great capitals of London and Paris it is vague and uncertain; there, mostly, it may be deemed "Lip-service," or the art of wheedling;—it is the blaze of kindled straw losing itself in air; but, in a more restricted sphere of domestic life, it is a clear and constant flame, whose fuel never fails.

It is among the domestic virtues of the middle classes of life, as the residents of an overgrown metropolis would deem these more retired families, that we find the servant a participator in the cares of the household, and an humble associate with the heads of the family. We discover this in whole countries where luxury has not removed the classes of society at too wide distances from each other, to deaden their sympathies. We behold this in agrestic Switzerland among its villages and its pastures; in France among its distant provinces; in Italy in some of its decayed cities; and in Germany, where simple manners and strong affections mark the inhabitants of certain localities. Holland long preserved its primitive customs, and there the love of order promotes subordination, though its free institutions have softened the distinctions in the ranks of life, and there we find a remarkable evidence of domesticity. It is not unusual in Holland for servants to call their masters uncle, their mistresses aunt, and the children of the family their cousins. These domestics participating in the comforts of the family, become naturalised and domiciliated, and their extraordinary relatives are often adopted by the heart. An heroic effort of these domestics has been recorded; it occurred at the burning of the theatre at Amsterdam, where many rushed into the flames, and nobly perished in the attempt to save their endeared families.

It is in limited communities that the domestic virtues are most intense; all concentrating themselves in their private circles, in such localities there is no public,—no public which extorts so many sacrifices from the individual. Insular situations are usually remarkable for the warm attachment and devoted fidelity of the domestic, and the personal regard of families for their servants. This genuine domesticity is strikingly displayed in the island of Ragusa, on the coast of Dalmatia; for there they provide for the happiness of the humble friends of the house. Boys, at an early age, are received into families, educated in writing, reading, and arithmetic. Some only quit their abode, in which they were almost



born, when tempted by the stirring spirit of maritime enterprise. They form a race of men who are much sought after for servants ; and, as I have heard, the term applied to them of “ Men of the Gulf ” is a sure recommendation of character for unlimited trust and unwearying zeal.

The mode of providing for the future comforts of their maidens is a little incident in the history of benevolence, which we must regret is only practised in such limited communities. Malte Brun, in his “ *Annales des Voyages*,” has painted a scene of this nature which may read like some romance of real life. The girls, after a service of ten years, on one great holiday, an epoch in their lives, receive the ample reward of their good conduct. On that happy day, the mistress and all the friends of the family prepare for the maiden a sort of dowry or marriage portion. Every friend of the house sends some article ; and the mistress notes down the gifts that she may return the same on a similar occasion. The donations consist of silver, of gowns, of handkerchiefs, and other useful articles for a young woman. These tributes of friendship are placed beside a silver basin which contains the annual wages of the servant ; her relatives from the country come, accompanied by music, carrying baskets covered with ribbons and loaded with fruits, and other rural delicacies. They are received by the master himself, who invites them to the feast, where the company assemble, and particularly the ladies. All the presents are reviewed. The servant introduced, kneels to receive the benediction of her mistress, whose grateful task is then to deliver a solemn enumeration of her good qualities, concluding by announcing to the maiden that having been brought up in the house, if it be her choice to remain, from henceforwards she shall be considered as one of the family. Tears of affection often fall during this beautiful scene of true domesticity, which terminates with a ball for the servants, and another for the superiors. The relatives of the maiden return homewards with their joyous musicians ; and, if the maiden prefers her old domestic abode, she receives an increase of wages, and at a succeeding period of six years, another Jubilee provides her second good fortune.

Let me tell one more story of the influence of this passion of domesticity in the servant ;—its merit equals its novelty. In that inglorious attack on Buenos Ayres where our brave soldiers were disgraced by a recreant general, the negroes, slaves as they were, joined the inhabitants to expel their invaders. On this signal occasion, the city decreed a public expression of their gratitude to the negroes, in a sort of triumph, and at the same time awarded the freedom of eighty of their leaders. One of them having shown his claims to the boon, declaring that to obtain his freedom had all his days formed the proud object of his wishes, his claim was indisputable ; yet now however, to the amazement of the judges, he refused his proffered freedom ! The reason he alleged was a singular refinement of heartfelt sensibility :—“ My kind mistress,” said the negro, “ once wealthy, has fallen into misfortunes in her infirm old age. I work to maintain her, and at intervals of leisure she leans on my arm to take the evening air. I will not be tempted to abandon her, and I renounce the hope of freedom that she may know she possesses a slave who never will quit her side.”

Although I have been travelling out of Europe to furnish some striking illustrations of the powerful emotion of domesticity, it is not that we are without instances in the private history of families among ourselves. I have known more than one where the servant has chosen to live with-



out wages, rather than quit the master or the mistress in their decayed fortunes ; and another where the servant cheerfully worked to support her old lady to her last day.

Surely customs such as those we have just seen, of which we have none, tend to strengthen the local attachment of servants, associate them with the interests and prosperity of the house, and inspire that mutual confidence which now rarely subsists between the master and the domestic. Would we look on a very opposite mode of servitude, turn to the United States. No system of servitude was ever so preposterous. A crude notion of popular freedom in the equality of ranks abolished the very designation of "servant," substituting the fantastic term of "helps." If there be any meaning left in this barbarous neologism, their aid amounts to little : their engagements are made by the week, and they often quit their domicile without the slightest intimation. The family must as often look to themselves to perform their household affairs, as to their "helps." Here is a race of domestics, unworthy of the title, who quit you in the caprice of the moment, and who stubbornly refuse any positive improvement by alleging, as I have been informed, that "they will only work as their fathers taught them." The importation of servants from England has been frequently tried ; but, in the contagion of a false notion of independence, the English servant soon degenerates into the American "help." In such an uncivilized state of servitude the influence of domesticity ceases. There is no link to hold the parties together ; the weekly contract prevents all future kindness ; the momentary freak which discharges them from all their duties, any confidence ; and "the help" remains, wherever this fugitive servant is found, a stranger in the household.

IX. We dare not hope amidst the contagion of a great metropolis, and the graduated initiations into a system of depravity, that servants will cease to conceive that their interests are distinct from those of their employers ; or that they can form any personal attachment who imagine that a change of place is an advancement in life. On such a cold and lean soil the emotions of domesticity wither, and can never take root in the master or the menial.

In all isolated bodies of persons there ever will be an *esprit de corps*, and it is not less observable in servants than in the highest orders of society. The hour which covers the man by his livery makes him free of his company : by this badge he is initiated into the mysteries of the craft ; he is recognized by his comrades as a true brother. Instant friendships and rapid confidences kindle the mutual intercourse of men, who, within a few hours, were strangers to each other. The common cause opens their communication. Murmurs are echoed ; bitter jests are the merri-ment of the miserable ; and the sore feelings of servitude are avenged when they exult in those practical arts by which they seem to level their superiors, and, by their cunning, balance the inequality of fortune.

In every domestic establishment, small as well as great, there are usually found two distinct systems of politics running counter to each other, which we may call the *Parlour and the Kitchen Interests*. There is the general alliance of silent connivance ; there is the secret treaty made between certain parties ; and if, in the whole brother and sisterhood, an honest domestic should be guilty of an act of treason, even he must endure this pang of conscience,—whether he commits the greater crime in betraying his companion or his master ? At all events, he knows



that if he betrays the republic, he stands a hated and excommunicated being ; there is no companionship in the silence of his mates ; there is no rest for the sole of his foot.

Whether, in reality, it serves the designs of either of the parties that there should exist such opposed interests under the same roof, is a question involving many complicated points : crossing interests must be adjusted ; and some obscure principles must be cleared up before we can fairly arbitrate between the parties themselves. This subject itself might furnish a dissertation, which I beg to waive.

Of these contending politics of the parlour and the kitchen, some have been so fully convinced that they have employed a sort of preventive policy to break this general confederacy on the side of the domestics. They have placed at the head of the household a dragon, of either sex, whose ill-temper, at perpetual variance with the fellow-servants, is watchful out of spite ; tattling informers and insinuating spies are encouraged : the house is a hell. One great art in the government of servants has consisted in fomenting divisions among them. This, indeed, is the tactic of a higher despotism than that of domestic life, but it is of an ancient date. Cato, the censor, was intent on contrivances to keep up some quarrel among his servants, dreading lest a good understanding among them would promote their general collusion. This sage was indeed so jealous of the policy of the parlour and the kitchen systems, that he forbid his domestics all communication with other servants. They were never suffered to enter a house unless despatched on a message ; and, whenever they were asked how Cato was employed, gave one eternal answer, that "They did not know." He ordered them to be always busied in the house, or to sleep ; and he preferred those who often slept, for these usually worked more cheerfully, and were more tractable, than the more lively, who were apt to hatch some mischief in their restless leisure. Were parade not preferred to utility, how many families might now rejoice to cast many of their lounging domestics into the innocence of sleep !

X. In the interior of families, servants unavoidably witness scenes and note circumstances which many would conceal even from intimate friendships. They listen to conversations, which they accidentally gather up ; and, in general, servants are endowed with a keener discernment of the characters in the parlour than the parlour suspects. We say a keener discernment ; for, to judge by the unreserved manner families generally conduct themselves before their servants-in-waiting, we must conclude that they imagine their domestics are mere automatical figures, who are made to come in and go out for the purpose of performing certain movements, without being capable of receiving any impressions by the ear and the eye. The amusing disposition which servants indulge by listening to the guests during meals was ingeniously turned to some use by the learned translator of Epictetus. She took that opportunity of engaging their attention to important points. As she possessed the art of alluring by instruction, she won their hearts. In the families she visited, the servants displayed the most zealous attention to the moral legislator of the table. A lady of high rank declared that she attributed much of the general good conduct of her servants to their listening to these conversations.

Far different, however, are the conversations to which our domestics are accustomed. They hear at table unpremeditated conversations, which



are a school for them, whence they may learn all the egregious follies, the ostentatious infirmities, and often the depravity of the state of morality among their superiors. The most secret anecdotes are no longer secret ; the wildest extravagancies are curiously admired ; and the waiting auditors are initiated into matters in which they should not be concerned, but which their pride, their vanity, and often their very ignorance, affect to hold as affairs in which they stand deeply interested and are best informed about.

As the master and the mistress are the great concentrating objects on which the main affairs of the house are revolving, these two vast luminaries become the incessant studies of the imagination of the domestics who are nearest to them. They require no telescope to observe the dark spots, or the gathering clouds passing over the variable face which governs their atmosphere. The looks—the voice—the change of colour in the countenance—an involuntary exclamation—some accidental incident,—these domestics are apt, wrongly or rightly, to combine with their silent notices. The master and the mistress are under a surveillance : the lady's maid reports her three observations at the toilette—at morning-rising, at dressing, and at bed-time ; the intermediate hours fall under the scrutiny of the lady's footman and the gentleman's valet. They compare notes, and then follow revelations and prophecies.

These are domestics who grow familiar, unsuspected by the personages themselves, with the humours, the indulgencies, and the sorrows of their twenty-four hours. Few philosophers would rival the well-trained-up domestics in their conception of the characters of the heads of the family. “ Were I ordered,” said a witty writer, “ for the public good, to inscribe on the doors of great houses the characters of the inhabitants, I would not write miserly—generous—gentle—passionate—prude—coquette, without having first consulted the anti-chambers.” Even then, it might be necessary to allow of a Saturnalia to get at not only truth, but the whole truth. The Saturnalia indeed was an extraordinary invention—it emancipated the slave only for a single day. At least this custom fixed one day in the year when the masters might learn something of themselves from the mouths of their servants. And valued indeed will ever be the praise of masters from servants ; the praise from those humble lips is more than precious—coarse as may be the workmanship, it is the golden meed of domestic virtue. Who would not court the suffrage of so intimate a witness of the privacy of a man's life ? Who would not confide in the sincerity of the man when authorised by the testimony of an honest menial ?

XI. Let none, in the plenitude of pride and egotism, imagine that they exist independent of the virtues of their domestics. The good conduct of the servant stamps a character on the master. In the sphere of domestic life they must frequently come in contact with them. On this subordinate class, how much the happiness and even the welfare of the master may rest ! The gentle offices of servitude began in his cradle, and await him at all seasons and all spots, in pleasure or in peril. Feelingly observes Sir Walter Scott, “ In a free country an individual's happiness is more immediately connected with the personal character of his valet than with that of the monarch himself.” Let the reflection not be deemed extravagant, if I venture to add, that the habitual obedience of a devoted servant is a more immediate source of per-



sonal comfort than even the delightfulness of friendship and the tenderness of relatives,—for these are but periodical ; but the unbidden zeal of the domestic, intimate with our habits, and patient of our waywardness, labours for us at all hours. It is those feet which hasten to us in our solitude ; it is those hands which silently administer to our wants. At what period of life are even the great exempt from the gentle offices of servitude?

XII. Faithful servants have never been commemorated by more heartfelt affection than by those whose pursuits require a perfect freedom from domestic cares. Persons of sedentary occupations, and undisturbed habits, abstracted from the daily business of life, must yield unlimited trust to the honesty, while they want the hourly attentions, and all the cheerful zeal of the thoughtful domestic. The mutual affections of the master and the servant have often been exalted into a companionship of feelings.

When Madame de Genlis heard that POPE had raised a monument not only to his father and to his mother, but also to the faithful servant who had nursed his earliest years, she was so suddenly struck by the fact, that she declared that “This monument of gratitude is the more remarkable for its singularity, as I know of no other instance.” Our church-yards would have afforded her a vast number of tomb-stones erected by grateful masters to faithful servants ; and a closer intimacy with the domestic privacy of many public characters might have displayed the same splendid examples. The one which appears to have so strongly affected her may be found on the east end of the outside of the parish church of Twickenham. —The stone bears this inscription :—

To the memory of Mary Beach,  
who died November 5, 1725, aged 78.  
Alexander Pope,  
whom she nursed in his infancy,  
and constantly attended for thirty-eight years,  
Erected this stone  
In gratitude to a faithful Servant.

The original portrait of SHENSTONE was the votive gift of a master to his servant ; for on its back, written by the poet’s own hand, is the following dedication :—“This picture belongs to Mary Cutler, given her by her Master, William Shenstone, January 1st, 1754, in acknowledgment of her native genius, her magnanimity, her tenderness, and her fidelity.—W. S.” We might refer to many similar evidences of the domestic gratitude of such masters to old and attached servants. Some of these tributes may be familiar to most readers. The solemn author of the “Night Thoughts” inscribed an epitaph over the grave of his man-servant ; the caustic GIFFORD poured forth an effusion to the memory of a female servant, fraught with a melancholy tenderness which his muse rarely indulged.

Even the throne has not been too far removed from this sphere of humble humanity ; for we discover in St. George’s Chapel a mural monument, erected by order of one of our late Sovereigns, as the memorial for a female servant of a favourite daughter. The inscription is a tribute of domestic affection in a royal bosom, where an attached servant became a cherished inmate :—



King George III.  
 caused to be interred near this place  
 The body of Mary Gascoigne,  
 Servant to the Princess Amelia,  
 And this stone  
 to be inscribed in testimony of his grateful sense  
 of the faithful services and attachment  
 of an amiable young woman  
 to  
 his beloved Daughter.

This deep emotion for the tender offices of servitude is not peculiar to the refinement of our manners or to modern Europe: it is not the charity of Christianity alone which has hallowed this sensibility, and confessed this equality of affection, which the domestic may participate. Monumental inscriptions, raised by grateful masters to the merits of their slaves, have been preserved in the great collections of Grævius and Gruter.

XIII. Even in the analyzing severity of judicial arbitration on the rights of master and servant, the emotions of our nature have been recognized by the legislator; and in the relative stations of these parties, in law, their persons and their acts are rendered identical, and the one is no longer separable from the other. The master may justify an assault in defence of his servant, as a servant may in defence of his master. The legal argument is, that the master possesses a property in the services of his servant, and he is wronged if deprived of them; and the servant for the hire he receives has tacitly stipulated to protect the interests of the master, and consequently to defend his freedom. But in this legal decision, where an assault is justified, has the sage expounder of the law deduced the efficient motive which prompts the master to defend the servant, and the servant to protect the master? Would the mere abstract sense of property in the services of his domestic animate the *courage* of the master, or the obscure reference implied by a tacit contract arouse the *zeal* of the servant? We may appeal to a more genial source for the justification of such an assault, in its moral causes,—in those affections of domesticity which link together the hearts of the master and the servant,—deprived of which the vague notions of property which the one may entertain, and of hire which the other receives, would but coldly lift the arm of either.

The master is answerable for the act of his servant. In the daily current of affairs, whatever business a servant usually transacts, or whatever he is permitted to do, in law, his act becomes the act of the master; for the uninterrupted repetition of the acts of the servant can only be ascribed to the command of his master, and the principle that what he does by another he does himself. So close and sacred is the domestic brotherhood held between these parties, that any one hiring, or seducing away, a servant in the actual service of another, is liable to an action for damages. The law has firmly knotted this mutual tie of domesticity, however few are conscious of its influence, and however slight the connexion which now exists between master and servant, amidst the relaxed manners of a great capital—and continually removed as they are from each other, bound by no tie, and governed by no principle.

ATTICUS.



## JOURNAL OF CONVERSATIONS WITH LORD BYRON.

BY THE COUNTESS OF BLESSINGTON.\* NO. VI.

BYRON continually reverts to Sir Walter Scott, and always in terms of admiration for his genius, and affection for his good qualities; he says that he never gets up from the perusal of one of his works, without finding himself in a better disposition, and that he generally reads his novels three times. “I find such a just mode of thinking, (said Byron,) that I could fill volumes with detached thoughts from Scott, all, and each, full of truth and beauty. Then how good are his definitions. Do you remember, in ‘Peveril of the Peak,’ where he says, ‘Presence of mind is courage. Real valour consists, not in being insensible to danger, but in being prompt to confront and disarm it.’ How true is this, and what an admirable distinction between moral and physical courage!”

I complimented him on his memory, and he added:—“My memory is very retentive, but the passage I repeated I read this morning for the third time. How applicable to Scott’s works is the observation made by Madame du Deffand on Richardson’s Novels, in one of her letters to Voltaire: ‘La morale y est en action, et n’a jamais été traitée d’une manière plus intéressante. On meurt d’envie d’être parfait après cette lecture, et l’on croit que rien n’est si aisé.’ I think,” continued Byron, after a pause, “that Scott is the only very successful genius that could be cited as being as generally beloved as a man as he is admired as an author; and, I must add, he deserves it, for he is so thoroughly good-natured, sincere, and honest, that he disarms the envy and jealousy his extraordinary genius must excite. I hope to meet Scott once more before I die; for, worn out as are my affections, he still retains a strong hold on them.”

There was something highly gratifying to the feelings in witnessing the warmth and cordiality that Byron’s countenance and manner displayed when talking of Sir W. Scott; it proved how capable he was of entertaining friendship,—a sentiment of which he so frequently professed to doubt the existence: but in this, as on many other points, he never did himself justice; and the turn for ridicule and satire implanted in his nature led him to indulge in observations in which his real feelings had no share. Circumstances had rendered Byron suspicious; he was apt to attribute every mark of interest or good-will shown to him as emanating from vanity, that sought gratification by a contact with his poetical celebrity; this encouraged his predilection for hoaxing, ridiculing, and doubting friends and friendship. But as Sir W. Scott’s own well-earned celebrity put the possibility of such a motive out of the question,

---

\* Continued from page 544, vol. xxxv.



Byron yielded to the sentiment of friendship in all its force for him, and never named him but with praise and affection. Byron's was a proud mind, that resisted correction, but that might easily be led by kindness ; his errors had been so severely punished, that he became reckless and misanthropic, to avenge the injustice he had experienced ; and, as misanthropy was foreign to his nature, its partial indulgence produced the painful state of being continually at war with his better feelings, and of rendering him dissatisfied with himself and others.

Talking of the effects that ingratitude and disappointments produced on the character of the individual who experienced them, Byron said, that they invariably soured the nature of the person, who, when reduced to this state of acidity, was decried as a cynical, ill-natured brute. " People wonder (continued he) that a man is sour who has been feeding on acids all his life. The extremes of adversity and prosperity produce the same effects ; they harden the heart, and enervate the mind ; they render a person so selfish, that, occupied solely with his own pains or pleasures, he ceases to feel for others ; hence, as sweets turn to acids as well as sour, excessive prosperity may produce the same consequences as adversity."

His was a nature to be bettered by prosperity, and to be rendered obstinate by adversity. He invoked Stoicism to resist injustice, but its shield repelled not a single blow aimed at his peace, while its appearance deprived him of the sympathy for which his heart yearned. Let those, who would judge with severity the errors of this wayward child of genius, look back at his days of infancy and youth, and ask themselves whether, under such unfavourable auspices, they could have escaped the defects that tarnish the lustre of his fame,—defects rendered more obvious by the brightness they partially obscured, and which, without that brightness, had perhaps never been observed.

An eagle confined in a cage could not have been more displaced than was Byron in the artificial and conventional society that disgusted him with the world ; like that daring bird, he could fearlessly soar high, and contemplate the sun, but he was unfit for the busy haunts of men ; and he, whose genius could people a desert, pined in the solitude of crowds. The people he saw resembled not the creatures his fancy had formed, and, with a heart yearning towards his fellow men, pride and a false estimate of mankind repelled him from seeking their sympathy, though it deprived them not of his, as not all his assumed Stoicism could conceal the kind feelings that spontaneously showed themselves when the misfortunes of others were named. Byron warred only with the vices and follies of his species ; and if he had a bitter jest and biting sarcasm for these, he had pity and forbearance for affliction, even though deserved, and forgot the cause in the effect. Misfortune was sacred in his eyes, and seemed to be the last link of the chain that connected him with his fellow-men. I remember hearing a person in his presence revert



to the unhappiness of an individual known to all the party present, and, having instanced some proofs of the unhappiness, observe that the person was not to be pitied, for he had brought it on himself by misconduct. I shall never forget the expression of Byron's face ; it glowed with indignation, and, turning to the person who had excited it, he said, " If, as you say, this heavy misfortune has been caused by ——'s misconduct, then is he doubly to be pitied, for he has the reproaches of conscience to embitter his draught. Those who have lost what is considered the right to pity in losing reputation and self-respect, are the persons who stand most in need of commiseration ; and yet the charitable feelings of the over-moral would deny them this boon : reserving it for those on whom undeserved misfortunes fall, and who have that *within* which renders pity superfluous, have also respect to supply its place. Nothing so completely serves to demoralize a man as the certainty that he has lost the sympathy of his fellow-creatures ; it breaks the last tie that binds him to humanity, and renders him reckless and irreclaimable. This (continued Byron) is my moral ; and this it is that makes me pity the guilty and respect the unfortunate."

While he spoke, the earnestness of his manner, and the increased colour and animation of his countenance, bore evident marks of the sincerity of the sentiments he uttered : it was at such moments that his native goodness burst forth, and pages of misanthropic sarcasms could not efface the impression they left behind, though he often endeavoured to destroy such impressions by pleasantries against himself.

" When you go to Naples you must make acquaintance with Sir William Drummond (said Byron), for he is certainly one of the most erudite men, and admirable philosophers now living. He has all the wit of Voltaire, with a profundity that seldom appertains to wit, and writes so forcibly, and with such elegance and purity of style, that his works possess a peculiar charm. Have you read his 'Academical Questions?' if not, get them directly, and I think you will agree with me, that the Preface to that work alone would prove Sir William Drummond an admirable writer. He concludes it by the following sentence, which I think one of the best in our language :—' Prejudice may be trusted to guard the outworks for a short space of time, while Reason slumbers in the citadel ; but if the latter sink into a lethargy, the former will quickly erect a standard for herself. Philosophy, wisdom, and liberty, support each other ; he, who will not reason, is a bigot ; he, who cannot, is a fool ; and he, who dares not, is a slave.' Is not the passage admirable ? (continued Byron) ; how few could have written it, and yet how few read Drummond's works ! they are too good to be popular. His 'Odin' is really a fine poem, and has some passages that are beautiful, but it is so little read that it may be said to have dropped still-born from the press, a mortifying proof of the bad taste of the age. His translation of Persius is not only very literal, but preserves much of the spirit of the



original, a merit that, let me tell you, is very rare at present, when translations have about as much of the spirit of the original as champagne diluted with three parts of water, may be supposed to retain of the pure and sparkling wine. Translations, for the most part, resemble imitations, where the marked defects are exaggerated, and the beauties passed over, always excepting the imitations of Mathews, (continued Byron,) who seems to have continuous chords in his mind, that vibrate to those in the minds of others, as he gives not only the look, tones, and manners of the persons he personifies, but the very train of thinking, and the expressions they indulge in; and, strange to say, this modern Proteus succeeds best when the imitated is a person of genius, or great talent, as he seems to identify himself with him. His imitation of Curran can hardly be so called—it is a *continuation*, and is inimitable. I remember Sir Walter Scott's observing that Mathews' imitations were of the *mind*, to those who had the key; but as the majority had it not, they were contented with admiring those of the person, and pronounced him a mimic who ought to be considered an accurate and philosophic observer of human nature, blessed with the rare talent of intuitively identifying himself with the minds of others. But, to return to Sir Wm. Drummond, (continued Byron,) he has escaped all the defects of translators, and his Persius resembles the original as nearly in feeling and sentiment as two languages so dissimilar in idiom will admit. Translations almost always disappoint me; I must, however, except Pope's 'Homer,' which has more of the spirit of Homer than all the other translations put together \*, and the Teian bard himself might have been proud of the beautiful odes which the Irish Anacreon has given us.

“ Of the wits about town, I think (said Byron) that George Colman was one of the most agreeable; he was *toujours prêt*, and after two or three glasses of champagne, the quicksilver of his wit mounted to *beau fixe*. Colman has a good deal of tact; he feels that convivial hours were meant for enjoyment, and understands society so well, that he never obtrudes any private feeling, except hilarity, into it. His jokes are all good, and *readable*, and flow without effort, like the champagne that often gives birth to them, sparkle after sparkle, and brilliant to the last. Then one is sure of Colman, (continued Byron,) which is a great comfort; for to be made to cry when one had made up one's mind to laugh, is a *triste* affair. I remember that this was the great drawback with Sheridan; a little wine made him melancholy, and his melancholy was contagious; for who could bear to see the wizard, who could at will command smiles or tears, yield to the latter without sharing them, though one wished

---

\* This was indeed carrying his admiration of Pope to an extreme. It is impossible to conceive anything more foreign not only from Homer, but from the spirit of all Greek poetry, than Pope's translation—in fact, it has the air of an imitation from a French paraphrase!



that the exhibition had been less public? My feelings were never more excited than while writing the *Monody on Sheridan*,—every word that I wrote came direct from the heart. Poor Sherry! what a noble mind was in him overthrown by poverty! and to see the men with whom he had passed his life, the dark souls whom his genius illumined, rolling in wealth, the Sybarites whose slumbers a crushed rose-leaf would have disturbed, leaving him to die on the pallet of poverty, his last moments disturbed by the myrmidons of the law. Oh! it was enough to disgust one with human nature, but above all with the nature of those who, professing liberality, were so little acquainted with its twin-sister generosity.

“I have seen poor Sheridan weep, and good cause had he (continued Byron). Placed by his transcendent talents in an elevated sphere, without the means of supporting the necessary appearance, to how many humiliations must his fine mind have submitted, ere he had arrived at the state in which I knew him, of reckless jokes to pacify creditors of a morning, and alternate smiles and tears of an evening, round the boards where ostentatious dulness called in his aid to give a zest to the wine that often maddened him, but could not thaw the frozen current of their blood. Moore’s *Monody on Sheridan* (continued Byron) was a fine burst of generous indignation, and is one of the most powerful of his compositions. It was as daring as my ‘*Avatar*,’ which was bold enough, and God knows, true enough, but I have never repented it. Your countrymen behaved dreadfully on that occasion; despair may support the chains of tyranny, but it is only baseness that can sing and dance in them, as did the Irish on the ——’s visit. But I see you would prefer another subject, so let us talk of something else, though this cannot be a humiliating one to you personally, as I know your husband did not make one among the rabble at that Saturnalia.

“The Irish are strange people (continued Byron), at one moment overpowered by sadness, and the next elevated to joy; impressionable as heated wax, and like it, changing each time that it is warmed. The dolphin, when shone upon by the sun, changes not its hues more frequently than do your mobile countrymen, and this want of stability will leave them long what centuries have found them—slaves. I liked them before the degradation of 1822, but the dance in chains disgusted me. What would Grattan and Curran have thought of it? and Moore, why struck he not the harp of Erin to awaken the slumbering souls of his supine countrymen?”

To those who only know Byron as an author, it would be difficult, if not impossible, to convey a just impression of him as a man. In him the elements of good and evil were so strongly mixed, that an error could not be detected that was not allied to some good quality; and his fine qualities, and they were many, could hardly be separated from the



faults that sullied them. In bestowing on Byron a genius as versatile as it was brilliant and powerful, Nature had not denied him warmth of heart, and the kind affections that beget, while they are formed to repay friendship; but a false beau ideal that he had created for himself, and a wish of exciting wonder, led him into a line of conduct calculated to lower him in the estimation of superficial observers, who judge from appearances, while those who had opportunities of judging him more nearly, and who made allowance for his besetting sin, (the assumption of vices and errors, that he either had not, or exaggerated the appearance of,) found in him more to admire than censure, and to pity than condemn. In his severest satires, however much of malice there might be in the expression, there was little in the feeling that dictated them; they came from the imagination and not from the heart, for in a few minutes after he had unveiled the errors of some friend or acquaintance, he would call attention to some of their good qualities with as much apparent pleasure as he had dwelt on their defects. A nearly daily intercourse of ten weeks with Byron left the impression on my mind, that if an extraordinary quickness of perception prevented his passing over the errors of those with whom he came in contact, and a natural incontinence of speech betrayed him into an exposure of them,—a candour and good-nature, quite as remarkable, often led him to enumerate their virtues, and to draw attention to them. It may be supposed, that with such powerful talents, there was less excuse for the attacks he was in the habit of making on his friends and acquaintances; but those very talents were the cause; they suggested a thousand lively and piquant images to his fancy, relative to the defects of those with whom he associated, and he had not self-command sufficient to repress the sallies that he knew must show at once his discrimination and talents for ridicule, and amuse his hearers, however they might betray a want of good-nature and sincerity.

There was no premeditated malignity in Byron's nature; though constantly in the habit of exposing the follies and vanity of his friends, I never heard him blacken their reputation, and I never felt an unfavourable impression from any of the censures he bestowed, because I saw they were aimed at follies, and not character. He used frequently to say that people hated him more for exposing their follies than if he had attacked their moral characters, adding, "Such is the vanity of human nature, that men would prefer being defamed to being ridiculed, and would much sooner pardon the first than the second. There is much more folly than vice in the world (said Byron). The appearance of the latter is often assumed by the dictates of the former, and people pass for being vicious who are only foolish. I have seen such examples (continued he) of this in the world, that it makes one rather incredulous as to the extent of actual vice; but I can believe any thing of the capa-



bilities of vanity and folly, having witnessed to what length they can go. I have seen women compromise their honour (in appearance only) for the triumph (and a hopeful one) of rivalling some contemporary belle; and men sacrifice theirs, in reality, by false boastings for the gratification of vanity. All, all is vanity and vexation of spirit (added he); the first being the legitimate parent of the second, an offspring that, school it how you will, is sure to turn out a curse to its parent."

"Lord Blessington has been talking to me about Mr. Galt (said Lord Byron), and tells me much good of him. I am pleased at finding he is as amiable a man as his recent works prove him to be a clever and intelligent author. When I knew Galt, years ago, I was not in a frame of mind to form an impartial opinion of him; his mildness and equanimity struck me even then; but, to say the truth, his manner had not deference enough for my then aristocratical taste, and finding I could not awe him into a respect sufficiently profound for my sublime self, either as a peer or an author, I felt a little grudge towards him that has now completely worn off. There is a quaint humour and observance of character in his novels that interest me very much, and when he chooses to be pathetic he fools one to his bent, for I assure you the 'Entail' beguiled me of some portion of watery humours, yclept tears, 'albeit unused to the melting mood.' What I admire particularly in Galt's works (continued Byron) is, that with a perfect knowledge of human nature and its frailties and legerdemain tricks, he shows a tenderness of heart which convinces one that *his* is in the right place, and he has a sly caustic humour that is very amusing. All that Lord Blessington has been telling me of Galt has made me reflect on the striking difference between his (Lord B.'s) nature and my own. I had an excellent opportunity of judging Galt, being shut up on board ship with him for some days; and though I saw he was mild, equal, and sensible, I took no pains to cultivate his acquaintance further than I should with any commonplace person, which he was not; and Lord Blessington in London, with a numerous acquaintance, and 'all appliances to boot,' for choosing and selecting, has found so much to like in Galt, *malgré* the difference of their politics, that his liking has grown into friendship.

"I must say that I never saw the milk of human kindness overflow in any nature to so great a degree, as in Lord Blessington's (continued Byron). I used, before I knew him well, to think that Shelley was the most amiable person I ever knew, but I now think that Lord B. bears off the palm, for he has been assailed by all the temptations that so few can resist, those of unvarying prosperity, and has passed the ordeal victoriously, a triumphant proof of the extraordinary goodness of his nature, while poor Shelley had been tried in the school of adversity only, which is not such a corrupter as is that of prosperity. If Lord B. has not the power, Midas-like, of turning what-



ever he touches into gold (continued Byron), he has at least that of turning all into good. I, alas! detect only the evil qualities of those that approach me, while he discovers the good. It appears to me, that the extreme excellence of his own disposition prevents his attributing evil to others; I do assure you (continued Byron), I have thought better of mankind since I have known him intimately." The earnestness of Byron's manner convinced me that he spoke his real sentiments relative to Lord B., and that his commendations were not uttered with a view of gratifying me, but flowed spontaneously in the honest warmth of the moment. A long, daily and hourly knowledge of the person he praised, has enabled me to judge of the justice of the commendation, and Byron never spoke more truly than when he pronounced Lord B.'s a faultless nature. While he was speaking, he continually looked back, for fear that the person of whom he spoke should overhear his remarks, as he was riding behind, at a little distance from us.

"Is Lady —— as restless and indefatigable as ever? (asked Byron) —She is an extraordinary woman, and the most thorough-paced manœuvrer I ever met with; she cannot make or accept an invitation, or perform any of the common courtesies of life, without manœuvring, and has always some plan in agitation, to which all her acquaintance are subservient. This is so evident, that she never approached me that I did not expect her to levy contributions on my muse, the only disposable property I possessed; and I was as surprised as grateful at finding it was not pressed into the service for compassing some job, or accomplishing some mischief. Then she passes for being clever, when she is only cunning, though her life has been passed in giving the best proof of want of cleverness, that of intriguing to carry points not worth intriguing for, and that must have occurred in the natural course of events without any manœuvring on her part. Cleverness and cunning are incompatible —I never saw them united; the latter is the resource of the weak, and is only natural to them: children and fools are always cunning, but clever people never. The world, or rather the persons who compose it, are so indolent, that when they see great personal activity, joined to indefatigable and unshrinking exertion of tongue, they conclude that such effects must proceed from adequate causes, never reflecting that real cleverness requires not such aids; but few people take the trouble of analyzing the actions or motives of others, and least of all when such others have no envy-stirring attractions. On this account Lady ——'s manœuvres are set down to cleverness; but when she was young and pretty they were less favourably judged. Women of a certain age (continued Byron) are for the most part bores or *méchantes*. I have known some delightful exceptions, but on consideration they were past the certain age, and were no longer, like the coffin of Mahomet hovering between heaven and earth, that is to say, floating between maturity and



age, but had fixed their persons on the unpretending easy chairs of *Vieillesse*, and their thoughts neither on war nor conquest, except the conquest of self. Age is beautiful when no attempt is made to modernize it. Who can look at the interesting remains of loveliness without some of the same tender feelings of melancholy with which we regard a fine view? Both mark the triumph of the mighty conqueror Time; and whether we examine the eyes, the windows of the soul, through which love and hope once sparkled, now dim and languid, showing only resignation, or the ruined casements of the abbey or castle through which blazed the light of tapers, and the smoke of incense offered to the Deity, the feelings excited are much the same, and we approach both with reverence,—always (interrupted Byron) provided that the old beauty is not a specimen of the florid Gothic,—by which I mean restored, painted, and varnished,—and that the abbey or castle is not whitewashed; both, under such circumstances, produce the same effect on me, and all reverence is lost; but I do seriously admire age when it is not ashamed to let itself be seen, and look on it as something sanctified and holy, having passed through the fire of its passions, and being on the verge of the grave.

“ I once (said Byron) found it necessary to call up all that could be said in favour of matured beauty, when my heart became captive to a *donna* of forty-six, who certainly excited as lively a passion in my breast as ever it has known; and even now the autumnal charms of Lady —— are remembered by me with more than admiration. She resembled a landscape by Claude Lorraine, with a setting sun, her beauties enhanced by the knowledge that they were shedding their last dying beams, which threw a radiance around. A woman (continued Byron) is only grateful for her *first* and *last* conquest. The first of poor dear Lady ——’s was achieved before I entered on this world of care, but the *last* I do flatter myself was reserved for me, and a *bonne bouche* it was.”

I told Byron that his poetical sentiments of the attractions of matured beauty had, at the moment, suggested four lines to me, which he begged me to repeat, and he laughed not a little when I repeated the following lines to him :—

“ Oh ! talk not to me of the charms of youth’s dimples,  
There’s surely more sentiment centred in wrinkles.  
They’re the triumphs of time that mark beauty’s decay,  
Telling tales of years past, and the few left to stay.”

---



## MONTHLY COMMENTARY.

---

The True Memorials of the Dead—The Successor of Leslie, Town Councils, and the London Press—Literature a Step to Public Employment, backwards—The Judicial Award—Conservative Recipe to make a Vote—The Ballot.

---

THE TRUE MEMORIALS OF THE DEAD.—M. Barruel, a French chemist, proceeding on the known fact that the human blood contains a certain portion of iron, has found that, from the remains of any ordinary individual, enough may be collected to form a handsome medal of that material. It is ingeniously suggested that these medals should be made in memory of the deceased: they would be capable of receiving an inscription, would be durable, portable, and being, in their nature, a part of the dead, and of a nearly imperishable quality, would certainly strongly recommend themselves as forming the best and most consolatory memento of human affections. A widow would wear her husband's heart's-blood about her neck: a pious child might preserve among her jewels the blood from which she was sprung in the purest and most concentrated form: families would keep the blood of their ancestors in caskets and cabinets, and better than pedigrees, better than registers, or county histories, would be a series of blood-medals of the true stock preserved through a series of generations. Every Roman family used to keep the funeral orations of their forefathers, together with their busts or images; but, by the contrivance of M. Barruel, an identical part of an individual may be perpetually preserved in memory of the entire man, and on the face of it be stamped such a character as his immediate descendants shall think he deserved. Thus far is modern science about to proceed; there is no reason why it should not go a good deal farther. The making a bowl of the skull, being a Scythian practice, is held to be barbarian—perhaps with reason, when these bowls are applied to the purposes of promiscuous wassail; but should they be preserved, marked by Combe or other successor of Gall, mounted with silver and gold, arranged in glass cases, and inscribed with name and age and connexions, they would form fine scientific memorials, and in case of the solemn meetings of the family, birth days, memory days, and even days of high and private festivity, they may be drawn from their cases with great propriety, and devoted to the purpose of holding generous liquor, or at least for passing once round some ancestral toast or venerable name. The flesh, cellular tissue, and fatty substance of the human frame are found, after being buried a short time in certain grounds, to run into a very peculiar and beautiful description of wax, resembling spermaceti: this was a discovery made in removing a Paris churchyard: candles were made of the substance and observed to burn with a peculiarly brilliant and beautiful hue. Now of this *homoceti*, tapers might be made, deposited in labelled drawers in an apartment in the house of each head of a family, and certain quantities used for lighting up the family meetings, the celebrating of birth-days and other solemn and yet cheerful occasions. Burning your grandfathers, as the Turks say, would then be no vain joke.

But the solemn uses of the dead to the living would not end here. The family surgeon should minutely examine every cadaver—should report on the disease of which he died—of any remarkable



points in the conformation, of his tendency to this or that disease. These should be bound up in volumes for the instruction of posterity. Then of the bones phosphorus might be made: this would make a most appropriate illumination of the dead apartment. The intestines are well adapted for the formation of strings for musical instruments. Of these Æolian harps should be constructed and placed in the windows of the mausoleum we have imagined; if pictures, casts of countenance, or preserved features, according to the New Zealand fashion; caskets of hair for numerous generations; even costume, favourite dresses, or even portraits of favourite horses, or stuffed forms of favourite dogs, were preserved, what an interesting place might be formed, whether for family meetings or as a retreat for private reflection! Here the archives of the family would be preserved, the letters and memoirs of such ancestors as had left them, with copies of wills. The moral uses to which a sacred place of this sort might be put are numerous, and, as a matter of taste even, or as a memorial of affection, it far exceeds *Père la Chaise*, or any other attempt to improve the old and clumsy method of burying. The Greek and Roman method of inclosing the ashes in urns was a graceful scheme, but falls far short of what may be expected from the progress of modern science.

THE SUCCESSOR OF LESLIE—TOWN COUNCILS—AND THE LONDON PRESS.—It seems that the successor of Leslie is to be a youth of twenty-two, whose principal claims consist in the toryism of his friends and relatives. The appointment of learned professors resting with a Town Council is one of the many anomalous absurdities that we trust will soon cease to disgrace our institutions. A municipal reform must come next after church reform. The claimants to the distinguished and lucrative Professorship of Natural Philosophy are principally Sir David Brewster, of scientific notoriety, Mr. Galloway, of the Military College at Sandhurst, and Mr. Forbes, a son of an opulent banker in the town, and we doubt not a clever lad. His claims, however, would never have been entertained for one moment, had he not been of a certain powerful Tory family. To Sir David Brewster local objections were got up, and it is possible that some weight might be duly attached to them. What, then, was the duty of the Town Council. Poor men! they can, of course, only judge from the comparative value of testimonials: those of Mr. Galloway, putting the authority of Brewster out of the question, were of the most triumphant description. First, he is a teacher who has fascinated even the staff of Sandhurst for ten years; next, he is a man of the most extensive mathematical knowledge, and generally a master of the science of natural philosophy,—not a speculator on the clouds, like Mr. Forbes, whose celebrity seems meteorological; and, again, he is an admirable English writer, as is proved by his able papers in both the *Edinburgh* and *Foreign Quarterly Reviews*. In the former work he is the worthy successor of Playfair. The duty of the Town Council was clear enough; but then, on the other hand, the son of an opulent and influential Tory banker must naturally possess claims which, in addition to the “inherent love of science,” which Herschel speaks to in his testimony, are not to be resisted by any Town Council on earth.

In this election not an Englishman is a candidate; it is understood that there an Englishman would have had no chance; and indeed never



had in Scotland. The chair was indeed offered to Herschel, but then Herschel was going abroad. On the other hand, a Scotsman in England has so many chances in his favour, that it would be, at any time, dangerous to take the long odds against him. The Scots have not the English throne, they only had, but they have the Peers; they had the Commons one way or the other; the colonies are theirs in tail; and, above all, the press is their familiar arm-chair; we had almost said their *chaise percée*. If the press is ever unanimous, it is in favour of some Scotsman: does he publish a book?—there is a reverberating echo of praise like the notes of a trumpet in the lakes of Killarney: his exploits in any other way are equally sure of fame. Scotland, in comparison of this country, is, in all respects, contemptible; and yet we would challenge any list of names, containing those of beneficial post-holders,—beneficial whether for honour, for profit, or patronage,—and sure we are there would be found a majority of Scotsmen. In the army, that is to say, an arduous as well as honourable service, the Irish come in for a fair share. In the colonies, where money is to be made, the preference of Scotsmen is a most notorious joke. With regard to the Press of London, from causes that might be explained, it could, we think, be proved, that it is governed three parts by Scotland: this does not mean that the Editors are three parts Scotsmen, but many more than the mere Editors have powerful influence in a paper: there are sub-editors and other subordinates, who, in their own departments, are supreme. In the London press, what is not Scotch, (with a few remarkable exceptions,) is Irish—so much for the fourth estate. Few persons will venture to dive into the mysteries of these matters, for he might share the fate of the bear in the fable, who put his rude paw in the hornet's nest.

LITERATURE A STEP TO PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT—BACKWARDS.—Between the maxims of the French governing powers and the English, a very remarkable difference exists in this, that literature in France is a step to public employment and public confidence—in England, it is a step, but a step backwards. Aptitude as shown in books is hailed in France and instantly applied; in England, it is lamented. A person seeking public employment in this country would suppress his materials for a book: in France, he would hasten to publish his work, and confidently reckon upon the effect. This remark is made, as the commentator on Men and Things by two facts, or supposed facts. The first is, that Fontanier, on the publication of his Travels in Turkey, was immediately appointed consul at Trebizond, on the sole ground of his excellent book. This book of travels, which we read *professionally* on its appearance, we had occasion to recommend as an able work, and as entitling its writer to public confidence: but how many excellent and similar works have been and may be published in this country, without attracting for one moment the attention of the governing powers, or, in other words, how much talent, experience, and ability are lost to the national advantage, because our rulers have hitherto proceeded on the grand principle of self-seeking alone, and in fear and trembling of the Press. The other fact we will not vouch for, as it reaches us but at second-hand. The most valuable work on the United States in the English language, is that just published by Mr. James Stuart; and yet we are told this work never



would have seen the light had not the author despaired of promised public employment. This means, that government does not like people who publish,—they are afraid of them. Mr. Stuart, therefore, with a due knowledge of his men, kept his book back until he saw family or borough interest preferred: then he published his excellent book,—the book, of course, precluding all expectation, which it was understood had expired.

Aptitude, unfortunately, has never been the guide of our Government in their distribution of employment, or England would have stood in a far different position, whether at home or abroad: in fact, the question has always been, after the selection of the man, whether he was such as could keep up even the appearance of doing his duty. If aptitude were the qualification of office, there is no better test than a man's book,—and so the French think. A book was published lately in England, quite as good, and more remarkable, than Fontanier's, which, in France, would have instantly called the author to office,—we mean the Turkish travels of Slade; and had we been in a position to pick and choose public servants, that man would have been instantly seized as a prize; as it is, he will probably only be injured by his work. As for ourselves in this matter, we know as little of Slade as Fontanier: we never even “saw any one that had seen them;” but we have had the honour of “reviewing” their productions.

**THE JUDICIAL AWARD.**—Louis XIV. used to have sham sieges got up for the practice of his army and the amusement of himself and Madame Maintenon. The siege of Antwerp is ‘a vast improvement’ of modern times. Better exercise for the French artillery—nicer practical lessons for the young Vaubans of the day could not be devised than the siege of so famous a fortress as the citadel of Antwerp, with all Europe for spectators. The thing was unique: nobody was at war; all were at leisure to look on: it was a species of duel between an army and a castle; or rather a chastisement—a punishment—in short the execution of a judicial award. Amateurs and idlers flocked about the siege; watched the trenches; followed the shells with their eyes; crowded the roofs of the theatres and lofty buildings to see the sight; to see Gerard do justice on Chassé; just as the mob flocks to the precincts of Newgate when the New Drop is called into action, and Jack Ketch proceeds to execute the judicial award of the Old Bailey. It was altogether the most anomalous transaction in all history. There never was such a spectacle: it was neither sham nor altogether in earnest. Chassé did not do all the mischief he might; and yet he slaughtered a good deal. He knew the ceremony was soon to end; but that it was necessary to make a considerable bluster: the termination was certain, but must be decent. Dutch valour demanded that a certain number of French must die. French honour required the evacuation of the citadel: so that Dutch valour and French honour were bombing and balling each other according to the most approved precedents in the science of attack and defence. A few were cut off on both sides, but then the rest went away very much instructed. Fine sayings of dying corporals, and fine doings of brave officers wanted sadly renewing in France. The generals were losing the art of bolstering bulletins and forgetting the camp language—the *blogue* as it is called, or with us the ‘blarney’ of the field. Then the little boys of the Belgian artillery-school had never seen a gun fired; and the only siege the young Duke of Orleans had been present at was that of Paris, when



stormed by his father's ordonnance. Royal games at war have usually been carried on on a far more extravagant scale: it is now seen that the Kings may be amused at a very moderate rate of slaughter.

Wherever there is a spectacle there are sure to be shoals of English. Our countrymen have been flocking to the siege of Antwerp as they do to Vesuvius when in action; and they have, by their absurdities, contributed their share, as John Bull always does, to the amusement of the company. The taking prisoner a reporter for a London paper in the trenches, for instance, was a circumstance to make a man laugh in the jaws of death. War always has its *ludicra* as well as its *seria*, but the catching a Paul Pry taking notes in the trenches, seizing his pen instead of his sword, and marching him up to head-quarters as a prisoner of war, is an incident for Liston alone. Mathews was ill, poor fellow, or he ought to have been sent out at the national expense to the siege of Antwerp to pick up eccentricities for the amusement of the town this season.

CONSERVATIVE RECIPE TO MAKE A VOTE.—“Take a labourer, upon whom you can depend, grant him a lease of his cottage and garden during his life, provided he continues to occupy it. Let the rent be a shilling, but take a promissory note from him for twenty pounds, payable with interest on demand, and you will have a sure vote for the next election.” True so far; there is one ingredient which, if thrown into the mess, would altogether spoil the dish—the Ballot. But observe, the amount of the promissory note:—why 20*l.*? why not 10*l.* or 40*l.*? simply because 20*l.* involves the forfeiture of personal liberty; for 20*l.* the British law permits a man to be torn from his family, his business, his pursuits—in short, to be utterly ruined in body and mind, and therefore the Conservatives fix upon this security for the due performance of their dirty work.

Of all iniquitous and barbarous legal provisions, one of the worst is that which condemns a man to imprisonment for the crime of getting into debt to the amount of 20*l.*—a crime, by-the-bye, in which there are two parties, where one punishes the other. It gave us pleasure to read in the report of a late case (Russell and Atkinson, an instance of gross oppression, in which a young lady was sent to gaol on a claim for which the jury only awarded one-fifth of the amount, that that able and accomplished lawyer, Mr. Pollock, took occasion, in repelling the conduct of his client, to state, “he sincerely hoped that, except in very peculiar cases, the power to arrest would soon be done away with altogether!” This is a declaration most honourable to the barrister and most disgraceful to the law. And it is quite in character with the Conservative practice to pick out all the baser parts of our institutions to prop up their corrupt influences.

THE BALLOT.—No question was ever more simple than this; none ever more complicated, by being mixed up with fallacies. The Ballot is a mechanical arrangement, by which a voter gives his vote in such a manner that none but himself knows the way in which he has voted. There is no good object to serve, as regards the public, that the vote should be known: as regards the man himself, if he wishes it to be known, he has the power of communicating the fact.

Voting for representatives is a public duty. If it is duly performed



by the ballot, where is the harm of the ballot, as far as the public is concerned? All public duties should be performed at the least possible private mischief: this the ballot effects.

Much has been said of a rhetorical character as to the un-English character of the proceeding. It is neither English nor un-English. If a man may not be injured by its being known how he has voted, or is regardless of the injury, he may declare his vote as loudly as he pleases. True, his declaration wants the confirmation of the poll-book; but the public is not called upon to keep a book for the purpose of testing private veracity.

Much also has been said on the evil of enabling men to break their promises with impunity. These promises will cease to have any value under the ballot, and no one will ask for that which is valueless. This, it is to be hoped, will do away with canvassing—an ancient absurdity. What good purpose is to be served by asking a man for his vote?—he will give it to the Member he most approves; and his being asked by six can make no difference on the grounds on which an elector forms his opinion. It is not to be supposed that a man is to be influenced by himself being shaken by the hand, and his wife or daughter being kissed or chucked under the chin, more especially as this graciousness is bestowed by each candidate—on each elector. An honest elector ought to despise the cajoleries of canvassers, and ought to be protected from the intimidations of their supporters. Nothing more need be said of the ballot, than that there is everything to be said for it, and literally nothing against it.

## The Lion's Mouth.

“ALIENA NEGOTIA CENTUM.”—*Horat.*

### ODE TO THE GOLD-HEADED CANE,

*Borne successively by Radcliffe and Mead, and, passing through the hands of Dr. Baillie, presented by his Widow to the College of Physicians.*

Tu pias lætis animas reponis  
Sedibus, virgâ que levem coerces  
Aurêâ turbam.

HAIL, fearful minister of fate!  
Caduceus of our palmy state  
That Mead and Radcliffe bore!  
Oft shall the pilgrim bend the knee,  
And gaze with filial zeal on thee,  
And think of days of yore!

What hallow'd spot beheld thee stand  
A leafy stem on India's strand,  
The mighty jungle's pride?  
No sound disturb'd the sultry brake,  
But rushing pard, or gliding snake,  
Possess'd thee side by side.



Ah me ! thy venerated neck  
The coil of silk no more shall deck !  
    (So on th' Asclepian stem  
The Epidaurian serpent wound :)  
Oh, golden days of looks profound,  
    And many a learned hem !

To shake the wig's ambrosial curl,  
Were now to cast the precious pearl  
    To undiscerning swine !  
The magic of the chariot wheel  
Insensate they no longer feel,  
    Nor ask thy aid, or mine !

Save here and there the scanty few,  
To ancient faith and physic true,  
    Who deem if Halford's skill  
And Warren's eye be vainly tried,  
That death shall scarcely be defied  
    By potion or by pill.

But come ! look up ! thou hast thine eyes ;  
Before thy fane what columns rise,  
    What splendid Hall expands ?  
Above, around, what learned lore  
Embrowns the light descending o'er  
    Thy Radcliffe's *ruffled* hands ?

Some, as they scan thy stately mould,  
(One almost shudders to behold !)  
    Their grasp, profane, extends !  
Forbear, degenerate ! and know  
That Radcliffe's cane is like the bow  
    None but Ulysses bends.

Farewell ! though under lock and key,  
Great emblem of authority,  
    And lonely in thy glory ;  
That golden halo round thy head  
Long on thy shrine its rays shall shed,  
    And tell thy ancient story.



*Lines written at the close of a late Autumnal Evening at the Sea Side.*

Λευσσων επι οινωπου ποντον.—HOMER.

By the deep blue lake of some southern bay,  
When far-off sounds are heard  
Of light guitar, or the boatman's lay,  
Or cry of the wild sea-bird ;



When the western wave is stirred by the breeze,  
 And many a snow-white sail  
 Spreads its swan-like breast to the summer seas,  
 Or swells with the fresh'ning gale ;  
 When the northern blast rends its wintry shroud,  
 And the deep and low sea growl,  
 Or measured beat of the surge, comes loud  
 In the pause of the tempest's howl ;  
 There be sounds that blend with many a mood  
 In life's inconstant scene ;  
 For the young in joy—for the soul subdued—  
 Or the hope that yet is green !  
 But to sit *alone*, on the watery shore,  
 In the gloom of the stilly air,  
 When the wide sea space the eyes explore,  
 Nor boat nor sail is there ;  
 Oh this is the time for the joyless one,  
 In autumn's fading light,  
 To gaze on the glance of a *sinking sun*  
 That bursts on the shores of night !

---

*Lines on a Melancholy Journey through Greece.*

Patriæ quis exul  
 Se quoque fugit ?

UNKNOWN, untried, the troubled mind  
 In other lands would refuge find  
 From cares that still pursue :  
 Alas ! by fond illusions led  
 Forth from the home of sorrow fled,  
 We fly not sorrow too !  
 In every clime pernicious skill  
 Hath Memory to awaken still  
 The bosom's agony :  
 She holds us with a viewless chain,  
 And bids the pang be felt again  
 Of griefs that never die !  
 Where mosques mid Doric ruins rise,  
 And mark those pure pellucid skies  
 With slender minaret ;  
 Or where Albania's warriors wait  
 Round Ali's interdicted gate,  
 In groups that none forget ;  
 On Phyle's rock to Freedom dear ;  
 Or where Larissa's milk-white steer  
 Drags the Thessalian plough,  
 From Pindus to the Apennine  
 The broken heart is doom'd to pine,  
 And breathe the fruitless vow !



*The Musical Snuff-box.*

THOU, that from the polished shell,  
 Dear to Jove, as poets tell, \*  
 Canst thus engage the captive sense,  
 Tell us what thou art, and whence?  
 As on Memnon's magic stone  
 By morning sunbeams played upon;  
 Or, as the harp that winds surprise,  
 Breaking forth in plaintive sighs  
 Oft as its trembling chords are press'd  
 By airs that woo from East or West,  
 Comes some mysterious influence o'er thee?  
 (For human eyes in vain explore thee.)  
 Or of that old *Cicadian* † house  
 That loves to sing on summer-boughs  
 Of many a stately Tuscan tree,  
 Say, dost thou boast thyself to be?  
 Haply, at warm Midsummer night,  
 Lead'st thou the revels, dainty sprite,  
 Held at that ancient trysting place  
 By Herne's old oak on Windsor chace?  
 Or art related—tell us, Peri,  
 To him that wrote the *Barbiere*?  
 Or to that minstrel of the heart—  
 Apollo's darling child—Mozart?  
 Come! set thy little lyre a-going  
 In streams of mimic-music flowing;  
 With not one note that halts or lingers,  
 (Though not a mortal sees thy fingers!)  
 And give me back those happier hours  
 When first I marvell'd at thy powers  
 Where rushing Rhone, with waters blue,  
 Fair Leman's lake delights to woo;  
 And new excitements' cheerful day,  
 And summer-skies, and mountains grey,  
 And Umbria's vales before me lay!

FLACCUS.

---

*To the Editors of the New Monthly Magazine.*—GENTLEMEN.—Under the circumstances which have come to my knowledge since I forwarded the answer to the letter of your correspondent, on the subject of Sir Richard Birnie, I do not regret its non-appearance. It is not my wish to hurt the feelings of any human being, but there are cases where public duty imperatively requires that all private feelings should be disregarded. In stating what I did of Sir Richard Birnie, I stated my belief, and am willing to abide by it: the statement was not unadvised, though merely taken as one instance from a heap of similar examples which might have been brought forward. Had your correspondent contented himself with simply denying

---

\* *Grata Testudo Jovi*, † *Cicada*, the *Τεττις* of Anacreon, *Cigala* of the Italians.



the statement, the matter might have rested upon its own merits, and our respective credibility.

Sir Richard Birnie was a favourite of the fourth George. Upon that showing I leave the matter for the judgment of the public.

But your correspondent has gone out of his way—in legal phrase, has “travelled out of the record.” By the italics in the last paragraph of his epistle, I presume that he means, in the phrase of Sampson, “to bite his thumb at me.” One word, then, on this subject, for one word will suffice. The insinuation that “his address is at my service,” might, in ordinary cases, mean more than meets the ear; but it becomes a phrase without an import, when addressed to a writer who is but a voice. I have invariably refused to relinquish the veil which I have chosen to wear, from a conviction of its utility for public purposes,—and I shall scarcely break through my resolve, for the pleasure of conversing with your correspondent, especially upon a point on which my mind is already made up.

I am, Gentlemen, yours, &c.

January 12th, 1833.

JUNIUS REDIVIVUS.

We have read with much pleasure the story entitled “*Miserrimus*,” which, at first printed for private circulation, is, we perceive, now about to be given to the public at large. It is full of nerve and power; and, though exaggerated at times, both in its conception and its tone, abounds in subtle and piercing views of the darker and more tragic passions. If we can spare the space, which we scarcely however hope, we shall recur to it again. In the meanwhile, we hail with a cordial welcome a writer of so much promise.

A letter addressed to Lord Stormont and Sir James Scarlett, upon the late election at Norwich, has been published by Mr. Bacon\*, the able editor of the “*Norwich Mercury*,”—a gentleman, who, from his general information, wise and well-considered opinions, and (when the occasion demands) felicitous and striking powers of composition, is no common honour to the periodical press of the country. This letter lays bare the whole mysteries of that most discreditable election;—and to the man who should tell us the Reform Bill ought to be a final measure, we would not desire a better answer than will be found in this brief history of an election for members to serve in the first Reformed Parliament, for one of the largest cities in the empire! Some admirable remarks on the effects of corporate rights occur in the letter, on which we propose shortly to found an article.

Our able contemporary, the “*Atlas*,” has very justly reproached us for not having specially exempted that journal from a general stricture on the vague and indiscriminating criticisms that have been heaped upon Mr. Tennyson’s promising but unequal productions. We can assure our contemporary that we are not insensible to the discerning spirit that pervades its reviewing department, and especially its freedom from the current vice of periodical literature—viz. the dominating influence of a *clique*.

Will any gentleman, well acquainted with the practical operations of the Bell and Lancaster Schools, the theory of Pestalozzi, and, above all, the application of the Lancasterian system to the higher branches of education (the classics and the sciences), oblige the Editor of this work by any voluntary communications on the subject? It is one into all the facts of which he is very laboriously examining; and he takes this opportunity of requesting all possible information, and any valuable suggestions. He need not add that communications of this sort can scarcely be anonymous.

---

\* Effingham Wilson, Royal Exchange.



## BIOGRAPHICAL PARTICULARS OF CELEBRATED PERSONS, LATELY DECEASED.

### ADMIRAL SIR THOMAS FOLEY, G.C.B.

ADMIRAL Sir Thos. Foley, G.C.B., Rear-Admiral of England, and Commander-in-Chief, died at Portsmouth, in the 76th year of his age. This highly distinguished and most meritorious officer served as a Lieutenant of the *Prince George*, the flagship of Admiral Digby, at the time his present Majesty was a Midshipman of that ship, and was in her in Rodney's action with Count de Grasse; and in 1782 was made a Commander in the *Britannia*, armed ship, at New York. He subsequently commanded the *Atalanta*, 14 guns, on the same station; was promoted to post rank on the 21st of September, 1790; and, at the commencement of the war, in 1793, obtained the command of the *St. George*, bearing the flag of Rear-Admiral Gills and subsequently that of the late Sir Hyde Parker. In the memorable battle off Cape St. Vincent, February 14, 1797, the deceased bore a distinguished part, as Captain of the *Britannia*, carrying the flag of the late Sir Charles Thompson. Soon after that important event he was appointed to the *Goliath*, 74, detached from the fleet off Cadiz, to reinforce Sir Horatio Nelson's squadron in the Mediterranean; and on the glorious 1st of August, 1798, he had the honour to lead the British fleet into action at the battle of the Nile. The French commenced the engagement, and in two minutes the *Goliath* returned their fire, and then doubled their line, and brought up alongside of the *Conquerrant*, the second ship in the enemy's van. In less than a quarter of an hour Captain Foley completely dismasted his opponent, and afterwards assisted in subduing the ships in the rear. In this conflict the *Goliath* had 21 killed, and 41 wounded. Sir Horatio Nelson, on his departure for Naples, left Captain Foley to assist Captain Hood in guarding the coast of Egypt. The *Goliath* afterwards sailed for the coast of Italy, to rejoin Sir Horatio, and was subsequently employed at the blockade of Malta. Towards the latter end of 1799, Captain Foley returned to England, and in the following year we find him commanding the *Elephant*, 74, attached to the Channel fleet. On this service he continued to be employed until the spring of 1801, when he was ordered to the *Cattegat*, to join his old commander, Sir Hyde Parker. The *Elephant* joined the fleet on the 26th March, and soon after received the flag of Lord Nelson; and in the battle at Copenhagen, the loss she sustained was 10 killed and 13 wounded. Captain Foley continued on the Baltic station until the month of August, 1801, when he returned to England. The *Elephant* was soon after put out of commission. Lord Nelson held the character of the deceased in the highest estimation, and entertained a strong feeling of friendship towards him. In October, 1807, Sir Thomas received the appointment of a Colonelcy of Marines, and on the 28th of April, in the following year, he was promoted to the rank of Rear-Admiral. In the spring of 1811 he succeeded the late Sir George Campbell as Commander-in-Chief in the Downs, which office he held during the remainder of the war; and was appointed to succeed Sir Robert Stopford, on the 22d April, 1830, as Commander-in-Chief at Portsmouth. Sir Thomas was nominated a K.C.B. on the 2d January, 1815, and received the insignia of a G.C.B. on the 6th May, 1820, and was appointed Rear-Admiral of the United Kingdom on the 14th of June, 1831. He received the gold medal for each of the two general actions in which he was engaged prior to that off Copenhagen.

### MAJOR-GENERAL SIR GEORGE R. BINGHAM, K.C.B.

This distinguished officer died at his house in Cumberland-terrace, Regent's-park. He entered the army in 1793, when he was appointed an ensign in the 96th Foot; Lieutenant in the same regiment, 1795; Captain 81st Foot, 1796; Major 82d Foot, 22d July, 1801; Lieutenant-Colonel 33d Foot, 1805; Colonel in the army, January, 1810; Major-General, 12th August, 1819.—Sir George served one year and a half in Corsica, and on board the fleet in the Mediterranean; eight months in Minorca; and in Portugal and Spain. He was present at the battles of Talavera, Salamanca, Vittoria, and the Pyrenees. He also served some time as second on the staff at St. Helena, June 12, 1824, he was appointed Colonel-Commandant of the 2nd battalion of the rifle brigade, in the place of Sir Thomas Sidney Beckwith, deceased; and the dissolution of the gallant General again occasions a



vacancy in the command of that corps. Sir George Bingham was appointed a Knight Commander of the Bath for his services; and he had also the honour of wearing a cross and one clasp for his services in the field. At his death he was the General Officer in command of the Munster district; but extreme ill health compelled him to return from Ireland, and he was about to relinquish the appointment when he died.

#### WILLIAM BRAY, ESQ.

This venerable antiquarian, who lived to enter his 97th year, was a younger son of Edward Bray, Esq., of Shere, in Surrey. He was educated at Rugby; and remembered the Duke of Cumberland's marching by Dunchurch to meet the Pretender. At the age of sixteen, having a very slender provision, he was placed in the office of Mr. Martyr, the principal attorney at Guildford. In 1762 Mr. Evelyn, of Wotton, obtained for him a situation at the Board of Green Cloth at St. James's, which introduced him to the society and friendship of several persons distinguished by rank as well as abilities. In 1803 he was elected Treasurer, having been many years a Fellow, of the Society of Antiquarians. His first publication was "*A Tour in Derbyshire and Yorkshire*;" of which a second edition was called for. In 1801, on the death of the Rev. Mr. Manning, who had begun to compile the "*History of Surrey*," Mr. Bray undertook to complete it; and, notwithstanding the labour of such a task, and the variety of his engagements, he published the first volume in 1804, the second in 1809, and the third and last in 1814. At the conclusion of this work he was in his 78th year; and he had entered his 80th when he began to digest and prepare for the press the well-known "*Memoirs of Evelyn*." He made the transcripts from the original MS. journal himself, and rose for that purpose, during the summer, at four o'clock in the morning. He was more than 90 when he retired altogether to his house at Shere, where he continued to occupy himself with antiquarian and literary pursuits, and occasionally with professional business, to the day of his death. His frame of body was not robust, but it was entirely free from all infirmity. During the whole of his life he slept very early hours, and took a great deal of exercise on horseback. It is not unworthy of notice that, although he was for seventy years the principal partner in a most extensive law business, and always lived in the most unostentatious manner, he made but a moderate addition to his patrimonial estate. On the death of his elder brother, the Rev. George Bray, he succeeded to the manor of Shere, which had been the property of Sir Reginald Bray, Minister of Henry the 7th, and had descended lineally to Mr. George Bray from Sir Edward Bray, the brother of Edmund Lord Bray, whose male issue failed. The family is of Norman origin, having been traced to Le Seigneur de Bray, who came to England with the Conqueror.

#### DR. ANDERSON, OF HAMILTON.

This distinguished physician died during the past month. He was universally known in the town and neighbourhood of Hamilton, and as universally respected. Of a friendly and social disposition, and possessing great goodness of heart, with unassuming manners, his actions were regulated by the principles of an enlarged benevolence, and a desire to benefit his fellow-creatures, in whatever rank of life they might be placed. Licentiate of the Royal College of Surgeons, Edinburgh, he was appointed by the present Duke of Hamilton, then Marquis of Douglas, first surgeon of the Royal Lanarkshire Militia, when he had scarcely passed his College examinations; which situation he retained till his death, having secured the respect and confidence of his Grace, and the esteem and good-will of all who knew him. His practice as a surgeon was extensive, and the general success of his treatment, and the popularity of his name, are sufficient evidences of his great skill and personal worth. Indeed, both his professional and personal character stood very high in his immediate neighbourhood; and of late years his Grace the Duke of Hamilton had chosen him as his medical adviser. Dr. Anderson's mental faculties were at all times in a state of great activity, and as an author he enjoyed much local favour. His large work, the "*Historical and Genealogical Memoirs of the House of Hamilton*," is well known to every one connected with that noble family; and for more than two years previous to his death, he was, we believe, engaged upon a "*Statistical History of Lanarkshire*," which would have been a very interesting and important publication, had he lived to complete it. He likewise contemplated writing a "*Genealogical History of the Robertsons of Struan*." His collection of



materials for the former work is very valuable, and we trust that his MSS. may yet be preserved and carefully arranged for publication. Dr. Anderson possessed an antiquarian turn; and, in the peculiar line of literature which he selected for himself, he was distinguished for sound and pertinent information, deep research, untiring perseverance, a clear comprehension, and a ready and perspicuous style. When the cholera broke out a short time ago at Hamilton, Dr. Anderson's anxiety and attention to the sufferers were arduous and unremitting. He had scarcely recovered from the fatigue and excitement of that melancholy occasion, nor from the shock caused by the death of his eldest daughter, when he caught cold at the public dinner lately given on account of Lady Susan's marriage, which, with previous debility, brought on inflammation in the brain, which terminated in his death. His memory in the neighbourhood of Hamilton will long be remembered with respect, and his loss will not easily be supplied.

MR. JAMES BALLANTYNE.

Mr. James Ballantyne, the eminent printer, died at his house in Hill-street, Edinburgh, having been several months in a declining state of health. The loss of this amiable and accomplished man, though for some time looked forward to as an event that could not long be postponed, will not fail to create a deep sensation in his own circle of society, and in the literary world in general. Mr. Ballantyne commenced his career as a printer, it may be said, hand in hand with Sir Walter Scott as an author; and the relation thus established between them has only been broken by their almost simultaneous removal from this sublunary world. It was at his native town of Kelso that Mr. Ballantyne commenced business; and, although not bred to the trade, he very soon displayed such an unwonted taste in the productions of his press as rendered his name generally known, and paved the way for his establishment soon after in Edinburgh, where he ever after continued. The whole of the writings of Sir Walter Scott were printed by Mr. Ballantyne; and to the taste of that gentleman the public is indebted for many emendations in the works of the illustrious minstrel and novelist, whose own inattention to not unimportant minutiae rendered such assistance in the highest degree necessary. For many years, moreover, the subject of this brief notice conducted the "*Weekly Journal*" newspaper, with a degree of good feeling and good taste which the public has not failed to appreciate. To some his manners might appear formal, but this was in general a primary impression. To those who had the pleasure of knowing Mr. Ballantyne, his sincere kindness, or, we may rather say, his impressive affectionateness of manner, appeared the very reverse of formality. Some years ago he had the misfortune to lose his wife, and it was remarked with pain by his friends, that his mind never recovered its wonted tone after that event.

[There is something remarkable in the number of deaths which have occurred during the past year amongst the higher classes, and amongst the eminent persons of Europe. In our own peerage, there have died—the Marquis of Conyngham, Lord Ribblesdale, Lord Berwick, Lord and Lady Tenterden, Lord Donoughmore, Lord Cassilis, Lord Thanet, Lord Clinton, Lord Cadogan, Lord Rendlesham, Lord Macdonald, Lord Amesbury, Lord De Clifford, besides others whom we do not at this moment recollect, and several younger branches of noble families at early ages. Amongst those distinguished by talents, we have lost—Sir Walter Scott, Sir James Mackintosh, Goethe, Crabbe, Cuvier, Casimer Perier, Charles Butler, Jeremy Bentham, Dr. Bell, the founder of the system of education which has acquired his name, Sir Everard Home, Sir Alured Clarke, Professor Leslie, Colton, Anna Maria Porter, Sir Henry Blackwood, Sir Albert Pell, Sir Richard Birnie, the Bishop of Hereford, Clementi the composer, and young Napoleon. We should not have referred to so serious a subject, but that it really appears to us, taking only a transient glance over the losses the country has sustained during the year, to be infinitely greater in proportion to the population than that of any other twelve months we have before reflected upon.]



## CRITICAL NOTICES.

The Life of General the Right Honourable Sir David Baird, Bart., G.C.B.  
K.C., &c. &c. 2 vols. 8vo. London.

THERE is very little for the liberal politician, whatever be his party, to object to in these volumes. The writer's tendencies are indeed sufficiently obvious, but he has not yielded to their influence at the expense of truth and fair dealing. Whigs, both in and out of place, are his aversion, but he treats them with the decorum which becomes a gentleman; and while he condemns their doctrines and practice, he seems to spare them for the sake of the inconsistencies of the Tories, who, when in power, adopted so many of their measures, and actually passed the Catholic Relief Bill, and thus prepared the way for Parliamentary Reform. This moderation may also be accounted for on the ground that Tories and Whigs were alike insensible to the merits and unjust to the claims of the distinguished individual who forms the subject of these pages. We quite sympathize with the indignation which Mr. Hook frequently betrays on this point. Sir David Baird was undoubtedly entitled to the highest honours, and the most substantial rewards, of the military profession. He was one of the best officers the service ever knew, and was beloved, and almost adored by the soldiers, even while maintaining among them the strictest discipline. This accounts for his uniform success when called into action, and for the indomitable spirit with which, when led on by him, the forces under his command encountered the severest privations and sufferings. Yet it was his fate to conquer for others—to endure that others might enjoy—to deserve the laurel, and then to see it transferred by favouritism to the brow of a junior rival. Lord Hobart, and the Madras government, appear in these volumes in a despicable light,—they are brought before the bar of the public; and with their agent, the initial of whose name, we regret to say, is all that appears, merit the severest reprobation. Humanity and justice were the crimes of Colonel Baird, and they were punished with a vengeance—for with the oppressors there was power. At a subsequent period, having obtained the rank of Brigadier-General, he joined the army under Lord Harris, as Commander-in-Chief; Lord Mornington (Marquess Wellesley), being then Governor-General of India. No sooner had he accepted the office, and taken charge of a brigade, than an inferior officer, Colonel Wellesley (the Duke of Wellington), arrived and took the command. General Baird, having volunteered, was appointed to lead on the storming party against Seringapatam. His courage and discretion in this perilous undertaking, which placed him in the palace of the fallen Sultan, and gave him a right to the command till he could surrender the place to Lord Harris, are beyond all praise; yet, will the reader believe it to be possible! the gallant victor, having had scarcely an hour's repose, was unceremoniously removed to give place to Colonel Wellesley; who, not having shared the danger, was certainly not entitled to the glory.

Before the General Order of Thanks to General Baird, for the decided and able manner in which he conducted the assault, and the humane measures which he subsequently adopted for preserving order and regularity in the place,—before this order could appear, though it was issued on the morning of the next day—the hero, who was the object of it was superseded. While General Baird was proceeding to make further arrangements for the tranquilization of the town, Colonel Wellesley arrived at the palace, bringing with him an order from General Harris to General Baird, directing him to deliver over to *him* (Colonel Wellesley) the command of Seringapatam, the city which he had conquered the day before, and the conquest of which was to him, above all living men, most glorious;—and, to use the memorable words of the hero himself (found in the copy of a letter in his possession), “Before the sweat was dry on my brow I was superseded by an inferior officer.” The biographer adds, “Deeply did General Baird feel this unexpected blow,—but his regret, though mingled with surprise, we may add, with indignation, partook of no personal feeling of hostility against Colonel Wellesley, whose actual merits he always justly appreciated, and whose future exaltation he always confidently anticipated.”

What the actual merits of Colonel Wellesley at this period were, we have no means of ascertaining. He had, it is true, the aristocratic merit of being brother to the Governor-General, and the aristocratic assurance to accept from that brother the honour due to his superior in arms; and any man, without much of the



power of prescience, might, under these circumstances, have anticipated his future exaltation: the colossal power of the Duke of Wellington, we do not hesitate to assert, rests, as its basis, on the shoulders of Sir David Baird. He might have risen—we doubt not that he would have risen to all his present eminence—even though Sir David Baird had never been unjustly, and more than once, superseded by him; but we regret that the fact must ever stand on record, that even the conqueror of the Great Captain owes his first elevation to favouritism, and to an abuse of power as contemptible as it was unjust.

Colonel Wellesley appears, from this narrative, to have felt that something of moral degradation mingled with his new station and authority; for he had no sooner entered upon it than he wrote “a very handsome note to General Baird, accompanied by Tippoo Sultan’s state sword, which had been found in his bed-chamber, requesting General Baird’s acceptance of the splendid trophy, to which he said he was convinced the Major-General had the best right.”

“The good-natured intentions of Colonel Wellesley in doing justice to his ill-used superior, were, however, crossed by the interference of the Prize-committee, who, in a letter addressed to the Commander-in-Chief, General Harris (who had inflicted the wrong), by General Floyd, its President, stated, that it having been understood that Colonel Wellesley had sent General Baird the state sword of the late Tippoo Sultaun, he, the Commander-in-Chief, was requested by the Committee, in the name of the army, to desire that the sword might be immediately returned to them, as it was theirs, and not Colonel Wellesley’s, to give; and General Floyd added (which, it should seem, under the existing circumstances, could not have been a very agreeable announcement to General Harris), that their object in pressing the immediate restitution of the sword was, that they might forthwith fulfil a resolution which they had formed of presenting it themselves to General Baird *by the hand of his Excellency the Commander-in-Chief himself.*”

This letter, coming less in the shape of an appeal than a demand, was answered by the issuing of an order from head-quarters, for the General and Field Officers to assemble in General Harris’s tent, where his Excellency “had the pleasure” of presenting the sword to General Baird, “in the name of the army, as a testimonial of their high admiration of his courage and conduct in the assault.”

We must refer to the work itself for complete evidence to establish our assertions, that, in the person of General Sir David Baird, one of the most meritorious officers in his Majesty’s service was suffered to live and die without any adequate testimony, from the governments that employed him, to his transcendent worth. By the Tories he was neglected (we speak now of administrations), and by the Whigs he was literally ill-treated. We readily, on this occasion, abandon “all the Talents” to the deserved reproaches of Sir David Baird’s biographer. He ought, when all the circumstances of the case are considered, to have retained the Governorship of the Cape of Good Hope; and when the claims of other, and less distinguished men, were amply satisfied, he ought to have shared in their reward. Yet,

“In 1814, when Sir David was in London, at the time the Emperors and the King of Prussia were on a visit to England, a creation of military peers took place, and Lords Lynedoch, Hill, Beresford, Niddry, and Combermere, as forming the Duke of Wellington’s ‘Staff,’ had titles and pensions of 2,000*l.* a-year each conferred upon them, Sir David, though he handed a plain unvarnished memorandum of his services to Lord Liverpool, was, as usual, passed by with silent indifference.”

Mr. Hook shrewdly observes,—and there can be little doubt who are intended by persons in influential quarters—

“It would sound perhaps illiberal to attribute the continuous neglect of Sir David’s claims to an interest excited against him in influential quarters; but, certain it is, that the annals of military history do not record a similar instance of inattention or coldness exhibited towards a soldier first amongst the bravest and best,—who never hesitated to put himself in the front of the battle, and who never, where he commanded, quitted the field but triumphantly.”

The Second Volume concludes with a well-drawn character of the subject of the memoir, with an extract from which we close our notice of the work:—

“It was in private life that the glories and virtues of his public conduct were traced to their true source, and thus have they been even more richly embalmed in the recollection of many a stranger as well as friend; for the same uprightness of purpose and intention which had inspired him with utter fearlessness in the discharge of his duty, whether in the desert, the council, or the camp, still marked his conduct there. *There* he was ever seen to seek out for ‘the TRUTH,’ and to seek it only that he might be directed by it to that which with him was synonymous—DUTY; or, in the words of one who had many opportunities of observing the workings of his noble mind, ‘He seemed, in every case, whether personally interested or not, to be anxious to discover what was *right to be done only that he might do it.*’ Anything like selfish considera-



tions he would never suffer to interfere with this his favourite object; and by a look, 'more in pity than in anger,' would he sometimes show what he felt, when he thought he observed the conduct or meaning of others less influenced by this high principle than himself.

"His respect for religion, and its sacred ordinances, was marked and sincere. He was a devout man; and there might have been observable in him an ever-anxious earnestness to become more and more acquainted with that scheme of divine mercy on which he felt that his highest hopes must depend; for, if simplicity and pious sincerity of purpose have any virtue, or merit any praise, they seem to have been his, who, in religion, no less than in conduct, appeared to desire to know the truth, in order, as we have already said, to follow it.

"The comfort and support which he received from cherishing and acting upon such principles, were happily manifest in his last sufferings. No murmur—no complaint—escaped his lips; he spoke not of his own distress, he only sought to soothe the spirits of those whose affection called them to witness it. He saw his end approaching, without distraction—without fear—and with all the calmness and dignity which settled hope inspired; and, with a full confidence in the merits of his Redeemer, he waited the somewhat tardy advances of his last enemy, till the appointed hour came which released the spirit from its shattered mansion; and even then the hand of death left untouched the fine traces of the calm and manly bearing of him whose soul had fled."

### Gorton's Topographical Dictionary. 3 vols.

We have not for many years been called upon to notice a work so excellent and useful as this Topographical Dictionary of Mr. Gorton. It is one upon which immense labour has been expended, and the public are greatly indebted to the skilful and able writer who has had sufficient moral courage to commence and complete an undertaking, from which the great mass of authors of his ability would have shrunk with terror. Such a publication has been long wanted—the old and now obsolete gazetteers, have been too limited in extent and by no means accurate in their details. Every public man—all who travelled—all who held commercial intercourse with various parts of the country—all professional men, who found it necessary to make frequent reference to towns and counties, with their divisions and subdivisions—in short, all "men of the world," using the term in its largest sense, have long needed precisely such a work as Mr. Gorton has had the industry and the talent to prepare for them. To all such, therefore, we strongly recommend it, as a companion as necessary as their eyes or hands—and if our recommendation be followed in proportion to its value, neither public nor private office, counting-house or library, will be without it. Moreover, it is printed with marvellous care, contains a large number of maps, and a vast variety of information not to be had elsewhere, relative to the changes introduced in Great Britain by the Reform Bill.

### Passion and Reason. By Elizabeth Cullen Brown. 4 vols.

As this novel has been some time published, according to our present system of noticing only the works recently set forth, we should not have commented on it, had we not accidentally heard that it is from the pen of the daughter of the once celebrated, and still well-remembered, Doctor Brown. As a production it belongs to a gone-by school of novels: and its appearing in four volumes, instead of three, the present limitation, is decidedly a disadvantage. Nevertheless, the end and object of the work are excellent, and nothing can impeach its purely moral tendency. As a list of subscribers is prefixed to the first and second volumes, we would recommend those who do not disapprove of the introduction of innocent fictions into their families to add their names forthwith, and thus secure from failure a novel full of good feeling and much experience.

### Recollections of a Chaperon. Edited by Lady Dacre. 3 vols.

These volumes, with much of the worst fashionable slang about them, evince no ordinary powers of mind: they unite, in the best passages, strong sense with deep tenderness.

Mrs. Sullivan deserves peculiar tribute from a class of females often ill-used, and never more than tolerated in society—we mean *old maids*, whom she delicately denominates women of a *certain age*.

We have known many in our time, and have often thought of the blight of early affection that *must* have fallen upon them, so to change natures that a few years before were all that we could have wished or imagined. We have known others with the frosts of "a certain age" upon their brow, whose vanity, surviving their moral and corporeal beauty, has rendered them objects of ridicule by a perseverance in the affectations of youth;—to all such we would recommend the study of



"Fanny's kind, conscientious, steady, and honest nature." Were all old maids such as "Fanny," we would present a petition to Parliament in favour of celibacy.

The great strength of Mrs. Sullivan's volumes is in the fine tale of Ellen Wareham. Well conceived, well written, well developed, we hardly know any modern story of its cast worthy to lay by its side. We recommend it to all who wince under the yoke of imaginary wrongs—to all who are sceptical on the fact of woman's virtue, and her spirit of self-sacrifice—to all who can appreciate moral justice, and feel sympathy with real and undeserved sufferings. We only intreat Mrs. Sullivan henceforth to get rid of two or three French phrases, which, being most hacknied, are most vulgar.

Visit to Germany and the Low Countries. By Sir Arthur Brooke Faulkner. 2 vols.

Of all travellers commend us to the gossiping one. He who goes everywhere, sees, and recounts all things; no matter how great, no matter how small—touching and calling into life things that we, by our own domestic hearths, do not so much as dream of, giving them a local habitation and a name, and increasing our store of information tenfold. Had Sterne lived in these days, he might have increased his traveller's list amazingly. The "Flying Traveller," who goes to all places, but remains blind to all things; the "Folio Traveller," who sets out as per commission, and receives his dues. In truth, we might greatly add to the catalogue, by turning over the volumes of any circulating library within the district. We are not disposed to do this; but we are no less bound to confess our obligations to Sir A. Brooke for his entertaining, though somewhat frivolous, volumes. He possesses the happy art of saying a great deal in a few words: he does not indeed affect either profoundness or research—his drawings are not finished, but his sketches are pleasant. There is life, and animated life, about him; his heart, moreover, is warm, and though it sometimes betrays him into hasty conclusions, it is of the true and generous sort which puts us into immediate good humour with human nature, and makes us better pleased with the aspect of things in general. Our traveller has revived the Belzoni question with a zeal of the most praiseworthy description; and although we cannot join in all the invectives he heaps upon us poor "shop-keeping" English, yet we confess ourselves ashamed of the fact, as Englishmen, that the widow of this most enterprising man should need, while so much wealth is expended weekly—hourly—upon French kickshaws and meretricious ornament. A great man is the property of the universe, not of a mere nation; and as such every country under the sun should do him honor. We do not, with all our gratitude for these volumes, see the necessity for the two long chapters, towards the conclusion, denominated "Conduct of Mother Church;"—they look too like a spin-out to finish the work, according to the number of pages, and have little or nothing to do with "Germany and the Low Countries."

The account Sir Arthur gives of King Leopold's popularity (*Qy.* unpopularity) is of the most chilling kind. He has, since then, taken unto himself a wife, which, *on-dit* has roused him into activity. Sir Arthur's estimate of his character is, no doubt, a very correct one; this is not the place to canvass his merits or demerits. Whoever wishes for an opinion formed thereon in the year 1831 will do well to purchase the volumes now upon our table, and we promise them they will derive amusement from their perusal.

Traits and Stories of the Irish Peasantry. Second Series. 3 vols.

We received the first volume of this publication time enough to notice it in the December Number of our Magazine; and we rather think it was expected of us so to do; but, though it may be in strict accordance with the habits and peculiarities of Irish publishers, to get out their works by bits and scraps, it does not suit the habits of Englishmen to give *ex parte* statements on the merits of any until they see it all. We have perused these three large tomes, page by page, cutting with a rapidity and earnestness something new to us, in these times, through very stubborn paper, and exclaiming at the completion of our task,—“Well, excellently well done!” Mr. Carlton's pen has been plucked from an eagle's wing;—there is strength, vigour—and, above all—truth, in every story, every sentence, every line, he writes;—truth and truths of the sternest—we had almost said *coarsest* kind—but still truth—shadowed by the cloud, not glowing in the sun-beam.



When we saw the announcement of these volumes, we fancied that the Tale could not avoid being stale and unprofitable; so much had been already written—Sketches—Traits—Legends—Horror—all on the subject of Ireland, Irish failings, and Irish sufferings; we anticipated nothing readable, and said—what, by the way, we say still—“Why does not this gentleman write a novel? he has excellent information—clear and powerful perceptions—strength and activity. We *want* him to write a novel; we wish to ascertain if his constructiveness and imagination are equal to his other qualifications for this trying task; if they are, he will put the best of our *raconteurs* to their mettle.” We said this, and we say it still; but we also confess we forgot that Mr. Carlton’s drafts on the bank of nature have never been dishonoured;—he is no forger of scenes and sentences;—no maker up of pretty stories and interesting incidents;—the philanthropist may read his productions, and while the page is blotted by his tears, he will see that *single-handed* humanity can do nothing to relieve the distresses of this unhappy land.—The statesman ought to read such books as these; they would tell him more of the true state of the country than ever he yet heard from the lips of her orators, or the despatches of “*The Castle hacks.*” The only thing our author fails in, is the delineation of female character; his peasants—his priests—his horse-stealers—his alibi swearers—his drunkards—his poor scholars—are not only to the life, but alive upon his canvass; he knows little, however, of the intricacies, and appears almost incapable of appreciating the nature and delicacy, of woman’s mind or woman’s tenderness: his maidens are all boisterous romps, who give and take kisses, and soap their hair to make it shine. His matrons are keen and calculating, with nothing to recommend them but a species of animal passion for their husbands and children. And now a word or two to the Hibernian publishers in general.

How is it that the moment you touch an Irish book it falls to pieces?—the cover either disdains any longer to protect the leaves, or the leaves take French *leave*, and decamp of themselves;—the paper is either so thick that it breaks your paper-knife, or so thin that it won’t bear the knife at all—half-a-dozen of the pages are wanting at the most interesting part of the narrative, to be sure you find them in the next volume, where they are exceedingly *mal-a-propos*, in breaking off the thread of another story. Then an unfortunate volume is despatched on its travels solus—we beg the publisher’s pardon, not solus—for with it comes a note, stating that the others “were not quite ready, but would soon be on the road.”—But why the —, (there!—we were almost moved to wrathfulness,) were they not ready? What prevented it? or rather, why should anything prevent it? We are told in the preface that a fire consumed the volumes, or a portion of them, as they first came from the press, but that is no excuse for the blunder which accompanied the publishing. We are convinced that the unbusiness appearance of works issuing from the Irish press is exceedingly injurious to their English sale: they are ten to one more clumsily got up than the American books that are sold cheap, while these Irish “big” volumes are charged at full London prices. We wish Mr. Carlton would send forth a cheap edition, that “*Traits and Stories of the Irish Peasantry*” might be in the hands of people as well as peers.

### Poems, Narrative and Lyrical. By William Motherwell.

We rejoice in this book—cordially, warmly rejoice in it. Taken as a whole, it is far, far beyond the “run of small volumes called poems;”—taken in parts, we mean the best parts, it is powerful—beautiful!

“The wooing song of Jarl Egill” bursts upon us in all the majesty and glory of the old sea-kings;—it comes dark, as the Jarl’s own raven—yet bright as the hilt of his sword—

“One wedge of red gold.”

It is a succession of pictures—*tableaux vivants*, from beginning to end—each clear and distinct, until, forgetting the tame times in which we dwell, we start from our seat to congratulate “Torf Einar’s bright daughter” on her conquest of the “Vikingir.” We too would be sea-kings! From this spirit-stirring strain we turn to one of far different mood, to one that Robert Burns himself might have been proud to acknowledge.

The ballad of “*Jeanie Morrison*,”—no high-bred mongrel ballad, with tenderness on its tongue, but no feeling in its heart—but the most rare of all things to meet with, a genuine love ballad, where the affections gush forth to overflowing, and the words come of themselves until you cannot read them, for the mist spreads over your own eyes, and you feel suffocated with emotion.



“ The throssil whusslit in the wood,  
*The burn sang to the trees,*  
 And we, with nature's heart in tune,  
*Concerted harmonies;*  
 And on the knowe abune the burn  
 For hours thegither sat,  
*In the silentness o' joy—till baith*  
*Wi' very gladness grat.”*

What think ye of that as a picture, gentle reader? Something in the style, or rather in the feeling of “Burns and his Highland Mary,” yet without a line or an image of imitation to mar its effect. We wish that our narrow space permitted us to particularise many of the other poems in this gem-like volume, but we cannot indulge ourselves more fully. We are, however, in honesty bound to observe, that in some of the ballads, such as “Elfinland Wud,” there is a straining after quaint and unnatural rhymes, that we should suppose could only emanate from (if such a thing ever existed), a poetical antiquary. What English reader understands—

“ Quhan scho was muntit him behynd  
 (Blyth be herties quhilkis luve ilk uthir.)”?

Mr. Motherwell ought to send one of “the Tongues” with his volume to enable us to pronounce such words; nor are we quite sure that we like his “Songs,” so designated, as much as his “poems.” We speak generally, for there are exceptions. “Song of the Danish Sea-king,” “the Cavalier’s Song,” and some others, are worthy the author of “Jarl Egill.” We hope this noble poet will soon again cross the border; he has done much in a little space—he can do much more if so be his pleasure.

The Library of Romance; 1st vol. Edited by Leitch Ritchie.

The Ghost-Hunter and his Family. By the O'Hara Family.

Mr. Leitch Ritchie is a man of great accomplishments, considerable facility, power of fancy, and energy of style. As our motto has ever been, “Live and let live,” we wish him all possible success in his Quixotic undertaking—for so we must call it—“offering,” as he so generously does, “to all authors, great and small, male and female, known and unknown, a patient and speedy hearing.” Patience must be indeed the characteristic of Mr. Leitch Ritchie. “The Patient Editor!” but as to the “speed” that will enable him to “hear”—or “see” the productions his offer must bring upon him, we doubt! During the past month we have looked into every newspaper obituary, expecting to see some such announcement as the following:—

“We regret to state, that Mr. Leitch Ritchie expired this morning of undigested manuscripts. The Coroner’s Inquest returned the following verdict—‘Died of Literary Repletion.’”

Or, “We are extremely concerned to hear that the body of Mr. Leitch Ritchie was, after considerable difficulty, extricated from an overwhelming mass of heavy M.S., which the benevolent announcement in his ‘Library of Romance’ induced a variety of authors to heap upon him; to such an extent was he overwhelmed by their quantity—and, we lament to add, suffocated by their quality.” But we suppose it has not come to this: and, truth to say (and we are now serious), we should lament the occurrence of such a catastrophe most sincerely; for we should miss the kind-hearted Editor in many ways; he is so capable of doing all things well, that we are in duty bound to tell all worthy booksellers to keep their eye upon him, as a dangerous person to the old “system.”

At this period we have perused the “Ghost Hunter” with feelings of peculiar interest. It is not Banim in his strength—though he is strong still—but it is the “O'Hara Family”—softened and subdued by circumstances—over which even genius cannot triumph.

There is more tenderness, more delicacy shown in the developement of female character, than we have ever before met with in the works of this powerful novelist; and the whole tale is so truly dramatic, that we can fancy Miss Kelly the natural representative of Rose Brady—that most simple and affectionate of Irish girls. Banim never conceived a character more finely than the young “Ghost Hunter,” Morris Brady; it is a bold and striking outline—but it is only an outline—leaving much to the reader’s imagination, and will, therefore, be differently estimated by different persons.

One word as to the author. We have heard that he is ill—in a strange land—



and bowed by Poverty. And we say to those to whom God has given much—"Spare of your abundance, and show your gratitude to Literature, by contributing towards the relief of one who has laboured unceasingly for your gratification and improvement."

The Fairy Mythology; Illustrative of the Romance and Superstition of Various Countries. By Thomas Keightley. 2 vols.

The author, in his Preface, honestly avows that this is not a *reprint*, but a *re-issue*. Be that as it may, we are glad to see the volumes with the advantage of a good bookseller's name at the bottom of the first page; it gives us the assurance that what is really valuable will be sent into those particular quarters where it will be justly appreciated. We remember having been exceedingly interested in this work when it made its first bow to the public: we are not *quite* sure that we care as much about fairies *now* as we did *then*; but if we love the "good people" less, we certainly do not value Mr. Keightley's labours at a lower rate than formerly, and we have seldom met within such a compass so much research. We recommend them to all who would become acquainted with so delicate a mythology.

The Modern Sabbath Examined.

The object of this treatise is to institute an examination of the arguments usually adduced in support of the doctrine of the perpetuity of the weekly Sabbath, under the Christian dispensation. The conclusion at which the author arrives is, that, however expedient and excellent, both as a religious and political institution, the observance of one day of rest in every seven may be, the arguments in *disproof* of any Sabbatical law under the Christian economy are, in his opinion, complete and irrefragable. Logicians say that no man can prove a negative; but the author's meaning evidently is, that no scriptural grounds can be adduced from the New Testament for transferring the obligation respecting the seventh day of the week, imposed upon the Jews by the fourth commandment of the Decalogue, to the Christian dispensation, with a change of the day from the seventh to the first; that, in fact, the command for sanctifying the Sabbath was one of those positive precepts peculiar to the Jewish polity, which, therefore, necessarily ceased to be obligatory when the Mosaic dispensation was fulfilled, and merged in the Christian.

The treatise is written with great moderation, care, and skill. We were somewhat surprised not to observe in it any reference to the well-known work of the Archbishop of Dublin on this subject. Dr. Whateley contends that the Christian obligation to observe Sunday as a day peculiarly sacred to the duties of religion, is derived, not from the Bible, but from the ordinances of the Christian Church, which is, in effect, the conclusion of the author before us. With this difference, however, that he does not seem disposed to give quite so much value or authority to the decrees and ordinances of the church, at least as binding on the consciences of men, as his Grace of Dublin very naturally wishes to ascribe to them.

The Entomological Magazine, No. II.

We are well pleased to see a second Number of this admirable work, and to inform our readers that it is no whit inferior to the first. Among the papers, (which are, however, mostly of a scientific character), we find some of general interest, more particularly one on blight, by Rusticus, an extract from which will tend more to recommend the Magazine than any commendation of ours:—

"Now for the moth. This is a beautiful little creature; its wings are studded with silvery shining specks as though they were inlaid with precious gems. It is the most beautiful of the beautiful tribe to which it belongs, yet, from its habits not being known, it is seldom seen in the moth state; and the apple-grower knows no more than the man in the moon to what cause he is indebted for his basketsful of worm-eaten windfalls in the stillest weather. To find the moth in the day-time, the trunks of the apple-trees should be carefully looked over; or, if your orchard be surrounded by a wooden fence, it may frequently be found sitting against it with its pretty wings neatly folded round it. Towards evening, in fact, just at sunset, it begins to move, and may then be seen hovering about the little apples, which, by the time the moth leaves the chrysalis, the middle of June, are well knit, and consequently fit for the reception of its eggs, which it lays in the eyes, one only in each, by introducing its long ovipositor between the leaves of the calyx, which form a tent above it that effectually shields it from inclemency of weather or any other casualty. As soon as the egg hatches, the little grub gnaws a hole in the crown of the apple, and soon buries itself in its substance; and it is worthy of remark that the rind of the apple,



as if to afford every facility to the destroyer, is thinner here than in any other part, and consequently more easily pierced. The grub, controlled by an unvarying instinct, eats into the apple obliquely downwards, and by thus avoiding the core and pips in no way hinders its growth; at first it makes but slow progress, being little bigger than a thread; but, after a fortnight, its size and its operations have much increased; it has now eaten half way down the apple, and the position of the hole at the top, if the apple continue upright or nearly so, is inconvenient for a purpose it has, up to this time, been used for, that is, as a pass to get rid of its little pellets of excrement, which are something like fine sawdust or coarse sand; another communication with the outer air is therefore required, and it must be so constructed as to allow the power of gravity to assist in keeping it clear; it is, accordingly, made directly downward towards that part of the apple which is lowest, and thus the trouble of thrusting the pellets upwards through the eye of the apple is saved, and a constant admission is given to a supply of air without any labour. The hole, however, now made is not sufficiently open for an observer to gain, by its means, any knowledge of what is going on within; this is only to be obtained by cutting open a number of apples as they advance towards ripeness; the hole is, however, very easily seen, from its always having adhering to it on the outside an accumulation of the little grains which have been thrust through. Having completed this work the grub returns towards the centre of the apple, where he feeds at his ease. When within a few days of being full fed, he for the first time enters the core, through a round hole gnawed in the hard horny substance which always separates the pips from the pulp of the fruit, and the destroyer now finds himself in that spacious chamber which codlings, in particular, always have in their centre. From this time he eats only the pips, never again tasting the more common pulp which hitherto had satisfied his unsophisticated palate; now nothing less than the highly-flavoured aromatic kernels will suit his tooth, and on these, for a few days, he feasts in luxury. Some how or other the pips of an apple are connected with its growth as the heart of an animal with its life;—injure the heart an animal dies;—injure the pips an apple falls. Whether the fall of his house gives the tenant warning to quit, I cannot say, but quit he does, and that almost immediately; he leaves the core, crawls along his breathing and clearing-out gallery, the mouth of which, before nearly closed, he now gnaws into a smooth round hole, which will permit him free passage without hurting his fat, soft, round body; then out he comes, and, for the first time in his life, finds himself in the open air. He now wanders about on the ground till he finds the stem of a tree; up this he climbs, and hides himself in some nice little crack in the bark. I should remark that the fall of the apple, the exit of the grub, and his wandering to a place of security, usually take place in the night time. In this situation he remains, without stirring, for a day or two, as if to rest himself after the uncommon fatigue of a two yards' march; he then gnaws away the bark a little to get further in out of the way of observation; and, having made a smooth chamber big enough for his wants, he spins a beautiful little milk-white silken case, in which, after a few weeks, he becomes a chrysalis, and in this state remains throughout the winter, &c. &c."

This writer seems thoroughly to have investigated the subject on which he treats, and his communications are rendered as useful to the horticulturist as they are interesting to the general reader, by containing invariably an account of the most efficacious mode of expelling the various descriptions of blight by which our orchards and gardens are so often rendered unproductive. The other contributors to the present number are the Rev. C. S. Bird, M.A., F.L.S., Francis Walker, F.L.S., A. H. Haliday, M.A., John Curtis, F.L.S., George Wailes, and George R. Waterhouse; and we must not omit to mention that it also contains a neatly-coloured copper-plate engraving of insects.

*Selections from the Choric Poetry of the Greek Dramatic Writers. Translated into English Verse by J. Anstice, B.A.*

These translations are from the pen of the accomplished professor of classical literature in King's College, London. They are executed apparently with great facility, and in general are spirited and elegant as well as faithful. The copiousness of illustration in the notes also bears ample testimony to the varied acquirements of the professor in those lighter fields of modern literature, which so gracefully combine with and adorn the severer studies which are requisite to constitute a good classical scholar. The selections embrace a very large proportion of the choral parts of such of the Greek tragedies as remain to us. Of Aristophanes there is but a single brief specimen. In all we miss, of course, the extraordinary power and seeming originality of poetic thought which used so to astonish and delight us in Shelley's specimens of this kind; but it would be very unfair to try Mr. Anstice, or indeed any living man, by such a standard. The defect of the book, if we must, in the exercise of our critical vocation, point out a defect, is the want of a connected form, or a definite purpose. It is a book of fragments, resembling rather the note-book of a poetical student than the work of a professor designed and prepared for publication. Nevertheless, it is a very pleasing little volume, which well deserves to be in the hands of every student of the Greek tragedies.



## Sermons. By the Rev. Henry Stebbing, M.A. &amp;c.

Mr. Stebbing's Sermons, like all the other works we have seen from his pen, evince the good sense and the good feeling of their author. The present volume contains twenty-two discourses, on a variety of subjects—selected, we presume, from those which he has preached in the course of his duty, as alternate morning preacher at Saint James's Chapel, Hampstead Road. They are plain, practical discourses, soundly and earnestly written, and such as, without possessing any very great claims on the score of either profound theological knowledge or lofty or impassioned eloquence, are yet perhaps not the less fitted on that account to be useful in the ministration of parochial duty, or suited to the closet of the private Christian. Were we to particularize any, where we were pleased with all, we should say that the sermon on the "Pursuit of Happiness," was one of those which we have read with especial pleasure, and not, we trust, without advantage.

## Bellegarde; the Adopted Indian Boy. 3 vols.

There is a quiet and intelligent vein throughout these volumes, which prove them to be the production of no ordinary person. We never met a novel more free from meretricious ornament, from false excitement, from vulgar prejudice, than "*Bellegarde*." It is a sound, rational book, containing much information about America, and a sufficient quantity of romantic incident to justify any young lady in "falling" desperately in love with the hero. There is a long and well-written introduction, setting forth, what we believe is now pretty generally admitted, that Mrs. Trollope was a lady of vivid imagination, to whom the love of slander awarded a station—and the "*Quarterly*" a bellows!—seeking to puff the "elderly gentlewoman" into respectable notoriety, forgetful how long it was since they had ceased to be either respectful or respectable themselves! But we are tired of such trolloping stuff, and recur with pleasure to a more true and interesting picture of America and American habits—recommending to all who would cultivate a kindly acquaintance with our transatlantic neighbours a careful perusal of "*Bellegarde*." The scene is laid in Canada, during a period of great excitement; and the principal character is, as the title denotes, an Indian boy—with the natural passions, but also the natural affections, of the savage race from which he has sprung. The heroine is a beautiful creation. The other actors in the drama are a mixture of English, French, and Indian, and their combined efforts succeed in maintaining throughout the interest of a powerfully-conceived tale. It is not however in the story that consists the chief merit of the volumes. The author has opened and explained a series of new and striking pictures of American life, habits, and peculiarities, such as are certainly not to be met with elsewhere. He has moreover a very intimate knowledge—but a kindly knowledge—of human nature; and there is a fine moral in all he writes. Divested of the interest of fiction, it would still be a valuable book; with it, it is both valuable and interesting. Whoever he is, it is evident that much of his life has been spent—and profitably spent—among the scenes he describes, and it is also obvious, that the persons he paints are not the creatures of imagination. That they have "lived and moved and had their being," we have no doubt. The lessons they have taught have not been lost upon the observer. He has used them for his purpose; but that purpose is a most excellent one—to inculcate virtue—to teach how errors may be avoided, and how excellencies may be imitated.

## The Book of Beauty. By L. E. L.

We have headed this exquisite volume according to our own notions of propriety, and openly tell Mr. Charles Heath he has displayed a want of gallantry in calling the annual *Heath's Book of Beauty*; his only merit consisting in selecting from the works of Boxall, the Misses Sharp, Stone, and other artists of eminence, portraits of the most beautiful females they could either copy or imagine. He has given occasion to some wag to rebaptize it "*Heath's Harem*." With perhaps two or three exceptions he has chosen wisely. The plates are well calculated to become popular; and if not in the highest style of art, are generally speaking of great excellence. Beautiful as the portraits may be, the great charm of the book rests upon its claims to literary distinction. We have long been acquainted with Miss Landon as a true and impassioned poet; we marvelled much at the graphic sketches, and the shrewd and vivid knowledge of human nature, set forth in her novel of "*Ro-*



mance and Reality ;" we had read an occasional prose tale of her's in the annuals, —but still we were unprepared for the varied talent exhibited in "L. E. L.'s Book of Beauty." The first story is one of great originality and imagination : a worthy successor to the "Arabian Nights,"—as wonderful as "Aladdin's Lamp," but steeped in sorrow ! The second, called "The Talisman," is perhaps the best written in the volume ; the style is more polished ; we do not meet with little abrupt sentences, that frequently break up a pleasant dream, and which a little attention could so easily soften and harmonize. The description of London, as seen from Waterloo Bridge, and the feelings excited by the view of our great city, are finely and classically portrayed. The conclusion of the story is effective and highly wrought.—"The Knife" is a tale of strong interest, and powerful dramatic effect, essentially different from the other two we have particularized, but perfect in its way ; the contrast between the male and female gypsey is natural and affecting. We cannot enter into the poetic merits of the volume, or dwell longer upon its prose ; enough that the "Book of Beauty" is worthy of the genius and industry of one of the most extraordinary and meritorious writers of our time.

---

## LIST OF NEW PUBLICATIONS.

Wines' Two Years in the American Navy, 2 vols. 8vo. 18s. bds.

Hansard's Debates, 3d Series, Vol. XIII. 5th of Sess. 1831-2, 1l. 10s. bds. ; 1l. 13s. 6d. half-bound.

Marshall's Naval Biography, Vol. IV. Part I. 8vo. 15s. boards.

Dr. Chalmers on the Supreme Importance of a Right Moral to a Right Economical State of the Community, 8vo. 2s. 6d. sewed.

Wright's Inferno of Dante, 8vo. 15s. cloth.

Taylor's Life of Cowper, 8vo. 10s. 6d. cloth.

Republic of Letters, 4 vols. 12mo. 1l. 6s. cloth.

Georgian Era. Vol. II. 8vo. 8s. cloth.

Annual Biography and Obituary, Vol. XVII. (1833), 8vo. 15s. boards.

Auldjo's Sketches of Vesuvius, 8vo. 9s. bds.

My Village versus Our Village, by the Author of Barney Mahoney, fcp. 8s. boards.

Hints to a Fashionable Mother, by a Physician, 18mo. 2s. cloth.

Recollections of a Chaperon, by Lady Dacre, 3 vols. post 8vo. 3ls. 6d.

Domestic Portraiture, Memoirs of the Richmond Family, 8vo. 10s. 6d. boards.

Miller's Differential Calculus, 8vo. 6s. bds.

Keightley's Fairy Mythology, 2 vols. 12mo. 5s. half-bound.

The American Theatre, 2 vols. 8vo. By William Dunlap.

The Origin and Services of the Coldstream Guards. By Colonel Mackinnon, 2 vols. 8vo. with Embellishments.

The Life of Sir William Hoste, Bart. R.N. By Lady Harriett Hoste, 2 vols. 8vo.

Sense and Sensibility—Standard Novels—Vol. XXIII.

America and the Americans. By a Citizen of the World, 1 vol. 12s.

Annual Biography and Obituary for 1833.

Sacred History of the World. By Sharon Turner, F.S.A., 8vo. 14s.

---

## LITERARY REPORT.

"Tales of Poland," from the pen of Mrs. Charles Gore, are announced for early publication.

Mr. D'Israeli, we understand, is about to publish a new work in three volumes.

*Literature and Art.*—According to the supplement to "Bent's Literary Advertiser," which contains a list of the new books and principal engravings published in London during the year 1832 ; it appears that the number of books is about 1180, exclusive of new editions, pamphlets or periodicals, being eighty more than in the year 1831. The number of engravings is 99 (including forty portraits),

fifteen of which are engraved in the line manner, fifty-seven in mezzotint, seven in chalk, nine aquatint, and eleven in lithography. The number of engravings published in 1831 was ninety-two (including fifty portraits), viz. eighteen in line, fifty mezzotint, ten chalk, five lithograph, six aquatint, and three etchings.

We are informed that the public may shortly expect from the pen of Mrs. Lee (late Mrs. Bowditch) a Biographical Memoir of the late Baron Cuvier. Mrs. Lee enjoyed the intimacy of the Baron for many years.



## THE DRAMA.

## COVENT-GARDEN.

THE pantomime having “gone off” as usual, to the satisfaction of the manager and the audience,—*Puss in Boots, or the Miller’s Son*, has gradually but fairly given place to other, but scarcely more attractive pieces. With the exception of a clever play—for it can be described neither as tragedy, comedy, or farce—the novelties at Covent-Garden have been very rare. Our readers may give their own interpretation to the word. Mr. Jerrold’s *Nell Gwynne*, has had a run, and very deservedly so; for albeit not of the higher class, it is, by comparison, excellent—better than aught we have seen of late years, and of far greater merit than either of the dramas the author had previously written. Mr. Jerrold has formed a just estimate of the character of Mistress Eleanor; he has entered with much tact and judgment into the peculiar spirit of the times—has made his arrangements with a shrewd eye to dramatic effect—and has introduced his “persons” with considerable skill. His language if neither elegant nor powerful, is sensible at all times, and often witty. In short he is a good but not a first-rate dramatic writer; and if he be successful he has earned and deserved success. The popularity of *Nell Gwynne* however offers another inducement to abler men to write for the stage. If the public are more than satisfied with Mr. Jerrold—we rejoice that it is so—how much greater are the chances in favour of many who have been deterred, by circumstances that cannot long exist, from the employment of their talents in a similar way. Mr. Knowles (!) and Mr. Jerrold (!) are now “at the top of the tree!” The story of *Nell Gwynne* is well known—and it has been repeated of late in all the newspapers. We need not relate it here. The actors did their best. Miss Taylor, as the Dame, Mr. Jones, as the Merry Monarch, and Keeley, as Orange Moll, played their parts to admiration. The Drama has kept its place,—and is likely to be repeated often throughout the season. It is stated, however, that Mr. Jerrold has been engaged—received a commission as the artists say—to produce a sequel—being other acts to follow the acts we have already seen,—and that Mistress Eleanor is to be introduced upon the stage in her character of mistress to Charles the Second; the stage and the cottage giving place to the ball-room and the court. If Mr. Jerrold does this Mr. Jerrold will do wrong. He is unfitted for such a task—both by nature and habit. His thoughts, his readings, and his observations, have all been directed into another channel. It is perhaps wrong to say a man cannot do that which he has never attempted—but if we could augur failure of any writer in such a case, it is of Mr. Jerrold. “We pray him avoid it.”

## DRURY LANE.

*Harlequin Traveller, or the World Turned Inside-out*, the production of Mr. Peake, did much for Drury Lane, but Mr. Stanfield’s Diorama did more; and together they have contributed to preserve the theatre for a short while longer,—a matter about which the managers seem to be marvellously indifferent. The newspaper press during the last few weeks have had more occupation in rating Captain Polhill for want of courtesy—or rather for gross folly and injustice—than in criticising the performances at his house. One and all, it would appear, have had something to complain of: the great complaint being that, from all who do not say only “sweet things” of the theatre, he either withdraws or withholds the privilege (if it can be so called) by which admission is obtained, for purposes of business, without expense. He has reaped his reward,—his theatre is neglected, and he is untroubled at least by a *press* of one description.

## ADELPHI.

This little theatre is deservedly successful. Mr. Yates does not pretend to enter into competition with the large but almost deserted houses of Drury Lane and Covent Garden, but he is gathering in the harvest while they are only picking up the scattered blades of corn. He has had a pleasant and profitable pantomime, and, although he keeps his stock pieces a little too long before our eyes, they do not appear to grow stale, but attract as many as his theatre can hold. Mrs. Yates is still to be seen in *Henriette*,—and may be seen twice or thrice without wearying. She is, to our minds, second only to Miss Kelly in the walk she has chosen.



## THE STRAND THEATRE.

Miss Kelly has opened the Strand Theatre under peculiar circumstances. The Lord Chamberlain has "taken her by the hand," contributed money and influence to forward her plans, and extended to her several privileges for which others might have asked in vain. She deserves it all. Her excellent character as a woman, and her unrivalled talents as an actress, demanded from the "high in office" the utmost aid and patronage they were enabled to give. Her undertaking is an extraordinary one—such as only a woman of strong mind and remarkable abilities could have attempted in the first place, and rendered successful in the next. Of her "Dramatic Recollections," she is herself the only heroine—performing the parts of *Mrs. Parthian*, *Mrs. Miffy*, *Mrs. Mattocks*, *Mrs. Drake*, and so forth, with wonderful effect, and with a power of lungs almost supernatural. Many of her stories are admirably told, and there is no small sparkling of wit—enough at least to keep the audience in excellent humour, although there are no jokes that are questionable, or allusions that ought to make a woman blush. We have had but one opportunity of attending her theatre; we shall visit it again during the coming month, and report upon it at greater length.

## FINE ARTS.

THE new Society of Painters in Water Colours have recently had several meetings, the object of which has been to direct public attention to the circumstances under which their exhibitions of last year took place, and to form some plan for bringing their works forward under auspices more favourable; to procure, in short, contributions from the professors and patrons of art, so that they may not again suffer a pecuniary loss. They have a just claim for the assistance they ask for and expect. The old Water Colour Society, it is known, is an exclusive body—its profits are considerable, and each member has a due share. They are very limited in number, and whatever be the merits of a brother artist, he has no chance of being classed among them until a vacancy occurs. We do not quarrel with their system; they have an undoubted right to do as they please; but we maintain that another society, adopting no such restrictions—but open to all, and instituted for the benefit of all—has greater claims upon public support. The water-colour draughtsmen have done much to render England the envy of other nations, as far as art is concerned. Our continental neighbours dispute our pretensions to superiority in *oils*, but readily admit they have not yet approached us as painters in water-colours. To preserve this pre-eminence, our artists should be encouraged. Patronage is almost necessary to an artist's existence. His works must be seen to be appreciated. And unless he has the means of exhibiting them, they must rot and he must starve. We are, therefore, happy in being enabled to lay before the public the plans of the new Society of Painters in Water Colours. We sincerely wish it success, and shall do all in our power to promote it. We extract the following from a report of the proceedings on the 8th instant, at a meeting held in the Freemasons' Hall:—

Mr. Joseph Powell was called to the chair, and read the report drawn up by the Secretary to the Committee of Management appointed two years since; this report was of some length, and gave a very clear and satisfactory statement of their proceedings to the present time. It fairly pointed out what might be considered imperfections in their system, with the remedy for them founded on actual experience. It strongly inculcated the necessity of strict unanimity, and an entire obedience to the rules deliberately formed for the direction of its members, without which it would be vain to expect prosperity—gave an exact statement of receipts and expenditure at the exhibition at their gallery, No. 16, Old Bond-street, as well as the general expenses; and having eloquently eulogised "the public press" for its generous and judicious advocacy of the fine arts at all times, but particularly for its approval of the liberal principles upon which this Society is founded, and upon which it has heretofore been conducted,—it also gratefully acknowledged the liberality of the noblemen and gentlemen who had contributed towards its funds; and closed by recommending a new committee of management, the time for which the former committee had been elected having expired. This recommendation was agreed to, and the new committee was chosen by ballot, from amongst the *artists only*, as being the most proper persons to conduct the affairs of their own profession.



## PROCEEDINGS OF SOCIETIES.

## ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY.

SIR J. HERSCHEL read observations of Biela's comet ; we give the following extract :—" It was not," says Sir John, " till about 8<sup>h</sup> sid. time, on the night of the 4-5th November, that the clouds were sufficiently dispersed from the comet's place to allow a view of it. Being then, however, at a much greater altitude than when seen the night before, it was proportionally brighter, and was, indeed, a very fine and brilliant object. The trace of a tail or branch in the same direction as previously observed, though extremely feeble, was now unequivocal, and the central point not to be overlooked. It had not, however, the appearance of a star, but seemed more analogous to the central point in some nebulae, such as that in Andromeda, which is probably only nebula much more condensed than the rest. The comet's diameter could not be estimated under 5'; and some degree of nebulosity was suspected even beyond that limit." From these observations, Sir John Herschel is of opinion that the approximate place of the comet must have been AR. 10<sup>h</sup> 15<sup>m</sup> 34<sup>s</sup>; decl. + 7° 36' 34". Interpolated from Henderson's Ephemeris, and computed from Damoiseau's Elements, it is AR. 10<sup>h</sup> 12<sup>m</sup> 30<sup>s</sup>; decl. + 8° 7'.

## SOCIETY OF ARTS.

Mr. Aikin read an essay on the liquids used for artificial light, and the manufacture of lamps. The lecturer began this *illustration* with some observations on the manufacture of oils, animal and vegetable, and noticed the beautiful light produced from naphtha, a mineral oil, or fluid bitumen—or, less technically, coal-tar—the use of which is almost peculiar to Britain. Filaments of flax, cotton, or other fibrous substances, form the best medium for obtaining light with oil ; though asbestos, amianthus, and platina wire, are sometimes used ; so that the substance need not necessarily be filaceous, but solid, burning by capillary attraction. Oil lamps are of the greatest antiquity—Moses speaks of them ; but the ancient Greeks, according to Homer, were unacquainted with their use. The halls of Menelaus were lit by torches ; and Penelope herself went to bed by torch-light ! To the Romans, on the contrary, the lamp was well known, as appears from Pliny, and the great variety of antique specimens obtained from Pompeii and Herculaneum. A number of these relics of ancient art, from the common clay vessel, resembling in shape a glass-blower's shoe-lamp, to the elegant bronze device, were placed on the lecture-table : among the latter was the lamp used by Napoleon Buonaparte in the camp and the library ; it was dug from the ruins of Pompeii or Herculaneum, and its classical associations must, in the mind of the Emperor, have counterbalanced its inconvenience. This lamp is of a boat-like shape, with a serpent gracefully curving over it, and forming a sort of handle. Mr. Aikin noticed the peculiarities of the lamps now in use. The bird-fountain lamp, so called because it resembles those pretty crystal vessels attached to bird-cages, burns by atmospheric pressure ; air being excluded from the reservoir, the liquid contained in it does not descend and escape at the orifice below. Such lamps do well enough when affixed to walls ; but the fountain casts every object behind it in the shade, if it be placed in any other situation. The *Argand* lamp, invented fifty years ago by M. Argand, is the greatest improvement in lamps : it burns, as most people are aware, by a current of air passing through a cylinder in the middle of the wick, by which means the natural inferiority of light produced from oil is made superior to that from candles.

*Lectures on the Ear.*—Mr. Curtis has recently delivered a series of lectures on the diseases of the ear. The lecturer took occasion to remark, that nearly twenty years had elapsed since he delivered his first course on the anatomy, physiology, and pathology of the ear ; and he was happy to find that the mode of treatment pursued at the institution, which had relieved above 15,000 patients, had not only been successfully employed in this country, but also in France, Germany, and America : and that much light had been thrown on otorrhœa, and deafness and dumbness—diseases of the most formidable character. Many discoveries had been made by chance, many from observation ; and of the latter class was the important one he had now the pleasure of communicating to the profession, viz. that in treating cases of deafness conjoined with amaurosis, or gutta serena, frequently the worst species of blindness, he had, by attending to the local and constitutional treatment, while removing the deafness, frequently succeeded in restoring sight, without the pain



and uncertainty of an operation; and from what he had seen during his long and extensive practice in diseases of the ear, he was convinced that remedies of a similar nature were equally efficacious in those of the eye, if had recourse to in the incipient stage. At the conclusion, the lecturer traced the connexion of the nerves of the eye and ear; and remarked on the important function of the ganglionic plexus of nerves, and particularly on the great sympathetic, which by its communications with the principal parts of the body, exercises a leading influence on the organs of hearing and sight; and the derangement of which is often the cause of disease connected with the semilunar ganglion and solar plexus. As illustrative of his views of disease, he exhibited some rare and valuable preparations of the eye and ear, which excited much interest. The whole lecture was highly instructive and gratifying.

ROYAL IRISH ACADEMY.

The origin and use of the Round Towers of Ireland has been a topic of speculation and literary controversy to writers of all countries, from the days of Giraldus Cambrensis, who flourished in the twelfth century, to the present.

In their anxiety to arrive at some satisfactory elucidation of the subject, the Royal Irish Academy, in December, 1830, proposed a premium of a gold medal and 50*l.* to the author of an approved essay, in which all particulars respecting them was expected to be explained.

On the 17th of December last, they decided on the point by awarding the gold medal and 50*l.* to George Petrie, Esq., and a gold medal to Henry O'Brien, Esq.

The theories which those two gentlemen advocate are directly opposed. Mr. Petrie's is not a new one—it is that which Montmorenci supported before, viz.—their being repositories for valuables belonging to the early Christian institutions. Mr. O'Brien has broached an entirely novel thought, carrying his researches to an era long anterior to Christianity; proving the existence of those structures before the light of revelation ever dawned; opening up the antiquities of the whole ancient world in illustration of his hypothesis; and connecting the edifices with the celebration of certain rites, the most interesting and engrossing in the whole compass of human occupations. As to the exact nature or accuracy of his proofs, we are not at liberty yet to pronounce: his book, at all events, is a novelty.

We understand that both essays are to be published.

---

VARIETIES.

*Interesting Relic.*—A boat, shaped somewhat like a canoe, 12 feet in length, and 3½ in breadth, and obviously made out of a solid piece of black oak, was dug up, about three weeks ago, at Moss-side, by the tenant's sons, while employed in stubbing and levelling an elevated spot of ground, with an eye to the future operations of the plough. Moss-side is on the estate of Mable, and the relic in question has been gifted to Mr. Howat, the proprietor, who will be happy to preserve it for the inspection of the curious. The tree seems to have been 2½ feet in thickness, and the excavation is as near as may be 1½ foot. At both ends two small holes had been bored or ground into the wood, for the purpose, very probably, of dragging the boat with ropes. The timber on the outside is much decayed, but within it appears to be pretty fresh, and the boat, when found, was little more than two feet beneath the surface, where it rested, fore and aft, on a little hillock of stones. Tradition says of Lochar—

“First a wood, and then a sea,  
Now a moss, and aye will be;”

and little doubt can exist that the same remark may be applied to Mable moss. An aged person, who resides near Moss-side, remembers when a friend of his found an anchor embedded in the flow. This happened a great number of years since; and an old woman with whom we conversed, records the following remarkable story, which was current nearly half a century ago. Previous to her womanhood, a party of sailors from the Nith, made a voyage to Norway, and while on shore one day met a veteran tar, whose age was computed at a hundred years. On learning that they were from Scotland, he eagerly enquired what port they sailed from, and the moment the word Nith was mentioned, remarked, “I knew it well, and many a time have anchored in my younger days at the Ironcleuch of Traqueer.” And



this, it appears, was the ancient or former name of the place where the boat was found.—*Dumfries Courier*.

*The Sinking Fund*.—By an official statement made by the Lords of the Treasury it appears that the net revenue of the country for the year ending on the 10th October last, over the expenditure, was 467,391*l.* 9*s.* 7*d.* The Commissioners for the reduction of the National Debt have in consequence given notice that in the present quarter, ending on the 5th April next, one-fourth part of this excess of revenue will be appropriated to the liquidation of the debt in the following manner :—A sum of 100,000*l.* will be appropriated in the purchase of Exchequer Bills ; 11,818*l.* 8*s.* 1*d.* in the purchase of Stock ; and 4,999*l.* 11*s.* 1*d.* will be applied to pay off the Bank of England for certain advances made by the Directors to pay off dissentients to the reduction of the Four per Cents. To the amount to be invested is added 2,943*l.* interest on donations made for the reduction of the national debt. The purchases of the Commissioners for the reduction of the National Debt have been suspended since the 10th January in last year. In the previous quarter there were invested, on behalf of the Sinking Fund, 173,818*l.* 8*s.* 9*d.*, the Lords of the Treasury having certified that the income of the country over the expenditure for the year ending the 5th July, 1831, was 1,895,273*l.* 15*s.* 3¼*d.* Of this sum one-fourth of the amount, or 473,818*l.* 8*s.* 9*d.* was invested in the quarter ending January 5, 1832, in the following manner :—There was applied in the purchase of Exchequer Bills 400,000*l.*, in the purchase of Stock 72,911*l.* 12*s.* 4*d.* and to pay the Bank for advances made to pay the proprietors of the Four per Cent. Stock, who dissented from receiving 3½ per Cents., 906*l.* 16*s.* 5*d.* The last purchases of the Commissioners for the reduction of the Debt, previously to the suspension of the investments on behalf of the Sinking Fund, were in the Three and a Half per Cents. of 1818, at 89½. The purchases made on the recommencement of business were in the same Stock, at 95½.

*Signal Lanterns*.—Captain N. de Coninck, of the Royal Danish Navy, has invented a lantern to be used for signals, that is said to give a much more brilliant light than those at present employed for that purpose. The light is obtained on the Argand principle, without the use of glass, by conveying a current of air through the lantern. The lamp will contain sufficient oil to last several hours, and is perfectly secured by the construction of the lantern from the effects of bad weather. We hear that they have been tried, with complete success, in a gale of wind. In addition to the brilliant light obtained from the application of the Argand burner, Captain Coninck has applied a circular reflector, which considerably increases the light. The above officer has also applied the same principle to the construction of deck lanterns, eight or ten of which, when placed amidships, are sufficient to give light to the guns on the deck of the largest man of war. The light is so well secured from external effects, that it withstands the concussion produced by the firing of the guns, which so frequently extinguishes the light in the common lantern. The efficacy of his lanterns has undergone a severe trial on board two Danish frigates. For this purpose the lantern was suspended from the muzzle of the gun, which was fired with the lantern in that position. A common lantern placed by the gun was immediately extinguished by the concussion of the first discharge, while the new lantern remained steady during fourteen successive discharges, when it was extinguished by the united effects of the concussion and the great vibratory motion it obtained.

We understand that Sir David Brewster has made two very remarkable discoveries, which promise to be of some use to science. In a new salt discovered by Dr. William Gregory, viz., an oxalate of chromium and potash, he has detected the extraordinary property that one of its images formed by double refraction is of a bright scarlet, while the other image is of a bright blue colour. In examining the pure liquid, anhydrous nitrous acid, prepared in the manner which is supposed to yield it in its purest state, he found that the acid actually consisted of two separate fluids, one which was heavier than the other, and possessed a much higher refractive power. When the two fluids were shaken they formed an imperfect union, and separated again on being allowed to remain at rest. What the second fluid is remains to be investigated ; it may perhaps turn out to be an entirely new substance. Its physical properties are now under investigation.

From official returns, published in the calendar for 1833, it appears that on the 1st of January, 1832, the population of our kingdom amounted to 20,454,176 souls :



the births in the year 1831 were—males, 42,760; females, 40,310: total, 83,070. The deaths, in the same period—males, 38,210; females, 34,667: total, 72,877. Excess of births 10,193, which is an increase of nearly one-half less than in 1830.

The following is the amount of cattle, sheep, pigs, and horses, imported into Bristol from Ireland during the last year:—In 1832, 67,961 pigs, 3,639 sheep, 5,327 cattle, 217 horses. In 1831, 92,759 pigs, 12,943 sheep, 7,274 cattle, 161 horses.

The length of the paved streets and roads in England and Wales is calculated at 20,000 miles! that of the roads which are not paved at about 100,000 miles. The extent of the turnpike-roads, as appears by Parliamentary documents, in 1823, was 24,531 miles.

In the seven years from 1723 to 1729 the exports from Ireland to Great Britain amounted, according to Sir Charles Whitworth's work, to 2,307,722*l.*, whilst in one year, 1829, the amount of goods and live stock exported from the port of Waterford alone, according to evidence given before the Irish Committee, was 2,136,934*l.* In 1801, the aggregate official value of the exports of the produce or manufacture of the United Kingdom from Ireland was 3,350,000*l.*, whilst in 1825 it had increased to eight millions and a half. Since 1824, in eggs alone, there have been exported from Dublin only, to the value of 273,000*l.* distributable among the poorer classes.

*Factory Children.*—A very voluminous Report of the Committee appointed last Session to inquire into the state of the children employed in mills and factories, with a view to regulate their amount of labour and their hours of necessary rest, has just been published. The inquiry occupied about forty days, and produced an extensive body of evidence; from which, even in the depositions of witnesses interested in the continuance of the present system, there appears abundant reason for Parliamentary investigation and Legislative interference. The cruelties practised on children to make them work beyond their strength, or to exhaust their frames by premature fatigues, are as legitimate subjects for legislation as the regulation of slave-labour in the West Indies. The reason for interference in both cases is the same—namely, that neither the infants in the factories nor the bondmen in the plantations can protect themselves, and therefore ought to be protected by special laws of the state. Were free agency allowed to either, the claim both of the Colonists and Manufacturers to be “let alone” might command our forbearance, if not our sympathy.

*Discovery of an ancient Burial Ground.*—The workmen on the Leeds and Selby Rail-road, in digging the excavation diverging from the London and York Turnpike, through the tunnel formed by the bridge near South Milford, have this week opened a burial-ground, concerning which there is no tradition. In the Domesday Survey there are four chapelries mentioned as belonging to Sherburn: one of these was on the same line of road, at the extremity of the township, on the way to Barkston-Ash, the foundation of which the old inhabitants can recollect, but it is not known where the other three chapelries were situated; this, probably, was the cemetery of one of them. That Sherburn was a place of consequence, in the time of the Saxon Heptarchy, is certain from the fact that it gave title to a Bishop; for we read that Aldhelm (brother to Ina, King of the West Saxons), Abbot of Malmesbury, was made “Bishop of Sherburn” in the year 709: his palace was near the site of the present church, which is one of the finest situations in the county, and the groundworks of which, together with the moat, the baths, stable-yard, &c. may still be distinctly traced.

*Spring Assizes.*—The following is a list of the Judges, with their respective circuits:—

MIDLAND.—Lord Chief Justice Denman and Mr. Justice Bosanquet.

HOME.—Lord Chief Justice Tindal and Lord Lyndhurst.

WESTERN.—Mr. Justice J. A. Park and Mr. Justice Littledale.

NORFOLK.—Mr. Baron Vaughan and Mr. Baron Bolland.

OXFORD.—Mr. Justice James Parke and Mr. Justice Taunton.

NORTHERN.—Mr. Justice Alderson and Mr. Baron Gurney.

NORTH WALES.—Mr. Baron Bayley.

SOUTH WALES.—Mr. Justice Patteson.



## FOREIGN VARIETIES.

*Shower of Fire.*—A singular phenomenon presented itself lately in some parts of France, particularly in the department of Orne, in the neighbourhood of Argentan. Several times, and during two whole hours, the atmosphere, which was calm, became filled with an innumerable quantity of vivid sparks, forming a sort of shower of fire. The appearance was most striking between four and five o'clock in the morning. The same phenomenon was witnessed about Caeu, where, however, it excited less apprehension than at Argentan. It is said that in some places the same sparks were seen to alight upon the ground; but no traces of them have anywhere been found; and it is probable that the phenomenon really took place in the upper regions, the appearance of having descended being most likely an optical illusion.—*Medical Gazette.*

*Post Office in Paris.*—The functionaries employed are a director-general, three administrators, a secretary-general, 680 clerks, and 360 postmen, at an annual expense of 2,082, 110f.; the average salary of the clerks is 2,481f. (or 100*l.*) a-year; of the postmen 853f. (or about 35*l.*) per annum. The produce of postage of letters and Parisian Papers was 7,080,000f., giving a clear income of about 200,000*l.* a-year. The number of letters daily distributed, not including Government despatches, was provincial letters 28,000, and Parisian letters 15,000. The number transmitted daily from Paris, exclusive of Government despatch, was of letters 60,000, newspapers 58,000. The number of travellers in the mails in 1829 was 60,000, in 1815 only 4,000; the average of speed obtained on the roads of the first section was in 1815 one hour nine minutes per post; in 1829 only forty-six minutes, being an increase in speed of travelling of twenty-three minutes. Out of the number of letters amounting to 68,000,000, conveyed annually by the French Post-office, the remaining dead letters in 1829 was 1,106,000, a proportion of one in sixty-three, of these 508,000 were refused, 200,000 unclaimed, 182,000 to persons unknown, and 70,000 to be called for.

*Cholera Medals.*—A number of these have been struck off, at the Royal Mint in Paris, for the purpose of being issued to those individuals who distinguished themselves in the cause of humanity during the late epidemic. A list of one thousand names has been drawn up, and the medals are likely to be issued in a short time.

## RURAL ECONOMY.

*New Zealand Flax.*—Dr. Hooker, of Glasgow, has just published an account of the Phormium Tenax, or New Zealand Flax, with a figure of the plant. It seems hardy, for it has withstood the winter of Inverness-shire, in the open border, and has lately flowered near Birmingham. But what we deem most important is, that the trade in this flax with the New Zealanders is greatly increased of late years. According to the statistical returns of New South Wales for 1828, only 60 tons, valued at 2600*l.*, were exported from Sydney to Britain during that year; while during 1830 (according to returns taken from the Custom-house books) the quantities stated as the imports into Sydney for the English market were 841 tons, and in 1831 no fewer than 1062 tons. Its present price in London may be stated at from 15*l.* to 25*l.* per ton, its quality and price varying. The flax is prepared by the natives, and in strength of fibre, and also in whiteness, far exceeds any analogous material; so that for cordage and canvass it is invaluable. Mr. Busby, civil engineer at Sydney, and a most competent judge, recommends this trade to the fostering care of Government, as being calculated to open a considerable demand for British manufactures, and to yield in return an article of raw produce, "not only valuable to England as a manufacturing country, but indispensable to her greatness as a maritime power; and (he adds, in a spirit with which many of our readers will sympathize), apart from all motives of interest, it is deserving of attention from the opportunities it affords of civilizing and converting to Christianity one of the most interesting races of people which British enterprise has yet discovered in any quarter of the globe."

*Domestic Yest.*—Persons who are in the habit of making domestic bread, cake,



&c., can easily manufacture their own yeast by attending to the following directions :—Boil one pound of good flour, a quarter of a pound of brown sugar, and a little salt, in two gallons of water for one hour. When milk-warm, bottle it and cork it close, and it will be fit for use in twenty-four hours. One pint of the yeast will make eighteen pounds of bread.

*The Teasel.*—The teasel, a species of thistle, is propagated by sowing the seeds in March, upon a well-prepared soil. About one peck of seed is sufficient for an acre, as the plants must have room to grow, otherwise the heads will not be large enough, nor in great quantity. When the plants come up they must be hoed in the same manner as is practised for turnips, cutting down all the weeds and thinning the plants to about eight inches distant; and as they advance, and the weeds begin to grow again, they must be hoed a second time, cutting out the plants to a wider distance, so that they may finally stand a foot apart. The second year they will shoot up heads, which may be cut about the beginning of August. They are then to be tied up in bundles and set in the sun, if the weather be fair, or, if not, in rooms to dry. The common produce is about one hundred and sixty bundles per acre. In Essex the seeds of the teasel, caraway, and coriander are sometimes sown together early in the spring. The mode of cultivation is rather singular: the farmer engaging with some labourer to share equal profits, the former provides the land, ploughs it, pays all parish rates, and also for the seed; the latter sows it, keeps it clean by frequent hoeings, cuts, threshes, and prepares it for the market. In the first year the several seeds come up, and when sufficiently grown, are set out with a hoe, and the coriander, which is annual, is ripe before harvest and produces a return from ten to fourteen cwt. an acre; in the second year the teasel yields a load of fifty heads each staff, and the caraways from three to six cwt. of seed; the third year the teasel declines, and the caraway is in perfection, and will yield an equal bulk with the coriander, and most of the teasel will afford a fourth or fifth part of the crop it did the preceding season, by which time the plants are generally exhausted. The caraway and coriander must be handled with great care when ripe. Women and children are employed to cut it plant by plant, which are placed on cloths, and threshed on sail-cloth in the field. The teasel is of singular use in raising the nap upon woollen cloth. For this purpose the heads are fixed round a large broad wheel, which is made to revolve, two men holding the teasel-frame as it is called, and work the cloth as it hangs up in a vertical position, drawing it down in portions as they proceed. The whole forms an instrument resembling a curry-comb, and which is used in a similar manner to draw out all loose ends of the fibres of the wool.

A model has been exhibited at the Bath Agricultural Society, of a press-roller for forming drills in soils, otherwise too light for the cultivation of wheat, an invention of Mr. Webb Hall, the utility of which that gentleman illustrated by a most able and eloquent speech, which it is impossible to follow little more than briefly.—The wheel (the model at least) is of wood, the edges forming a rather acute angle, terminating in a sharp edge; the wheel being concave from the base of the angle, the axis admits of being loaded with an adequate weight of iron in proportion to the demands of a light soil, it being intended to act by compression to counteract the defective tenacity of the soil. When used, ten of the wheels are fixed on the same axle at six inches or any other distance, and by their progressive motion form parallel drills for the reception of the seed, compressing the land by their own and the added weight, and giving a light soil the quality of solidity sufficient to bear wheat. The preference of this mode to dibbling is founded on its freedom from the tendency to form a pond to hold water and rot the seed, the water being, in this case, equally dispersed along the drill; the intermediate ridges are then levelled with a light drag, and effectually cover the seed, and favor its germination. Among the many advantages noted were—its efficacy in destroying grubs or wire-worm by the compression, which otherwise takes two or three ploughings and then not effectually, leaving the soil loose; and the diminution of labour.

*Field-Gardens for the Poor.*—At a recent meeting of the Bath and West of England Agricultural Society, Captain Scobell read an essay on “Field-Gardening by the Poor,” at the same rents as larger tenants, as an effectual means of improving their condition in every point of view, and especially in preserving them from the degrading evils of destitution, and inducing habits of industry, and encouraging principles of morality, besides diminishing, if not altogether annihilating, the burden of the poor-rates. He also thought the melioration of their condition, by



producing comfort and happiness and habits of prudence, had a decided tendency to prevent imprudent marriages, and thus effectually relieve the apprehensions of the disciples of Malthus and Dr. Chalmers, by keeping within bounds the increase of population. Captain Scobell stated that the average produce of the crops was one hundred sacks of potatoes per acre, and, so far from impoverishing the land, they laid on from thirteen to seventeen full-sized cart-loads of manure per acre. He considered that from forty to forty-five poles of good land formed an adequate allotment for a family of nine or ten persons, and said that he had a prospect of introducing the system, under favourable auspices, in a part of Wiltshire where he had property. In answer to an apprehension of some gentlemen, that the cultivation on their own account might lead them to use dishonest advantages with their employers and others, Captain S. said, that, on the contrary, he had been solicited by those resident in other places to introduce the system as a known preventive of depredation.—Mr. Thomas Davis, of Warminster, confirmed the views of Captain Scobell.—Mr. Webb Hall, in a long and eloquent address, gave his testimony, from long experience, to the good effects of the system. He did not recollect the time when it was not practised by him or his father; and said that he had never known a single individual so benefited to receive one shilling of parochial aid, and thought it ought not to be restricted to particular classes, but extended as far as possible to all who needed it; that the greatest benefits of the system arose from giving the labourer a sense of security and comfort—a hold on the soil, and a station in the civilized class of society; thus generating a strong tie to the higher classes, and a disposition to protect rather than attack property.

---

## USEFUL ARTS.

*Compensating Pendulums.*—After the pendulum was applied as a regulator to clocks, and the other parts of these instruments had been rendered perfect in their construction, so great a regularity was obtained, that the variations caused by the expansion and contraction of the rod of the pendulum became sensible. M. Henry Robert, clock-maker at the Palais Royal, and pupil of Braguet, has lately communicated to the Society for the Encouragement of National Industry, a more simple method than that in use for obtaining an exact compensation in pendulums beating the half second. The common method of compensation is to make the rod of the half-second pendulum of a single platinum tube, and the bob of zinc; the difference in the expansions of these two metals is such, that by exact calculations a perfect correction is obtained. He then directed his attention to the pendulum with a wooden rod, for the purpose of using it in ornamental time-pieces, for which the zinc and platinum pendulum (gridiron) was unsuited, from the comparative plainness of its appearance. By a simple and easy device he has so constructed it as to protect it completely from the action of the atmosphere, so that it may now be substituted for the best metallic compensators, in every kind of clock. In the construction of this new pendulum, M. R. profiting, on the one hand, by the well-known property possessed by the wood of the fir-tree, of preserving its length unaltered in all changes of temperature, has been enabled to confine his attention simply to its tendency to warp by the absorption of moisture from the atmosphere, and to prevent this, encloses the rod made of this wood in a metal box; the expansion of the bob corrects that of the tube. This simple pendulum unites all the requisites of a good compensator, while at the same time it may be put together with ease; it takes up little room, is of a very simple form, and may be placed in the most beautiful models where the pendulum is exposed to view.—*Academy of Sciences.*

*Removing Acid from Papers used in Lithographic Printing.*—Most of the paper used in copper-plate and lithographic printing has an acid re-action; due, doubtless, to the processes of whitening, or to the alum used in its manufacture. This acid soon injures the texture of the lithographic stones, and after, at most, thirty impressions have been taken, the stone *greases*, to use the expression of the workmen, and the impressions are imperfect. M. Joumar remedies this greasing of the stone in a very simple way:—he passes the paper, intended to receive the impression, through weak lime-water, which neutralizes the acidity; he leaves it through the night thus wetted and *matted*, and on the following morning either dries



it, or takes off the superabundant moisture, keeping that degree of softness which the printing requires.—*Mémorial Encyclopédique*, &c.

*Preservation of Wood.*—A method of preserving building timber from decay has long been a desideratum. The attempts hitherto made have not, however, been attended with success. Timber for ship-building is subject to a peculiar species of decay, called the *dry rot*,—a method of preventing which would be exceedingly valuable. At the meeting of the Society for the Encouragement of National Industry, on the 21st of December, 1831, Mr. Bréant, Assayer of the Mint, and an able chemist, exhibited several pieces of wood of many inches square and several feet long, which had been prepared by him according to a new process, which is expected to preserve them from every species of decay. The details of the method have not been made public by Mr. B.; he has merely stated that the wood is soaked in saline solutions and in oily and resinous matters. These substances penetrate so completely throughout the mass of wood, that when one of the blocks exhibited before the Society was sawed in half in presence of the members, it was found to be thoroughly impregnated with them even to its very centre. Mr. B.'s process requires but two or three days for completion, even in blocks of wood of a large size. If further experience confirm what science has thus suggested, the difficult problem of the preservation of wood may be considered as solved. Mr. B. states that he will shortly be able to furnish timber of all sizes prepared in this way.—*Academy of Sciences*.

*Blasting Rocks under Water by means of the Diving Bell.*—Three men are employed in the diving-bell: one holds the jumper, or boring-iron, which he keeps constantly turning; the other two strike alternately quick smart strokes with hammers. When the hole is bored of the requisite depth, a tin cartridge, filled with gunpowder, about two inches in diameter, and a foot in length, is inserted, and sand placed above it. To the top of the cartridge a tin pipe is soldered, having a brass screw at the upper end. The diving-bell is then raised up slowly, and additional tin pipes with brass screws are attached, until the pipes are about two feet above the surface of the water. The man who is to fire the charge is placed in a boat close to the top of the tube, to the top of which a piece of cord is attached, which he holds in his left hand. Having in the boat a brasier, with small pieces of iron red hot, he drops one of them down the tube, this immediately ignites the powder, and blows up the rock. A small part of the tube next the cartridge is destroyed; but the greater part, which is held by the cord, is reserved for future service. The workmen in the boat experience no shock; the only effect is a violent ebullition of the water arising from the explosion; but those who stand on the shore, and upon any part of the rock connected with those blowing up, feel a very strong concussion. The only difference between the mode of blasting rock at Howth and at Plymouth is, that at the latter place they connect the tin pipes by a cement of white lead. A certain depth of water is necessary for safety, which should not be less than from eight to ten feet.—*Repertory of Patent Inventions*.

The production of steam water on the surface of melted iron is very slowly affected by heat, although it explodes violently when the same fused metal is dropped into it. A series of experiments were made on the time required for the evaporation of the same quantity of water successively poured into a massive iron cup, at first raised to a white heat, and then gradually cooled by the addition and evaporation of the water. The first measures of water were longer in being evaporated than those subsequently added, in consequence of the reduction in the temperature of the iron, until this temperature reached the *evaporating point*, when the water was suddenly thrown off in a dense cloud of steam. Below this temperature, the time required for the complete evaporation of the same measure of water became longer in proportion as the iron was cooler, until it fell below the boiling point. These results may be accounted for from the circumstance, that when the metal is at the higher temperature, the water placed on its surface is removed from contact with it by a stratum of interposed steam. It is, therefore, requisite that water should be kept in close and constant contact with the heated metal, in which it is contained, in order to obtain from it in the shortest time the greatest quantity of steam.

*New Rollers for Inking Lithographic Stones.*—The rollers used in lithography for inking the stones consist generally of cylinders of wood, covered with calf skin, and stuffed with carded wool. Besides the inequalities which the surfaces of such rollers present, the seam uniting the two sides of the leather makes a ridge which



spoils the uniformity of the inking, particularly when large stones are used. This has hitherto been a great obstacle in the progress of the lithographic art. This ridge had been reduced, and practice had taught a method of concealing, in part, the defects necessarily attendant upon the use of rollers with seams; but the inconvenience and many defects still remain to be remedied. M. Tudot conceived the idea of making rollers of round plates of different substances, cut with a punch, then threaded together on a cylinder of wood, pressed forcibly one against the other, and polished or trimmed. He has tried in this way chamois leather, calico, and calf-skin. This last material answered best, and furnished rollers which were soft without seams, and which distributed the ink uniformly. M. de Lasteyrie, a competent judge in these matters, observes that the ordinary (seamed) rollers are very quickly worn out, while those of M. T. will not be exposed to the operation of the same causes of wear and tear, and will, therefore, greatly outlast the others.

*Mode of Fixing and Varnishing Drawings.*—To fix pencil or chalk drawings, they should be washed in water in which a small quantity of isinglass has been dissolved. Any colourless glue will be available. Skimmed milk is used for the same purpose by some, but isinglass is preferable.

To varnish the same drawings after having fixed and thoroughly dried them, pass over them a coat of spa, or colourless spirit varnish; and, when perfectly dry, a second. These two will be sufficient.

The isinglass-water must be applied lightly, and never passed twice over the same spot until the first coat be dry, otherwise the drawing will become smeary. Care, also, must be taken to clear the drawing from every particle of dust before commencing the operation, and to preserve it from the same afterwards, till it be perfectly dry; otherwise in the former case it will be cloudy and smutty, and in the latter the particles will so adhere as never to be removed. Finally, the brushes also must be perfectly clean. A better plan of passing over the isinglass wash than by means of the brush, is, to pour it into a flat vessel, such as a dish, and insert the drawing into the composition, laying the paper flat immediately afterwards. This will preclude the chance of its becoming smeared, which, in the case of drawings of considerable vigour in touch, or of powerful shading, will occasionally happen to the most cautious user of the brush.

*Zinc Milk Pails.*—Among the patents lately taken out in America, one is for a process for extracting cream from milk by the use of zinc. It is said that if zinc be put into the milk pail, or the milk be put into a vessel made of that substance, the same quantity of milk will yield a greater proportion of cream or butter.

---

## COMMERCIAL AND MONEY-MARKET REPORT.

Considerable interest is excited in the commercial as well as in the political world by the tone of resolute defiance adopted by the local government of the State of South Carolina, in reply to the proclamation of the President of the United States. Although it is very probable (and, on the score of humanity, such an event is much to be desired) that the dispute on the subject of the Tariff will be brought to a conclusion, without an appeal to arms, it is by no means likely that such a result can be brought about without a very considerable reduction of the duty on manufactures imported, not only into Carolina, but into the States generally. This cannot fail to open to our merchants and manufacturers an extensive field of operations, which is likely to be permanently beneficial both to them and to our brethren of the southern States of America.

A plan has been submitted to the Government and to the principal West India houses, which if carried into effect, will work a complete revolution in the Sugar trade. It is proposed to bring the sugar to this country in a fluid state, as it is obtained from the cane at the first boiling, and, by a single process (for

which the inventor has obtained a patent), to convert it here into refined sugar; the manufacture of Rum would consequently take place in this country. The subject has created a great sensation in the trade; it is said to be favourably entertained by the Ministry, and by the great Colonial houses. The market for Muscovado Sugars has been dull throughout the last month, although symptoms of amendment have been manifested towards the conclusion; prices may be considered, generally, as unvaried since the quotations at the termination of the year.

No sales of any importance have taken place in East India Sugars until lately; within the last fortnight about 15,000 bags, principally Bengal, have changed hands; of this last, fine white brought 26s. 6d. to 29s.; middling, 24s. to 24s. 6d.; low, 23s. to 23s. 6d.; good brown, 21s. 6d. to 22s.

In Mauritius Sugars the sales have been to a small amount, and subject to a diminution of 6d. to 1s. per cwt. upon the closing prices of last month; by public sale last week, 4,560 bags brought from 49s. to 55s.

There have been no sales of Foreign Sugars reported since the middle of the month, when



1,129 boxes Havannah washed were sold by public auction, low and middling white 24s. 6d. to 27s. 6d., inferior qualities at prices somewhat higher in proportion; 40 hogsheads and 25 barrels Para Muscovadoes were taken in at 20s. to 22s., very low quality in barrels at 18s.

The last quotation for Molasses was 24s.

There has been little variation in the Refined Market of late; but it has assumed an appearance of greater firmness, and confident hopes are entertained by the refiners of a considerable increase in the demand for exportation; the loss to the French revenue, arising from the high bounties given upon the exportation of Sugar refined in France, having induced the Ministry of that country to submit to the Chambers a project for reducing them to such an amount as cannot fail to cause a large portion of the Mediterranean trade to return to this country.

The stock of West India Sugar at the commencement of the year was 28,067 hogsheads, and being 7,235 less than at the beginning of 1832; that of the Mauritius was 56,811 bags, being 17,473 less than the year before.

The last average price of Sugar was 17. 8s. 3½d. per cwt.

In the Coffee Market, the sales during the last month have been chiefly for home consumption; and although, under particular circumstances, an advance of 1s. to 1s. 6d. per cwt. has been obtained, prices in general have been somewhat depressed; the sales have latterly, however, been considerable. 500 bags St. Domingo brought 56s. to 57s.; of 1,621 bags of East India, 53s. to 53s. 6d. was obtained for Ceylon, and 47s. 6d. to 48s. 6d. for Sumatra; of 1968 bags of Foreign, Brazil brought 51s., and Havannah 50s. to 55s. 6d.

Some degree of activity has been evinced within the last fortnight in the Cotton Market; but, as the holders sell readily at former quotations, no advance has taken place in price; nor has the reduction of the Liverpool stock, by the late lamentable fire, which destroyed nearly 10,000 bales, produced any sensible effect on the market. The last considerable sales, about the middle of the month, produced the following results:—

1,500 bales Surat . . . . .	4½d. to 5d.
5,000 do. do. (pub. sale) . . . . .	4¾d. . 5½d.
100 Madras . . . . .	5¾d.
60 Bowed . . . . .	6¼d.

The sale of Indigo, at the India-house, which commenced on the 22d, consists of 4,843 chests, generally of low and ordinary qualities; Bengal and Benares, for home consumption, realized the prices of last sale: on other sorts there was a reduction of about 3d. per lb.

In Cochineal little has been done, and no variation in price.

The Government declaration of a contract for 75,000 gallons of Rum has not had the effect of producing a rise in the market; but the holders are firm, and, in some instances, stand out for an advance. Brandy and Geneva continue steady.

In Tea there is a moderate demand for Congous at sale prices; Boheas are to be had at a discount of 1d. to 1½d. per lb., and Twankays at ½d. to 1d. discount.

Spices are still of heavy sale, but without any reduction to be noted. The following are the results of a public sale on the 14th:—

Ceylon Cinnamon.	s. d.	s. d.
67 bales, firsts . . . . .	7 3 to	8 7
677 do. seconds . . . . .	5 7 .	7 5
210 do. thirds . . . . .	4 10 .	5 7
178 do. fourths . . . . .	4 4 .	4 7

40 bales Pepper, br. mid. heavy, 3¾d. to 3½d.

53 bags do. mid. heavy dusty, 3¾d.

156 chts. Cassia Lignea, mid. 77s. 6d. to 78s. 6d.

13 casks Nutmg. brown, pretty sound, 4s. 2d.

7 — Mace, mid. Bencoolen, 5s. 6d. to 5s. 8d.

65 bags of Cloves, good, 1s. 1d. to 1s. 1½d.

The public sales of Wool, early in last month, were well attended, and went off with considerable spirit at an advance of 15 to 25 per cent. on former prices. The Australian and Van Dieman's Land Wools ranged from 1s. 1d. to 2s. 11½d. per lb.; those imported by the Van Dieman's Land Company presented a marked improvement in quality and condition.

In Tallow, Hemp, Ashes, and Saltpetre there is little to note. Oils are, in general, in demand.

The Corn Market has been generally heavy throughout the past month. Prime samples of all sorts of Grain have maintained their price, but in all inferior qualities sales could scarcely be effected without a reduction. Very little has been done in Foreign Wheat. There has been some demand for fresh American Flour in bond, but for stale there are no buyers. The six weeks' average published in the Gazette of the 25th, and by which the duty is regulated, is as follows:—

Wheat, 53s. 4d.; Barley, 28s. 11d.; Oats, 18s. 1d.; Rye, 32s. 7d.; Beans, 32s. 9d.; Peas, 39s. 5d.

The Money Market has been in a state of the utmost excitement during the last month. Upon the news of the surrender of the Citadel of Antwerp, and the probable return of the French troops, Consols rose one per cent. This was followed by rumours of an immediate settlement of all matters in dispute between Holland and Belgium, and the price suddenly rose from 86 to 89, after violent fluctuations subsided to 87½, and has since become more steady at 88½ to 4. One or two failures were announced on the settling day, but not to the extent that might have been expected. The Hebrew capitalists are generally said to have been great gainers by these operations.

The closing prices of the principal public Securities, on the 25th ult., are as follows:—

#### BRITISH FUNDS.

Three per Cent. Consols, 86 three-fourths, seven-eighths.—Three per Cent. Consols for the Account, 86 seven-eighths.—Three per Cent. Reduced, 87 three-eighths, one-half.—Three and a Half per Cent. Reduced, 94 three-fourths, 95.—New Three and a Half per Cent. 94 one-quarter.—Four per Cent. (1826), 102 three-eighths, five-eighths.—India Stock, 208, 209.—Bank Stock, 193, 194.—Exchequer Bills, 45, 47.—India Bonds, 34, 35.—Long Annuities.

#### FOREIGN FUNDS.

Belgian Loan, 77 one-half.—Brazilian Five per Cent. 50 one-quarter, three-fourths.—Chilian 16 17.—Colombian (1824), Six per Cent. 10 half, 11 half.—Danish Three per Cent. 72 half.—Dutch Two and a Half per Cent. 43 five-eighths, seven-eighths.—French Five per Cent.—French Three per Cent.—Greek Five per Cent. 29, 30.—Mexican Six per Cent. 26, 27.—Portuguese Five per Cent. 50 half.—Portuguese New Loan, 4 half, quarter, discount.—Russian Five per Cent. 102 three-fourths, 103 quarter.—Spanish 16 half, five-eighths.

#### SHARES.

Anglo-Mexican Mines, 9 10, 10 10.—United ditto, 7 15, 8 5.—Colombian Mines, 7 8.—Del Monte, 21 10, 22 10.—Brazil, 50 51.—Bolapos, 140 150.



## BANKRUPTS,

FROM DECEMBER 18, 1832, TO JANUARY 18, 1833, INCLUSIVE.

Dec. 18. E. WHITBOURN, Percival-street, Clerkenwell, coach-proprietor. L. ABRAHAM, St. James's-place, Aldgate, wine and spirit merchant. T. BYE, Rathbone-place, Oxford-street, licensed victualler. J. JOY, Ashford, Kent, bricklayer. T. WILLCOCKS, Bath, cabinet-maker. W. TODD, Ayleaford, Gloucestershire, colour-manufacturer.

Dec. 21. F. ARMSON, Melcombe-place, Dorset-square, builder. H. PHILLIPS, Thame, Oxfordshire, innkeeper. J. EDNEY, jun., Merton, Surrey, victualler. T. COURTNEY and G. COURTNEY, Old Jewry, clothiers. H. BACK, Margate, grocer. E. HOWELL, Bread-street, City, wine-merchant. J. BECKENSALL, Oxford-street, wine and brandy merchant. J. GILBERT, Regent-street and Paternoster-row, bookseller. J. CLARKE, Birmingham, coal-dealer. J. SURR, Belfast, Ireland, merchant. B. BROWN, Leeds, flax-spinner. G. AYNSLEY, North Shields, grocer. W. B. REYNOLDS, Birmingham, draper and tailor.

Dec. 25. G. F. HUNT, late of Prince's-place, Westminster-road, and of 125, High-street, Wapping, oil and colourman. J. FENSHAM, 8, Portman-street, Portman-sq., carver and gilder. F. BROWN, Watford, Hertfordshire, grocer and cheesemonger. T. FREETHY, Acton, Middlesex, carpenter. E. K. PROCTOR, Hermes-street, Pentonville, engraver. W. LEAHY, Grove, Gt. Guildford-st., Southwark, millwright. J. CRUNDALL, Brixton-road, Surrey, builder. N. SMITH, Warminster, Wiltshire, innkeeper. W. ROBINSON, Stockport, Cheshire, flour-dealer. J. STOCKALL, Kidderminster, coal-merchant and yarn-factor. J. WILLIAMS, Liverpool, builder. P. PHILLIPS, J. COHEN, and J. PHILLIPS, Birmingham and Dublin, jewellers. J. REES, Bristol, bookseller and stationer. H. WRIGHT, Southampton-street, Camden-town, surgeon. G. WILLIAMS, Henrietta-street, St. Mary-labonne, boarding-house keeper. W. SMITH, Portsea, Hampshire, draper. J. HARDWICK, White Hart-yard, Tottenham-court-road, horse-dealer. H. EVANS, Narbeth, Pembrokeshire, corn and butter-merchant.

Dec. 28. T. PERRY, Knightsbridge, licensed victualler. T. B. LOADER, Hart-street, Bloomsbury, map-publisher. W. H. LADD, Liverpool st., merchant. J. JACKSON, Bedford-row, tailor. W. BUTLER, Bilston, miller and corn-dealer. W. ARMSTRONG, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, timber-merchant. S. LEVI, Exeter, silversmith. G. GRAVES, Skinburness, Cumberland, innkeeper and varnish-maker. W. WHITE, Great Bridge, Staffordshire, grocer.

Jan. 1. J. IRVINE, Brunswick-street, Russell-square, master mariner. C. T. ATHOW, Wood-st., Cheapside, wholesale haberdasher.

T. WEAVER, South-st., Spital-fields, cheesemonger. T. FRITH, High Holborn, ironmonger. C. HOWARD, late of Mile-end-road, victualler. I. WORLEY, jun., Bow-lane, tailor. P. MADDOCKS, Liverpool, timber-merchant.

Jan. 4. C. BRAY, Theobald's-road, coach-maker. G. MACFARREN, London-street, St. Pancras, bookseller. G. STOVELL and R. H. MADDOX, Lower Grosvenor-street, Hanover-sq., upholsterers. T. A. DULCKEN, Edward-street, Portman-square, merchant. W. ASHTON, Birmingham, grocer. J. and W. G. LANDELLS, Gateshead, Durham, and Newcastle-upon-Tyne, wholesale haberdashers. J. WILSON, Bolton, Lancashire, timber-dealer. M. JACKSON, Sheffield, grocer. J. WOMACK, Leeds, livery-stable keeper. J. STADDERS, Burnley, Lancashire, draper.

Jan. 8. R. CLARK, Norbury, Derbyshire, miller. W. CHAPPLE and W. SNOW, Oxford-street, tailors. C. HANCOCK, Hillingdon, Middlesex, brick-maker. T. HARDCASTLE, Bolton-le-Moors, Lancashire, chemist. W. HARRISON, Portsmouth, printer. J. PORTER, Carnaby-street, Regent-street, cheesemonger. W. TYDEMAN, Gt. Yarmouth, Norfolk, harness-maker.

Jan. 11. D. O. BLYTH, Colchester, merchant. G. EVANS, Nicholas-lane, Lombard-street, ship-broker. P. GRISTI, Albany-street, Regent's-park, printer. H. STEPHENS, Aldersgate-street, stone-mason. W. SMITH, Tennington, baker. C. TILLET, Mordon, Surrey, victualler. J. and G. YORKE, Cheshunt, Hertfordshire, millers. E. ROWE, Wigan, Lancashire, innkeeper. W. JONES, Swansea, grocer. W. J. GINGELL, Langford, Somersetshire, baker. W. LOWE, Bishopsgate-street-without, chemist. B. J. WIMBOLT, Poultry, stationer. T. WROE, Hollinwood, Lancashire, cotton-spinner.

Jan. 15. G. BUTLER, York, dealer. E. BURTON, Manchester, wine-dealer. A. HUDDLESTYNE, Bilton with Harrogate, Yorkshire, hotel-keeper. M. MYERS, Birmingham, factor. W. SMITH, Twickenham, baker.

Jan. 18. J. BEAUMONT and T. HOLT, Cornhill, tailors. A. TIMSON, Dover, draper. G. SCORE, Lincoln's-inn-fields, scrivener. D. FARROW, Farringdon-street, gunsmith. S. STRAIGHT, Charlotte-street, Blackfriar's-road, hat-manufacturer. T. GAUKRODGER, Huddersfield, merchant. J. GREENE, Ampthill, Bedfordshire, scrivener. W., H., and E. HEYCOCK, Beeston, Royds, Leeds, cloth-manufacturers. J. HALL, Liverpool, wine and spirit merchant. W. SPENCER, Manchester, tavern-keeper. C. RATCLIFF, Knock-in-Hall, Shropshire, hop-dealer. J. BERKLEY, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, merchant.



## MONTHLY DIGEST.

## GREAT BRITAIN.

## THE REVENUE.

Abstract of the Net Produce of the Revenue of Great Britain, in the Years ended on the 5th of January, 1832, and the 5th of January, 1833, showing the Increase or Decrease on each head thereof.

Abstract of the Net Produce of the Revenue of Great Britain, in the Quarters ended on the 5th of January, 1832, and the 5th of January, 1833, showing the Increase or Decrease on each head thereof.

	Years ended Jan. 5th,		In-crease.	De-crease.	Qrs. ended Jan. 5th,		In-crease.	De-crease.
	1832.	1833.			1832.	1833.		
Customs.. £	15,336,715	15,559,882	223,167	.....	3,528,723	3,887,306	358,583	.....
Excise .....	14,330,875	14,657,221	326,346	.....	4,265,574	3,966,488	.....	299,086
Stamps .....	6,547,475	6,515,344	.....	32,131	1,618,770	1,575,955	.....	42,815
Post Office .	1,391,006	1,323,000	.....	68,006	328,000	338,000	10,000	.....
Taxes .....	4,864,342	4,493,885	79,543	.....	1,981,262	1,902,823	.....	78,439
Miscellan. .	81,598	59,853	.....	21,745	21,207	34,729	13,522	.....
Total, £	42,552,011	43,059,185	629,056	121,882	11,743,536	11,705,301	382,105	420,340
	Deduct Decrease ..		121,882	.....	Deduct Increase ..		.....	382,105
	Increase on the Year		546,169	.....	Decrease on Quarter		.....	38,235

Compared with last year, it appears, therefore, that the Revenue is increased by 546,169 $\frac{1}{2}$ ., although the quarter just ended exhibits, as compared with the corresponding quarter of last year, a decrease of 38,235 $\frac{1}{2}$ .. The deficiency occurs principally under the head of Excise, which was last year swelled by a large amount of Candle Duty, repealed in the course of the session. There is also a deficiency in the Stamp Duties and Taxes; but the Post-office and Miscellaneous show an improvement, and the Customs have increased by the rather extraordinary sum of 358,583 $\frac{1}{2}$ .. Under all circumstances, considering the difficulties we have lately encountered, the agitations of the Reform Bill, and the obstruction of our trade with Holland, we must undoubtedly agree with the officials of the Treasury, that the prospects of the country are far from discouraging. The increase of the Customs is peculiarly gratifying, because it indicates revival of commerce and mercantile speculation, but still we are not quite reconciled to the falling off of the Excise and internal consumption in a quarter which boasts of a general election.

## THE ELECTIONS—concluded from our last.

## ENGLAND.

Cambridge, City—Mr. G. Pryme, Hn. T. S. Riee  
 Cheshire, North—Mr. E. J. Stanley, Mr. W. Egerton  
 Cornwall, West—Mr. E. W. W. Pendarves, Sir C. Lemon  
 Cumberland, West—Lord Lowther, Mr. Edward Stanley  
 Derbyshire, North—Lord W. Cavendish, Mr. T. Gisborne  
 Devonshire, South—Lord J. Russell, Mr. J. Bulteel  
 Durham, South—Mr. J. Pease, Mr. J. Bowes  
 Essex, North—Sir J. Tyrell, Mr. A. Baring  
 Glamorganshire—Mr. C. Talbot, Mr. J. Dillwyn  
 Hertfordshire—Sir J. S. Sebright, Mr. N. Calveit, Lord Grimston  
 Isle of Wight—Sir R. Simeon  
 Kent, East—Mr. J. Plumptre, Sir E. Knatchbull  
 Kidderminster—Mr. R. Godson  
 Lancashire, South—Mr. G. W. Wood, Lord Molyneux

Lincolnshire, East—Hon. C. A. W. Pelham, Sir W. A. Ingilby  
 Montgomeryshire—Rt. Hon. C. W. W. Wynn  
 Montgomery—Mr. D. Pugh  
 Norfolk, East—Mr. J. Wyndham  
 Northallerton—Mr. G. Ross  
 Northamptonshire, North—Viscount Milton, Lord Brudenell  
 Oxford University—Sir R. H. Inglis, Mr. T. G. B. Esteourt  
 Poole—Rt. Hon. Sir J. Byng, Mr. B. L. Lester  
 St. Albans—Sir F. Vincent, Mr. G. H. Ward  
 Shropshire, South—Hon. R. Clive, Earl of Darlington  
 Somerset, East—Mr. W. G. Langton, Mr. W. P. Bridgstock  
 Somerset, West—Mr. E. Sandford, Mr. C. Tynte  
 Suffolk, West—Mr. C. Tyrell, Mr. H. Parker  
 Swansea—Mr. J. H. Vivian  
 Tavistock—Lord W. Russell, Lt.-Col. C. Fox  
 Thirsk—Sir R. Frankland  
 Totness—Mr. J. Parrott, Mr. J. Cornish



Warwickshire, North—Sir J. E. Wilmot, Mr. W. S. Dugdale  
Wenlock—Hon. G. Forrester, Mr. M. Gaskill  
Yorkshire, West—Viscount Morpeth, Mr. G. Strickland

IRELAND.

Antrim, C.—Hon. Gen. O'Neill, E. of Belfast  
Armagh, C.—Vis. Acheson, Colonel Verner  
Athlone—Mr. J. Talbot  
Cashel, B.—Mr. James Roe  
Cork, C.—Mr. F. O'Connor, Mr. G. S. Barry  
Down, C.—Vis. Castlereagh, Lord A. W. Hill  
Downpatrick—Mr. J. W. Maxwell  
Dundalk—Mr. W. O'Reilly  
Dungannon—Hon. J. T. Knox  
Enniskillen—Hon. A. H. Cole  
Fermanagh, C.—Viscount Cole, Gen. Archdall  
Galway, C.—Mr. J. Daly, Mr. T. Martin  
Kilkenny, City—Mr. D. Sullivan  
King's County—Lord Oxmanstown, Mr. N. Fitzsimon  
Kinsale—Lieut.-Col. Stawell  
Limerick, C.—Hon. R. H. Fitzgibbon, Hon. Lt.-Col. O'Grady  
Londonderry, C.—Sir R. Bateson, Capt. Jones  
Londonderry, City—Sir R. A. Ferguson

Meath, C.—Mr. H. Grattan, Mr. M. O'Connell  
Monaghan, C.—Mr. L. Perrin, Hon. C. Blayney  
Newry—Lord Marcus Hill  
Queen's County—Mr. P. Lalor, Sir C. H. Coote  
Waterford, C.—Mr. J. Galwey, Sir R. Keane

SCOTLAND.

Aberdeenshire—Hon. Capt. Gordon, R.N.  
Ayrshire—Mr. Oswald  
Andrew's, St.—Mr. A. Johnstone  
Clackmannan and Kinross—Rear-Adm. Adam  
Dumbartonshire—Mr. C. Colquhoun  
Inverness, B.—Colonel Baillie  
Inverness-shire—Right Hon. C. Grant  
Kincardineshire—General Arbutnot  
Kirkwall B.—Mr. James Loch  
Linlithgow, B.—Hon. C. A. Murray  
Montrose, B.—Mr. H. Ross  
Orkney and Shetland—Mr. G. Trail  
Paisley—Sir J. Maxwell  
Perthshire—Lord Ormelie  
Perth—Mr. L. Oliphant  
Renfrewshire—Sir M. S. Stewart  
Rosshire—Mr. J. A. Mackenzie  
Roxburghshire—Capt. Hon. G. Elliot  
Stirlingshire—Vice-Adm. Hon. C. Fleming  
Sutherlandshire—Mr. R. M'Leod

THE COLONIES.

*The Ionian Isles.*—Lord Nugent, First Lord Commissioner of the Ionian Islands, arrived at Corfu, on the 29th November, and on the following day published a Proclamation, speaking of the reform of abuses, good laws, and an independent Legislature. The Noble Lord was received by the inhabitants with unbounded marks of respect and admiration, to which the constant devotion of his talents to the liberty and prosperity of his country entitled him. Mutual congratulations were everywhere heard on the blessing which Providence had bestowed on those islands.

WEST INDIES.

*Jamaica.*—Return of all manumissions in Jamaica between the period commencing with the first registration of slaves in 1817, to the 28th June, 1826, distinguishing gratuitous manumissions from such as are paid for :—

Period.	Paid for.	Gratuitous.	Total.
From June 29, 1817 } to June 28, 1818 }	261	557	818
.. 1819	224	356	580
.. 1820	211	337	548
.. 1821	266	366	632
.. 1822	178	287	465
.. 1823	209	236	445
.. 1824	197	246	443
.. 1825	208	238	446
.. 1826	107	208	405
	1951	2831	4782
.. *1827	231	217	448
.. 1828	232	249	481
.. 1829	281	259	540
.. 1830	277	239	516
	2972	3795	6767
.. 1829, not stated how manumitted,			5
.. 1830 ditto		ditto	3
Total	.	.	6779

\* The returns from 1826 to 1830 are abstracted from a list of manumissions transmitted to the Colonial Department by the Governor.



The following estimates of the value of our West India Colonies are taken from the report of the select committee of the House of Lords, recently published :—

BRITISH COLONIES.	
Jamaica . . . . .	£58,125,298
Barbadoes . . . . .	9,089,630
Antigua . . . . .	4,364,000
St. Christopher . . . . .	3,783,800
Nevis . . . . .	1,750,100
Montserrat . . . . .	1,087,440
Virginia Islands . . . . .	1,093,400
Grenada . . . . .	4,994,365
St. Vincent . . . . .	4,006,866
Dominica . . . . .	3,056,000
Trinidad . . . . .	4,932,705
Bahamas . . . . .	2,041,500
Bermudas . . . . .	1,111,000
Honduras . . . . .	578,760

---

£100,014,864

CEDED COLONIES.	
Demarara and Essequibo . . . . .	18,410,480
Berbice . . . . .	7,415,160
Tobago . . . . .	2,682,920
St. Lucia . . . . .	2,529,000

---

£31,037,560

So that the whole amount is less than . . . £131,052,424

## FOREIGN STATES.

### RUSSIA AND TURKEY.

It is said that the Emperor of Russia has offered to assist the Sultan against his vassals with a Russian army, and that the latter has accepted the offer. Of course, if Russia engage in such a work, it is to subjugate Turkey, and her insidious offers have accordingly created great jealousy at Vienna, Paris, and London, and probably at Berlin. Prince Metternich, it is said, has already broke ground against Nicholas, who has deceived him, and directs his diplomatic batteries against Russian craft, deceit, and endeavour to introduce a new policy into Europe. Poor Prince Metternich, after all his miserable attempts to dragoon the Germans into slaves, will have humbly to appeal to them to protect Europe against Russian ambition. This will be as it ought to be. We like to see would-be tyrants begging for popular support. As an instance of Russian interference, it is said that the Russian ambassador at Madrid has addressed strong remonstrances to the Spanish Court against those concessions to liberalism which the Queen has lately made.

### SPAIN.

The King of Spain has formally protested against and revoked the decree extorted from him during his illness, by which he re-established the Salic law as the rule of succession. The old Visi-Gothic law is now again the law of Spain, and females can inherit the throne. The effect will be, the exclusion of Don Carlos, who is at the head of the Apostolics, and the accession of Don Ferdinand's daughter, who, being in the hands of her mother, will be of the Liberal party. Whether this arrangement will be acquiesced in, if Ferdinand should die before his brother, may be reasonably doubted.



## MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

*Married.*]—At St. Mary's, Charles Knight, Esq., of Marylebone-street, to Caroline, widow of Captain Givern, of the Royal 10th Hussars.

Charles Bacon Grey, Esq., of Styford, in the county of Northumberland, to Emily, youngest daughter of the late Sir William Loraine, Bart., of Kirkharle, in the same county.

At Brighton, the Baron Hæner de Mamiel, Captain in the 6th regiment of Belgian Grenadiers, to Ann Maria Caroline, daughter of the late G. Swift, Esq., of Lion's Den, in the county of Meath, and of the present Countess of Molande.

At Clare, Suffolk, W. Hughes, Esq., of Southampton-buildings, to Emily, daughter of the late Lieut.-Gen. Elwes.

At Paris, Isabella, youngest daughter of General Sir George and the Hon. Lady Airey, and grand-daughter of the Baroness Talbot, of Malahide, in the county of Dublin, to Charles Tottenham, Esq., of New Ross, grandson of the late Sir Robert Wigram, Bart., of Wexford.

G. F. Heneage, Esq., M.P., to Frances, daughter of M. Tasburgh, Esq., of Burghwallis, York.

At Hampton, E. S. Curwen, Esq., late of the 14th Light Dragoons, and son of Henry Curwen, Esq., of Workington-hall, Cumberland, to Frances, daughter of Edward Jesse, Esq., of Hampton-court, Middlesex.

At St. Mary's Church, Bryanston-square, T. Bulkeley, Esq., 1st Regiment of Life Guards, to Frances Emilia Rivers, daughter of Sir F. Freeling, Bart.

*Died.*]—At Lambeth Palace, W. H. Howley, Esq. of New College, Oxford, son of the Archbishop of Canterbury.

J. Hunter, Esq., of Southampton-street, in his 71st year.

At Nice, Lord Robert Fitzgerald, son of James, first Duke of Leinster, aged 68.

At Boulogne, Sir Jeremiah Homfray, Knt. of Llandaff, Glamorganshire.

In Cumberland-street, Portman-square, Sir John Sewell, Knt. D.C.L., F.R.S., &c., and some time Judge of the Vice-Admiralty Court at Malta.

On the 4th inst. near Herne Hill, in the 81st year of his age, Piere Valery Le Noir, Esq. author of the Logographic Emblematical French and English spelling-books, "Les Fastes Britanniques," and several other publications.

At Fellrig Hall, Norfolk, Vice-Admiral Windham, nephew to the late Right Hon. Wm. Windham, in his 65th year.

At St. Leonard's, Windsor, Countess Harcourt, widow of the late Field-Marshal W. Earl Harcourt. Her Ladyship had nearly completed her 83d year.

On the 15th inst. after an illness of nine days, produced by a severe cold, Charles Dibdin, Esq., for many years author and manager at several London theatres.

At Mauldslie Castle, on the evening of the 26th December, Harriett, wife of Archibald Douglas, Esq., and daughter of Lieut.-Gen. Sir James Hay.

## PROVINCIAL OCCURRENCES

## IN THE COUNTIES OF ENGLAND, AND IN WALES, SCOTLAND, AND IRELAND.

*Instruction of the Poor.*—Some new schools have been opened at Kensington Gravel-pits for the children of the poor, who abound there, and are ill provided with the means of instruction. The expenses of fitting up the school-rooms have been defrayed by Lady Mary Fox, Lady E. Whitbread, Lady Holland, the Hon. Miss Fox, Mrs. Calcott (late Maria Graham), Ladies Greville, Warwick, and Fitzpatrick, Duke of Richmond, Lord Melbourne, Earl of Essex, Lord Holland, Sir J. Graham, Col. Fox, Mr. Archdeacon Potts, Mr. Vaughan, Mr. Chantrey, and other distinguished persons, who are desirous of putting to fair trial a plan for schools which may, it is believed, ultimately support themselves, the education designed for the poor children in them being one in the way of industry. The habits of pauperism contracted by the labouring classes are so deep-rooted, that it is doubted if they can be destroyed in any way but by the formation of schools of this description in all the parishes of the country; uniting wealthy, intelligent, and benevolent persons in a steady and well-directed effort to break up those habits of dependence which the abuses of the poor-laws have created. The parents of the children brought them to the schools in great numbers, and several of the ladies who have assisted in their formation were present at the opening, and expressed themselves highly delighted with the appearance of the children, and the prospect of good which the schools afford.



*Improvements in Southwark.*—The City Authorities have given notice that it is their intention to apply to Parliament in the ensuing session for an Act to authorise the pulling down of the Fleet Prison in Farringdon-street, to make room for several important projected improvements. It is proposed to have a new Fleet Prison, on a much larger and more convenient scale, erected on a large piece of ground in St. George's Fields, opposite Bethlehem Hospital. It is also intended to widen and improve the roads leading to Bethlehem Hospital and the site of the new Fleet Prison, and to stop up and discontinue the roads formerly called St. George's Mall, and Joiner's-street, Lambeth. The Mall was some years since celebrated as the site where stood the Apollo Gardens and the Dog and Duck Tavern, houses formerly licensed for the congregation of the most licentious and depraved characters of both sexes. By the interposition of the Surrey magistrates, the licenses of these sinks of infamy having been withheld, they were eventually pulled down. Since that period the site has remained a barren waste. The late Mr. Hedger, the proprietor of the gardens and the tavern, accumulated immense wealth by the great overflow of company to both places of resort; he left large fortunes to a numerous family, and also built almshouses in Webber-row, Southwark, which he liberally endowed.

*St. Katharine's Docks.*—The annual meeting of the St. Katharine's Dock Company has taken place, when a half yearly dividend of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. was declared.

A meeting of the Proprietors of West India Dock Stock has been held in the city, at which a most unfavourable exposé of the affairs of the Company was made by the Directors. The result is, that the dividend on West India Stock has been reduced from six to four per cent. per annum.

---

#### HEREFORDSHIRE.

*Christmas at Hereford.*—In the county of Hereford some of the Romish and feudal ceremonies are yet practised. On the eve of Old Christmas-day there are thirteen fires lighted in the corn-fields of many of the farms, twelve of them in a circle, and one round a pole, much larger and higher than the rest, and in the centre. These fires are dignified with the names of the Virgin Mary and the twelve Apostles, the lady being in the middle; and while they are burning the labourers retire into some shed or outhouse, where they behold the brightness of the Apostolic flame. In this shed they lead a cow, on whose horns a plum-cake has been stuck, and having assembled round the animal, the oldest labourer takes a pail of cider, and addresses the following lines to the cow with great solemnity:—

Here's to thy pretty face, and thy white horn!  
God send thy master a good crop of corn,—  
Both wheat, rye, and barley, and all sorts of grain,  
And next year, if we live, we'll drink to thee again.

After which, the verse is chanted in chorus by all present. They then dash the cider in the cow's face, when, by a violent toss of her head, she throws the plum-cake on the ground; and if it falls forward, it is an omen that the next harvest will be good; if backward, that it will be unfavourable. This is the commencement of the rural feast, which is generally prolonged till the following morning.

#### KENT.

*Projected Docks at Woolwich, with Rail-road thereto, &c.*—The usual notice required in such cases has been affixed to the doors of the Middlesex Sessions House of an intention to apply to Parliament during the ensuing Session for power to form a rail-road from the Commercial-road, Limehouse, to the River Thames at East Ham, opposite to Woolwich; and also a carriage-road to run parallel, or nearly so, with the railway; also for power to establish a ferry across the Thames to Woolwich; also for forming docks, or slips, and landing-places on both sides the river for steam-boats and other vessels, with proper approaches, canals, locks, basins, wharfs, bridges, &c.; and for power to impose tolls, rates, and duties for the maintenance of the same. It is intended the rail-road should pass through Stepney, Limehouse, Poplar, and Bromley, in Middlesex, and East and West Ham, and Barking, in Essex.

#### LANCASHIRE.

*The Leather Trade.*—This trade has been extremely flat and depressed for the last



twelve months, and prices have been so low as in many cases not to leave the tanners a profit. It now, however, appears that prices have passed their lowest ebb, and that the tanners have again the prospect of realizing a fair return for their capital. The stock of leathers at present on hand is very limited, as compared with that of last year at this season. A good deal of leather has been sold of late, and some articles are becoming scarce, and looking up in prices.—*Liverpool Times*.

A correspondent at Liverpool informs us that upwards of 20,000 emigrants have embarked at Liverpool during the year 1832; of whom 15,754 proceeded direct to the United States.

#### SUFFOLK.

*A Labour Rate.*—The following method of establishing a labour rate has recently been agreed upon in Reepham-cum-Kerdistone, Suffolk, to remain in force for the next six months:—1. That four several rates, for the above object, be levied, at the rate of two shillings each rate on the acre, upon all arable lands, and one shilling upon all pasture and meadow lands, in the said parish; and that four vestry meetings shall be severally holden, upon the 14th of January, 25th of February, 8th of April, and 20th of May, 1833, for the purpose of examining the accounts then produced for the purpose of making out such rates.—2. That every occupier of lands in the said parish shall make out and deliver at such meetings, a true return of the quantity of lands (distinguishing the arable and pasture) in his occupation; also the Christian and surname of every man and boy whom he has employed during the preceding six weeks, with their age and wages paid to each; but in no case will higher wages be allowed than the following scale:—For boys under fifteen years old, 6*d.* per day; for youths fifteen to eighteen, 12*d.*; for single men eighteen to twenty, 16*d.*; for able-bodied men of twenty years, 20*d.*—3. That every occupier shall have the amount of his rate allowed him or returned to him, who shall satisfy such meeting that he has employed the requisite quantum of labourers to cover his amount of rate; but in the event of his not having done so, he shall be compelled to pay to the said meeting the difference in money between what he has expended, agreeably to the above, and the amount of his rate.—4. That no labourers or servants, but who belong to the parish, shall be included in these regulations.—5. That all the money which shall be collected from this rate, in lieu of labour, shall be applied to the parish funds, or as the vestry may direct.—6. That in any cases where men, who are not able-bodied labourers, are taken into employment, no greater sum is to be allowed than is actually paid. Three-fourths of the rate-payers of the parish being present at the vestry-meeting at which the above was proposed, it was carried by a majority of 48 out of 57; the votes being taken agreeably to the provisions of the Vestry Act of Geo. III. chap. 69.

#### WARWICKSHIRE.

An extensive cemetery is proposed to be formed in the neighbourhood of Birmingham. The intention is to establish a place of sepulture, open to all denominations and forms of burial, and combining economy with additional security.

#### SCOTLAND.

*Cotton Manufacture.*—The amazing cotton manufacture continues to increase. The reduction in the profits has sharpened the wits of the manufacturers, and men, women and children, as well as machines, throw off an increasing quantity of work. We perceive from the evidence on the Factory Bill, that the weekly quantity now spun by one spindle is 21 hanks of No. 40 a week. The cotton spun in Great Britain last year amounted to about 288,000,000*lbs.* Of this quantity a tenth was spun in Scotland. The United States supply three-fourths of the consumption, or 213,000,000*lbs.*, the East Indies about 20,000,000*lbs.*, the West Indies 1,600,000*lbs.* only. All the cotton, except the growth of the East and West Indies, pays a duty of  $\frac{5}{8}$ *d.* per *lb.* This duty would last year exceed 690,000*l.*, and would be as nearly as possible 10 per cent. on the return of the cotton in bond.—*Glasgow Chronicle*.



# THE NEW MONTHLY MAGAZINE.

---

THE POLITICIAN, NO. XII.

THE LAST PETITION FROM THE IRISH PEOPLE TO THE ENGLISH  
MINISTERS AND THE BRITISH PARLIAMENT.

ERE yet that Bill has passed which takes from a people oppressed with grievances the right to petition, suffer us respectfully to address you,—not in armed numbers—not in nightly associations,—but through this subtle and bodiless medium ; forcing our *complaints* upon you, not obtruding ourselves ; somewhat, as it were, forestalling what you are about to make us : *Vox, et præterea nihil*,—a voice, and nothing more ; but a voice of wailing and of dread, whose warning may perhaps haunt you years hence, when you may be desirous of reviving that which you are about to destroy—the affection and confidence of a whole nation. The man who passed his life in the pursuit of his own shadow, was wise, compared to you ! You are about to engage in a chase yet more fruitless. The Law is the shadow of Power—the Co-operation of the People is the substance. You are about to divide the two, so naturally inseparable ; and the utmost you can do with the shadow is, to strive henceforth to unite it to the body from which you now so wantonly divorce it !

Let us state our complaints to you. For many centuries we have been oppressed and impoverished ; a large proportion of us are ignorant and breadless. Placed under your care, it was for you to educate and feed us. These duties have been neglected : injuries have accumulated—angry passions been inflamed ; and, instead of revering a master, we have learned to tremble—but tremble frowningly—at a tyrant. This is to be lamented ;—but whose is the fault ? The fault is not with us. Education forms



the child,—legislation forms a people. Your legislation makes the crime, and then punishes it : it is at once the first seducer and the king's evidence !

But crimes abound in Ireland ; you must punish the criminals. No matter by whom the criminals were made, it is clear that they must not carry destruction to the innocent. We allow this. We see these predatory associations of reckless and wretched men, with the same terror that inspires you. We pity them, perhaps, more than you ; for we see the temptation—you only the crime ; but we are equally willing to condemn. The Whitefeet and the Rockites must be put down. You must snatch the knife and the brand out of the ruthless hands of men who are joined together for the purposes of plunder and revenge : nay, we ask you to assist us in this ; for the guilt of these criminals stands between us and justice ;—it has been the excuse for the delay of redress and the perpetuity of abuse. When we have murmured against tithes, we have been answered by laws against Whiteboys. Whatever our distress—our poverty—our wrongs,—still, while these banditti exist, we are told that the honest cannot be relieved, because the guilty must be punished. Alas, what logic ! But let it pass : we will not pause to arraign it. These offenders, then, stand between us and justice : we are more anxious than you that they should be punished—that they should be exterminated. Make laws against them,—crush, destroy them. In *this*, Ireland will co-operate with the law ;—in this, the Political Unions—the Agitators—O'Connell himself—will assist you. Pass even extraordinary laws against the guilty ; but do not suspend all law for the guiltless. This is what you are about to do. Let us consider.

In the first place, PETITIONERS are not guilty. You acknowledge that we, the unoffending part of us, have many sufferings—that we have some wrongs. How can we represent those sufferings to you, or express those wrongs ? By petitions—by petitions alone ! You have taken away from us all other power. We have no Legislature of our own : the Aristocracy—are Protestant—the Magistracy—are biassed against us ; for religion with us has been, as it always will be where the Church is of one persuasion, and the people of another, the parent of hatred—not of love. In our own natural protectors, years of struggle and of passion have made us behold our relentless foes. We have, then, no guardians—no Court of Appeal but your Legislature. To you respectfully we



would come with our wrongs ; and you are now about to cut off from us that appeal. You confess the wrongs, but you will not allow them vent ;—YOU ARE GOING TO TAKE AWAY FROM US THE RIGHT TO PETITION. Does this law,—we ask you humbly,—does this law touch the guilty alone ?—does it touch the guilty at all ?—does it touch the Whiteboy and the robber ? No ; it falls upon the guiltless,—it falls upon the assailed, not the assailer,—it falls upon the farmer, the peasant, the trader, the clergy themselves. The Whiteboy does not petition ; he has gone beyond that stage of complaint. The honest man petitions,—not the robber : it is not to your peaceful halls that banded marauders carry their complaints. Will you leave us no other appeal but theirs ? Have we no choice between silence and the sword ? Pause, then—pause, we entreat you. Discriminate between complaint and aggression : do not stifle the voice while you bind the hand. No complaint is so dangerous as that which may not speak ; no wrongs *are* dangerous while they have a vent. You see, then, that the law which takes away the right to petition does not afflict the guilty alone ;—it afflicts the *innocent* alone.

Pass we to your other enactments.—You propose to institute a Court-Martial in the place of a Court of Law.

Will your Court-Martial punish only the guilty ?—We beg to refer you to former times, when the Insurrection Act—when the Military Law was in force. In that unhappy and awful day, were the guilty alone punished ?—Do not all men who are cursèd by the remembrance of those measures, recall them with a secret shudder ?—Yes ; even those who supported those harsh and vindictive decrees, do not support their effects—they acknowledge that the general state of society, thus robbed of its simplest laws, was that of suspicion and terror—of spies and informers—of a general confusion of innocence and guilt. I call upon the English people to imagine a whole county—its legal courts numbed and silenced—armed men, schooled in the haughty aristocracies of discipline, sitting in judgment upon offences of insubordination—Ensigns under age induing wisdom with a uniform—and Lieutenants, summoned from the grave occupations of flute-playing and billiards, to bear upon their shoulders the responsibility of epauletted justice ! You tell us, these gallant men are impartial—that they are strangers in the land—that they know not one party or the other, Protestant or Catholic, Orangeman or Whiteboy :—that they are thus untinged by local prejudices, and have no pre-



vious prepossessions to bias the weights of justice. False persuasion!—Do not the Military, wherever they are quartered, mix with the provincial gentry?—do they not necessarily associate with the Orange Protestant and the partial Magistrate?—do they not inevitably take their notions of the country, which they know not themselves, from the reports of those with whom they alone mix?—“Respectable men, our informants,” they will say to each other, “who can have no interest in distorting facts or irritating their dependents.” Yet these men, respectable though they be, are so partial, that even you yourselves confess the partiality, and suspend their functions partly on that very account. In vain, then, you say that these new judges will be free from bias;—they will take the bias from the very men we most dread,—because, by the rites of hospitality and the custom of our Irish courtesies, it is with those very men they come the most into contact, and by them are the most influenced. They are only the Representatives of the Magistrates, but armed with a sterner power. They are Magistrates, but with swords in their hands and soldiers by their side. Summary justice!—who ever before heard that phrase used against a people?—summary justice, dealt out by wholesale, is but another expression for undeliberating despotism! But the office of the Military is not confined to Adjudication:—recollect that they are to assist the Magistrate in quelling disturbances—they are to attack to-day the very men they may judge to-morrow!—They are thus to be at once plaintiff and accuser. Good God!—and is this to be called an impartial Tribunal?—Men, reeking with the heated passions of an armed struggle, are suddenly to be placed upon the judicial bench—and, we are told, they are cool, unprejudiced, and temperate dispensers of the law!

But this is not enough to content you,—you demand more. You ask for the power forcibly to enter houses at any hour of the night, in case you suspect the inhabitants of those houses to be—what?—*Absent from home!* My Lord Grey, human affections throb the same beneath the squalid garb of an Irish kerne, as under your gorgeous ribbon and glittering star. We have wives, sisters, daughters. At any hour of the night!—mark this!—men of coarse habits, and new to the gross superiority of vulgar power, heated with authority and liquor, may forcibly enter the houses of defenceless peasants,—and, if the man *be* absent, what protection is there for the women? We speak of no improbable horrors.



When this law existed before, we know that outrages of the most humiliating character—outrages that make the cheek burn and the heart sick, *were* perpetrated under shelter of this midnight prerogative of lust! Nay, there were instances; and we challenge examination of a fact, acknowledged in the Irish House of Parliament;—adduced without denial—but, some few days since, in your own House of Lords;—there were instances—in which the smaller gentry (men, not like the high-souled and cultured gentlemen of England but) bad with an infinite copiousness of those vices which much idleness begets upon petty power, took advantage of this very law to pander to the worst passions by the most atrocious means—instances in which the husband or the brother was *suspected*, and the price of safety was wrung from the sister and the wife;—instances in which the father was actually transported, in order that there might be no protector between the violator and his prey! These are FACTS—they are well known. Englishmen! let them not be dumb to you! These facts are known.—Tell me, then, does *this* law fall only on the guilty? *Are our women guilty?*—In what have *they* offended? Alas! this Law does worse than fall upon the innocent—it tempts the unholy desires of men *wilfully* to represent the innocent as guilty;—it does not lead justice to smite through error alone,—but it gives a motive to slander, and suborns the witness by the bribery of his own lusts.

But these are not evils enough to inflict on Ireland :—the change of the venue—the suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act—the——have mercy upon us! be merciful!—What vestige, what shred, of Liberty do you leave us!—other Rulers before you have, indeed, sapped and damaged, from time to time, the Temple of our Constitution—they have pierced the wall and broken the pillar;—but you are about to tear from us the whole roof and leave us no shelter amidst the ruins. There is but one instance of a similar madness—and the man who exemplifies it was blind! My Lord Grey, you may read his fate, as well as his strength, in the Holy Book!

And now, what is the object of these extraordinary powers?—Why do you demand them?—Let us come honestly to the cause. Do not attempt to blind the English People by asserting that it is only for these predatory associations,—it is not only for the purpose of putting down a herd of rustic Carbonari—you do not suspend the law because the Whitefeet defy the law.—No! What statesman



ever took away the Constitution of a country because there were robbers in its fields and mountains! As well might you have suspended the Habeas Corpus Act in England, because of the fires of Kent—or denied the right of petitioning to Manchester because there were risings in Norfolk. No! you have a wider—a more comprehensive method in your madness. You desire to put down *Political Associations*—you desire, not so much to attack the robber, as to stifle the Question of the Repeal. You talk of Whiteboys—but your conscience whispers you, “O’Connell!” It is to quell one man that you suspend the liberties of a whole nation. Is it not so?—deny it if you can—you cannot deny it! Then confess it, and let us see how by these laws you will succeed.

Why do some of us clamour for a Repeal? Because they think that otherwise they cannot have good legislation. What is the obvious way to answer them? Prove that without a Repeal good legislation can be effected. What so easy,—so obvious. But you have begun, you say, the system of good legislation, and you point to the amendment of the Jury Laws, and your Church Reform. We grant it;—you have proposed Reforms,—now *try* them. You say that such reforms will not satisfy us. Why give them to us, then? Shall we be better satisfied by the suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act, and the spectacle of a sabred Judge upon our benches? But such reforms *will* satisfy us. Give them but the trial. You do not do justice to yourselves,—you do not do justice to the experiment of conciliation. By sending us at the same time two boons of so opposite a nature, our gratitude, that would make us cheerful and contented with the benefit, is merged into indignation and dismay at the more than counterbalancing infliction. Your Church Reform,—your Jury Laws,—would be great benefits of themselves,—they would fill us with hopes for the future; we should tread lightly and with glad looks along our rough and stony ways, if at the same time you did not dash the benefit with wrong, turn the hope to fear, blacken the gratitude into—vengeance, shall we say?—no! God forbid that last extremity,—but into feelings more enduring and less to be soothed than even those of revenge. What are the redress of two grievances, to the law that forbids us even to complain to you of the million grievances that remain? What is the future removal of ten bishops, to the present removal of the Constitution itself? What is an act that amends the Jury Laws, to the act that forbids



us any jury at all? Pause, then, we beseech you,—even if you obtain these powers,—pause again before you use them. Give us, for the first time, a fair and full and free experiment of kind and paternal measures, unsullied—unthwarted—unpoisoned—by these dark and terrible companions. When—say the ancient Platonists—Chaos lay rude and formless,—when the elements warred with each other, and night was black and rayless over all,—there was one power (born before the chaos) which, breathing gradually over the shapeless void,—formed it into the beauty and the harmonies of life;—it was the power of Love. There seems to us an allegory beneath this thought more masculine and noble than may strike the vulgar. Why may it not be a type of the disorders of states themselves wrought into peace and light by the same Catholic and Universal Power?

But from whom comes this blow? We did wrong to attribute a peculiar spirit of harshness to the Secretary for Irish affairs. His offence is light beside that of his colleagues:—he is a foe, but at least he is not a deserter. Who was it, in 1801, when in the House of Lords it was debated whether or not a law, that only makes a part of these laws,—the Irish Martial-Law Bill,—should be continued—who was it that entered his solemn protest against the legality and expediency, under *any* circumstances, or at any time, of granting such an authority and passing such a law? Who was it that signed a protest—running in these words—the first name upon the record,

“DISSENTIENT!”

“Because it appears to be useless and unadvisable to attempt to provide for possible cases of extreme necessity by legislative acts, since the effect of such cases is to supersede all legal provisions. A state of things in which the ordinary course of law and justice in a civilized country must be suspended *cannot be legally supposed!*” \* \* \* \* \*

“If it were true that in particular districts such terrors prevailed that juries could not be found to do their duty in the trial of offenders, and if in such circumstances it became unavoidably necessary to resort to other courts and to other forms of proceeding, it does not follow that new and exorbitant powers should be given to such courts, much less that offenders under trial, or in custody of the civil magistrates, *should be removed from thence, and brought before a Military Tribunal.*

“We will not consent to rest such dangerous and arbitrary



powers in any hands, and least of all in Courts Martial, in the constitution of which no care is taken to exclude the effects of levity and passion."

Who was it signed that protest? Who poured forth his eloquent vituperatives against the proposition for Martial Law for Ireland? My Lord Holland,—it was you! You, a minister now;—you, a supporter,—an originator, perhaps, of these very laws, even a single one of which formerly seemed to you beyond even the power of circumstance to excuse!

I allow that too close a consistency may be demanded of statesmen; different times require different measures, but this inconsistency defies excuses,—its proportions are so huge that no garment can conceal them; for here you assert that *no time* would justify these measures, and, in point of fact, the present time is not comparable in danger—in political excitement—to that of 1801, when the ashes of rebellion were still warm, and when France threatened you with invasion in whatever side was unguarded. Inconsistency do I call it; its proper name is perfidy! And yet even this may be outdone by the treachery of one who, having higher powers of good, has greater responsibilities in evil. Who was it—who, in the progress of the same Bill in 1801, through the House of Commons—who was it that, now denying inquiry into the expediency of these laws, demanded inquiry then? Who was it that last week defended merciless laws, by the assurance that they would be placed in merciful hands—and vaunted the virtues of the Viceroy?—the same man who, in 1801, said thus: "The noble Lord had talked of the character of the present Lord-Lieutenant—but he would resist the general principle, that a measure was good because it was in good hands!" Who was it that last week selected from all conceivable jurisdictions a Court-Martial as the best?—the same man who, in 1801, said thus:—"Would the Noble Lord say that Courts-Martial were infallible in Ireland, and there could be no ground to censure their proceedings?—the fact was notoriously otherwise: a man had murdered a poor fellow in his mother's arms to which he had pursued him: he was tried by a Court-Martial, and acquitted." Who was it that last week demanded Martial Law for the suppressing of Whiteboys?—the same man who, in 1801, deeming such an excuse too monstrous even for a Castlereagh to propose, said—"The noble Lord talked of the inveteracy of Jacobinism; but there had been in Ireland the Whiteboys, and parties bearing



other names not less virulent. *Those* parties might as properly have been brought forward as proofs of the necessity of this measure as the Jacobin spirit the noble Lord had talked of." Who was it—we will adduce but one more of these collatings of a man's present self with his former self—who was it that allowed no policy for Ireland save concession in 1801, and demands a despotism in 1833?—the same man who in the former period said *thus*:—"If Jacobinism was licentious out of place, in place it was tyrannical in filling the country with terror and coercion." "Who," you cry, O people of England! "who," you cry, "was this rash, inconsistent"—hush! it is a man round whose venerable head the respect of England yet clings—a man in whom many virtues may atone for one offence—a man for whose conduct England must appeal as an excuse, from Philip drunk with power, to Philip sober by the abstinence from its seductions!—Yes, my Lord Grey, you are that man! At the same time that you have crowned your brilliant life with the accomplishment of your early pledges to the liberty of England, beware, lest you sully the crown with the indelible reproach of treachery to promises equally solemn, for the liberties of Ireland!—You amend the legislature of one country!—is it in order to make its first act the suspension of the law for the other?

You desire to put down the Political Associations—to stifle the voice of O'Connell—to suppress the clamour for a Repeal!—But will these laws enforce your wishes?—Not in the least!—What gives strength to the eloquence of the Agitator?—the sense of wrong. What makes Ireland demand a legislature of her own?—the conviction that she cannot obtain justice from the legislature of England. While these feelings exist, you may put down Associations, but only for the moment—the instant you remove the pressure, terrific will be the rebound! You cannot govern Ireland for ever by suspending her laws. Grant that military force silences, it will not quell, our discontents—they will break out "in some hour of treacherous calm," or, what is worse, they will go on increasing till they become universal—till palliatives and gradual remedies are in vain—till the rich and the educated will be driven from our shores, and the whole population, being one horde of desperate men, will hear no councils but those of despair.

Ministers of the Crown, who does not see through your motives



in desiring to put down Political Associations in Ireland while you allow them in England? Who does not see that you are governed (perhaps unconsciously to yourselves) by the selfishness of passion? O'Connell has offended you by his complaints,—the English O'Connells supported you by their praises. You would resort to all means to crush your enemy at Dublin, for the very same Associations that you lauded your friends for incorporating at Birmingham. Are these passions of official or individual revenge, so little worthy your great names and your high repute, to actuate the policy of statesmen? Is a people to be enslaved because a Minister has been offended? O'Connell, perhaps, did you wrong when he predicted that you would continue unjust to Ireland,—the estimation of a prophet is in proportion to the truth of his prophecies; had you falsified the last, you would have destroyed the power of the first. You will now make O'Connell more formidable than ever, for you will make it impossible to disbelieve him!

Oh! with what grace will the Right Honourable Secretary return to Ireland, preceded by the fasces of these laws:—the fasces! it is a felicitous metaphor, that emblem of the executioner and the criminal—that pomp of authority which invested the penalties of death, and which, an emblem of terror in itself, was woven from rods to scourge, containing in the centre of them an axe to destroy! Heralded by these tokens of your tenderness to Ireland, what grateful shouts—what overflowing blessings will follow your envoy through the streets of Dublin! The Right Honourable Secretary is young, his career is but commenced;—let him believe that that is no light load upon a man's heart which is amassed from the curses of a nation! These laws will be passed through the Commons,—they will receive the Royal Assent,—they will lie in the hands of the Ministers, a dread and sanguinary trust. Our voice will then be dumb—we shall have no right to petition! This is OUR LAST APPEAL. People of England! we appeal, then, from your representatives to you;—plead for us—petition in behalf of those who may petition no more—save us by your voice—by your indignant sympathy, from evils too fearful for us patiently to contemplate. Our laws suspended—our grievances silenced—our houses nightly invaded—our women outraged! Consider these things!—your representatives will not;—save us, for *you* alone can!—Your Ministers will obtain these powers:—tell them, in the



name of Humanity, Freedom, and our common God, that they shall not dare to use them !—thrust back the sword into its scabbard,—lay the irresistible and solemn weight of public opinion upon these acts which would outrage all public rights. We may have offended our rulers,—for suffering deals not in courtly phrases ; but we have not offended *you*. Our representatives struggled for your Reform—without them you would not have obtained it.—Had we given our support to the Anti-reformers, where would have been your majorities ? If O'Connell's voice has been loud against abuse in Ireland, it has been equally exerted against abuse in England. We have stricken the chain from you—do not, in return, heap upon us all the fetters tyranny ever forged. Our blood has been spilt for you—with you we claim a common triumph in the names of Vittoria and Waterloo. Let it not be said that you only ask us to sow in blood, that we may reap in tears ; and that we are to fight for your freedom in order ourselves to be made slaves. These words will go forth through all England—they will penetrate the walls of your cities—they will be found in your Clubs—your Associations—your Institutes. Answer them by petitions to your Parliament—answer them by remonstrance to your Representatives. Upon you alone depend the liberties of Ireland—the efficacy of conciliation—the permanent consolidation of the empire ! Preserve your Ministers consistent to their former selves—make your Representatives faithful to their promises, for our cause is as yet your own—we are not yet divided—*your* Legislators are *ours*.—If they promised extended freedom to you, we have a right to claim that promise as a pledge also to us. To you we direct our eyes—our prayers—our sanguine hopes. Answer, and preserve us !

---



## HORACE A-LA-MODE.

---

Post (*equitem*) *sedet atra Cura.*

---

The boat is on the shore,  
 The boiler puffs and steams,  
 Ere we embark—a bore!—  
 Farewell!—and pleasant dreams.

Much hath that man to bear  
 Who, bent on tour or trip,  
 Descends *Companion*-stair  
 To seek *Companionship*.

He of the anxious mind  
 Should never go on journies;  
 Plagues can't be left behind  
 To agents, or attornies.

Your hundred-horses' power,  
 Your winds that strain the mast,  
 Give not the rate, per hour,  
 That beats old Care at last.

Dart, Rocket, and Eclipse  
 With Tally-ho may vie;  
 But past all power of whips,  
 Care sticks—a forest-fly.

He trots your chesnut mare,  
 He's book'd in every mail,  
 And pays his inside fare  
 In coach or roads of rail.

Where soaring high is seen  
 That plaything of the wind,  
 Pray ask of *Mr. Green*,  
 If *he* leaves Care behind?

Our homes the caitiff dread  
 (Men call him there *ennui*)  
 His cups inflame the head,  
 And point the repartee.

Again he bids us quaff,  
 And while the heart is wrung,  
 Join in the joyless laugh,  
 And follies, said or sung.

And ever thus to each  
 Must life's dark current flow,  
 While sages vainly preach?—  
 My Julia, surely no!

Warm'd by thy sunny smile,  
 Sooth'd by thy loving breast,  
 By words, like thine, beguil'd  
 By hands, like thine, carest.

Enough—a scantier share  
 Of fortune, peril free,  
 A truce, at least, with Care,  
 To him who cares for thee!



## POSITION OF INDEPENDENT LABOURERS UNDER THE OPERATION OF POOR LAWS IN ENGLAND.

WE have obtained a copy of a selection made from the reports of the assistant commissioners sent throughout the country to inquire into the operation of the Poor Laws in England. The inquiry, though hurried and imperfect, has, nevertheless, been productive of a mass of more striking facts in a few months than was accumulated by parliamentary committees during as many years. We have space only for some short extracts illustrative of the bearing of the more cogent portions of the evidence. From this evidence it appears that the fruits of labour are given in greater quantity to those who do not labour, than can be obtained in return for labour by those who labour hard from sunrise to sunset ;—and that rude, uneducated people, are expected to continue to toil from the love of toil ! The rewards of industry and virtue are claimed as a right by the vicious, and as a right, are awarded to them by magistrates :—yet people are expected to undergo excessive penury, and submit during their whole lives to the restraints of virtue, though they are sure of finding themselves, at the end of their days of independent labour, in a worse situation than those who have given a free rein to every vicious propensity. A sailor may have fought in all the battles of Nelson, or a soldier may have endured all the dangers and hardships of Wellington's campaigns, and at the end of his service, he will receive from his country a pension of sixpence a day : but a thief or a vagabond, can, at any time, by the formality of declaring that he wants it, obtain from a magistrate an order for an equal pension or admission into a workhouse where there is no work ; a retreat where he will often obtain better food and more comforts than those with which the veterans of Rodney or Abercrombie, in Greenwich or Chelsea hospitals, are well satisfied. The workhouses of the metropolis are proved to be the receptacles of gangs of thieves. It is stated in evidence that in one workhouse there are not less than thirty known thieves, and that in another parish not less than one hundred and fifty young thieves and prostitutes receive, under the orders of magistrates, pensions of sixpence each day from the public funds. In the rural districts, the gravel pits contain gangs of the worst of characters, thrown upon the parish by the ignorant administration of the unpaid magistrates. The following extract from the evidence contained in Mr. Cowell's report will serve to exemplify the condition in which the administrators of the Poor Laws have placed the independent labourer throughout the country :—

“Mr. Nash, of Royston, is proprietor and occupier of a farm containing 150 acres, situate a mile and a half from his residence, and in about equal proportions in the parishes of Barhway and Reed, in the county of Hertford. It is what is usually called an outfield farm, being at the extremity of these parishes, and nearly equidistant from Royston, Therfield, Reed, Barhway, and Barley. Mr. Nash entered upon the occupation in 1819, at which time it was held by a Mr. Foster, under a lease for twenty-one years (of which eleven were then unexpired), at a rent of 18s. an acre, tithe free. Mr. Foster employed one man, to whom he gave 9s. a week, two boys, and two horses ; and finding it a losing concern, wished to relinquish it, and Mr. Nash took it into his own hands. Mr. Nash employed six men (to whom he gives throughout the year, 12s. a week), two boys, and six horses. In 1829, Mr. Clarke, the overseer of Reed (a respectable man, who occupies



half the parish, and has generally managed all its public concerns), told Mr. Nash he could no longer collect the money for poor-rates, without resorting to coercive measures, which he would not do; and that the unemployed poor must be apportioned among the occupiers of land, in proportion to their respective quantities; and that he (Mr. Nash) must take two more men. All Mr. Nash's labourers had been some years in his service, and were steady, industrious men, and he regretted the necessity of parting with any of them. The two men displaced were those who came last into his service (and for that reason only). One was a parishioner of Royston, an excellent workman at any kind of work. He lived near Mr. Nash's house (a great convenience), and his wife superintended a small school Mrs. Nash had established for the benefit of her poor neighbours. The other was John Watford, a parishioner of Barley, a steady, industrious, trustworthy, single man, who, by long and rigid economy, had saved about 100*l*. Of the two men sent in their stead, one was a married man, with a family sickly and not much inclined to work; the other a single man, addicted to drinking. On being dismissed, Watford applied in vain to the farmers of Barley for employment. *It was well known that he had saved money, and could not come upon the parish, although any of them would willingly have taken him had it been otherwise.* Watford has a brother also, who, like himself, has saved money; and though he has a family, and has been laid aside from work for six years, has received no assistance from the parish. After living a few months without being able to get any work, he bought a cart and two horses, and has ever since obtained a precarious subsistence, by carrying corn to London for one of the Cambridge merchants; but just now the current of corn is northward, and he has nothing to do, and at any time he would gladly have exchanged his employment for that of day labour, if he could have obtained work. No reflection is intended on the overseers of Barley; they only do what all others are expected to do; though the young men point at Watford, and call him a fool, for not spending his money at a public-house, as they do, adding, that then he would get work."

Mr. Chadwick, in his report, adduces the following cases as showing the operation of the same system in another part of the country:—

"The case of a man who has worked for me will show the effect of the parish system in preventing frugal habits. This is a hard-working, industrious man, named William Williams. He is married, and had saved some money, to the amount of about seventy pounds, and had two cows; he had also a sow and ten pigs. He had got a cottage well furnished; he was the member of a benefit club, at Meopham, from which he received 8*s*. a-week when he was ill. He was beginning to learn to read and write, and he takes his children to the Sunday-school. He had a legacy of about 46*l*., but he got his other money together by saving from his fair wages as a waggoner. Some circumstance occurred which obliged me to part with him. The consequence of this labouring man having been frugal and saved money, and got the cows, was, that no one would employ him, although his superior character as a workman was well-known in the parish. He told me at the time I was obliged to part with him,—'Whilst I have these things I shall get no work. I must part with them all. I must be reduced to a state of beggary before any one will employ me.' I was compelled to part with him at Michaelmas, and he has not yet got work, and he has no chance of getting any until he has become a pauper; for, until then, the paupers will be preferred to him. He cannot get work in his own parish, and he will not be allowed to get any in other parishes. Another instance of the same kind occurred amongst my workmen. Thomas Hardy, the brother-in-law of the same man, was an excellent workman, discharged under similar circumstances; has a very industrious wife. They have got two cows, a well-furnished cottage, and a pig, and fowls. Now he cannot get work because he has property. The pauper will be preferred to



him; and he can only qualify himself for it by becoming a pauper. If he attempts to get work elsewhere, he is told that they do not want to fix him on the parish. Both these are fine young men, and as excellent labourers as I could wish to have. The latter labouring man mentioned another instance of a labouring man in another parish (Henstead) who had once had more property than he, but was obliged to consume it all, and is now working on the roads.

“Such an instance as that of William Williams is enough to demoralize a whole district. I say, myself, that the labouring man who saves where such an abominable system prevails, is foolish in doing so. What must be the natural effect of such a case on the mind of a labouring man? Will he not say to himself, why should I save? Why should I diminish my present scanty enjoyments, or lay by anything on the chance of my continuing with my present master, when he may die, or the means of employment fail him, when my store will be scattered to waste, and I shall again be made a pauper like William Williams, before I can be allowed to work for my living? This system, so far as relates to the circulation of labour, I am firmly persuaded, can only be put an end to by utterly abolishing the law of settlement, and establishing a uniform national rate, so as to allow a man to be relieved at the place where he is in want, instead of his being pinned to the soil.”

Notwithstanding the operation of this system, it appears that in the year 1826, there was no less than 29,000 agricultural labourers, and 8000 small farmers, depositing in savings-banks throughout the country; and that in the savings-banks for the county of Devonshire alone, there were 70,000*l.* deposited by 2000 labourers of the same class, and 41,621*l.* from 800 small farmers. Such is the admirable spirit of independence possessed by a large proportion of the labouring people, and such has been the mode in which they are dealt with by our legislators and the magistracy! Let us see some of the collections which their care has produced.

The governor of Lambeth workhouse, whose evidence is cited in Mr. Chadwick's report, states:—

“We have the worst of characters in the house, which, in fact, constantly serves as a hiding-place for thieves: we have, I dare say, thirty thieves, all of whom have been in prison for robberies and various offences, and who, we have reason to believe, do commit depredations whenever they are at large. It is a common occurrence to have inquiries made for particular characters at the workhouse, in consequence of offences supposed to have been committed by them. We also have, perhaps, about from twenty to thirty prostitutes in the house. These, the worst characters, can always speak with the best characters: and the forms of the house allow us no means of preventing it. We cannot prevent the thief speaking to the young lad, or keep the prostitute from the young girl who has not been corrupted. There is, unhappily, a strong disposition on the part of such characters to bring others to the same condition. I have overheard a prostitute say to a young girl, ‘You are good-looking; what do you stay in here for? you might get plenty of money;’ and point out to her the mode. Last October, as an experiment, we sent off eight girls to Van Diemen's Land: they were all brought up as workhouse children, and were incorrigible prostitutes. I have evidence that seven of these girls were all corrupted by the same girl, named Maria Stevens. Every one of these girls had been in prison for depredations. One of them had been three times tried for felonies, in having robbed the persons with whom she was in service. Such was the influence which this girl had over them, that they would not consent to go until she consented, nor would they be separated from her, and she formed the eighth of the party. The old thieves teach the boys their ways: a few months ago I took one thief before a magistrate for having given lessons to



the workhouse boys, whom he had assembled about him, how to ‘star the glaze,’ as they call it: that is, how to take panes of glass out of shop-windows without breaking them, or making any noise. In so large a workhouse as ours the youth are never without ready instructors in iniquitous practices. In the spring of the year many of the workhouse boys discharge themselves, and live during the year, we have reason to believe, in no other ways than dishonestly: we know it in this way, that the most frequent circumstance under which we hear of them is, of their being in prison for offences: but they do not care a rush for the prisons; for they always say, ‘we live as well there as in the workhouse.’”

“Mr. Mott, the contractor, in giving evidence on the means of employing paupers in the workhouse, alleges, as one of the great obstacles, the constant liability to depredation.

“Even in these employments, however, we are subject to continual losses from mismanagement or depredation. One man we lately prosecuted at the sessions for stealing fifty-one shirts, which he was intrusted to take home, and he was sentenced to seven years’ transportation, which, by the way, I may observe, was a promotion to a place where he would obtain more food, if not more comfort, than in the workhouse.”

“Are you sure of that?”

“I am sure, from conversations which I have had on the subject with the superintendent of convicts, that the convict receives more bread a-day than the pauper. Indeed, it is notorious at Gosport, where I have heard it descanted upon by many of the inhabitants, that the convicts receive one ounce of meat per day more than the soldiers set to guard them. I heard it as an anecdote at Gosport, that the convicts being told to do something which they did not like, one of them exclaimed, in the presence of the military guard, ‘What next, I wonder! d—n it, we shall soon be as bad off as soldiers.’ The convicts ridicule the soldiers; and I have myself seen a convict hold up some food to the guard, saying, ‘Soldier, will you have a bit?’ Yet the operation of this system in gaols and workhouses was pointed out years ago, and it still continues. The convict’s labour is proportionably slight.”

“Do you find this state of things, as to punishment, re-act upon the workhouse?”

“Decidedly so; and most mischievously as to discipline and management. The paupers are well aware that there is, in fact, no punishment for them. From the conversation I have had with convicts, it is clear, that confinement in a prison, or even transportation to the hulks, is not much dreaded. ‘We are better fed,’ I have heard them say, ‘have better clothes, and more comfortable lodging, than we could obtain from our labour;’ and the greatest, in fact almost only, punishment they appear to dread, is being deprived of female intercourse. Some months since, three young women (well-known prostitutes) applied for relief at Lambeth workhouse; and, upon being refused, two of them immediately broke the windows. On the moment, the *three* were given into custody to the police; but recollecting that only *two* were guilty of breaking the windows, the beadle was sent to state the fact, and request from the overseer, that the innocent person might be discharged: she, however, declared that she would not be separated from her companions, and immediately returned to the house and demolished two or three more windows to accomplish her desire.”

An inquiry into the relative condition of the independent labourer; the soldier, the pauper, and the delinquent, was instituted by Mr. Chadwick, who states that he invariably found the honest labourer the lowest in point of condition (though in a position from which he might fall still lower):—

“The indolent pauper the next step above him; the refractory pauper, or the petty delinquent the next step above the pauper, and even in the places most rigidly managed, nearly approaching to the condition, in



point of food to the soldier; and the convicted felon rising far above the soldier, the petty delinquent, the pauper, or the industrious labourer. But it also appears to be true, as declared by the refractory paupers, who proclaim their independence of all regulation, that if they get themselves transported for some more grievous delinquency, that they will receive even better treatment. I was informed by witnesses in Berkshire that several of the agricultural labourers who had been transported for rioting had written home letters to their friends, stating that they had never before lived so well, and soliciting that their families might be sent over to them."

"From these and several other accounts of shopkeepers as to the quantity of goods which they supply to the labouring classes, it appears that, supposing the children of the honest labourer eat meat, the quantity consumed by each individual does not, on an average, exceed four ounces each week. The excess of meat consumed in the small parish of St. Giles's beyond the full allowance to adults in Lambeth parish, has been shown to be 4500 pounds annually. From hence it appears that the excess beyond a profuse allowance—the mere waste—by 62 paupers in that small parish, would suffice as a supply of four ounces of meat each to 346 persons, or to 86 families of four persons in each."

"In the comparison of the dietaries, some allowances must be made for the want of completeness in the details, as to the strength of the beer and other liquids forming part of them; but these generally approximate to the allowances of solid food. The general effect of particular modes of living and gradation of dietaries, is proved by the declarations and conduct of those who have tried them all. Nearly all the prison dietaries are twice as good as those of the agricultural labourers; and many of them are much better than the workhouse dietaries. Although the able-bodied pauper does not generally receive so much solid food as the soldier, though he sometimes receives much more, he (the pauper) is on the whole better kept, much better lodged, and does less work. The family of the pauper is much better kept than the family of the soldier. In very few poor-houses have I found any distinction made between the diet of the males and females. In the great majority of the workhouses no distinction is made between the diet of the children and of the adults. From some of the official forms of contract for the transport of troops, it appears that females are allowed, sometimes, only one-half; but, usually, two-thirds the quantity allowed to the males; and that children are only allowed one-half the quantity of females. The latter, probably, approaches to the natural demand for food, and indicates the prevalent extent of waste in the parochial management of the workhouses.

"The following table will show more clearly, at a view, the relation or comparative condition of each class, as to food, from the honest and independent labourer, to the convicted and transported felon, as obtained chiefly from official returns:—

#### THE SCALE.

##### I. THE HONEST AGRICULTURAL LABOURER—

According to the returns of Labourers' Expenditure, they are unable to get, in the shape of solid food, more than an average allowance of,

Bread (daily) 17 oz. = per week	oz. . 119
Bacon . . . . .	. 3
	— 122 oz. solid food

##### II. THE SOLDIER—

Bread (daily) 16 oz. = per week	oz. . 112
Meat .. 8 cooked ..	. 56
	— 168 .. ..

##### III. THE ABLE-BODIED PAUPER—

Bread . . . . . per week	oz. . 98
--------------------------	-------------



Meat . . . . .	per week	oz. 21
Cheese . . . . .	..	16
Pudding . . . . .	..	16
		— 151 oz. solid food.

In addition to the above, which is an average allowance, the inmates of most workhouses have,—

Vegetables . . . . .	48 oz.
Soup . . . . .	3 quarts.
Milk Porridge . . . . .	3 ..
Table Beer . . . . .	7 ..

and many other comforts.

IV. THE SUSPECTED THIEF—  
(Lancaster.)

Bread . . . . .	per week	oz. 112
Meat . . . . .	..	18
Oatmeal . . . . .	..	40
Rice . . . . .	..	5
Peas . . . . .	..	4
Cheese . . . . .	..	4
Onions . . . . .	..	2
		— 185 .. ..

and 160 oz. potatoes.  
(see the Gaol Returns from Winchester.)

Bread . . . . .	per week	oz. 192
Meat . . . . .	..	12
		— 204 .. ..

V. THE CONVICTED THIEF—

Scotch Barley . . . . .	per week	oz. 28
Oatmeal . . . . .	..	21
Bread . . . . .	..	140
Meat . . . . .	..	56
Cheese . . . . .	..	12
		— 257 .. ..

and 72 oz. potatoes.

VI. THE TRANSPORTED THIEF—

10½ lbs. meat per week . . . . .	=	oz. 168
10½ lbs. flour, which will increase, } when made into bread to about }		218
		— 386 .. ..

This is the ladder of promotion for the adroit thief; the mal-adroit, it is true, sometimes ascend a step higher, but then all sorts of sympathy are lavished upon them, and if they repent, they are assured their reward is glorious; and the hangman, with the aid of the ordinary, despatches them, (as the newspapers declare,) “into *bliss eternal*.”

The reports bring out in strong contrast the condition of those on whom sympathy and attention are expended, by ignorant and blindly benevolent people, as compared with those independent labourers, with whose affairs they never interfere, but mischief follows. “Private individuals,” says a witness,\*

“Private individuals do not give more here than 12s. a-week to a day labourer. No distinction is made by private individuals between married and single men; they give them the same wages.”

“Is the parish work here piece-work?”  
“It is not.”

\* Mr. Chadwick’s report.



“Then your paupers work less than other day-labourers, do they not?”

“Yes: they work less time, and within that time they do less work. They want a good deal of looking after: they are always on the look-out for me, or for any overseer. There is a superintendent, but he is in fact a pauper, and he is rather easy with them.”

“How much less time do your labourers work than industrious labourers who maintain themselves?”

“About one hour daily, summer and winter. They have also opportunities of picking up a shilling by odd jobs in the town.”

“Then a pauper with a family gets from your parish the same wages as an industrious labourer; they moreover get their rents paid; they have opportunities of picking up additional shillings, and they work less time, and do less work than the industrious labourer. And they are also relieved from the burthen of looking out for work?”

“Yes, that is the case. Formerly we used to give labourers 1s. 6d. per day, but they complained to the magistrates that it was not enough to support them, and the magistrates recommended that more should be given. The paupers always, when they have not enough, run to the magistrates, and this is a check to the strictness of the overseers.”

“What is there to prevent the industrious and independent labourers who have large families throwing themselves on the parish, and placing themselves in the more advantageous situation of paupers?”

“Only the sense of degradation.”

“And is not this sense of degradation diminishing?”

“It is.”

“What is the characteristic of the wives of paupers and their families?”

“The wives of paupers are dirty, and nasty, and indolent, and the children generally neglected, and dirty, and vagrants, and immoral.”

“How are the cottages of the independent labourers as compared to them?”

“The wife is a very different person: she and her children are clean, and her cottage tidy. I have had very extensive opportunities of observing the difference in my visits; the difference is so striking to me, that in passing along a row of cottages I could tell, in nine cases out of ten, which were paupers' cottages, and which were the cottages of the independent labourers.”

“And what chance do you see of dispauperizing any of the paupers?”

“None, with the present generation of them, unless with very severe measures indeed.”

“Are there many charitable ladies in your district?”

“Many ladies very charitable indeed, Sir.”

“Now, do these paupers, whose wages and residences you have described, receive, in addition to their other advantages of rent-free cottages, easier work for shorter times than independent labourers, and derive advantages from the attentions of charitable ladies?”

“Yes; the ladies are very charitable to them; and are cheated on all sides by them, and imposed upon by piteous stories.”

Mr. Isaac Willis, collector of the poor-rates to the parish of St. Mary Stratford Bow, says,

“As a collector I am in the habit of going to the houses of rate-payers, and of seeing how they live. I can state that many of them do not live so well as the paupers in the house, and that a large proportion of them do not live better. All our paupers have good meat dinners three days in the week. Some of the poorer rate-payers have not meat dinners more than once or twice a week; they have to make a dinner of a red herring, or a small piece of bacon, with their potatoes.

“Have you had occasion of seeing the modes of living of those of the



labouring classes who receive aid from the parish, or from charities, and of those independent labourers who depend entirely on their own resources to provide for their families?—I have for many years through my district.

“Are the two classes externally distinguishable in their persons, houses, or behaviour?—Yes, they are. I can easily distinguish them; and I think they might be distinguished by any one who paid attention to them. The independent labourer is comparatively clean in his person; his wife and children are clean, and the children go to school: the house is in better order and more cleanly. Those who depend on parish relief, or on benefactions, on the contrary, are dirty in their persons and slothful in their habits. The children are allowed to go about the streets in a vagrant condition. The industrious labourers get their children out to service early. The paupers and charity-fed people do not care what becomes of their children.”

Other witnesses attest this admirable foundation of virtue in a large portion of the poor and independent labourers, who have so long struggled against the corrupting influence of the bounties on indolence, improvidence, and mendicity, which the administration of the poor-laws has afforded. The Reverend H. H. Milman, (the poet,) in a letter to Mr. Chadwick, says, with relation to the poor of St. Mary's, Reading, (Mr. Milman's parish):—

“Another important question you suggested was, how far there is a marked and manifest difference between the pauper and independent part of the labouring population; between those who are habitually supported, either wholly or in part, by the parish funds, and those who maintain themselves by their own industry. How far habits of idleness, intemperance, or mismanagement may have been the original causes which have reduced the lowest of our paupers to parochial support; and how far the dependence upon such support may have formed or confirmed such habits, it may be difficult to say. With the exceptions, however, of decent persons reduced by inevitable misfortune, as is the case with some of our manufacturers, whose masters have totally failed, and who are too old or otherwise incapable of seeking elsewhere their accustomed employment, I should state, in the most unqualified manner, that the cottage of a parish pauper and his family may be at once distinguished from that of a man who maintains himself. The former is dirty, neglected, noisome; the children, though in general they may be sent to school at the desire of the clergyman or parish officers, are the least clean and the most ragged at the school: in short, the degree of wretchedness and degradation may, in most instances, be measured by the degree in which they burthen the parish: unless some few tenements inhabited by the lowest, and usually the most profligate poor—the refuse of society, the cottages in my parish which it is least agreeable to enter are those of which the rent is paid by the parish, and in which the effect of our exertions and of the liberality of the landlords to cleanse, on the alarm of cholera, was obliterated in a very few weeks. The worst consequence, however, of regular maintenance from the parish funds shows itself in the character and demeanour of the young lads who have grown up in such families. They have been accustomed to live in idleness, and in perpetual strife with the overseer, whom it is their constant endeavour either to browbeat by insolence, weary by importunity, or overreach by cunning. They have never felt, they cannot feel the shame or degradation of pauperism; they are utterly insensible of the honest pride of independence. The only security to the parish is that they are in general of dissolute habits, which in the town they can gratify, and are not so much inclined, or are not so often compelled, to early marriages as youth of a similar description in the country parishes.”

In another number we shall probably resume the subject; but in the mean time we earnestly request attention to the selections from the evidence, which are printed in an accessible form.



## PAUL LOUIS COURIER—HIS LIFE AND WRITINGS.

## A Biographical Criticism.

LA VERITÉ EST TOUTE A TOUS.

It has long been my intention to devote some pages of this Journal to the manes of Paul Louis Courier, in the hope of bringing my English readers to a better acquaintance with some of the most remarkable writings, and one of the most extraordinary men that France, in her later day, has produced. Every time has its peculiar representative, and the genius of a single man is often the incarnation of the intellectual character of his cotemporaries. There was only one period in the history of France that could have produced Courier,—he is the man of that period. He gathered once more into a focus those rays of light that had been scattered into a thousand vague refractions by the violent effects of the Revolution. He is the sequel to Voltaire. What Berenger is to verse, Courier is to prose. His life is of no less singular character than his works.

Born at Paris, in 1773, the parentage of Paul Louis Courier was exactly that which was calculated to form in after times the derider of the vices of a *noblesse*. His father was a man of some literary pretensions and of competent wealth;—he was a *Bourgeois*—an able, witty, intellectual *Bourgeois*. As such he seems to have mixed in the society of the nobles, and to have very narrowly escaped death for his presumption. A certain nobleman of great rank owed our citizen a large sum of money; it was inconvenient to pay it, so he ordered his creditor to be assassinated. True that he did not allege the debt as a reason for the proposed murder. He gave a more gallant air to the proceeding, and accused the *Bourgeois* of having seduced his wife. A jealous husband in those days was not common;—but then every husband did not owe the object of his jealousy a considerable sum of money.

If M. Courier escaped death, he did not escape banishment; and he felt himself obliged to become an inhabitant of one of the cantons of Toulouse. He gave himself up to the education of the young Paul Louis. Our hero early developed his peculiar genius,—quick, facile, and impatient. He evinced no turn for the mathematics, but a vehement passion for ancient letters. In these his taste was formed on no very judicious model. He was fond of the Rhetoricians, and considered Isocrates a model. In after life his latent genius was no doubt influenced by these youthful studies. You may trace in his writings all the art of rhetoric, but he studiously avoids its language. He is the only rhetorician in whom simplicity is the most remarkable feature. Those were not, however, the times for Isocrates and rhetoric. The war against France required soldiers for the frontiers, and confined the demand for sophists to the metropolis. Paul Louis entered a school of artillery, and at the age of twenty behold the young officer hastening to join the armies of the Rhine. Never was there a more singular recruit: with considerable valour of constitution, Paul Louis had already formed a most philosophical indifference to glory. Compelled to be a soldier, he walked the stage as an actor who laughs in his sleeve at the wilful delusion of the audience. He saw the paint on the scene, and heard the voice of the prompter; and when the galleries were shouting applause



at the effects, our actor was scrutinizing the tricks which produced them. He mixed among that fiery and passionate army, with its boy soldiers and its stripling leader\*, like Jaques amidst the gallant foresters of Ardens, for purposes not theirs, and feeding thoughts they could not comprehend. But he was a Jaques without melancholy.

While his young compatriots, all ardent for the new Republic, strove with each other who should advance the soonest to death for her cause,—while honours showered daily upon their adventurous emulation,—Courier, never shunning danger, but never seeking fame, pursued his separate and strange career,—his genius unknown and his courses uncheered by the triumphs of success. He studied much, but the library of a camp is confined, and it was only among the books which he had read before. His literary patience was of a peculiar sort: he preferred refreshing his knowledge in one point to extending it in others. His diligence was inexhaustible when applied to favourite models; his apathy extraordinary towards subjects which did not naturally allure him. He was conscious of this his intellectual bias, and he speaks of it without affectation. The philosophical nature of his mind made him in politics consult the future rather than the past; he had little love, therefore, for history, and he never mastered its study. The main defect of his mind was what is the rarest in men of genius—it was a lack of curiosity! He had a great tendency to that dispiriting temper which is for ever damping your ardour with the question of *cui bono*? Yet in this want of curiosity he was not consistent; and in one point all the other traits of his character seem strongly contradicted. He was passionately fond of antiquities; he would travel miles and court the most imminent dangers for a sight of some old ruin. And he wandered from the enthusiastic and ambitious soldiery that now held the territories of the Rhine and the soft Moselle, to pass long hours among the mouldering convents and shattered towers in which the dark memory of the middle ages is preserved. It is assuredly an anomaly in character that a man so indifferent to the history of the Past, should be so attached to its relics,—that one so derisive of the feudal pomps should be so wedded to their trophies,—that so little reverence for the essence of antiquity should be united with such homage to its externals. I attribute the inconsistency to early circumstances. As a boy he had been accustomed to antiquarian researches,—his mind outgrew the passion for antiquity, but retained the taste for its remains. We may add to this somewhat of the gratification of vanity; for he was not only a diligent but a learned antiquarian: he was an adept at inscriptions and the erudite mazes of hieroglyphical conjecture; so that his habits of research were probably endeared to him by the self-complacence of a triumphant ingenuity.

In this life—brave without glory, and wise without success—Courier passed two years, feeling himself, in that rapid race of honour where he who died to-day might be a general to-morrow, distanced by his contemporaries, and growing naturally discontented with his station. In 1795 occurred the blockade of Mayence, and at that very time the elder Courier died. His mother was ill and wretched—Paul Louis left the army—left the blockade—and without leave, and with perfect *nonchalance*, returned to France. His filial affection was not, however, perhaps his sole inducement in hazarding the philosophy of desertion. The hard-

---

\* Hoche, the commandant on the Rhine, was twenty-three.



ships endured by the French army before Mayence were exceedingly rigorous; they were by no means to the taste of a man who thought renown was no recompense. "It was wonderfully cold there," said the witty soldier; "I thought myself frozen. Never was there a slighter distinction between a man and a crystallization."

The army proclaimed Paul Louis a deserter. Meanwhile Paul Louis shut himself up, and amused his leisure with translating the oration *pro Ligario*. His friends managed to hush up the matter: the young soldier was grateful,—for it enabled him to give a better polish to his translation. The revolutionary war proceeded to its triumph. The star of Napoleon rose above the horizon: the grave melancholy that belonged to the Conventional moralities was broken up. People rushed into feasts and balls. Paul Louis caught the contagion with an avidity natural to his bold and lively temper; and behold him now the gallant and the man of pleasure! Passionately devoted to women, he gave himself wholly up to their society. Young, gay, and with a power of social wit rarely equalled, he became the rage at Toulouse. But his ill fortune pursued him from the camp to the chamber; and an unlucky intrigue made Toulouse no longer a place of security. At the age of twenty-three a man without much difficulty forgives himself these offences: I suspect that he manages to console himself with the same ease! Banished Toulouse, Courier resumed his former career, and he set out to Italy to take the command of a company of artillery.

Italy did not present to the gallant spirit of Courier, intoxicated as it was by the adoration of beauty, and the reverence for departed art, those unmingled sources of delight which earlier and later pilgrims have found amidst its ruins. The severe licentiousness of the young Napoleon was lavishly imitated by his coarser followers: the polished inhabitants of Italy met with no dainty respect from the new successors of the triumphant Gaul. Pillage and Rapine devastated the marble cities and the vine-clad plains. And what to Courier was more bitter than all, the noble relics of antique art, "the breathing canvas and the storied bust," were mangled, defaced, despoiled as the avarice or the ignorance of the hardy conquerors ordained.

Too refined and too classical for his colleagues, Paul Courier deplored these excesses in terms scarcely less eloquent than we find in his later and more elaborate writings. His letters (on this subject) to a Pole of considerable attainments, whose friendship he had acquired at Toulouse, are full of his characteristic graces. Byron's indignation at the rape of the Elgin marbles is tame beside that of Courier at the insulting spoliation of the Italian treasures,—Italy's last triumph,—her consolation in art for her degradation in history. The same cavalier and careless bravery that Courier had evinced on the banks of the Rhine, equally distinguished him among the ruins of Rome. Hated as a Frenchman, exposed day and night to the poignard of the assassin, he yet wandered alone and unguarded in the most solitary and perilous places. His love for antiquities (mingled with the growing passion for adventure, and it may be with a certain romance which his perception of the ridiculous would not allow him to own) was his sole guide. He followed it without fear. With his sabre by his side, he traversed the mountains of Italy,—explored the ruins,—braved the banditti;—Salvator Rosa himself was not more reckless of the poignards of the brigands, whom he afterwards immortalized;—if Courier was often surprised by them he invariably



escaped. He knew well the Italian language ; he was never without a certain bribe to the robber ; and, above all, at that happy age, and with that versatile temper, he possessed the art, better than much gold, which leads us to accommodate ourselves to all men, and supplies the absence of force by the exertion of ingenuity. In the day he sought the mountain passes,—at night he was assailed ;—the next morning he pursued his labours. He never feared the robber,—he never avenged the robbery. A certain generous tone of philosophy made him lenient to these wild banditti. He was a soldier, and he murdered by art ; was he to be vindictive to those who robbed by necessity ?

In this eccentric manner, perfecting his mind, enjoying his life, and advancing *not* in his career, our extraordinary hero passed his Italian campaign : it nearly came to a premature termination.

Paul Louis was one of the division left by General Macdonald at Rome. The division capitulated : it was to quit Rome at a certain hour. “ *A là bonne heure,*” thought Courier ; “ a last look at the Vatican Library before I depart.” What a type of the careless courage of the soldier-student ! He repairs to the Vatican—plunges into study—forgets the hour of departure—and quits the Vatican when he himself is the sole Frenchman left at Rome.

It was a calm, clear, and still evening. Nursing his reveries, Courier walked slowly along the streets of Rome. He was recognized as he passed beneath a lamp. A moment more ;—a bullet whizzed by him—missed him—and lodged in the body of a Roman woman. In an instant the city was alarmed—the crowd gathered—Courier dashed through the midst of the mob, and reached the palace of a Roman of his acquaintance : through his aid he escaped. He embarked at Marseilles, and arrived at Paris ; but not without new disasters. On his road he was despoiled of his baggage and his money ; and, what was worse, a pulmonary complaint attacked him, from which he never entirely recovered.

At Paris, however, he renewed his former career of pleasure, but pleasure of a more refined and literary cast. Time had already begun to mellow the Passionate into the Intellectual. He mixed with the learned of his day ; he was welcomed by some of the more eminent amongst them. That ambition of a circle, from which no Frenchman is free, animated his powers ; and he wrote some works which then were but little known to the public, but are not, for that reason, unworthy of his fame. It often happens among literary men that their best works are neglected, till some *lucky* book gains the author a name ; they are then sought for, studied, and admired. Genius revives its own deceased ; and the world, once taught to admire an author for one work, lifts the stone from those its neglect has already buried.

From these pursuits and these circles Courier was aroused by a summons to command a body of artillery stationed in Italy, which now lay supine, and seemingly reconciled, beneath the yoke of Napoleon. Among the softer and more poetical characteristics of Courier’s mind, his passion for Italy was not the least remarkable. Not Jacopo Foscari himself loved with a more yearning and filial tenderness the bright air and the genial skies of that divine land. Courier cared nothing for the rank they gave him, and everything for the place assigned to it. He arrived, then, in Italy,—arrived in time to witness one of the most singular farces in the history of the world, and which the pen of more than one memorialist has already rendered so amusing. Buonaparte, tired



with being Consul, wanted to be Emperor;—he *was* Emperor. He wanted now to know what the army thought about the change: an order arrived for the taking the opinion of the different regiments. These strokes of policy, where it is advantageous to say “Yes,” dangerous to say “No,” and wise to say nothing at all, usually succeed. Shakspeare has described their effect admirably in “Richard the Third:”

“ They spake not a word ;  
But, like dumb statuas or breathing stones,  
Stared at each other.           \*           \*           \*  
\*           \*           \*           \*           \*           \*

When he had done, some followers of mine own,  
At lower end o’ the hall, hurled up their caps,  
And some ten voices cried, ‘ God save King Richard !’  
And thus I took the vantage of those few :  
‘ Thanks, gentle citizens and friends,’ quoth I.”

These lines explain tolerably well the nature and the result of the questions put to the French army.

The great trait of Courier’s character was—(I can scarcely translate the word)—*insouciance*. We trace it everywhere—in every action. It curbed his military ardour—*tant mieux* ; it chilled his patriotism—*tant pis*. He resisted not the proposal: he continued to serve under Napoleon ; and he contented himself, *en philosophe et à la Française*, with a fine saying and a witticism—“ *Etre Buonaparte et de se faire Sire ! il aspire à descendre.*” In fact, the essence of Courier’s darker and sterner nature was contempt: where he was not indifferent he despised. “ Buonaparte loves his rattle ; let him have it ! The people will obey the puppet. Poor people !—be it so.” This was the spirit with which he viewed the nascent despotism. He had the disdain of Cassius, but not his energy. If he had been a contemporary and countryman of Brutus, he would have said the best thing against Cæsar, but have struck no blow. He subscribed to the new dynasty ; and amused himself with painting it in some letters of inimitable satire. His course of conduct in this has been vindicated,—I think, without success. The Directory, say his advocates, was a wretched government,—feeble and venal. The Consulship had lasted too short a time for trial. What did you lose by gaining an Emperor ? The answer is obvious;—you lost *Hope*. A republic purifies itself naturally,—a monarchy only by great efforts. A republic wants but time,—a despotism wants new revolutions. What was to be hoped from a sway like Napoleon’s, which crushed the Press, and resolved all the elements of knowledge into—Military Schools ? Paul Courier was a philosopher,—he knew these truths ;—but he was a philosopher for himself as well as for others. A better excuse for him is in his position. What could he do ?—an undistinguished officer in the artillery, what was his consent to, or his rejection of, the empire of Napoleon ? We judge too much in estimating the actions of men, and the good they *might* have effected, by the rank *we* attribute to their intellectual powers, without remembering that it is only when those powers have become acknowledged that their possessors can aspire to play their legitimate part. But patriotism, to be a strong passion, must be a common passion. You cannot inspire the individual, unless you first form the nation ; and public integrity in France was at that time at the lowest possible ebb. Despite its false liberty, its laughable citizenship, its terrible republic, France scarcely knew one sound principle of legislation ;



or, after the extinction of the eloquent Girondists, produced one honourable *corps* of men. Courier himself boasted that he was able to shew letters from the most eminent men of the empire, who followed, like dogs, the track of the times,—Republicans—Buonapartists—Bourbonists—according as a shilling was to be gained:—"Men who commence their destiny *en sansculottes*, and finish it *en habits de cour*." The success of vice is the discouragement of virtue.

In 1808, Courier, having long and vainly demanded leave of absence to revisit his home, gave in his resignation. He returned to Paris, and proclaimed an eternal renunciation of his military trade.

At this time the wild but solemn fate of Napoleon was rapidly hurrying towards its great, but unrecognized close. His destiny was at its height; and the height of some men is the main step to their fall. Scarce returned from Spain, which his presence alone had almost conquered, he now swept on to the armies gathered by the Danube, which he was to lead to the city of the House of Hapsburg. All Paris was in a paroxysm of excitement, and Courier caught something of the contagion. To understand well the character of this singular man, we must consider him as one fond of studying the peculiar phases and aspects of his kind, and scrutinizing rather than sharing their passions. He looked upon the events which engross and absorb the more vulgar, but warmer spirits, with an artist's inquiring eye. The pomp of empire, the laurels of war, the rewards of ambition, were to him but testimonials of human delusion, and food for a just, and not malevolent, satire; yet, at this period of his life, his wonted philosophy seems to have forsaken him, and he became one of the worshippers of the Echo. He had never yet served under Napoleon; he now resolved to do so. He communicated his intention to none of his friends; he repaired secretly to the army. Having once resigned, his re-admission, according to the military rules of Napoleon, was not easy. He gained access to the tent of a general of the artillery; and, without any peculiar station, became once more a French soldier.

Something—(I apprehend, in examining his character, his letters, and the common elements of human nature)—something of sore and mortified feeling, of the consciousness of great powers and a foiled career, had led him to this determination. On his late return to Paris he had found how entirely military reputation engrossed the public voice; his philosophy might, in the main, support him in his obscurity, but not perhaps at all times. *He had had his opportunities, and he had failed!* This was the sole interpretation the public could attach to his career; a bitter verdict to a man of pride and genius, who had not yet found, amidst the depths of an undeveloped intellect, the triumphant answer of self-acquittal. He had arrived too at an age in which a man is often more sensible to mortification than at an earlier period; the season of promise, at the age of seven-and-thirty, is well nigh over, and the world begins to ask for performance. The love, too, of pleasure—of women and of strange adventure—is cooled; and before we resign ourselves to a calm and obscure life, we are often willing to make one sterner attempt than heretofore at glory. Courier, perhaps too, had some sympathy with the genius, if not with the temper and fortunes of Napoleon—the higher minds are attracted toward each other. He thought (this is evident from his letters) that Napoleon might appreciate him. Mocked or slighted by inferior men, he felt his powers, and hoped the penetration



of *a great* man might avenge the neglect. Whatever were his motives, Courier joined the camp;—joined—for forty-eight hours! What scenes were crowded into that time.

Hitherto Courier had beheld war by samples, he now beheld it wholesale. Never yet had he seen whole regiments swept away beneath the deadly fires—never yet for his ear had the music of four hundred pieces of cannon rose above a soil of trampled and quivering flesh. Never yet had he fully comprehended the wide vastness of the desolation of War! He himself speaks of the horror, the pity, the disgust which seized him;—a sort of sickness closed around his senses, which were usually so keen—everything passed before him like grotesque phantasmagoria;—he sank, at last, overcome by exhaustion, at the foot of a tree—he recovered not till he was within the walls of Vienna. From that time he required no further conviction of the scourge of war. The theories of life were faint to the practical experience of those terrible hours; nay, he thenceforward even denied genius to generalship: he contended, that all was disorder, and the result chance. He laughed at the phrase—*the art of war*; a great battle conveyed to him the notion of a chaos incompatible with the providence of an intellectual design.

As he sought the campaign, so he left it—abruptly, silently, and with his usual arrogance, as a free agent. He thought to lose the bloody memory of two days in a land that Nature consecrated to love,—and he sought, once more, his favourite Italy.

He took up his abode at Florence, and renewed his studies in Greek literature. But poor Paul Louis was not born under a lucky star, and he could not even study Greek with impunity. His ill fortune led him to read the pastoral romance of “*Longus*” in manuscript—no trifling affliction in itself—but unhappily, this MS. which was in the Laurentine library, contained a passage to be found in no other printed edition of the tale—nay it supplied a terrible chasm well known to the learned, which has hitherto yawned in a certain part of the romance. Imagine the rapture of the student. With trembling hands he hastened to copy out the passage, and in his extacy he contrived to upset the inkstand over the precious passage. The librarians were furious—they swore that he had spoiled the Greek copy on purpose, so that he might pillage its spoils, and be the only one to arrogate the possession. The Frenchman had not perhaps that hardihood of nerve which our periodical critics ultimately bestow upon an English victim. He could not resist unburthening himself in a reply. He addressed this effusion to M. Renouard, Librarian of Paris, and he transferred all the blame from himself to his Italian accusers. His sole crime, he said, was being a Frenchman; and it was not the spilling of ink, but the spilling of blood, that rose in judgment against him. The letter made a noise—attention was riveted to the writer and his inkstand—when lo!—it came out that the copier of “*Longus*” was the deserter at Wagram. From two such crimes there was no easy escape—but however the constitutional dexterity of Courier carried him safe from the result of his constitutional imprudence. Ink, liable to such accidents, was nevertheless considered too dangerous for use, and he was enjoined upon no account to dip his pen into it again. He obeyed the command during his sojourn in Italy. In travel and in study the years rolled on—peace was proclaimed—Buonaparte was at St. Helena—and Paul Louis Courier was married! Two of these



events were important enough to the world, the third was not wholly unimportant to Paul Louis Courier!

From this time the wilder portion of life closed for him. The soldier—the adventurer—the wanderer—were no more. He sat himself down in his paternal vineyards, and commenced, in the beautiful seclusion of Touraine, the date of a more bright career. Inspired by the strong disdain which he felt for the rule, weak and violent, of the Bourbons after the Restoration—Paul Courier in 1816, addressed the two Chambers on behalf of the inhabitants of Luynes, in a short petition of some seven or eight pages, which sufficed, however, to produce a very considerable sensation. This petition is a narrative of the oppression and injustice committed against a village. The narrative of a village was a narrative applicable to all France. When he stated the frivolous grounds of accusation—when he stated the rigour of suspicion—the bigotry of fear—which had converted a village of honest peasants into a herd of discontented and wronged men, he was appealing to the common sense of France, and he was answered at once by the common heart. The style of this petition is simple yet elaborate; biting irony—generous complaint—severe truth—are condensed in periods that remind you of Voltaire, but without Voltaire's affectation. M. Decazes, Minister of Police, courted this new and formidable writer. Courier, in his visits to Paris, visited his salons, and obtained by that complaisance some good for his fellow villagers and himself. That done, he was no more a courtier.

M. Clavier, an Academician, died. Courier demanded admission into the Academy of Inscriptions. He was rejected—he revenged himself by a letter “A Messieurs de l’Academie des Inscriptions et Belles Lettres.” This letter contains yet stronger evidence of his powers of irony, than his petition to the two Chambers; but the subject was less popular, and it made less noise. In 1819 he commenced his famous letters to the editor of the “Censor.” The publication of these brief and stinging writings brought the name of Courier into every one's mouth—and inquiry turning, as it is wont to do, when a man begins to attract celebrity,—from the work to the author, found sufficient to interest the public in his person; and thus doubly to increase the charm and fascination of his genius.

This accomplished traveller, this profound student, lived in an obscure village, affecting and proud to affect the simple life and habits of the peasant. His vineyards and his woods were his chief occupation, and yielded him his revenue. He called himself Paul Louis *Vigneron*—he pretended to no superiority over his fellow-villagers—he was one of them in all but knowledge. His style happily united the two opposite characteristics he assumed—the scholar and the peasant—at once most classical and most familiar; style irresistible alike to the academy and the market-place. No man ever made elegance so popular, or homeliness so elegant. He polished with great labour, but the polish only rendered the diction and the sense transparent to the dullest comprehension. In 1821 appeared the *Simple Discours*. The occasion was this, it was proposed to purchase the Park of Chambord for the young Duke of Bordeaux. This proposition Courier opposed. Hence the *Simple Discours*.

“If, (he begins this incomparable pamphlet) if we had so much money that we did not know what to do with it—if all our debts were paid—our



highways repaired—our poor relieved—and our church (for God before all things) restored, and its windows glazed—I think, my friends, that the best thing we could do with the surplus would be to contribute with our neighbours to rebuild the Bridge of St. Aventin; which, shortening by one good league the distance between us and Tours, would augment the price and the produce of land throughout the neighbourhood. That in my opinion would be the best employment for our superfluous capital,—that is to say, whenever we possess it. But to buy Chambord for the Duke of Bordeaux—I cannot agree to it: no not even if we had the means. It would be but a bad scheme, in my opinion, for the Duke himself, for us, and for Chambord. If you will listen to me, I will tell you why. It is a holiday, my friends, and we have time to chat over the matter.”

In this familiar manner, Paul Louis, *Vigneron de la Chavonniere*, throws off his biting truths. He confesses that the courtiers are inclined to the purchase; “but *our* sentiments,” saith he, wittily, “are very different from those of the courtiers—they love the Prince in proportion to what he *gives* them—we in proportion to what he *leaves* us.”

“The notion is entertained (says the government) of purchasing Chambord by the Commons of France, for the Duke of Bordeaux. The notion is entertained—by whom pray? By the Ministry? No; they would not conceal so beautiful a thought, or content themselves with the mere honour of approval upon such an occasion. By the Prince, then? God forbid that his first idea—his first gleam of reason should be of so singular a character—that the desire of our money should enter his young head, even before the passion for sugar plums and rattles! Do the Commons then entertain the agreeable notion? Not ours certainly on this side of the Loire, &c.”

How happily afterwards Courier proceeds to comment on the cant anecdote of Titus!—

“A preceptor—an abbé of the Court, now teaches our young princes the science of history. Be sure he does not forget to make them admire that excellent Emperor Titus, who was so great an adept in the art of donation, that he thought every day was lost in which he did not give something away. So that one never saw him without being made happy—happy, you understand, my friends, with a pension, a sinecure—a handful of the popular money. Such a prince is sure to be adored by all those who are admitted to court, and drive about the streets in their state carriages”—“Le cour l'idolâtrait—mais le peuple? Le peuple? il n'y en avait pas, l'histoire né rien dit mot. . . . Voila les élémens d'histoire qu'on enseignait alors des princes.”

To my taste this is the most perfect in point of union between satire and logic of all Courier's works. I know nothing like it in political literature—it is a political library in itself. For this production he was of course imprisoned. They punished him for writing truth so well by a fine of three hundred franks, and a confinement of two months. Poor Paul Louis! “Pray God for him!” cries he himself in his address *aux âmes dévotes*,—“may his example teach us never to say what we think of those gentlemen who live at our expense.” Courier published a pamphlet relative to his trial, which proved how indomitable wit is against persecution; and the day of his release from prison they brought him up for a new trial for a pamphlet of the most exquisite composition, called “Petition pour les Villageois qu'on empêche de danser.” The peasants had been accustomed to dance every Sunday on the usual spot allotted in the French villages to that amusement. The *Prefet* forbade the dance. Courier demands the restoration of the old and harmless pleasure. Nothing can be more touching than his description of the manners, the good order, the improving morality of his poor neighbours; nothing more convincing



than his arguments on their behalf. They did not think it quite right to imprison a man for wishing the peasants to dance, so this time they let him off with a reprimand. From that date persecution begat its usual result, secrecy ; and Courier contrived to publish, but under a mask—a mask which concealed his name but not his genius. I pass over his “ Replies to anonymous correspondents,” one of which, the second, contains more eloquent and pathetic passages than any other of his tracts. I pass over the “ Livret de Paul Louis,” a brilliant sketch, in which, however, the author displays the usual ignorance of a Frenchman on English history, when he observes that literary men have but little knowledge of business, and that Bolingbroke repented of having employed Addison and Steele!—Bolingbroke’s bitterest opponents ! I pass too over the “ Gazette du Village,” a polished and most subtle piece of irony. I pass over the few pages contained in the “ Pièce Diplomatique,” which is supposed to be a letter from Louis of France to the King of Spain, and which at least no Bourbon *could* have written. I come to the most admired—the most laboured—the last of all Courier’s writings, the “ Pamphlet des Pamphlets.” This, I say, is esteemed in France the most perfect and matured specimen of his style. Imagine how wonderful, how expressive that style must be, when we apply the epithets elaborate—finished—even great—to writings scarcely exceeding in length the pages of a newspaper article ! For my own part, I still hold to my opinion that the “ Simple Discours ” is the best and fullest of Courier’s works—it has more thought and more wisdom than the “ Pamphlet des Pamphlets ;”—its wit, too, is more racy, and its diction more striking, if less pure. Anything seemingly English in sentiment was at that day sure to be popular in France ; and in this pamphlet Courier supposes an English patriot, to whom he attributes a letter to himself,—excellent, indeed, but scarcely characteristic of the tone of English patriots. The merit of the work scarcely strikes upon an English ear ; it consists in the eloquence with which Courier vindicates himself from being a pamphleteer—a term of disgrace in the *bon ton* vocabulary of France—a title not discreditable with us, always excepting the refined judgment of my Lord of Durham, who could find nothing worse to say of Bishop Philpotts of Exeter ! To an English reader the vindication loses its charm because we feel no venom in the charge. The conclusion, however, of this tract is deeply impressive ; it speaks of the shortness of human life—of the eternity of human improvement—of the feebleness of individuals—of the power of the mass. It hath in it a certain solemn and warning voice, preceding as it did the untimely and bloody end of the bold preacher. It reminds us of the deep pathos of those lines, some of the latest that Byron ever wrote, and to which we link the associations of his own death :—

“ Between two worlds life hovers like a star,—  
 ’Twixt night and morn upon the horizon’s verge ;  
 How little do we know that which we are !  
 How less what we may be ! The eternal surge  
 Of time and tide rolls on, and bears afar  
 Our bubbles ;”

While Courier was thus occupying the mind of the public, and while he employed his more learned hours in the study of his favourite Greeks, he seems to have shared the ordinary fate of genius ;—he was no prophet in his own country !



A certain fretfulness and acerbity of temper had come upon him with years ; always eccentric in his habits, he became gradually morose in his humours ; he quarrelled with his neighbours, and was at war with his own household. Much is to be said on his behalf, beyond the common and valid excuse for the peevishness of literary men in overwrought nerves and a feverish imagination. The mind wears the body, and the body reacts upon the temper. This is clear—it is inevitable—we require no waste of sentiment upon so plain a matter. Poor Courier had other excuses ; he had done much for his village, and his villagers were ungrateful ; this wounded him, and justly. He was not too, I suspect, happy in his marriage ; he believed he had cause for jealousy ; and to a man so proud the suspicion was no light curse. From the gloom of his obscurity went forth a burning light among the nations, but it came from the midst of discomfort, and the hearth of strife ;—petty bickerings, and village annoyances disturbed the serenity once natural to his constitution. His very fame produced him but enemies. He had offended the *Valetaille* of France, and France, in his own words, was *le plus valet de tous les peuples*. But the mortification and the harassment were now drawing to a close—the triumph of genius and the exhaustion of the nerves were alike to cease. He beheld before him the apex of his fame ; and he stood, while he gazed, upon the verge of the grave.

On the 10th of April, 1825, Courier left his house—he had spoken but little that day—an evident gloom had hung over him. He was borne back to his door a corpse ;—within a few paces from his home he had been found, pierced by some secret bullet, and quite dead. His assassin is unknown to this day. The rash enthusiasts of liberty, often the most illiberal of men, laid the crime on the Jesuits, but without a shadow of proof. One nearest and dearest to himself was, not long since, accused of abetting in the murder, and acquitted. A man of low birth, of whom he had been jealous, was, some time after his death, murdered himself ; but eight years have passed, and the sentence of life for life has had no formal record. Peace to his ashes !—they will not rest the less tranquilly, nor will the turf above them be less green, because vengeance is still left in the hands of God !

The countenance of Courier was grave and thoughtful ; the brow high, broad, massive, and deeply marked ; his eye somewhat sunk and melancholy—his mouth sarcastic and flexile. His manners varied at various periods of his life. I have met with some who knew him well, and considered him the most delightful of companions. I have known others who considered him the most repellent. In his later days he had transferred the graces from his habits to his style. Perhaps few men, with advantage to the temper, can begin the career of letters late in life. It requires several years to harden us to the abuse, the ingratitude, the wilful misinterpretation, and the gnawing slander we endure from our contemporaries and our rivals. In youth we have years to spare to the apprenticeship ; in mature age the pride is more stubborn, and the hope less sanguine.

As a writer Courier must rank among the most classical of his language ; in vigour, in wit, in logic, he defies all comparison among his contemporaries. They who would learn to what degree the polish and power of style have advanced in France since the peace, should read, not the inflated paradoxes of Chateaubriand, or the extravagant exaggerations of Victor Hugo ; but those pages in which Courier has indeed



made words things, and in which the plainest truths are conveyed with the most marvellous art. To the strength of Junius he adds the simplicity and the playfulness of Pascal. He fails, however, in imagination, and his thoughts are usually more bold than profound. This is remarkable rather in his literary than his political remains, for popular political writing does not of necessity demand the profound; its merit is often to familiarise, not to invent, truth. In his preface to a new translation of Herodotus, we may especially detect the comparative want of depth in Courier's faculties—comparative, I say, to their power and versatility. He tells us, for instance, that the historical epic must cease for ever when the prose of a language has come to some perfection. He declares that the Greek literature is the *only* one not born of some other literature, but produced by instinct, and the sentiment of the beautiful,—mistakes which could not arise from a want of learning, but from a want of that reflection which stamps even the paradox of a profound intellect; yet the same piece of writing is rich in sentences of beautiful and just criticism. Nothing can be better in its way than his description of courtly translators playing the *petit maître* with the simple language of the Greek;—nothing more true than his warning to his countrymen that the language of poetry is the last to be learnt in academies and courts. “*L'imitation*,” he says finely,—“*l'imitation de la cour est la peste du gout aussi bien que des mœurs.*”

Courier's style has been compared to that of the Editor of the “*Examiner*;” but Courier is more free and flowing—more adapted to the popular taste—more familiar and simple. On the other hand, he has not the iron grasp—the novel metaphor—the rich illustration, and the careless *depth* of remark which characterise the most standard and philosophic of our living periodical writers. He reminds us, I think, rather of Sidney Smith, but is less broad and more daring. In fact, his manner is so peculiarly and idiomatically French, that the English writer, who closely resembled him, would write ill.

Paul Louis Courier is then no more!—his bright and short race is run;—the various threads of his desultory and romantic life are prematurely and violently cut short. He has left to mankind not only the evidence of what he has achieved, but the belief of what greater results he had the capacity to accomplish. Living in a time of transition, when the people, passing from a brilliant despotism to a gloomy and imperfect freedom, scarcely knew whether to lament the one or to advance the other, his writings tended to destroy the illusion of the despotism, and to instil right notions as to the nature of the freedom. No solemn plausibilities of men or of names deceived him. His mockery respected nothing—save the truth. He incorporated, in the form of his constitutional disdain, the popular contempt for the hollowness and profligacy—the venality and the servility—which marked so strongly the character of the French court; a court of slaves and traitors—of sharpers and of cowards—a court of nobles proud without honour, and subservient without loyalty. By expressing the contempt of the people he made their sentiments known to each other; his genius was as a watchword of union, for it brought them together. The benefit effected by a bold public writer is this—he acquaints the people, by his own popularity, with the exact strength of the popular sentiment; he thus prepares the common mind, though he may not lead it;—*he* makes the impulse, and Chance the conduct!



## LEIGH HUNT'S POETICAL WORKS.

THE collection of Mr. Hunt's poetical works is to those who love poetry for itself, or study its elements as an art, one of the most fortunate of literary events. We shall not now enter into the particulars of the author's life, or arraign that bitterness of critical persecution with which at one time he was assailed. Fortunately he has arrived at that epoch which sooner or later consoles the man of genius for the harassment and the hostilities which attend his earlier career,—that golden time when animosity slackens in its wrath, and enemies insensibly mellow into friends. There is something to all generous minds (and generosity is more common among literary men than justice) sacred in the very thought of misfortune—and we accordingly find even many who were the former assailants of Mr. Hunt's poetical fame, converted at once into its supporters—and merging all harsher recollections in their fellowship with letters, and their sympathy with affliction. In his own touching and beautiful thought, they have fought in the lists with the strong man, but they are the foremost to bind up his wounds in the suspension of the contest. Far be it from us therefore to recall hostility so nobly atoned for—be they buried for ever in this Urn, which is the best and most enduring monument to the memory of a poet whom the world will not willingly let die. One of the most beautiful passages in the eventful histories of genius may be gleaned from the perusal of the mere names attached as testimonials of approbation to this book. Men of all sects in literature—all opinions in politics—are here assembled together in one kindly and fraternal act—and a homage to the common spirit of poetry, has given rise to one of the most lovely effects of the genius of christianity. “Adversity doth best discover virtue,” not in ourselves only, but in others—not in the kindness of friends, but the conversion of foes. And the world from time to time exhibits a certain nobleness which keeps alive in us our aspirations for mankind.

Mr. Hunt's “Feast of the Poets,” and the “Descent of Liberty,” a masque, were published in the years 1814 and 1815, and are dated from Surrey gaol. They show, at least, that imprisonment had not damped the ardour of his mind, and that, amidst every disadvantage, he could write poetry of sufficient beauty and power to entitle him to a niche among his contemporaries. The next year the public were surprised and delighted by the appearance of the story of “Rimini.” This poem is now before us in a revised and corrected shape. It is a tale of impulse and power from the beginning to the end, discovering at the same time a delightful play of fancy. It perpetually reminds us of the old Italian poetry, and yet more of the muscular freedom and nerve of Dryden; now and then its revealings open to us a depth and delicacy of feeling, which prove how nobly the author is endowed with all the higher qualifications of his art. We scarcely dare commence the pleasurable task of quotation, for in gratifying ourselves we should greatly trespass the bounds allotted to this department of our work. The very first page comes upon us with all the fresh and fragrant loveliness of a clear spring morning. We extract it.



“ The sun is up, and 'tis a morn of May,  
 Round old Ravenna's clear shewn towers and bay,  
 A morn the loveliest which the year has seen,  
 Last of the Spring—yet fresh with all its green,  
 For a warm eve, and gentle rains at night  
 Have left a sparkling welcome for the light.  
 And there's a crystal clearness all about,  
 The leaves are sharp, the distant hills look out.  
 A balmy briskness comes upon the breeze,  
 The smoke goes dancing from the cottage trees.  
 And when you listen, you may hear a coil  
 Of bubbling springs about the grassier soil,  
 And all the scene in short—sky, earth, and sea,  
*Breathes like a bright-eyed face that laughs out openly.*”

What beautiful description!—at once so natural and so full of poetry!  
 —so rich, yet so homely!

The description of Evening is scarcely inferior; and throughout the poem Nature appears as in her prime, playing at will her virgin fancies. The poet must have felt all the beauty he so exquisitely describes; but the human interest of the poem is its mightiest charm. We need not inform our readers that the tale develops the gradual progress and final accomplishment of a criminal passion, a mutual passion of wife and brother-in-law, under circumstances which exhibit the principal actors and sufferers in the tragedy rather as the victims of others' vices than of their own depravity. We know not how it was possible for Francesca, even had she been pure as Eve in innocence, not to have been captivated by the youthful Paulo, whom she was first taught to regard as her intended husband, and whom she no sooner saw than loved, especially when the character of the real husband is viewed in contrast with that of his brother. We are far, however, from palliating the guilt by which her unsuspecting nature was ensnared,—which was so signally punished by the natural course of events, and which, we think, affords a most impressive moral to the story—a moral the more true to Nature, and the more worthy of her, because it is not inculcated by the poet, and, as he says, was not even thought of by him. It is in this presentment of the “two brothers,” that Mr. Hunt puts forth his best powers of description and discrimination. As the interest of the tale increases, we are brought to sympathize with the heart-breaking anguish of the once innocent and happy daughter of Ravenna's lord. If there be any who doubt whether poetical justice has been inflicted upon the culprit, let them read and ponder well the following exquisite passage. It is not indeed in the Don Giovanni style of retribution; but the heart that it does not touch must be cold as marble:—

“ But she, the gentler frame,—the shaken flower,  
 Plucked up to wither in a foreign bower,—  
 The struggling, virtue-loving, fallen she,  
 The wife that was, the mother that might be,—  
 What could she do, unable thus to keep  
 Her strength alive, but sit and think and weep?  
 For ever stooping o'er her broidery frame,  
 Half blind, and longing till the night-time came;



When, worn and wearied out with the day's sorrow,  
 She might be still and senseless till the morrow.  
 And oh, the morrow, how it used to rise !  
 How would she open her despairing eyes,  
 And from the sense of the long-lingering day,  
 Rushing upon her, almost turn away,  
 Loathing the light, and groan to sleep again !  
 Then sighing, once for all, to meet the pain,  
 She would get up in haste, and try to pass  
 The time in patience, wretched as it was ;  
 Till patience' self, in her distempered sight,  
 Would seem a charm to which she had no right ;  
 And trembling at the lip, and pale with fears,  
 She shook her head, and burst into fresh tears.  
 Old comforts now were not at her command ;  
 The falcon reached in vain from off his stand ;  
 The flowers were not refreshed ; the very light,  
 The sunshine, seemed as if it shone at night :  
 The least noise smote her like a sudden wound—  
 And did she hear but the remotest sound  
 Of song or instrument about the place,  
 She hid with both her hands her streaming face.  
 But worse to her than all (and oh ! thought she,  
 That ever, ever such a worse could be !)  
 The sight of infant was, or child at play !  
 Then would she turn, and move her lips, and pray  
 That heaven would take her, if it pleased, away."

Her death must close the extract—

" Her favourite lady, then, with the old nurse  
 Returned, and fearing she must now be worse,  
 Gently withdrew the curtains, and looked in :—  
 O, who that feels one godlike spark within,  
 Shall say that earthly suffering cancels not frail sin ?  
 There lay she, praying, upwardly intent,  
 Like a fair statue on a monument ;  
 With her two trembling hands together prest,  
 Palm against palm, and pointing from her breast.  
 She ceased, and turning slowly towards the wall,  
 They saw her tremble sharply, feet and all,—  
 Then suddenly be still. Near and more near  
 They bent with pale inquiry and close ear :—  
 Her eyes were shut—no motion—not a breath—  
 The gentle sufferer was at peace in death."

The reader will perceive in these extracts how different the verse of Mr. Hunt is from that of his imitators—how fresh—how clear—how vigorous. There is this characteristic of his style which is common also to the *Tales of Dryden* ; verses, that from their homeliness and familiarity seem bad if you open the page suddenly upon them—appear well-placed and felicitous when read in connexion with the rest. The seeming want of art is in Mr. Hunt often the highest proof of it, for he, more than most poets, not only of the present day but of our English



tongue, consults the whole rather than its parts ; and is free from that passion for meretricious and fragmentary ornament which makes the generality of modern poems at once tawdry and unreadable.

If poetry be a quick perception of the beautiful, and a rich power to embody it, we know not any pages that we have lately read where it is to be met with in so glowing an abundance as in those before us. There seems to be in the poet's mind an exquisite persuasion of the better nature of mankind, and the undying harmonies of the world ;—his attachment to liberty is enthusiasm, not acerbity,—and seems rather born from his love of mankind than his hatred against their rulers. That “ wide-bosomed Love ” which Parmenides and Hesiod tell us was created before all things—before the night and the day—produces in the various world of his poetry all its shadows and its lights,—it is “ its first great cause.”

You may apply to the colouring of his genius the sweet and most musical lines with which he has described a summer's evening.

“ Warm, but not dim, a glow is in the air,  
The softened breeze comes smoothing here and there ;  
And every tree, in passing, one by one,  
Gleams out with twinkles of the golden Sun.”

In the poem of “ Hero and Leander ” we seem to recognize Dryden himself,—but Dryden with a sentiment, a delicacy, not his own. It is in the heroic metre that the mechanical art of our poet is chiefly visible. He comprehends its music entirely : he gives to it its natural and healthful vigour ; and the note of his manly rhyme rings on the ear—

“ Loud as a trumpet with a silver sound.”

His use of the triplet, if frequent, is almost always singularly felicitous. Let us take the following lines in the “ Hero and Leander ” as an example :—

“ Meantime the sun had sunk ; the hilly mark  
Across the straits mixed with the mightier dark,  
And night came on. All noises by degrees  
Were hushed,—the fisher's call, the birds, the trees ;  
*All but the washing of the eternal seas.*” } .

His power of uniting in one line simplicity and force is very remarkable, as in the following :—

“ Hero looked out, and, trembling, augured ill,  
*The Darkness held its breath so very still.*”

And in the strong homeliness of the image below,—

“ So might they now have lived, and so have died ;  
*The story's heart to me still beats against its side.*”

The volume before us contains some translations, which are not easily rivalled in the language. The tone of the original is transfused into the verse even more than the thought is ; and the poems, which, while original in themselves, emulate the Greek spirit of verse, (such as the Ephydriads,) are bathed in all the lustrous and classic beauty that cling to the most lovely and the most neglected of the Mythological creations. Nor are the domestic and household feelings less beautifully painted than the graceful and starred images of remote Antiquity.



What goes more subduingly to the heart than the author's poem to his sick child? The last stanza has something in it that belongs to that part of tenderness which borders on the sublime:—

“ Yes, still he's fixed and sleeping!  
 This silence, too, the while ;—  
 Its very hush and creeping  
 Seems *whispering us a smile* ;  
*Something divine and dim*  
*Seems going by one's ear,*  
*Like parted wings of Cherubim,*  
*Who say—‘ We've finished here!’ ”*

From the poems that enrich this volume we go back to its preface—an elaborate and skilful composition, full of beauties of expression, and opening a thousand original views into the science of Criticism. We recommend it as a work to be studied by all who write, and all who (a humbler, yet more laborious task) have to judge of verse. In Criticism, indeed, few living writers have equalled those subtle and delicate compositions which have appeared in the “ Indicator,” the “ Tatler,” and the earlier\* pages of the “ Examiner.” And, above all, none have excelled the poet now before our own critical bar in the kindly sympathies with which, in judging of others, he has softened down the asperities, and resisted the caprices, common to the exercise of power. In him the young poet has ever found a generous encourager no less than a faithful guide. None of the jealousy or the rancour ascribed to literary men, and almost natural to such literary men as the world has wronged, have gained access to his true heart, or embittered his generous sympathies. Struggling against no light misfortunes, and no common foes, he has not helped to retaliate upon rising authors the difficulty and the depreciation which have burthened his own career: he has kept, undimmed and unbroken, through all reverses, that first requisite of a good critic—a good heart.

Those who have never read Mr. Hunt's poetry, we beseech, for their own sakes, now to read it. How many false impressions, conveyed by reviewers, of its peculiar characteristics, will be dispelled by one unprejudiced perusal! To those who *have* read it, we can only hold forth our own example. Attached, when we first chanced on his poems years ago, to other models, and imbued, perhaps, by the critical canons then in vogue, we were blind to many of the peculiar beauties that now strike upon our judgment. At certain times there are certain fashions in literature that bias alike reader and reviewer; and not to be in the fashion is not to be admired. But these—the conventional and temporary laws—pass away, and leave us at last only open to the permanent laws of Nature and of Truth. The taste of one age often wrongs us, but the judgment of the next age corrects the verdict. Something in the atmosphere dulls for a day the electricity between the true poet and the universal ear; but the appeal is recognized at last!

---

\* Earlier, because Mr. Leigh Hunt has now no connexion, we believe, with the “ Examiner.” Among the few who have equalled him in critical acumen, but of a very different species, is his successor in that admirable journal.



## LIFE IN DEATH.

[The ground-work of this tale will be recognized by the reader.]

---

“ Who shall deny the mighty secrets hid  
In Time and Nature ? ”

---

“ But can you not learn where he sups ? ” asked the dying man, for at least the twentieth time ; while the servants again repeated the same monotonous answer—“ Lord, sir, we never know where our young master goes.”

“ Place a time-piece by the bed-side, and leave me.”

None was at hand ; when one of the assembled group exclaimed—  
“ Fetch that in Mr. Francis’s room.”

It was a small French clock, of exquisite workmanship, and a golden Cupid swung to and fro,—fitting emblem for the light and vain hours of its youthful proprietor, but a strange mockery beside a death-bed ! Yet the patient watched it with a strange expression of satisfaction, mingled, too, with anxiety, as the glittering hands pursued their appointed round. As the minutes passed on, an ejaculation of dismay burst from Mr. Saville’s lips : he strove to raise his left hand with a gesture of impatience ; he found it powerless too ; the palsy, which had smitten his right side, had now attacked the left. “ A thousand curses upon my evil destiny—I am lost ! ”

At this moment the time-piece struck four, and began to play one of the popular airs of that day ; while the cord on which the Cupid was balanced moved, modulated by the fairy-like music. “ He comes ! ” almost shrieked the palsied wretch, making a vain effort to rise on his pillow. As if the loss of every other sense had quickened that of hearing seven-fold, he heard the distant tramp of horses, and the ring of wheels, on the hard and frosty road. The carriage stopped ; a young man, wrapped in furs, sprang out, opened the door with his own key, and ran up the stairs, gaily singing,

“ They may rail at this earth : from the hour I began it,  
I have found it a world full of sunshine and bliss ;  
And till I can find out some happier planet,  
More social and bright, I’ll content me with this.”

“ Good God, sir, don’t sing—your father’s dying ! ” exclaimed the servant who ran to meet him. The youth was silenced in a moment ; and, pale and breathless, sprang towards the chamber. The dying man had no longer power to move a limb : the hand which his son took was useless as that of the new-born infant ; yet all the anxiety and eagerness of life was in his features.

“ I have much to say, Francis ; see that we are alone.”

“ I hope my master does not call this dying like a Christian,” muttered the housekeeper as she withdrew. “ I hope Mr. Francis will make him send for a priest, or at least a doctor. People have no right to go out of the world in any such heathen manner.”

The door slammed heavily, and father and son were left alone.

“ Reach me that casket,” said Mr. Saville, pointing to a curiously



carved Indian box of ebony. Francis obeyed the command, and resumed his kneeling position by the bed.

“By the third hand of that many-armed image of Vishnu is a spring, press it forcibly.”

The youth obeyed and the lid flew up, within was a very small glass phial containing a liquid of delicate rose colour. The white and distorted countenance of the sufferer lighted up with a wild unnatural joy.

“Oh youth, glad beautiful youth, art thou mine again, shall I once more rejoice in the smile of woman, in the light of the red wine cup, shall I delight in the dance, and in the sound of music?”

“For heaven’s sake compose yourself,” said his son, who thought that his parent was seized with sudden insanity. “In truth I am mad to waste breath so precious!—Listen to me, boy! A whole existence is contained in that little bottle; from my earliest youth I have ever felt a nameless horror of death, death yet more loathsome than terrible: you have seen me engrossed by lonely and mysterious studies, you knew not that they were devoted to perpetual struggle with the mighty conqueror—and I have succeeded. That phial contains a liquid which rubbed over my body, when the breath has left it seemingly for ever, will stop the progress of corruption, and restore all its pristine bloom and energy. Yes, Francis, I shall rise up before you like your brother. My glorious secret! how could I ever deem life wasted in the search? Sometimes when I have heard the distant chimes tell the hour of midnight, the hour of others’ revelry or rest, I have asked, is not the present too mighty a sacrifice to the future; had I not better enjoy the pleasures within my grasp? but one engrossing hope led me on; it is now fulfilled. I return to this world with the knowledge of experience, and the freshness of youth; I will not again give myself up to feverish studies and eternal experiments. I have wealth unbounded, we will spend it together, earth holds no luxury which it shall deny us.”

The dying man paused, for he observed that his son was not attending to his words, but stared as if his gaze was spell-bound by the phial which he held.

“Francis,” gasped his father.

“There is very little,” muttered the son, still eying the crimson fluid.

The dew rose in large cold drops on Saville’s forehead—with a last effort he raised his head, and looked into the face of his child—there was no hope there; cold, fixed, and cruel, the gentleness of youth seemed suddenly to have passed away, and left the stern features rigid as stone; his words died gurgling in the throat, his head sank back on the pillow, in the last agony of disappointment, despair, and death. A wild howl filled the chamber, and Francis started in terror from his knee; it was only the little black terrier which had been his father’s favourite. Hastily he concealed the casket, for he heard the hurrying steps of the domestics, and rushing past them, sought his own room, and locked the door. All were struck by his altered and ghastly looks.

“Poor child,” said the housekeeper, “I do not wonder he takes his father’s death so to heart, for the old man doated on the very ground he trod upon. Now the holy saints have mercy upon us,” exclaimed she, making the sign of the cross, as she caught sight of the horrible and distorted face of the deceased.

Francis passed the three following days in the alternate stupor and



excitement of one to whom crime is new, and who is nevertheless resolved on its commission. On the evening of the fourth he heard a noise in the room where the corpse lay, and again the dog began his loud and doleful howl. He entered the apartment, and the two first men he saw were strangers, dressed in black with faces of set solemnity; they were the undertakers, while a third in a canvass apron, and square paper cap, was beginning to screw down the coffin, and while so doing was carelessly telling them how a grocer's shop, his next-door neighbour's, had been entered during the night, and the till robbed.

"You will leave the coffin unscrewed till to-morrow," said the heir. The man bowed, asked the usual English question which suits all occasions, of "Something to drink, sir?" and then left young Saville to his meditations. Strange images of death and pleasures mingled together; now it was a glorious banquet, now the gloomy silence of a church-yard; now bright and beautiful faces seemed to fill the air, then by a sudden transition they became the cadaverous relics of the charnel-house. Some clock in the neighbourhood struck the hour, it was too faint for Francis to hear it distinctly, but it roused him; he turned towards the little time-piece, there the golden cupid sat motionless, the hands stood still, it had not been wound up; the deep silence around told how late it was; the fire was burning dead, the candles were dark with their large unsnuffed wicks, and strange shadows, gigantic in their proportions, flitted round the room.

"Fool that I am to be thus haunted by a vain phantasy. My father studied overmuch; his last words might be but the insane ravings of a mind overwrought. I will know the truth."

Again his youthful features hardened into the gladiatorial expression of one grown old in crime and cruelty. Forth he went and returned with the Indian casket; he drew a table towards the coffin, placed two candles upon it, and raised the lid: he started, some one touched him; it was only the little black terrier licking his hand, and gazing up in his face with a look almost human in its affectionate earnestness. Francis put back the shroud, and then turned hastily away, sick and faint at the ghastly sight. The work of corruption had begun, and the yellow and livid streaks awoke even more disgust than horror. But an evil purpose is ever strong; he carefully opened the phial, and with a steady hand, let one drop fall on the eye of the corpse. He closed the bottle, replaced it in the casket, and then, but not till then, looked for its effect. The eye, large, melancholy, and of that deep violet blue, which only belongs to early childhood, as if it were too pure and too heavenly for duration on earth, had opened, and full of life and beauty was gazing tenderly upon him. A delicious perfume filled the air; ah, the old man was right! Others had sought the secret of life in the grave, and the charnel-house; he had sought it amid the warm and genial influences of nature; he had watched the invigorating sap bringing back freshness to the forest tree; he had marked the subtile spring wakening the dead root and flower into bloom—the essence of a thousand existences was in that fragile crystal. The eye now turned anxiously towards the casket, then with a mute eloquence towards the son; it gazed upon him so piteously, he saw himself mirrored in the large clear pupil; it seemed to implore, to persuade, and at last, the long soft lash glistened, and tears, warm bright tears, rolled down the livid cheek. Francis sat and watched with a cruel satis-



faction; a terrible expression of rage kindled the eye like fire, then it dilated with horror, and then glared terribly with despair. Francis shrank from the fixed and stony gaze. But his very terror was selfish.

"It must not witness against me," rushed into his mind. He seized a fold of the grave clothes, crushed the eye in the socket, and closed the lid of the coffin. A yell of agony rose upon the silent night. Francis was about to smite the howling dog, when he saw that it lay dead at his feet. He hurried with his precious casket from the chamber, which he never entered again.—Years have passed away, and the once gay and handsome Francis Saville is a grey and decrepit man, bowed by premature old age, and with a constitution broken by excess. But the shrewd man has been careful in his calculations; he knew how selfish early indulgence and worldly knowledge had made himself, and he had resolved that so his children should not be corrupted: he had two, a boy and a girl, who had been brought up in the strictest ignorance and seclusion, and in the severest practices of the Catholic faith. He well knew that fear is a stronger bond than love, and his children trembled in the presence of the father, whom their mother's latest words had yet enjoined them to cherish. Still the feeling of dutiful affection is strong in the youthful heart, though Mr. Saville resolved not to tempt it, by one hint of his precious secret.

"I cannot bear to look in the glass," exclaimed Mr. Saville, as he turned away from his own image in a large mirror opposite; "why should I bear about this weight of years and deformity? My plan is all matured, and never will its execution be certain as now. Walter must soon lose his present insecure and devout simplicity, and on them only can I rely. Yes, this very night will I fling off the slough of years, and awake to youth, warm, glad, and buoyant youth."

Mr. Saville now rang the bell for his attendants to assist him to bed.

When comfortably settled, his children came as usual to wish him good night, and kneel for his blessing; he received them with the most touching tenderness. "I feel," said he, "unusually ill to-night. I would fain, Edith, speak with your brother alone."

Edith kissed her father's hand, and withdrew.

"You were at confession to-day when I sent for you," continued the invalid, addressing the youth, who leant anxiously by his pillow. "Ah, my beloved child, what a blessed thing it is to be early trained to the paths of salvation. Alas! at your age I was neglected and ignorant; but for that, many things which now press heavily on my conscience had, I trust, never been. It was not till after my marriage with that blessed saint your mother that my conscience was awakened. I made a pilgrimage to Rome, and received from the hands of our holy Father the Pope, a precious oil, distilled from the wood of the true cross, which, rubbed over my body as soon as the breath of life be departed, will purify my mortal remains from sin, and the faith in which I die will save my soul from purgatory. May I rely upon the dutiful obedience of my child to the last wishes of his parent?"

"Oh, my father!" sobbed the youth.

"Extinguish the lights, for it is not fitting that humanity should watch the mysteries of faith; and, by your own hope of salvation, anoint the body the moment life is fled. It is contained in this casket,"



pointing to the little ebony box; "and thus you undo the spring. Leave me now, my child. I have need of rest and meditation."

The youth obeyed; when, as he was about to close the door, he heard the voice of Mr. Saville, "Remember, Walter; my blessing or my curse will follow you through life, according as you obey my last words. My blessing or my curse!"

The moment he left the room Mr. Saville unfastened the casket, and from another drawer took a bottle of laudanum: he poured its contents into the negus on his table, and drank the draught!—The midnight was scarce passed when the nurse, surprised at the unwonted quiet of her usually querulous and impetuous patient, approached and undrew the curtain: her master was dead! The house was immediately alarmed. Walter and his sister were still sitting up in the small oratory which had been their mother's, and both hastened to the chamber of death. Ignorance has its blessing; what a world of corruption and distrust would have entered those youthful hearts, could they have known the worthlessness of the parent they mourned with such innocent and endearing sorrow.

Walter was the first to check his tears. "I have, as you know, Edith, a sacred duty to perform; leave me for awhile alone, and we will afterwards spend the night in prayer for our father's soul."

The girl left the room, and her brother proceeded with his task. He opened the casket and took out the phial; the candles were then extinguished, and, first telling the beads of his rosary, he approached the bed. The night was dark, and the shrill wind moaned like a human being in some great agony, but the pious son felt no horror as he raised the body in his arms to perform his holy office. An exquisite odour exhaled from the oil, which he began to rub lightly and carefully over the head. Suddenly he started, the phial fell from his hand and was dashed to atoms on the floor.

"His face is warm—I feel his breath! Edith, dear Edith! come here. The nurse was wrong: my father lives!"

His sister ran from the adjacent room, where she had been kneeling before an image of the Madonna in earnest supplication, with a small taper in her hand: both stood motionless from terror as the light fell on the corpse. There were the contracted and emaciated hands laid still and rigid on the counterpane; the throat, stretched and bare, was meagre and withered; but the head was that of a handsome youth, full of freshness and life. The rich chestnut curls hung in golden waves on the white forehead, a bright colour was on the cheek, and the fresh, red lips were like those of a child; the large hazel eyes were open, and looked from one to the other, but the expression was that of a fiend,—rage, hate, and despair mingling together, like the horrible beauty given to the head of Medusa. The children fled from the room, only, however, to return with the priest, who deemed that sudden sorrow had unsettled their reason. His own eyes convinced him of the truth: there was the living head on the dead body!

The beautiful face became convulsed with passion, froth stood upon the lips, and the small white teeth were gnashed in impotent rage.

"This is, surely, some evil spirit," and the trembling priest proceeded with the form of exorcism, but in vain.



Walter then, with a faltering voice, narrated his last interview with his father.

“The sinner,” said the old chaplain, “is taken in his own snare. This is assuredly the judgment of God.”

All night did the three pray beside that fearful bed: at length the morning light of a glad day in June fell on the head. It now looked pale and exhausted, and the lips were wan. Ever and anon, it was distorted by sudden spasms,—youth and health were maintaining a terrible struggle with hunger and pain. The weather was sultry, and the body showed livid spots of decomposition; the beautiful head was still alive, but the damps stood on the forehead, and the cheeks were sunken. Three days and three nights did that brother and sister maintain their ghastly watch. The head was evidently dying. Twice the eyes opened with a wild and strong glare; the third time they closed for ever. Pale, beautiful, but convulsed, the youthful head and the aged body,—the one but just cold, the other far gone in corruption,—were laid in the coffin together!

---

TO AN INCONSTANT.

I LOVE thee not as once I did!  
Thy bloom of beauty is not gone;  
The same soft languor droops the lid  
Of eyes too sweet to look upon;  
The pearly light, that loved to play  
Amid the darkness of thine hair,  
Still loves with lustrous change to stray  
And sparkle radiantly there;—  
And yet, my love is lessen'd so,  
I love thee not as I could do!

There is not less of angel grace  
In every aspect of thy form;  
The smiling sunshine in thy face  
Might still make wintry deserts warm;  
Thy honied words,—no music lives  
Is sweet enough thy voice to wed,—  
The eager ear its sound receives,  
And loves the tone, whate'er is said;—  
And yet, my love is lessen'd so,  
I love thee not as I could do!

And must I tell the reason why,  
And shade the brow where shines my day?  
Thy heart is mine while I am by,  
Another's if an hour away!  
Thy beauty's constant, but thy mind,  
Oh nothing is so prone to change;—  
The eagle's wing—the wandering wind  
Have not so wide and wild a range!—  
This—this my love has lessen'd so,  
That I love not as I could do!



## JOURNAL OF CONVERSATIONS WITH LORD BYRON.

BY LADY BLESSINGTON. NO. VII.\*.

“ I NEVER spent an hour with Moore (said Byron) without being ready to apply to him the expression attributed to Aristophanes, ‘ You have spoken roses ;’ his thoughts and expressions have all the beauty and freshness of those flowers, but the piquancy of his wit, and the readiness of his repartees, prevent one’s ear being cloyed by too much sweets, and one cannot ‘ die of a rose in aromatic pain ’ with Moore, though he does speak roses, there is such an endless variety in his conversation. Moore is the only poet I know (continued Byron) whose conversation equals his writings ; he comes into society with a mind as fresh and buoyant as if he had not expended such a multiplicity of thoughts on paper ; and leaves behind him an impression that he possesses an inexhaustible mine equally brilliant as the specimens he has given us. Will you, after this frank confession of my opinion of your countryman, ever accuse me of injustice again ? You see I can render justice when I am not forced into its opposite extreme by hearing people overpraised, which always awakes the sleeping Devil in my nature, as witness the desperate attack I gave your friend Lord —— the other day, merely because you all wanted to make me believe he was a model, which he is not ; though I admit he is not *all* or *half* that which I accused him of being. Had you dispraised, probably I should have defended him.”

“ I will give you some stanzas I wrote yesterday (said Byron) ; they are as simple as even Wordsworth himself could write, and would do for music.”

The following are the lines :—

TO ———.

“ But once I dared to lift my eyes—  
 To lift my eyes to thee ;  
 And since that day, beneath the skies,  
 No other sight they see.  
 In vain sleep shuts them in the night—  
 The night grows day to me ;  
 Presenting idly to my sight  
 What still a dream must be.  
 A fatal dream—for many a bar  
 Divides thy fate from mine ;  
 And still my passions wake and war,  
 But peace be still with thine.”

“ No one writes songs like Moore (said Byron). Sentiment and imagination are joined to the most harmonious versification, and I know



no greater treat than to hear him sing his own compositions; the powerful expression he gives to them, and the pathos of the tones of his voice, tend to produce an effect on my feelings that no other songs, or singer, ever could. — — — used to write pretty songs, and certainly has talent, but I maintain there is more poesy in her prose, at least more fiction, than is to be met with in a folio of poetry. You look shocked at what you think my ingratitude towards her, but if you knew half the cause I have to dislike her, you would not condemn me. You shall however know some parts of that serio-comic drama, in which I was forced to play a part; and, if you listen with candour, you must allow I was more sinned against than sinning."

The curious history that followed this preface is not intended for the public eye, as it contains anecdotes and statements that are calculated to give pain to several individuals, the same feeling that dictates the suppression of this most curious episode in Byron's London life, has led to the suppression of many other piquant and amusing disclosures made by him, as well as some of the most severe poetical portraits that ever were drawn of some of his supposed friends, and many of his acquaintances. The vigour with which they are sketched proves that he entered into every fold of the characters of the originals, and that he painted them *con amore*, but he could not be accused of being a flattering portrait painter.

The disclosures made by Byron could never be considered *confidential*, because they were always at the service of the first listener who fell in his way, and who happened to know anything of the parties he talked of. They were not confided with any injunction to secrecy, but were indiscriminately made to his chance companions,—nay, he often declared his decided intention of writing copious notes to the Life he had given to his friend Moore, in which *the whole truth* should be declared of, for, and against, himself and others.

Talking of this gift to Mr. Moore, he asked me if it had made a great sensation in London, and whether people were not greatly alarmed at the thoughts of being shown up in it? He seemed much pleased in anticipating the panic it would occasion, naming all the persons who would be most alarmed.

I told him that he had rendered the most essential service to the cause of morality by his confessions, as a dread of similar disclosures would operate more in putting people on their guard in reposing dangerous confidence in men, than all the homilies that ever were written; and that people would in future be warned by the phrase of "beware of being *Byroned*," instead of the old cautions used in past times. "This (continued I) is a sad antithesis to your motto of *Crede Byron*." He appeared vexed at my observations, and it struck me that he seemed uneasy and out of humour for the next half-hour of our ride. I told him that his gift to Moore had suggested to me the following lines:—



“The ancients were famed for their friendship, we’re told,  
 Witness Damon and Pythias, and others of old;  
 But, Byron, ’twas thine friendship’s power to extend,  
 Who surrender’d thy life for the sake of thy friend.”

He laughed heartily at the lines, and, in laughing at them, recovered his good-humour.

“I have never,” said Byron, “succeeded to my satisfaction in an epigram; my attempts have not been happy, and knowing Greek as I do, and admiring the Greek epigrams, which excel all others, it is mortifying that I have not succeeded better: but I begin to think that epigrams demand a peculiar talent, and that talent I decidedly have not. One of the best in the English language is that of Rogers on ——; it has the true Greek talent of expressing by implication what is wished to be conveyed.

‘——— has no heart they say, but I deny it;  
 He has a heart—he gets his speeches by it.’

This is the *ne plus ultra* of English epigrams.” I told Byron that I had copied Rogers’s thought, in two lines on an acquaintance of mine, as follows:—

“The charming Mary has no mind they say;  
 I prove she has—it changes every day.”

This amused him, and he repeated several epigrams, very clever, but which are too severe to be given in these pages. The epigrams of Byron are certainly not equal to his other poetry, they are merely clever, and such as any person of talent might have written, but who except him, in our day, could have written Childe Harold? No one—for admitting that the same talent exists, (which I am by no means prepared to admit) the possessor must have experienced the same destiny, to have brought it to the same perfection. The reverses that nature and circumstances entailed on Byron, served but to give a higher polish and a finer temper to his genius. Circumstances, in marring the perfectibility of the man, had perfected the poet, and this must have been evident to all who approached him, though it had escaped his own observation. Had the choice been left him, I am quite sure, he would not have hesitated a moment in choosing between the renown of the poet, and the happiness of the man, even at the price of happiness, as he lived much more in the future, than in the present, as do all persons of genius. As it was, he felt dissatisfied with his position, without feeling that it was the whetstone that sharpened his powers; for with all his affected philosophy, he was a philosopher but in theory, and never reduced it to practice. One of the strangest anomalies in Byron, was the exquisite taste displayed in his descriptive poetry, and the total want of it that was so visible in his modes of life. Fine scenery seemed to produce little effect on his feelings, though his descriptions are so glowing, and the elegancies and comforts of refined life he appeared to as little understand as value. This last did



not arise from a contempt of them, as might be imagined, but from an ignorance of what constituted them; I have seen him apparently delighted with the luxurious inventions in furniture, equipages, plate, &c. common to all persons of a certain station or fortune, and yet after an inquiry as to their prices, an inquiry so seldom made by persons of his rank, shrink back alarmed at the thought of the expense, though there was nothing alarming in it, and congratulate himself that he had no such luxuries, or did not require them. I should say that a bad and vulgar taste predominated in all Byron's equipments, whether in dress or in furniture. I saw his bed at Genoa, when I passed through in 1826, and it certainly was the most gaudily vulgar thing I ever saw; the curtains in the worst taste, and the cornice having his family motto of "Crede Byron" surmounted by baronial coronets. His carriages and his liveries were in the same bad taste, having an affectation of finery, but *mesquin* in the details, and tawdry in the *ensemble*; and it was evident that he piqued himself on them, by the complacency with which they were referred to. These trifles are touched upon, as being characteristic of the man, and would have been passed by, as unworthy of notice, had he not shown that they occupied a considerable portion of his attention. He has even asked us if they were not rich and handsome, and then remarked that no wonder they were so, as they cost him a great deal of money. At such moments it was difficult to remember that one was speaking to the author of *Childe Harold*. If the poet was often forgotten in the levities of the man, the next moment some original observation, cutting repartee, or fanciful simile, reminded one that he who could be ordinary in trifles, (the only points of assimilation between him and the common herd of men,) was only ordinary when he descended to their level; but when once on subjects worthy his attention, the great poet shone forth, and they who had felt self-complacency at noting the futilities that had lessened the distance between him and them, were forced to see the immeasurable space which separated them, when he allowed his genius to be seen. It is only Byron's pre-eminence as a poet, that can give interest to such details as the writer has entered into; if they are written without partiality, they are also given in no unfriendly spirit, but his defects are noted with the same feeling with which an astronomer would remark the specks that are visible even in the brightest stars, and which having examined more minutely than common observers, he wishes to give the advantages of his discoveries, though the specks he describes have not made him overlook the brightness of the luminaries they sullied, but could not obscure.

"You know ——— of course, (said Byron,) every one does. I hope you don't like him; water and oil are not more antipathetic than he and I are to each other; I admit that his abilities are great, they are of the very first order, but he has that which almost always accompanies great talents, and generally proves a counterbalance to them. An overween-



ing ambition, which renders him not over nice about the means, as long as he attains the end; and this facility will prevent his ever being a truly great man, though it may abridge his road to what is considered greatness—official dignity. You shall see some verses in which I have not spared him, and yet I have only said what I believe to be strictly correct. Poets are said to succeed best in fiction, but this I deny; at least I always write best when truth inspires me, and my satires, which are founded on truth, have more spirit than all my other productions, for they were written *con amore*. My intimacy with the —— family (continued Byron) let me into many of ——'s secrets, and they did not raise him in my estimation.

“ One of the few persons in London, whose society served to correct my predisposition to misanthropy, was Lord Holland. There is more benignity, and a greater share of the milk of human kindness in his nature than in that of any man I know, always excepting Lord B——. Then there is such a charm in his manners, his mind is so highly cultivated, his conversation so agreeable, and his temper so equal and bland, that he never fails to send away his guests content with themselves and delighted with him. I never (continued Byron) heard a difference of opinion about Lord Holland; and I am sure no one could know him without liking him. Lord Erskine, in talking to me of Lord Holland, observed, that it was his extreme good-nature alone that prevented his taking as high a political position as his talents entitled him to fill. This quality (continued Byron) will never prevent ——'s rising in the world; so that his talents will have a fair chance.

“ It is difficult (said Byron) when one detests an author not to detest his works. There are some that I dislike so cordially, that I am aware of my incompetency to give an impartial opinion of their writings. Southey, *par exemple*, is one of these. When travelling in Italy, he was reported to me as having circulated some reports much to my disadvantage, and still more to that of two ladies of my acquaintance; all of which, through the kind medium of some good-natured friends, were brought to my ears; and I have vowed eternal vengeance against him, and all who uphold him; which vengeance has been poured forth, in phials of wrath, in the shape of epigrams and lampoons, some of which you shall see. When any one attacks me, on the spur of the moment I sit down and write all the *mechanceté* that comes into my head; and, as some of these sallies have merit, they amuse me, and are too good to be torn or burned, and so are kept, and see the light long after the feeling that dictated them has subsided. All my malice evaporates in the effusions of my pen; but I dare say those that excite it would prefer any other mode of vengeance. At Pisa, a friend told me that Walter Savage Landor had declared he either would not, or could not, read my works. I asked my officious friend if he was sure which it was that Landor said, as the *would not* was not offensive, and the *could not*



was highly so. After some reflection, he, of course *en ami*, chose the most disagreeable signification; and I marked down Landor in the tablet of memory as a person to whom a *coup-de-pat* must be given in my forthcoming work, though he really is a man whose brilliant talents and profound erudition I cannot help admiring as much as I respect his character, various proofs of the generosity, manliness, and independence of which has reached me; so you see I can render justice (*en petit comité*) even to a man who says he could not read my works; this, at least, shows some good feeling, if the *petit* vengeance of attacking him in my work cannot be defended; but my attacking proves the truth of the observation made by a French writer,—that we don't like people for the merit we discover in them, but for that which they find in us."

When Byron was one day abusing —— most vehemently, we accused him of undue severity; and he replied, he was only deterred from treating him much more severely by the fear of being indicted under the Act of Cruelty to Animals!

"I am quite sure (said Byron) that many of our worst actions and our worst thoughts are caused by friends. An enemy can never do as much injury, or cause as much pain: if he speaks ill of one, it is set down as an exaggeration of malice, and therefore does little harm, and he has no opportunity of telling one any of the disagreeable things that are said in one's absence; but a friend has such an amiable candour in admitting the faults least known, and often unsuspected, and of denying or defending with *acharnement* those that can neither be denied nor defended, that he is sure to do one mischief. Then he thinks himself bound to retail and detail every disagreeable remark or story he hears, and generally under the injunction of secrecy; so that one is tormented without the power of bringing the slanderer to account, unless by a breach of confidence. I am always tempted to exclaim, with Socrates, 'My friends! there are no friends!' when I hear and see the advantages of friendship. It is odd (continued Byron) that people do not seem aware that the person who repeats to a friend an offensive observation, uttered when he was absent, without any idea that he was likely to hear it, is much more blameable than the person who originally said it; of course I except a friend who hears a charge brought against one's honour, and who comes and openly states what he has heard, that it may be refuted: but this friends seldom do; for, as that Queen of Egotists, La Marquise du Deffand, truly observed—'Ceux qu'on nomme amis sont ceux par qui on n'a pas à craindre d'être assassiné, mais qui laisseroient faire les assassins.' Friends are like diamonds: all wish to possess them; but few can or will pay their price; and there never was more wisdom embodied in a phrase than in that which says—'Defend me from my friends, and I will defend myself from my enemies.'"

Talking of poetry, (Byron said) that "next to the affected simplicity of the Lake School, he disliked prettinesses, or what are called



flowers of poetry ; they are only admissible in the poetry of ladies, (said he,) which should always have a sprinkling of dew-gemmed leaves and flowers of rainbow hues, with tuneful birds and gorgeous butterflies." Here he laughed like a child, and added, " I suppose you would never forgive me if I finished the sentence, sweet emblems of fair woman's looks and mind." Having joined in the laugh, which was irresistible from the mock heroic air he assumed, I asked him how he could prove any resemblance between tuneful birds, gorgeous butterflies, and woman's face or mind. He immediately replied, " have I not printed a certain line, in which I say, the music breathing from her face, and do not all, even philosophers, assert, that there is harmony in beauty, nay that there is no beauty without it ? Now tuneful birds are musical ; *ergo*, that simile holds good as far as the face, and the butterfly must stand for the mind, brilliant, light and wandering. I say nothing of its being the emblem of the soul, because I have not quite made up my mind, that women have souls ; but, in short, flowers and all that is fragile and beautiful must remind one of women. So do not be offended with my comparison.

" But to return to the subject, (continued Byron) you do not, cannot like what are called flowers in poetry. I try to avoid them as much as possible in mine, and I hope you think that I have succeeded." I answered that he had given oaks to Parnassus instead of flowers, and while disclaiming the compliment it seemed to gratify him.

" A successful work (said Byron) makes a man a wretch for life : it engenders in him a thirst for notoriety and praise, that precludes the possibility of repose ; this spurs him on to attempt others, which are always expected to be superior to the first ; hence arise disappointment, as expectation being too much excited is rarely gratified, and in the present day, one failure is placed as a counterbalance to fifty successful efforts. Voltaire was right (continued Byron) when he said that the fate of a literary man resembled that of the flying fish ; if he dives in the water the fish devour him, and if he rises in the air he is attacked by the birds. Voltaire (continued Byron) had personal experience of the persecution a successful author must undergo ; but *malgré* all this, he continued to keep alive the sensation he had excited in the literary world, and while at Ferney, thought only of astonishing Paris. Montesquieu has said ' that *moins on pense plus on parle.*' Voltaire was a proof, indeed I have known many (said Byron), of the falseness of this observation, for who ever wrote or talked as much as Voltaire ? But Montesquieu, when he wrote his remark, thought not of literary men ; he was thinking of the *bavards* of society, who certainly think less and talk more than all others. I was once very much amused (said Byron) by overhearing the conversation of two country ladies, in company with a celebrated author, who happened to be that evening very taciturn : one remarked to the other, how strange it was that a person



reckoned so clever, should be so silent; and the other answered, Oh! he has nothing left to say, he has sold all his thoughts to his publishers. This you will allow was a philosophical way of explaining the silence of an author.

“ One of the things that most annoyed me in London (said Byron) was the being continually asked to give my opinion on the works of contemporaries. I got out of the difficulty as well as I could, by some equivocal answer that might be taken in two ways; but even this prudence did not save me, and I have been accused of envy and jealousy of authors, of whose works, God knows, I was far from being envious. I have also been suspected of jealousy towards ancient as well as modern writers; but Pope, whose poems I really envy, and whose works I admire, perhaps more than any living or dead English writer, they have never found out that I was jealous of, nay, probably, as I always praise him, they suppose I do not seriously admire him, as insincerity on all points is universally attributed to me.

“ I have often thought of writing a book to be filled with all the charges brought against me in England (said Byron); it would make an interesting folio, with my notes, and might serve posterity as a proof of the charity, good-nature, and candour of Christian England in the nineteenth century. Our laws are bound to think a man innocent until he is proved to be guilty; but our English society condemn him before trial, which is a summary proceeding that saves trouble.

“ However, I must say, (continued Byron,) that it is only those to whom any superiority is accorded that are prejudged or treated with undue severity in London, for mediocrity meets with the utmost indulgence, on the principle of sympathy, ‘ a fellow-feeling makes them wondrous kind.’ The moment my wife left me, I was assailed by all the falsehoods that malice could invent or slander publish; how many wives have since left their husbands, and husbands their wives, without either of the parties being blackened by defamation, the public having the sense to perceive that a husband and wife’s living together or separate can only concern the parties, or their immediate families; but in *my case*, no sooner did Lady Byron take herself off than my character went off, or rather was carried off, not by force of arms, but by force of tongues and pens too; and there was no crime too dark to be attributed to me by the moral English, to account for so very common an occurrence as a separation in high life. I was thought a devil, because Lady Byron was allowed to be an angel; and that it formed a pretty antithesis, *mais hélas!* there are neither angels nor devils on earth, though some of one’s acquaintance might tempt one into the belief of the existence of the latter. After twenty, it is difficult to believe in that of the former, though the *first* and *last* object of one’s affection have some of its attributes. Imagination (said Byron) resembles hope—when unclouded, it gilds all that it touches with its own bright hue; mine



makes me see beauty wherever youth and health have impressed their stamp; and after all I am not very far from the goddess, when I am with her handmaids, for such they certainly are. Sentimentalists may despise ‘buxom health, with rosy hue,’ which has something dairy-maid like, I confess, in the sound, (continued he)—for buxom, however one may like the reality, is not euphonious, but I have the association of plumpness, rosy hue, good spirits, and good humour, all brought before me in the homely phrase; and all these united give me a better idea of beauty than lanky languor, sicklied o’er with the pale cast of thought, and bad health, and bad humour, which are synonymous, making to-morrow cheerless as to-day. Then see some of our fine ladies, whose nerves are more active than their brains, who talk sentiment, and ask you to ‘administer to a mind diseased, and pluck from the memory a rooted sorrow,’ when it is the body that is diseased, and the rooted sorrow is some chronic malady; these, I own (continued Byron), alarm me, and a delicate woman, however prettily it may sound, harrows up my feelings with a host of shadowy ills to come, of vapours, hysterics, nerves, megrims, intermitting fevers, and all the ills that wait upon poor *weak* women, who, when sickly, are generally weak in more senses than one. The best dower a woman can bring is health and good humour; the latter, whatever we may say of the triumphs of mind, depends on the former, as, according to the old poem—

‘Temper ever waits on health,  
As luxury depends on wealth.’

But mind (said Byron) when I object to delicate women, that is to say, to women of delicate health, *alias* sickly, I don’t mean to say that I like coarse, fat ladies, *à la Rubens*, whose minds must be impenetrable, from the mass of matter in which they are incased. No! I like an active and healthy mind, in an active and healthy person, each extending its beneficial influence over the other, and maintaining their equilibrium, the body illumined by the light within, but that light not let out by any ‘chinks made by time;’ in short, I like, as who does not, (continued Byron,) a handsome healthy woman, with an intelligent and intelligible mind, who can do something more than what is said a French woman can only do, *habille, babille, and dishabille*, who is not obliged to have recourse to dress, shopping and visits, to get through a day, and soirées, operas, and flirting to pass an evening. You see, I am moderate in my desires; I only wish for perfection.

“There was a time (said Byron) when fame appeared the most desirable of all acquisitions to me; it was my ‘being’s end and aim,’ but now—how worthless does it appear. Alas! how true are the lines—

‘La Nominanza è color d’erba,  
Che viene e va; e quei la discolora  
Per cui vien fuori della terra acerba.’



And dearly is fame bought, as all have found who have acquired even a small portion of it,—

‘ Che seggendo in piuma  
In Fama non si vien, ne sotto coltre.’

No! with sleepless nights, excited nerves, and morbid feelings, is fame purchased, and envy, hatred, and jealousy follow the luckless possessor.

‘ O ciechi, il tanto affaticar che giova ?  
Tutti tornate alla gran madre antica,  
E il vostro nome appena si ritrova.’

Nay, how often has a tomb been denied to those whose names have immortalized their country, or else granted when shame compelled the tardy justice. Yet, after all, fame is but like all other pursuits, ending in disappointment—its worthlessness only discovered when attained, and

‘ Senza la qual chi sua vita consuma  
Cotal vestigio in terra di se lascia  
Qual fummo in aere, ed in acqua la schiuma.’

“ People complain of the brevity of life, (said Byron), should they not rather complain of its length, as its enjoyments cease long before the halfway-house of life is passed, unless one has the luck to die young, ere the illusions that render existence supportable have faded away, and are replaced by experience, that dull monitress, that ever comes too late? While youth steers the bark of life, and passion impels her on, experience keeps aloof; but when youth and passion are fled, and that we no longer require her aid, she comes to reproach us with the past, to disgust us with the present, and to alarm us with the future.

“ We buy wisdom with happiness, and who would purchase it at such a price? to be happy, we must forget the past, and think not of the future, and who that has a soul, or mind, can do this? No one (continued Byron), and this proves, that those who have either, know no happiness on this earth. Memory precludes happiness, whatever Rogers may say or write to the contrary, for it borrows from the past, to imbitter the present, bringing back to us all the grief that has most wounded, or the happiness that has most charmed us; the first leaving its sting, and of the second,—

‘ Nessun maggior dolore,  
Che ricordarsi del tempo felice,  
Nulla miseria.’

Let us look back (continued Byron) to those days of grief, the recollection of which now pains us, and we shall find that time has only cicatrized, but not effaced the scars; and if we reflect on the happiness, that seen through the vista of the past seems now so bright, memory will tell us that, at the actual time referred to, we were far from thinking so highly of it, nay, that at that very period, we were obliged to draw drafts on the future, to support the then present, though now, that epoch, tinged by the rays of memory, seems so brilliant, and renders



the present more sombre by contrast. We are so constituted (said Byron) that we know not the value of our possessions until we have lost them. Let us think of the friends that death has snatched from us, whose loss has left aching voids in the heart never again to be filled up; and memory will tell us that we prized not their presence, while we were blessed with it, though, could the grave give them back, now that we had learnt to estimate their value, all else could be borne, and we believe (because it is impossible) that happiness might once more be ours. We should live with our friends, (said Byron,) not as the worldly-minded philosopher says, as though they may one day become our enemies, but as though we may one day lose them; and this maxim, strictly followed, will not only render our lives happier while together, but will save the survivors from those bitter pangs that memory conjures up, of slights and unkindnesses offered to those we have lost, when too late for atonement, and arms remorse with double force because it is too late." It was in such conversations that Byron was seen in his natural character; the feeling, the tenderness of his nature shone forth at such moments, and his natural character, like the diamond when breathed upon, though dimmed for a time, soon recovered its purity, and showed its original lustre, perhaps the more for having been for a moment obscured.

---

#### BANKING IN ENGLAND.

\*.\* We cannot pledge ourselves to a concurrence with the opinions expressed in the following article upon a subject that seems to us beset with numerous difficulties, and demanding the maturest deliberation; but the great knowledge of our correspondent on the question, and the great talent evinced in his remarks, cause us not only to insert his communication, but to recommend it to the especial notice of our readers.—EDITOR.

EVERY trade was called a "mystery" in the days of Elizabeth. The diffusion of correct information in modern times has removed the veil from most of the occupations by which men seek a livelihood or court the sunshine of fame. The experiments of the laboratory are no longer looked upon as magical operations. Every boy knows, or may easily become acquainted with, the process by which the steam-boat is urged on the waves against wind and tide, and the heaviest carriages are impelled along the rail-road with the speed of the arrow. Mr. Babbage has disclosed to the world the secrets of the factory and the printing-house, the foundery and the mine. But there is one very obvious subject which he has not touched—the production of money. We are most of us in the habit of frequently receiving and paying away coin and notes, either of the Bank of England, or of some private establishment; yet it may be affirmed with truth, that we are all, with few exceptions, ignorant of the elements of the currency, of which coin and notes constitute the principal ingredients. The trade which supplies those instruments of general circulation is to us still a "mystery;" money may



be said to be so far like the wind, since no one knoweth whence it comes, or whither it goes.

The ignorance that prevails upon this subject is not, however, to be wondered at, when we consider that it has been hitherto treated by writers whose sources of information were of necessity extremely imperfect. The real influence, for example, of a new issue of notes by the Bank of England upon a mass of mixed currency already in operation, can only be judged of by the results of a variety of minute inquiries regulated by the strictest conformity with facts and dates. If the commercial transactions of the country be, at the period of that issue, rapidly augmenting, it will be as rapidly absorbed without leaving any decided trace behind it. But if the enlarged issue be coincident with a marked decline in trade, it will probably be felt injuriously throughout many branches of industry. In order to ascertain the precise extent of the evil, the state of the markets must be known up to the moment preceding the issue, and then it must be seen whether such issue was voluntary on the part of the bank, or rendered inevitable by the demands of the public. The exchanges, and the state of the bullion and deposits in the hands of the bank, are thus necessarily introduced into the investigation, before a proper decision can be made; and it may happen that an enlarged issue of bank notes which injures one class of the community shall be beneficial to another. Such an inquiry as this shows the great extent and variety of detail, over which an author treating of the currency must travel, before he can arrive at sound conclusions upon most of the questions which must pass under his review.

No man, perhaps, in this country, has thought more profoundly upon every topic connected with the currency than Mr. Thomas Tooke. It may be said to have occupied almost all the leisure hours of his long and honourable mercantile life. His early treatises upon it were received as authorities until he himself discovered in them numerous inaccuracies, which arose, almost wholly, from his having been imperfectly informed as to *dates*. In his evidence given last session before the Committee on the Bank Charter\*, he confesses his mistakes with a frankness that does him great credit. Mr. Mushet's tracts upon the currency have also been received with implicit confidence for several years. But Mr. Tooke demonstrates† that, however conversant Mr. Mushet may have been with the amount of the bank circulation at different periods, he "absolutely knew nothing at all of the commercial circumstances" by which that circulation was occasionally contracted or enlarged.

It was not, in fact, until the Report of the Committee on the Bank Charter was published, that we possessed an authentic collection of data with reference to the subject of the currency, as well as the peculiar trade by which it is chiefly supplied. The volume in which that report, and the minutes of evidence appended to it are contained, is one of the most valuable publications that ever emanated from a committee of either House of Parliament. Abilities of the most distinguished order are displayed throughout every page of it, as well by those members who undertook the examinations, as by the witnesses who answered them. The reader has the benefit of sifting a great variety of opinions upon every topic connected with the circulation, and of comparing them with

---

\* Bank Report, 3332.

† B. R. 3840-43, *et seq.*



a series of accounts printed in the appendix, in which the real condition of the Bank of England is now, for the first time since its establishment, unreservedly disclosed. Several country bankers, and directors of joint-stock banking companies, who were examined by the committee, entered into copious details as to the mode in which their business is managed, and from the whole evidence we may derive a complete insight into the trade of banking in England and Wales—a branch of industry of great national importance, concerning which no information equally clear and satisfactory had ever before been submitted to the public. We conceive that we shall perform a duty not unacceptable to our readers, if we state in a familiar way a few of the leading points, which the weight of the evidence appears to us to establish.

It is admitted on all hands that our immense commercial transactions could not be carried on with convenience, even for a single day, through the medium of a circulation exclusively metallic. It is also a position, no longer to be disputed, that if the currency were purely metallic, it would be in no degree more exempt from the alternations of rise and fall in value, as compared with articles which form the materials of commerce, than if it consisted partly of gold, and partly of paper convertible into gold at the option of the holder. The value of the circulating medium, whatever that medium may be, is the creature of the general state of trade. If goods be in great request, prices rise; and a greater proportion of the currency is demanded for the purchase of those goods, which is a proof of the comparative depreciation of its value. The contrary takes place when prices fall, and thus the state of trade is the immediate agent of the alternation in either instance.

It cannot, however, be denied, that if banks be permitted to issue their paper *ad libitum*, and if that paper be accepted by the public, cases may occur in which the value of the currency may be materially affected by circumstances not legitimately linked with the general operations of commerce. Examples of this serious evil were numerous in the years 1824 and 1825, when the Bank of England, and many (though by no means the whole) of the country banks, issued to excess—reproducing those disasters against which the history of speculation in this country was supposed to have furnished the most striking warnings. But no experience seems capable of teaching prudence to new generations, save that which they acquire during their own career. Even that dearly bought precaution is not at all times proof against strong temptation, and it will therefore become the duty of the legislature to provide a permanent safeguard against a power of this description—a power which enables banks of issue to confound the most reasonable calculations of commercial men, and to plunge them into ruin.

A distinction is to be carefully taken between banks which issue their own paper, and banks which merely take deposits from their customers, and circulate the notes of the Bank of England or of other issuing establishments. As to banks of mere deposit, the public should be left to deal with them or not as they may think fit. A depositor selects his banker, and the affair does not differ from a transaction between two persons in any other trade whatever. But the moment a banker begins to issue notes of his own manufacture, it is the province of the legislature to take care that he shall not inundate his neighbourhood with paper, which may not be really convertible into gold. Persons engaged in trade have not always, practically speaking, the option of refusing a country bank



note. A customer gives it perhaps in exchange for goods, and the trader cannot disoblige those who deal with him by asking questions as to the solvency of the banker whose note is placed on his counter. Therefore the public should be protected, as far as it is possible, not only from spurious paper, but also from those excessive issues by which imprudent bankers sometimes endanger and destroy a degree of credit which would have been sufficiently safe if restrained within proper limits.

It is manifest from the evidence, that the country banks, many of which belong to gentlemen of high character and great property, have been productive of incalculable advantages to several interests within the range of their respective localities. In the agricultural districts they frequently lend money to farmers and drovers upon mere personal responsibility, and a knowledge of their characters and circumstances. To those establishments also thousands of manufacturers are indebted for the means, that have enabled them to contend successfully against difficulties which pressed upon them in consequence of the combinations of workmen, and of violent alterations in the market of the world. If the country bankers were to be all deprived of the power of issuing their own notes, many of them would of necessity cease to afford the accommodation by which they have hitherto frequently sustained the trade and agriculture of their neighbourhood. Besides it appeared that during our "three days" of interregnum in May last, the notes of more than one country banker were preferred by the people to those of the Bank of England. It is not necessary to do violence to the habits from which preferences of this description arise, or to impair the usefulness of country banks by preventing them from creating their fair portion of the currency, provided only that their issues be placed under efficient control.

With this view some of the witnesses proposed that those country bankers only should be allowed to issue notes, who should give security for them to the state by lending to it at a certain rate of interest an adequate sum of money. Such an arrangement as this would put an end altogether to the existence of country paper. It would in fact impose upon a banker the necessity of providing doubly for the payment of his notes: first, he would have to pay them by the deposit of security with the state, and, secondly, he must pay them whenever the holder chooses to present them for cash. This is not reasonable; neither is it necessary. It would be much the preferable mode to place the whole banking trade of the kingdom (so far as the issue of notes is concerned) under the supervision of a "board of currency," as suggested by several of the witnesses. To this board returns should be made weekly of all issues, and it should be empowered to publish those returns at its own discretion. The returns should embrace the state not only of the "circulation," but also of the "deposits" and "assets" in the hands of each bank of issue, and we have no doubt that, without giving the board any further control, or enabling it to act in any shape with an inquisitorial character, the mere prospect of regulated publicity, without injuring sound establishments, would soon separate the chaff from the grain. The actual publication would inform the note holders and depositors of each banker of the precise value of his credit, and it would then be in their power to decide for themselves both as to circulating his paper, and permitting their property to remain in his possession.



It would be an essential part of the duty of the board to communicate to each bank weekly, the state of the whole bank circulation of the kingdom. They would thus enable each establishment to regulate its issues by a principle common to all, and they would moreover keep the stream of the currency full, at the same time that they would tend to confine it within its natural limits, and to prevent the dangers of an overflow.

Whatever may be thought of some ingredients in the joint-stock bank system, which was authorized under the act of 1826, it is not to be doubted that in some towns in the manufacturing districts, establishments founded by the authority of that act have been productive of advantages. These advantages have been rendered, however, peculiarly apparent in Manchester and Liverpool, in neither of which places do they issue notes of their own. They transact their business entirely through the medium of Bank of England paper, having found that the general dislike to local notes, which has for many years prevailed throughout Lancashire, was an insuperable obstacle to their success, had they attempted, as they originally intended, to make a struggle against it. So long as they do not issue notes, the public can be in little danger from those, or from similar, institutions. As the law now stands, each individual partner is responsible for the liabilities of the company to the whole extent of his fortune, not only while he remains a member of the firm, but even during a certain period after he has sold his shares, and ceased to have an interest in the concern. This is a circumstance which cannot be very generally known, otherwise much fewer persons would have been disposed to risk their entire dependence for the sake of obtaining a dividend of six or eight per cent. upon a few thousand pounds. It might be expedient to alter the law in this respect, and to grant charters to joint-stock companies with responsibility limited to the shares, or even double the amount of the shares of each partner—provided that such companies be absolutely precluded from issuing local notes. Where such notes are issued, the responsibility should remain, as it now is, co-extensive with the means of every individual included in the company.

Another material provision should be added to the law, with a view to prevent the directors of joint-stock banks from making loans to their co-partners, upon the security of their respective shares. It is declared by some of the witnesses, that it is the practice with most of these establishments to lend back to individuals the whole of the sums subscribed by them towards the capital of the bank. With reference to banks of issue, no practice can be more pernicious or unjust than this; for while it exists, an institution, which apparently possesses a large paid up capital, may in reality have scarcely any capital at all. The subscriptions become fictitious under such a system; but the official representation of their aggregate amount may nevertheless so far impose upon the public as to induce them to take its notes, and thus enable it in fact to trade upon borrowed funds. When a shock comes, the truth is soon discovered, but the consequences will fall upon the public, and upon those members of the company who had no occasion to borrow from it, and whose fortunes will be sacrificed to the schemes of mere adventurers. This should by all means be prevented.

The privileges appertaining to the Bank of England are of a very important character, and one of the main objects of the committee was to



inquire, whether or not it would be conducive to the general interest of the country that those privileges should be renewed. The first in point of value is, that the Bank are the only joint-stock-company consisting of more than six partners, authorized to issue cash notes in London, and within a circle drawn around it whose radius extends sixty-five miles. Their next material privileges are that their notes are received exclusively in payment of revenue; that they pay the dividends upon the public stocks; and that they are the bankers of government, whose deposits seldom fluctuate below four millions upon the average. It is obvious that all these privileges combined tend to give so great a degree of credit and of circulation to Bank of England notes, as to make them the source of very considerable profit. But this is not all. The immense wealth which the bank have accumulated, amounting to a sum of nearly nineteen millions sterling, over and above assets sufficient to meet all their liabilities, added to their long connexion with the state, have procured for the establishment so high a character for solvency in the eyes of the country, that although they pay no interest upon deposits either private or public, they hold very large sums of money in their hands which are entrusted to their custody by individuals. A due proportion of these deposits, as well as of those belonging to the public, they of course invest in discounts and other securities; and it is said, though no return has been made on this point, that their income from their various investments, including those which consist of their own property, exceeds the profits which they derive even from their immense circulation. It is a fact worthy of observation that their annual gains, after the payment of all expenses, and after laying by a sum of about 35,000*l.* every year to be added to their "rest" capital, amounts at present to about one million two hundred thousand pounds, a sum exactly equal to the whole of their original capital.

Upon the first blush of this statement the most unprejudiced reader is inclined to ask, why should any commercial company be allowed to engross to itself such vast profits as these? If the manufacture of notes be so lucrative, why should they not be created by the state for the benefit of the public at large? Monopolies, as Mr. Huskisson said, have grown out of fashion in all trades, and why should an exception be made in favour of the governor and company of the Bank of England? The answers to these questions are by no means obvious; the materials which furnish them are to be found only in the results of patient and dispassionate investigation.

As to the idea of a state paper circulation, we may dispose of it at once by a reference to the history of every state bank that has yet been established. Not one of them ever succeeded in any country to gather around it any considerable credit for any period worth mentioning. The moment that political alarm arises—the very moment at which an accredited circulation is especially necessary in order to prevent that alarm from becoming revolution—the paper of the state would be reduced to the condition of the celebrated French assignats—that is to say, if they were negotiable at all, it would be at a discount of two or three hundred per cent. It is a well-known fact, mentioned by Mr. Easthope in his evidence before the committee\*, that for a few hours towards the



close of the year 1825, cash could not be obtained for Exchequer bills, or for stock. Besides, in this country, the attribute of creating money would be a weapon in the hands of government which might be made use of to the prejudice of liberty. It would be an innovation upon the constitution, which no ingenuity of legislation could harmonize with the ever-active spirit of jealousy by which that constitution is pervaded. If we cannot entrust the government with an arbitrary power in levying taxes, it would be still more difficult for us, looking to our personal rights and privileges, to commit to it the faculty of creating money, the production of which is the very object of taxation.

But then, it is said, the Mint coins money, and why should it not also coin paper? The answer is upon the surface. The Mint does not create the ingots, from which sovereigns are made; nor do those ingots belong to government. The Mint is merely a manufactory of coin, open to all persons who wish to have bullion melted down into sterling money. The manufacture is properly retained in the hands of the state, in order that no gold or silver should be converted into coin which is not of the requisite standard as to freedom from base alloy. There is therefore no parallel between the two cases.

Then, it may be asked, why should not other companies be allowed to issue notes in London and within sixty-five miles of it, as well as the Bank of England? There is certainly no reason why they should not be permitted to trade in the issue of notes as they do in the manufacture of silk, if there were any real similarity between the two pursuits. The peculiarity of paper issued for circulation is, that by law it must be convertible into gold at the option of the holder, and the question to be really discussed is this—whether, if there were an unlimited number of joint-stock banks of issue in London, they could uniformly keep in their hands a sufficient supply of that metal in order to meet their liabilities?

No objection is made to the Bank of England in this respect. It is not denied that, since the resumption of cash payments, they have uniformly discharged all their engagements. It is true that they were very nearly drained of their gold in 1825, and the recurrence of such an evil is one of the things against which it is the duty of the legislature to provide. But the great objection to the Bank is that they have a monopoly. Let us see then if the existence of monopoly could be destroyed by allowing several joint-stock banks of issue in London.

As we have no gold mines in England, all our bullion comes from abroad, where we purchase it by our domestic manufactures and our colonial produce. But we are not paid by our foreign customers wholly in gold for what we sell them. We are paid in raw silk and cotton and wool, in wine, fruits, and a thousand other articles, which minister to necessity or luxury. In point of fact, we are paid only the excess of the value of our merchandise over theirs in gold bullion, which we bring home. When the balance of trade is in our favour, the ordinary store of our bullion increases *pro tanto*; when the balance, or, in other words, the *exchange*, is against us, we must remit gold abroad to adjust the account.

In order therefore that a number of issuing banks in London should be able to retain in their coffers a sufficient reserve of gold to meet their liabilities, it would be absolutely necessary that they should *all* have an



incessant and intimate knowledge of the state of the exchanges. It is obvious that if any one of them were ignorant in this respect, he might be exhausted of his gold in a single day, and gazetted the day following. He cannot, according to the present law, which nobody we presume will succeed in altering, refuse gold for his notes; and if the exchanges be adverse, the merchants who hold his notes have no alternative; they must get them converted into gold, or lose their own credit.

Now it would not be possible for any number of bankers in London to be promptly and uniformly made acquainted with the state of the exchanges, unless bullion was brought to them all by the merchants in equal portions, or was abstracted from them, in exchange for notes, in equal quantities, which cannot be supposed likely to be done by a number of merchants, each of whom would have his own banker. The only way in which the bankers would gain the requisite knowledge would be by establishing a system of confidential intercourse amongst themselves. The Bank of England are in the habit not only of watching the exchanges, but also of influencing them sometimes\*, that is to say, *rectifying* them in favour of this country. Their operations for that purpose have been carried on necessarily with strict secrecy, and have generally been successful. It would be sometimes incumbent upon the proposed new banks of issue in London to carry into effect similar operations; but in order to accomplish their object, they would be under the necessity of acting in co-operation. All idea of competition between establishments confederated for that or for any other purpose would, of course, be then out of the question. But competition between several banks is the main object which those persons contemplate, who seek to dissolve what is called the monopoly of the Bank of England.

Is it to be supposed that such banks, if they were established, would not also enter into an understanding with each other for the purpose of rendering their bullion reserves, which are unprofitable, as small as possible? Would they not further concur in putting down any new rivals, who might attempt to interfere with their trade? Both these results have actually taken place in Scotland and the United States, where there has not been for many years any restriction as to the number of partners in banks of issue. The consequence is that, after having worked their paper into an extensive credit with the public, they have established for themselves a *de facto* monopoly; and they at present retain but a very small proportion of gold, compared to their liabilities, in either country. So much is this the case, that whenever the Scotch bankers are pressed for gold they are obliged to resort for it to the Bank of England; and as to the American bankers, who still survive the general ruin that was brought upon them some years ago by their deficiency of bullion, they have been obliged to enter into an understanding with their customers that gold is never to be demanded from them to any considerable extent.

It is obvious, therefore, that if gold must be retained in the country, it can only be kept by a process which necessarily leads to monopoly; and the question is, whether it would be more expedient to divide that monopoly, if we may so express ourselves without a solecism, among many banks, or to continue it in the hands of the single establishment which has now exercised it for nearly a century and a half?

---

\* B. R. 213, 223.



It cannot be doubted that great convenience arises in London, where all the transactions of the world are finally settled, according to the testimony of Mr. Rothschild, from there being one approved paper currency in which those transactions might be arranged. But even if that convenience did not exist, it may be asked what good reason can be produced for making an alteration in this respect? Why break up the monopoly of the Bank in order to confer it upon a number of other banks? The currency has more than once already been sufficiently tampered with. Is there any reason why, for the sake of a mere speculative chance of amelioration, which may end in failure, the interests of the whole country, which are materially involved in this question, should be exposed to new hazards, to fresh panics, perhaps to another 1825? The London bankers, who are all wealthy enough to issue notes if they please, unanimously state that they prefer the present system to any other that could be substituted for it. Those gentlemen are perhaps, of all others, the most competent to express sound opinions on the subject, and they are all adverse to any change, except that which we have already mentioned, of periodically subjecting the affairs of the Bank, as well as of all other banks of issue, to the scrutiny of the public.

We shall only add another observation. The proprietors of the Bank of England amount to about 3150, including several public companies and charities. The stock belonging to these numerous holders is constantly changing hands, and hence the corporation may be said to be open to any person who, possessing property, chooses to embark it in that establishment. It is difficult, therefore, to associate the idea of monopoly, in an odious sense of the word, with a company of which any person may become a partner, whether he be a British subject or a foreigner. If several joint-stock banks were established in London, it would be impossible that they could be more open to the public than the Bank of England

---

#### THE DEATH OF HOFER.

*“ Florence, Jan. 20.\* ”*

“ DEAR LADY \* \* \* \* \*

“ Do not you already begin to repent that you commanded me to write to you on my return to Italy? I passed two entire months in Germany, and like the people. Of the country you know as much as I do—people who paid more attention to it have described it better than I could. In passing I saw Waterloo—an ugly table for an ugly game, played badly both by loser and winner. At Innspruck I entered the church in which Andreas Hofer is buried. He lies under a plain slab, on the left, near the door. I admired the magnificent tomb of bronze, in the centre, surrounded by heroes, real and imaginary. They did not fight tens against thousands—they did not fight for wives and children, but for lands and plunder—therefore they are heroes! My admiration

---

\* We need scarcely say that we have the eminent writer's permission to publish this letter.



of these works of art was soon satisfied,—which, perhaps, it would not have been in any other place. Snow, mixed with rain, was falling, and was blown by the wind upon the tomb of Hofer. I thought how often he had taken advantage of such weather for his attacks against the enemies of his country, and I seemed to hear his whistle in the wind. At the little village of Landro—(I feel a whimsical satisfaction in the likeness of the name to mine)—the innkeeper was the friend of this truly great man—the only great man that Europe has produced in our days, excepting his true compeer, Kosciusko. By the order of Bonaparte, the companions of Hofer, eighty in number, were chained, thumb-screwed, and taken out of prison in couples, to see him shot. He had about him one thousand florins, in paper currency, which he delivered to his confessor, requesting him to divide it impartially among his unfortunate countrymen. The confessor, an Italian, who spoke German, kept it, and never gave relief from it to any of them,—most of whom were suffering, not only from privation of wholesome air, to which, among other privations, they never had been accustomed, but also from scantiness of nourishment and clothing. Even in Mantua, where, as in the rest of Italy, sympathy is both weak and silent, the lowest of the people were indignant at the sight of so brave a defender of his country led into the public square to expiate a crime unheard of for many centuries in their nation. When they saw him walk forth, with unaltered countenance and firm step before them—when, stopping on the ground which was about to receive his blood, they heard him, with unfaltering voice, commend his soul and his country to the Creator,—and, as if still under his own roof, a custom with him after the evening prayer, implore a blessing for his boys and little daughter, and for the mother who had reared them up carefully and tenderly thus far through the perils of childhood,—finally, when in a lower tone, but earnestly and emphatically, he besought pardon from the Fount of Mercy for her brother, his betrayer,—many smote their breasts aloud; many, thinking that sorrow was shameful, lowered their heads and wept; many, knowing that it was dangerous, yet wept too. The people remained upon the spot an unusual time; and the French, fearing some commotion, pretended to have received an order from Bonaparte for the mitigation of the sentence, and publicly announced it. Among his many falsehoods, any one of which would have excluded him for ever from the society of men of honour, this is perhaps the basest; as, indeed, of all his atrocities, the death of Hofer, which he had ordered long before, and appointed the time and circumstances, is, of all his actions, that which the brave and virtuous will reprobate the most severely. He was urged by no necessity—he was prompted by no policy: his impatience of courage in an enemy, his hatred of patriotism and integrity in all, of which he had no idea himself, and saw no image in those about him, outstripped his blind passion for fame, and left him nothing but power and celebrity.

“ Believe me,

“ Dear Lady \* \* \* \* \*

“ Your very obliged and obedient servant,

“ WALTER S. LANDOR.”

---



## THE FORSAKEN TO HER FATHER.

*By Thomas Haynes Bayly.*

Oh, name him not, unless it be  
 In terms I shall not blush to hear :  
 Oh, name him not, though false to me,  
 Forget not he was once so dear.  
 Oh, think of former happy days,  
 When none could breathe a dearer name ;  
 And if you can no longer praise,  
 Be silent, and forbear to blame !

He *may* be *all* that you have heard ;  
 If proved, 'twere folly to defend :  
 Yet pause ere you believe one word  
 Breathed 'gainst the honour of a friend.  
 How many seem in haste to tell  
 What friends can never wish to know !  
 I answer—*once* I knew him well,  
 And *then*, at least, it was not so.

You say, when all condemn him thus,  
 To praise him leads to disrepute :  
 But, had the world censured us,  
 Father ! *he* would not have been mute !  
 He may be changed, and he may learn  
 To slander friends, as others do :  
 But if *we* blame him, *we* in turn  
 Have learnt that hateful lesson too !

Desertion of myself, his worst,  
 His *only* crime perhaps may prove ;  
 Shall he of all men be the *first*  
 Condemned for being false in love ?  
 The world has never yet denied  
 Its favour to the falsest heart ;  
 Its sanction rather seems to guide  
 The hand again to aim the dart !

You hate him, Father, for you know  
 That he was cruel to your child.  
 Alas ! I strove to *hide* my woe,  
 And when *you* look'd on me, I smil'd :  
 But on my faded cheek appears  
 An evidence of all I've felt :  
 I pray'd for strength, but falling tears  
 Betray'd my weakness as I knelt.

Oh ! hate him not : he must have seen  
 Some error, that was never meant !  
 And love, you know, hath ever been  
 Prone to complain, and to resent !  
 Hate him not, Father ! nor believe  
 Imputed crimes, till they are *proved* ;  
 And *proof* should rather make us grieve  
 For one who once was so beloved.



## CORPORATION REFORM.

Two circumstances point equally to the necessity of the application of new principles to Corporations;—first, the evils into which the old system has fallen; and, secondly, the institution of municipal forms of government where new societies have, as it were, been created by the boroughs erected under the Reform Bill. That ministers are prepared to admit this necessity has already appeared in Lord Althorp's judicious motion for a Committee of Inquiry.

There exist, in England and Wales, at this moment something more than one hundred and sixty corporations. These are variously elected, —variously conditioned by charters bearing all dates, from John to James II., and consequently the peculiar impressions of the times and occasions under which they were granted. They are most of them more or less connected with the choice of members of parliament, some having possessed (until the passing of the Bill) the entire power, others enjoying, in connexion with this greatest result, the right of electing new members when vacancies occur. The franchise is conferred in many ways; some can, moreover, increase at will the number of voters, or, on the contrary, delay or suspend the admission of persons justly entitled to their freedom;—all of them have one common property; they are elective in some shape or other; their powers of jurisdiction are alike various. All have more or less judicial power—some can try even cases of life and death; upon most of them devolve the care of the local police and the trust of the local charities. We are now reduced to speak rather of the powers delegated to these authorities before than since the passing of the Reform Bill. But, whatever alterations may have been effected by this measure, they touch only the choice of members of parliament, and, though infinite were the abuses that belonged to that privilege, the evils which remain,—moral, social, and political,—are certainly not less extensive.

In discussing these powers and differences, the principles may perhaps be reduced to five:—

1. The exercise of the franchise in the choice of representatives in parliament;
2. The mode of electing or constituting the corporate body itself;
3. The local jurisdiction;
4. The local police.
5. The management of charities, together, in some instances, with the distribution of the poor-rate.

The circumstances of the times have stimulated and directed public opinion far more perhaps towards the connexion between corporate powers and franchises and parliamentary elections, than to the other points. For this is the more general concern—the remaining considerations are local. And it might be laid down as a rule, that wherever there is corporation influence, that influence is unfairly exercised; by which we mean to say, it is exerted either to favour the individual interest of the candidate, or the personal interest of those who wield the power of the corporation, or both, in utter oblivion, or in direct violation, of the principles and objects for which the representation of the people, and the franchise which determines that representation, are bestowed. This has been proved in



numberless cases of petitions against the returns of members ; but to put the matter beyond dispute, we shall cite some of the most curious and amusing, through the last seventy years, embracing so long a period of time with a view to demonstrate how complete and inevitable is the tendency towards corruption,—how rooted the practice.

The petition against the return of Sir Thomas Rumbold and Sir Francis Sykes for the borough of Shaftesbury was presented after the election of 1774. “ Evidence was given,” says the reporter, that “ money, to the amount of several thousand pounds, has been given among the voters, in sums of twenty guineas a man ; and the persons who were intrusted with the disbursement of this money, and who were *chiefly the magistrates of the town*, devised very singular and very absurd contrivances in hopes of being thus able to conceal through what channel it was conveyed to the electors. A person concealed under a ludicrous and fantastical disguise, and called by the name of *Punch*, was placed in a small apartment, and, through a hole in the door, delivered out to the voters parcels containing twenty guineas each : upon which they were conducted to another apartment in the same house, where they found a person called Punch’s Secretary, who required them to sign notes for the value received ; these notes were made payable to an imaginary character, to whom was given the name of *Glenbucket*. Two of the witnesses swore that they had seen Punch through the hole in the door, *and that they knew him to be Mr. Matthews, an alderman of the town !* and, as the counsel for the petitioner had endeavoured to prove, an agent for the sitting members.”

“ The counsel for the sitting members proposed to call Matthews himself to prove an *alibi* ; but the committee resolved not to admit the evidence.

“ On the part of the petitioner several witnesses were called to prove declarations of voters, who, at the poll, had taken the bribery oath, that they had received Punch’s money.

“ Many discussions on the whole have passed during the sessions of 1775 and 1776 ; the writ was suspended—resolutions were passed to the effect that there was the most notorious subornation of perjury practised, and the most corrupt and wilful perjury committed ; also resolutions against Sykes and Rumbold, and six others, as the promoters and suborners ; and a bill to disfranchise certain persons, and for preventing bribery and corruption in the borough was brought in ; yet, strange to say, a subsequent motion for a prosecution of the two first named gentlemen by the Attorney-General was negatived. All the orders for the bill, prosecution, &c. were afterwards discharged, although Mr. Mortimer, the member declared to be duly elected, had obtained verdicts for penalties against Mr. Sykes for twenty-six acts of bribery to the amount of 11,000*l.* at the Dorchester assizes in 1776.”

A petition was presented to the House of Commons against the return of Mr. Gowland for the city of Durham, on the ground that the mayor and confederate aldermen (residing at a distance from the city, and most of them unknown to the wardens and companies), even after the teste of the writ of election, and during the very time of the poll, unduly admitted a great number of persons to the freedom of the said city, in order to procure, at any rate, a majority of votes for Mr. Gowland ; and that, notwithstanding repeated applications were made to the mayor



by the petitioner and his agents, for an inspection of the corporation guild book, and for a list of the pretended freemen so made and admitted as aforesaid, he, the said mayor, refused both; and that, at the late election, which began on the 7th of December last, the aforesaid mayor illegally, and contrary to his duty as returning officer, admitted the votes not only of the occasional freemen above-mentioned, but also of many other persons who offered and ought to have been admitted to vote for the petitioner; and that, notwithstanding the number of such occasional voters amounted to upwards of two hundred, yet the pretended majority for the sitting members upon the close of the poll was no more than twenty-three: and that, to complete the design of these unwarrantable practices, the aforesaid mayor hath unjustly, and contrary to the duty of his office, returned the said Mr. Gowland as duly elected as representative for the said city. The votes thus made and the election were both set aside.

In the midst of this career of iniquity, an anecdote stands upon record not less honourable to an individual than generally illustrative of our subject. It occurs in the history of the elections of Newport:—

“ On the death of Lord Holmes, an attempt was made by Sir William Oglander and some other gentlemen to deprive his Lordship’s nephew and successor, the Rev. Mr. Troughear Holmes, of his influence over this corporation. The number of the body was at that time twenty-three, there being one vacancy amongst the aldermen, occasioned by the recent death of Lord Holmes. Eleven of them continued firm to the interest of the nephew, and the same number was equally eager to transfer that interest to Sir William Oglander and the Worsley family. A Mr. Taylor of this town, one of the burgesses, withheld his declaration, and, as his vote would decide the balance of future influence, it was imagined that he only suspended it for the purpose of private advantage. Agreeably to that idea, he was eagerly sought by the agents of each party. The first who applied is said to have made him an offer of 2000*l*. Mr. Taylor had actually made up his mind to have voted with his party; but the moment his integrity and independence were attacked, he reversed his determination and resolved to give his suffrage on the opposite side. That party, however, like their opponents, being ignorant of the favour designed them and of the accident to which they owed it, assailed him with a more advantageous offer. He informed them that he had but just formed the resolution, in consequence of a similar insult from their adversaries, of giving them his support; but since he had discovered that they were both aiming at power by the same means, he was determined to vote for neither of them; and to put himself out of the power of future temptation, he resolved to resign his gown as a burgess of the corporation, which he did the next day.”

Even the bodies corporate of our great seats of learning, Oxford and Cambridge, have not been quite pure.

In 1767, the then mayor of Oxford, and some of his corporate brethren, proffered by letter to re-elect the sitting members for a certain sum of money, and declared, on the contrary, that, unless this stipulation was agreed to, the members certainly should not have the support of these worthies. The letter was read in the House of Commons, the writers taken into custody, committed to Newgate, and, previous to their discharge, severely reprimanded on their knees by the Speaker.

The case of Cambridge is more recent and not less notorious. One of the aldermen contrived to obtain the power of appointing a large body of electors, and by this means threw the borough into the hands of the



Duke of Rutland. The individual in question once contrived to be returned himself, and by his management the members for Cambridge Town were, up to a very late period, solely and entirely the nominees of his Grace.

But such instances of corruption, so openly profligate, so utterly disdainful of moral rectitude and public opinion, are at an end, and they are quoted merely to show the natural and inevitable event of intrusting power to such bodies, where even the worthiest individual in his own private life and circumstances is but too apt to take shelter under the general coverture. We may, however, adopt the summary laid down in the work from which we have extracted the facts already related.

“ It appears almost through every case which has come before the House of Commons that corruption prevails, and that the influence of magistrates and corporations is generally exerted to destroy the freedom of elections,—that freemen and burgesses are admitted or refused their admission by corporations with little or no regard to claims legally and justly founded, but merely to suit the purposes of whatever party the leading men in each city or borough may find it their interest to espouse;—and even the poor-rates in scot-and-lot boroughs are corrupted into engines of despotism. The taxing a number of persons who have no right, and omitting another description who possess or occupy rateable houses, has been the means of giving a colourable majority in many elections.”

Proceed we to present effects, which will be found to be included under the other heads above recited.

In our last Number\* we noticed a pamphlet published by Mr. Bacon, the editor of the *Norwich Mercury*, under the title of “ A Letter to Lord Stormont and Sir James Scarlett,” by which a strong light has been thrown upon the motives and the end of the exercise of corporation powers. What is therein stated of Norwich applies to most other corporate bodies. The personal consequence and interest of the corporators connected in the last resort with the return of the members of parliament, are the incentives to all the civic contentions, all the moral depravation which obtain through such influences. *The root of the evil, it is shown, lies in the nature of the constituency, and, above all, in the frequency of municipal elections.* In the city above-named, it should seem, there are always three elections for corporate offices (common councilmen, sheriff, and mayor) every year; and one more, upon the average, from the death or secession of any of the twenty-four aldermen. The results have been a constant antagonist array of political opposition, the continual excitement of party virulence, and a struggle for power supported by corruption of every sort,—bribery, direct and indirect, and even the misapplication of the public charities and the poor-rates to the purposes of election patronage,—general and public objects neglected or compromised,—individual and party feuds perpetuated, to the injury alike of the interests of the city and the intercourse of the citizens. Mr. Bacon supports these strong allegations by the evidence of facts that seem indisputable; and one or two of his anecdotes, while they carry the proof up to its very source, will illustrate the matter as well as amuse the inquirer:—

“ I was standing in the road of the village where I lived, about twenty years ago, when I saw a man personally known to me approaching at the

---

\* See “ *Lion’s Mouth*.”



head of between thirty or forty of as ragged a crew as ever I beheld. The man accosted me civilly. 'Who are your friends,' said I, 'G——?' —'My chickens, Sir,' said the fellow; and it appeared these were honest freemen of the city, from a neighbouring coop, where, under the custody of this keeper, they had been feasted for nearly three weeks, lest they should be lured away and taken into keeping by the opposite party. This practice has been regularly resorted to, as the publicans of Horsford, Drayton, Mulbarton, and other villages adjacent to Norwich well know. I can also state positively, that powerful opiates have been frequently administered in their drink, to drench the senses of these poor wretches, (one man was put to sleep during the entire day of election and the night succeeding,) and even their clothes taken away whilst they were asleep, to secure their adhesion. The story of the sleeper involves a curious complication of ingenious device and of want of principle in the high, and the adherence to it in the low. The wretched object was a poor old man, whose party and personal attachment to Mr. Foster could not be shaken. But as he was eternally tormented and beset, it was no difficult matter, especially as the poor love good eating and drinking almost as well as their betters, to persuade this man 'to go to a friend's house in the country'—the common phrase for cooping. The opposite party accordingly lured him away under this false pretence. On the morning of the election, a man with a blue and white cockade drove up, and announcing himself as Mr. Foster's brother, took the poor devil, who was in an agony of delight at the honour, to Norwich. Just before they arrived at the gates, it was proposed that they should have a little refreshment before going to poll. To this there could be no objection. They stopped at the first public-house. The posset was drugged with sufficient potency, and W. F. slept till next morning on the seat he first sat down on. Upon awaking, he inquired for Mr. Foster's brother, and said he wished to go up and vote, when he was turned out of the house, with the comfortable assurance that he had been cooped by the opposite party and put to sleep during the whole day of the election. I could recite I know not how many instances of similar deceptions, effected, however, by various devices. The drunkenness, the force, the infamy of every sort that has been in continual employment, it is impossible to exaggerate. The death of men during these Saturnalia has been no uncommon event. It has now grown into a set phrase; and if one of them die, the partizans coolly inform each other that 'a chicken has dropped off his perch.' Can anything more plainly declare the heartless recklessness of such demoralizing practices?

"I was in a party of volunteer officers many years ago, when one came in and related the following occurrence, in which he had just been engaged. Passing over St. Miles' Bridge, he found two opposing bodies of partizans at high despite, (this was on the night before the election,) contending for the drunken carcass of a voter. The person in question, looking over the bridge, discerned by the lamp-light a dyer in a boat, whom he knew to be attached to his own side. Without the slightest hesitation he mingled in the press, and, while the rest were engaged in the affray, dropped the insensible man over the bridge into the boat, and returned to enjoy the confusion that arose when the victors in the strife discovered that the body for which they had been fighting was gone. It is well known that men have, at no very remote date, been seized and confined in wherries on the broads of Norfolk; and the late Alderman Crisp Brown was only rescued from such an act of violence by making his escape into the house of a gentleman in the street in which he resided.

"I shall cite one more anecdote, which a living leader of your own party can, if he pleases, confirm. A ward election was about to take place on the eve of an expected election for member of parliament. The nominees of the ward considered the most important, waited upon the relation of one of the sitting members, and assured him in the strongest terms that to win the



ward election was indispensable to his relation's success in the coming contest.\* Upon this assurance a cheque for twelve hundred and forty or fifty pounds (I do not exactly remember which) was handed over to the party. The member was ousted by a great majority, and the gentleman who advanced the money was told with the utmost nonchalance by the very same persons, that his relation never had the slightest chance of being re-elected."

If it be asked how all this mischief we have described is accomplished, the details are thus to be made out, not alone as relates to Norwich, but to most corporate towns. The local jurisdiction is committed to the hands of the magistrates, who are, and must be, in some measure bound up with these subjects of dispute brought before them. A. is charged with an assault upon B. The one or the other belongs to the same or to the opposite party with the magistrate, or he works for the friends or connexions of the municipal judge. He has obliged or offended, or may oblige or offend, the party with whom the magistrate acts. In places where the recurrence of elections affecting not only the party, but the personal interests and feelings of those who sit upon the judgment-seat, there must always be, and therefore there is, a constant leaning to the complainant or the defendant. In multitudes of cases servants have been tried at sessions for stealing the goods of some of the magistrates upon the bench, their relatives and friends, or their partners in trade. Such anomalies are contrary to the spirit of justice and the laws, and they hold out no safe and no ordinary temptation. The choice of the officers of the police, and their direction, vests also in the magistracy. It is notorious that, in general, these offices are bestowed for party services and from party motives; and when the power, petty as it appears, tremendous as it is, over the poor wretches who are its objects is considered, it is quite clear that such feeling ought never to enter into or attach to such a choice or such an office. Now it is no less certain that the causes which have led to the elevation of the officer will supply motives for his conduct. He is always a zealous, often a violent partizan. He trades upon party spirit,—it is the aliment upon which he subsists; and he employs his official power leniently, or severely where it can be safely done, with a view to conciliate the further favour of his employers. In many instances the most active instruments in administering the bribery at municipal and general elections are known to be the officers of the corporation. Nor does this objection appertain to the lower classes of officers alone. When legal appointments belong to the corporation, recorder and stewardships, town-clerks, chamberlains, and treasurers, the same motives prevail in the choice. It is a fact that, in some instances, these places are the subjects of a pecuniary speculation. As thus:—An office under the court is worth 300*l.* per annum, and is granted for life. "I can only obtain it," says a keen young lawyer, "by supporting my party. If I disburse 1500*l.* in party contests, I shall realize 20 per cent. upon my outlay, and the present incumbent is declining in years or health." If his decision is made, his purse opens to the demands of party, and he becomes personally first

---

\* We can state, from other sources of information, that, at all Norwich elections, municipal and general head-money, under the pretext of lost time, varying from 2*s.* 6*d.* to 1*l.*, is uniformly paid; and, if refused, would compromise the party for ever. It is a no less curious fact that, in this large constituency, not fewer than three-fourths of the whole apply for this *douceur*.



the active and interested, and at last the violent and reckless instrument of all the corrupt enterprises of party purposes. These are not fancy portraits, they are drawn from life, and from many more than a single subject.

In like manner, the charities and poor-rates of a corporate city are liable to misapplication. The highest and basest branch is, perhaps, the treasurerships and charities. The corporators of the greatest influence have these posts of honour and emolument. The profit is ordinarily derived from the interest upon the balances they hold. Hence it becomes an object to obtain possession of the annual proceeds of the rents and other funds as soon and to retain them as long as possible. We have known an annuity from 200*l.* to 400*l.* per annum, the property of a single hospital for the aged, remain in a family during nearly a century. We have known balances of 1000*l.*, 2000*l.*, and even larger sums belonging to public charities, employed by treasurers in their own trades, and even bankrupts who have been permitted to remain aldermen retaining large sums in their possession without security.

The introduction of the objects of charitable foundations into these last and most comfortable retreats is also a political engine of no slight effect. Mr. Bacon states, and we can confirm his relation by other instances, that promissory notes have been given for weekly allowances for a vote till a vacancy occurred in these institutions. And this leads to the developement of the manner in which parochial relief may be, and often has been, misapplied. The pauper, if he be a known, thorough-going partizan, is relieved with more readiness and to a greater extent than an opponent. In large towns, where vast sums are raised for such purposes, it is *not* easy to calculate the influence this practice confers; for it acts not only directly upon the individual pauper, but upon his fellows, and those who fear to be his fellows, through his report and through the contrast. A, a Tory, is relieved instantly: B, a Reformer, is first visited, and then perhaps scantily assisted, or *vice versâ*. What is the natural, the necessary inference? Why, that the applicant takes the easiest and readiest passage to the favour of those who sit in judgment upon his case, and who, in truth, inflict upon him and his family or spare them a certain degree of privation and suffering.

A similarly partial exercise of patronage runs through the public works executed under the supervision of such courts. There are proofs of corporations furnishing articles of consumption to workhouses, though expressly forbidden, by the private acts of their constitution, under heavy penalties. Jobs have been made for master workmen of all descriptions, who employ large numbers of persons—especially on the eve of an election. All this is perfectly natural. Persons entrusted with power will use it in general according to their impulses and interests; and stretch to their own ends the strict rule of right.

“For,” says the pamphlet we have quoted, “it is of little moment which party possesses corporation power, but it is of the utmost that party purposes should not be sustained by public means and authority. If justice is to be administered only by one party, it is but too possible that it may be granted only to one party.”

And this brings us to the remedy. A mind of extraordinary patience, research, and learning, has been recently engaged on this inquiry. Sir Francis Palgrave has put forth a tract upon Corporate Reform, wherein,



after an argument upon the case, in more than one form, he has drawn up and inserted a bill for the prevention of these evils, and the uniformity of Corporation government. We have already said, "*the root of the evil lies in the nature of the constituency, and in the frequency of municipal elections.*" This doctrine, we find, is confirmed by Sir Francis :—

"It is scarcely possible," he says, "to offer any argument in favour of a reform of our existing corporations more cogent and convincing than that which results from the idea usually suggested by the term corporation, an idea wholly at variance with the original intent of the word. Consult the charters incorporating the borough of *Dale*,—you will find that the king intended to give a legal existence to one united community of 'mayor, bailiffs, common council, and burgesses,' the latter including all the substantial householders of the town. The law seeks to incorporate them all into one 'body politic,'—all having similar interests,—all drawing the same way,—all working together for the preservation of the borough's peace, and the promotion of the prosperity of all the inhabitants. Such was the pristine theory of incorporation; and, for many ages, the practical effect of our corporate institutions corresponded with their theory. The privileges of the citizen were his pride and his delight. But now the idea suggested by the word 'corporation' is wholly changed. If any one speaks of the corporation of the borough of *Dale*, he thinks only of the *governing body*. The word, as people usually receive it, describes only the mayor, bailiffs, and common council, who are assumed to be *always opposed to the main body of the burgesses, and still more to the main body of the inhabitants*. Pray mark this; the two portions of the community,—the ruling classes and the ruled classes,—incorporated by law, but *dis-united by interest and feeling, are always drawing different ways, and disturbing the peace and tranquillity of the borough by their mutual dissensions and animosities*."

"These sources of discord do not afford any reason for attempting to subvert a system productive, on the whole, of the greatest advantage to our country. But they do suggest the necessity of speedily rectifying abuses which occasion much uneasiness and some danger to the whole community. Whatever places the people in opposition to their local authorities deprives those authorities of the power of administering efficient justice. The very foundation of the state is undermined, and the legislature should meet the evil without delay, by declaring on the statute roll that 'great and manifest abuses have arisen in the government and administration of municipal corporations, by which their efficacy has been diminished and their stability endangered.'

"The first step towards a reconciliation of interests between the rulers and the community would be to declare that every inhabitant householder (under such regulations as may be thought advisable) should, as such, be entitled to his freedom."

Here the real principle is mooted, and the true question arises; namely, whether, under the altered forms and opinions of men, these early modifications of society can be efficaciously revived? Whatever may have been the deserts of corporations in producing the results described by Sir Francis Palgrave in his letter to Mr. Hallam, whether "the constitution has or has not been upheld by these local and independent administrations," it appears there is now no need of any such championship, the constitution is protected by a wider and more powerful advocacy.

Neither can we disagree in the general principle with Sir Francis Palgrave, when he says, "Give respectability to municipal institutions,



render corporate rights a test of character, and you will always secure a supply of candidates for the stamp which the institutions afford." But how to effect this? Experience emphatically declares, that all the suggestions and arrangements, which during ages of experiment have been tried, are ineffectual—nay, worse, they have corrupted alike "the rulers and the ruled" in almost every instance—an unshunned consequence which proves the universal nature of the causes by the very same processes. For the same reasons we cannot coincide either in his details or in some of his inferences. Sir Francis says, "Municipal institutions, local jurisdictions, properly organised, and for these our ancient popular courts supply the best models, afford the only practicable method of satisfying the demand for cheap justice now so loudly urged." There is reason to doubt, in spite of the paradox, whether justice can be administered so cheaply by the agency of an unpaid, as by a stipendiary magistracy. To all corporate bodies is attached a host of lawyers and officials in the shape of recorders, stewards, town-clerks, chamberlains, chief-constables, mayor's officers, mace-bearers, and numberless other titles, all of which, except perhaps the very highest, receive emoluments, and large emoluments, from the corporation funds, to say nothing of perquisites. In some corporations the nominal salary of these officers is comparatively very low, while large amounts are drawn from fees. We can name one where the town-clerk is paid a guinea for each attendance at every meeting of the trustees of every charity; four such sometimes take place in one morning from eleven to two o'clock. He commonly sends a deputy, who has also a salary from the court, and pockets his four guineas, which are deducted from the funds of the charities. It is scarcely possible to obtain a knowledge either of the income or the expenditure of bodies corporate, and especially of the real proceeds of such offices. These, indeed, are but the staff of the generals; but they are not only more expensive, probably, but less compact,—under less control,—and ever infinitely more ready for party purposes than paid and legally responsible moveable police. In most cases, all these officers, who are engaged in the lower but most active departments for the protection of the persons and property of the burgesses, are removable at the pleasure or on the assumption of office, when they are frequently removed by the new chief magistrate for mere party reasons. Hence the continuity of the man's habits and dependences is broken; hence party supersedes principle; the constable chosen is the most zealous partizan at an election, local or general; and (a circumstance of no slight importance) two bodies of expectants are created in absolute hostility to, and ever upon the watch to contravene, each other. The more direct and palpable facts and arguments which show the tendency there must always be to give a bias to the decisions of magistrates interested for or against prosecutors or criminals; the intimate ties and connexions of commerce, relationship, acquaintance, and, above all, party opinions, which prevail in comparatively small societies, have been already set forth. They do not certainly show themselves often, in great violations of public or personal rights, but that they continually act to produce partial judgments, no one who has studied the workings of human passions will ever be disposed to question.

We will admit, fully admit, the justice of Sir Francis Palgrave's



position, that "if you in any wise injure the moral character, the influence, or the respectability of the ruling portions of society, those below them immediately receive a corresponding detriment and harm." This is true, but how does it apply to the question before us? In a manner directly the reverse of the sense in which he wishes it to be understood. Corporators ("the ruling portions of society") *have* injured, and must probably continue to injure, their own "moral character, influence, and respectability" *de facto*, by the means they must employ (adhesion to a party) and their contingent depravation in order to obtain office and to preserve it peacefully and pleurably to themselves. It was sufficiently notorious, before the publication of Mr. Bacon's letter to Lord Stormont, though not perhaps so universally understood, that seats in corporate assemblies are always obtained, according to the rule, for the contrary is the rare exception,—namely, by corrupt means. Mayors and aldermen, bailiffs and councils, are distinguished in their localities by their party associations; they demean themselves to all sorts of party strife, party intrigue, and party bribery, for the sake of this rank and its attendant privileges, and they must do so or go without them. All this is as well known to the inhabitants of corporate towns as the way to the town-hall, and it is no exaggeration to say that such offices are now held "in unutterable contempt." How often do we hear complaints that the inhabitants of the highest worth cannot be induced to support the respectability of corporations by taking office? In truth such complaints are almost universal. And why? Because the degradation, the animosities, the expenses implied in reaching office deter most men of honourable notions from the attempt.

Sir Francis assumes, that because the last parliament rejected the Clause of the Reform Bill, which by gradually rescinding the franchise by birth and servitude, would "have prepared the way for the abolition of corporate privileges, the legislature has pronounced its solemn decision in favour of the conservation of these bodies." We speak from authority when we say, that the framers of the Reform Bill regret that decision. They repent their own subsequent concession of the clause, and esteem it amongst the most fatal errors. The matter is formally argued in a late number (CXII.) of the "Edinburgh Review," and in an article to which report attaches an author of the highest exaltation.

"The worst part of the Bill," says the Reviewer, "was all along felt to be the continuance of the *Freeman's* right of voting. That class is, beyond doubt, the very worst to which the franchise could have been entrusted: more especially that portion of it that obtains the right by inheritance; those who become free by apprenticeship are, generally speaking, of a better description; being persons of industrious habits, and some substance. But those who have the right, merely because their parents had earned it, are as little likely to deserve it, as the descendants of men ennobled for their merits are to possess the same qualities: this is, indeed, the worst form of hereditary title; it has none of the few safeguards which exist in those of a higher description, while it has all their drawbacks. It must be recollected, that in the old boroughs, the habit of receiving bribes had become general and inveterate. All sense of shame was extinguished by its prevalence; the whole caste of freemen deemed it part of their privileges to be bought and sold; and men, even in other respects of reputable character, were often found accessible to this customary corruption, at least in its more mitigated form of head-money. When the body of freemen generally



were habituated to such practices, a great number of them were sure to be found in each place sunk in the lowest state of venality and corruption—selling themselves for a price, or, like cattle, bartered by wholesale dealers in the crime. It is beyond all question clear, that the late elections have exhibited instances of bribery among the freemen, on a scale that would have done credit to the worst days of the old system."

Mr. Bacon's pamphlet confirms this by instances, and indeed the fact cannot be denied. But how then was the legislature blinded? The legislature is composed of men of the same feelings and the same interests that belong to us all. They were about to return to a constituency in a greater degree consisting of these very freemen, and they looked forward to the consequences. It is believed that one of the present members for York, who was thrown out in the former contest by a brother reformer, when every thing favoured his election, owes his seat to having voted against the clause which his quondam successful adversary supported.

Proceeding to the details, the apparatus through which Sir Francis Palgrave proposes to regenerate corporations appears much too complicate and elaborate for the occasion. The question resolves itself, in our mind, into far simpler principles and far simpler means. Three purposes are to be answered—local police, charitable trusts, and the management of the poor. These are separate and separable offices and duties, and they ought to be separated, because they require entirely different attributes of character and attainment. We do not say that the same individual may not make a good police magistrate and an honest guardian of the public money; but the two things have no necessary or even implied connexion. The first of these offices, we maintain, is to be executed more impartially, more firmly, more consistently, and lastly, even more cheaply, by a stipendiary magistrate than by a corporation, with its attendant train of officials.\* The second should be entrusted to the burgesses most respected for probity, intellect, and property. The third to men of action and energetic minds, and whose ways of life lead them to an immediate acquaintance with the occupations and habits, the industry and idleness, the honesty, or the deceit of the applicants for relief. Opulent men will seldom sacrifice their ease or consent to harass their feelings to the extent that attendants on such duties demand. It is true, Sir Francis has, in his bill, invented very minute subdivisions of inspection and control. But is his plan practicable? Is it not destroyed by its very subtilization? He takes it for granted that

"The mischievous power hitherto possessed by the ruling bodies of corporations, the power of misapplying the corporate funds for the purpose of defraying the electioneering expenses of a favourite candidate, is at length restrained. And no real valid reason can be given why these bodies should not be wholly prohibited from managing the common stock of the community, otherwise than for the benefit of the community."

We have shown that he is in error. This mischievous power is still in full, though (to a certain extent) in *covert* action; nor is it easy to invent

---

\* A return of the expenses attending the maintenance of the police, and the business of the corporate body itself, should have been ordered together with the inquiries made in the late circular from the Home Office; these are very material, if not the most momentous items in the consideration of the propriety of resuscitating the old and creating new bodies corporate.



any means of precluding its misapplication \* to the purposes of those in whose hands it is lodged. It can be extinguished by removing (the Reform Bill does it) all paupers from the franchise; so far as relates to that class. But the influence given by the distribution of charitable funds, by the power of appointing to offices of emolument, and by the employment of tradesmen, it is next to impossible to subvert so long as it is vested in permanent corporations.

“ If, instead of viewing corporations as vital portions of the commonwealth, all parties of the legislature have considered these institutions merely as the convenient depositories of parliamentary interest,” the mischief is done: ages must revolve before a pure animus can be instilled. The frequent recurrence of municipal elections and their baneful consequences seem to have escaped the view of our learned author, and indeed of most other people. Yet this is amongst the greatest evils; and its pernicious agency would of course be augmented, should the duration of parliament be shortened, all the corruption of municipal being referable to the root of general elections. In support of this opinion, we need only recur to the state of the city of Norwich; nor does Norwich stand alone. These contests train the more affluent inhabitants of boroughs to eternal hostility, eternal intrigue, eternal animosity; the lower classes to eternal demoralization.

Having thus ended our irksome but important task of objection, it will be inquired what we have to propose? Our answer is to simplify the administration of the several duties we have classified, and to adapt them to the objects.

First for the police: it appears to us that a responsible stipendiary magistrate answerable to and amoveable by the government on any well-supported evidence of the abuse of authority, is the most likely to be at once impartial and efficacious, both as regards the prevention and the punishment of the misdemeanors and crimes which legitimately fall under such jurisdiction. Secondly, in regard to charitable funds,—trustees chosen from the most respectable citizens for stated periods, say three or five years, by householders paying a certain rent, appear to be most likely to stand above all temptation. And these should be restrained from the receipts of any emolument, directly or indirectly, arising out of the proceeds of the charities, either by treasurerships, the performance of work, or any other sinister methods. Auditors ought in all cases to be superadded, not elected, from persons not belonging to the body; the accounts ought to be published in the local journals.

Thirdly, the levy and distribution, and poor-rates would be most

---

\* Many charitable foundations are restricted with respect to the objects, for example, the candidate for admission into an hospital we could point out, must be of a certain age, a certain trade, and an inhabitant of a certain quarter of the town. A man was recently admitted merely on party grounds, who could conform to no one of these qualifications; objection was taken by a corporator. You are probably right, said the dons; but such appointments have been often made, and we shall make this; if you can set us right legally, pray do. You know the course in such a case; the corporation funds would be employed to defend the parties against an individual, who, of course, would not incur the expense of an application to the Court of King's Bench. This is a great, a frequent, and a crying evil; it is the most effectual mode of deterring those who seek justice from its pursuit.



properly entrusted to a board consisting of one or more inhabitants of each parish, according to the numbers of its population, to be elected annually at the vestry, by those parishoners who contribute to the rates : this would secure a locally informed and an active court of administrators.

To such simple divisions and regulations would we reduce municipal government. Nothing short of the positive abolition of bodies corporate can rectify the various abuses which have arisen from their present constitution, and from the misapplication of the property submitted to their direction.

And to set the matter in the plainest light, we shall finally recapitulate our principles and our inductions.

1st. The original design of these institutions having passed away, and their universal tendency having been found to be the corruption of those holding or electing to corporate offices, they now exist under the total loss of public estimation.

2d. To endeavour to revive this estimation is perfectly useless, because the progress of manners has entirely changed the notions of society ; because the pageantry has become absurd, and the election and administration corrupt ; and lastly, because it is next to impossible to continue the form, without subjecting it, in the execution, to the same obstacles.

3d. A classification of duties appears to be desirable, and it is especially important to adopt the functionary to the function.

4th. A division of powers seems most likely to obtain the end, the simplest, readiest, and most impartial government.

5th. A constituency, whose elective competency should be based upon higher degrees of property, and embrace a wider extent of numbers, and must form the foundation of an improved representation ; elections to be less frequent where the duties, such as the distribution of charitable funds, can be placed under strict laws and effectual control, annual where trusts, like the distribution of the poor-rates, are implied.

By means, even so simple, might be avoided that admixture of municipal elections with general representation, which acts so fatally upon the society, the interests, and the morals of corporate towns. The grand object of desire and of contest, if not absolutely removed, would be at least resolved to its own elements, passions, and temptations.

---

#### PECCHIO AND PUDDING.

“ The plum pudding is a sweet compound of flour, eggs, milk, sugar, raisins, brandy, and beef-suet, which is easily digested by means of a ride of twenty miles on a high-trotting horse.”—*Pecchio's Observations in England*, p. 355, note.

Only ride twenty miles on a hard-trotting horse,  
And you'll eat a plum-pudding, though greasy and coarse ;  
Go fourscore miles more on a frisky Welsh pony,  
And you'll swallow a sirloin, rank, stringy, and bony ;  
With a cool hundred more, (which just fifty times four is,)  
You'd bolt Pecchio himself, with his wonderful stories,—IGNOTUS.



THE WONDROUS TALE OF ALROY,  
BY THE AUTHOR OF "VIVIAN GREY."\*

WE have received these volumes somewhat too late to afford them and their gifted writer so prolonged a criticism as we could wish. The time has gone by for us to criticise the former works of Mr. D'Israeli; to point out the faults and beauties of "Vivian Grey"—the racy and felicitous satire of "Poponilla," (a work to which the world has not yet done justice)—or the various errors which marred the excellent conception of the "Young Duke." Of "Contarini Fleming" we have, within the last few months, recorded our opinion; it is the highest and the most matured of Mr. D'Israeli's novels; a work in which he has begun to learn that an author is an artist. The novel before us is not without glaring faults, but it is full of all sorts of beauties. The Tale of Alroy is a kind of prose opera; the same gorgeousness of scene—the same floridity of sentiment—the same union of music, pageantry, and action, that allure us at the King's Theatre—dazzle, and sometimes almost fatigue us from their very brilliancy, in the volumes now before us. Debarred the stage in its present state, for which the talents of the author are peculiarly suited, Mr. D'Israeli embodies stage effects in a romance. Hence much of a certain startling and meretricious abruptness of style, which we cannot persuade ourselves to admire; hence, too, much of a poetical rhythm—evidently *intended* by the author (and not the result of negligence)—which, in the midst of a prose work, runs with a displeasing sweetness on the ear. Many of the sentences glide into "regular metre," as the following, (we break the words printed as prose into blank verse)—

- "Or sail upon the cool and azure lake  
In some bright barque, like to a sea-nymph's shell,  
And followed by the swans."
- "There is no lake so blue as thy blue eye,  
There is no swan so white as thy round arm."
- "Or shall we lance our falcons in the air,  
And bring the golden pheasant to our feet?" &c.

Such instances occur perpetually, and often the verse is really so fine that it is a thousand pities it should be mistaken by that Mons. Jourdain, the Public, for prose; still more is it a pity when what would be a beauty in verse becomes a fault in prose. Mr. D'Israeli has, we know, his own opinions in this respect, and denies that it is a fault. We cannot at present spare the space for a dispute—we adjourn the question. A very little additional trouble would have concocted these prose volumes into a tale in verse, and verse of no ordinary power, melody, and diversity; and perhaps ten years ago we should have been criticising the poem—as fifty years ago we should have been crowding to the tragedy—and this day we are reviewing the romance—of Alroy, the ambitious aspirant to the Eastern Thrones. The subject is conceived with great boldness—the plot is perfectly original—it is essentially and even superbly dramatic. An Israelite of the name of David Alroy, who



existed in the middle ages, assumed to himself the ambition of a king, and the sanctity of a Messiah. Assembling the Jewish tribes inhabiting the vicinity of the Mount of Chophta, he taught them to obey, to believe, and to make war. It is the career of this bold impostor that the author has traced. The dullest reader will perceive how rich are the materials he has employed—how full a scope the narrative presents for stirring adventure and for gorgeous description. The author, too, is no fireside delineator of fancied pictures. He has visited the vast plains and the mighty ruins, the burning deserts and the mystic rivers he describes; he assists his imagination by his memory. In selecting extracts from the work, we are made the more susceptible of its genius and its defects; it is too *achingly* brilliant—it wants repose; every page of the narrative is loaded with poetical adornment. We make extracts at random, sure to chance upon a passage characteristic of the work, and manifesting the powers of the author.

### THE JACKAL, THE MARTEN CAT, AND THE LION.

“Night brings rest; night brings solace; rest to the weary; solace to the sad. And to the desperate night brings despair.

“The moon has sunk to early rest; but a thousand stars are in the sky. The high mountains rise severe in the clear and silent air. In the forest all is still. The tired wind no longer roams, but has lightly dropped on its leafy couch, and sleeps like man. Silent all but the fountain’s drip. And by the fountain’s side a youth is lying.

“Suddenly a creature steals through the black and broken rocks. Ha, ha! the jackal smells from afar the rich corruption of the courser’s clay. Suddenly and silently it steals, and stops, and smells. Brave banqueting I ween to-night for all that goodly company. Jackal, and fox, and marten cat, haste ye now ere morning’s break shall call the vulture to his feast, and rob ye of your prey.

“The jackal lapped the courser’s blood, and moaned with exquisite delight. And in a moment, a faint bark was heard in the distance. And the jackal peeled the flesh from one of the ribs, and again burst into a shriek of mournful extasy.

“Hark, their quick tramp! First six, and then three, galloping with ungodly glee. And a marten cat came rushing down from the woods; but the jackals, fierce in their number, drove her away, and there she stood without the circle, panting, beautiful, and baffled, with her white teeth and glossy skin, and sparkling eyes of rabid rage.

“Suddenly, as one of the half-gorged jackals retired from the main corpse, dragging along a stray member by some still palpitating nerves, the marten cat made a spring at her enemy, carried off his prey, and rushed into the woods.

“Her wild scream of triumph woke a lion from his lair. His mighty form black as ebony, moved on a distant eminence, his tail flowed like a serpent. He roared, and the jackals trembled, and immediately ceased from their banquet, turning their heads in the direction of their sovereign’s voice. He advanced; he stalked towards them. They retired; he bent his head, examined the carcass with condescending curiosity, and instantly quitted it with royal disdain. The jackals again collected around their garbage. The lion advanced to the fountain to drink. He beheld a man. His mane rose, his tail was wildly agitated, he bent over the sleeping Prince, he uttered an awful roar, which woke Alroy.”

This description is full of poetry and power. But the finest scene in the book, perhaps, and a scene full of a very high and dark order of imagination, is to be found in Alroy’s successful enterprise for the



sceptre of Solomon. To obtain this treasure, he braves the power of the Afrites, those terrible genii of the eastern superstition. And here the author exerts all the power, and calls in all the aid of imaginative poesy.

“In the range of mountains that lead from Olivet to the river Jordan is the great cavern of *Genthesma*, a mighty excavation formed by the combined immemorial work of nature and of art. For on the high basaltic columns are cut strange characters and unearthly forms, and in many places the natural ornaments have been completed by the hands of the sculptor into symmetrical entablatures and fanciful capitals. The work, they say, of captive Dives and conquered Afrites, for the great king.

“It was midnight; the cold full moon showered its brilliancy upon this narrow valley, shut in on all sides by black and barren mountains. A single being stood at the entrance of the cave.

“It was Alroy. Desperate and determined, after listening to the two spirits in the tomb, he was resolved to penetrate the mysteries of *Genthesma*.

\* \* \* \* \*

“A small and bright red cloud seemed sailing towards him. It opened, discharged from its bosom a silvery star, and dissolved again into darkness. But the star remained, the silvery star, and threw a long line of tremulous light upon the vast and raging rapid, which now, fleet and foaming, revealed itself on all sides to the eye of Alroy.

“The beautiful interposition in his favour re-animated the adventurous pilgrim. A dark shadow in the fore-ground, breaking the line of light shed by the star upon the waters, attracted his attention. He advanced, regained his former footing, and more nearly examined it. It was a boat, and in the boat, mute and immovable, sat one of those vast, singular, and hideous forms which he had observed sculptured on the walls of the gallery.

“David Alroy, committing his fortunes to the God of Israel, leapt into the boat.

“And at the same moment the Afrite, for it was one of those dread beings, raised the oars, and the boat moved. The falling waters suddenly parted in the long line of the star’s reflection, and the bark glided through their high and severed masses.

“In this wise they proceeded for a few minutes, until they entered a beautiful and moonlit lake. In the distance was a mountainous country. Alroy examined his companion with a feeling of curiosity not unmixed with terror. It was remarkable that Alroy could never succeed in any way attracting his notice. The Afrite seemed totally unconscious of the presence of his passenger. At length the boat reached the opposite shore of the lake, and the Prince of the Captivity disembarked.

“He disembarked at the head of an avenue of colossal lions of red granite, which extended far as the eye could reach, and which ascended the side of the mountain, which was cut into a flight of magnificent steps. The easy ascent was in consequence soon accomplished, and Alroy, proceeding along the avenue of lions, soon gained the summit of the mountain.

“To his infinite astonishment, he beheld Jerusalem. That strongly-marked locality could not be mistaken: at his feet were *Jehosaphat*, *Kedron*, *Siloah*: he stood upon *Olivet*; before him was *Sion*. But in all other respects, how different was the landscape to the one he had gazed upon, a few days back, for the first time! The surrounding hills sparkled with vineyards, and glowed with summer palaces, and voluptuous pavilions, and glorious gardens of pleasure. The city, extending all over Mount *Sion*, was encompassed with a wall of white marble, with battlements of gold, a gorgeous mass of gates and pillars, and gardened terraces, lofty piles of rarest materials, cedar, and ivory, and precious stones, and costly columns



of the richest workmanship, and the most fanciful orders, capitals of the otus and the palm, and flowing friezes of the olive and the vine.

“ And in the front a mighty temple rose, with inspiration in its very form, a Temple so vast, so sumptuous, there required no priest to tell us that no human hand planned that sublime magnificence ! \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

“ The portal opened with a crash of thunder louder than an earthquake. Pale, panting, and staggering, the Prince of the Captivity entered an illimitable hall, illumined by pendulous and stupendous balls of glowing metal. On each side of the hall, sitting on golden thrones, was ranged a line of kings; and, as the pilgrim entered, the monarchs rose, and took off their diadems, and waved them thrice, and thrice repeated, in solemn chorus, ‘ All hail, Alroy ! Hail to thee, brother king. Thy crown awaits thee !’

“ The Prince of the Captivity stood trembling, with his eyes fixed upon the ground, and leaning breathless against a column. And when at length he had a little recovered himself, and dared again to look up, he found the monarchs were reseated; and, from their still and vacant visages, apparently unconscious of his presence. And this emboldened him, and so staring alternately at each side of the hall, but with a firm, perhaps desperate step, Alroy advanced.

“ And he came to two thrones which were set apart from the others in the middle of the hall. On one was seated a noble figure, far above the common stature, with arms folded and downcast eyes. His feet rested upon a broken sword and a shivered sceptre, which told he was a monarch in spite of his discrowned head.

“ And on the opposite throne was a venerable personage, with a long flowing beard, and dressed in white raiment. His countenance was beautiful, although ancient. Age had stole on without its imperfections, and time had only invested it with a sweet dignity and solemn grace. The countenance of the king was upraised with a seraphic gaze, and as he thus looked up on high, with eyes full of love, and thanksgiving, and praise, his consecrated fingers seemed to touch the trembling wires of a golden harp.

“ And farther on, and far above the rest, upon a throne that stretched across the hall, a most imperial presence straightway flashed upon the startled vision of Alroy. Fifty steps of ivory, and each step guarded by golden lions, led to a throne of jasper. A dazzling light blazed forth from the glittering diadem and radiant countenance of him who sat upon the throne, one beautiful as a woman, but with the majesty of a god. And in one hand he held a seal, and in the other a sceptre.

“ And when Alroy had reached the foot of the throne, he stopped, and his heart misgave him. And he prayed for some minutes in silent devotion, and, without daring to look up, he mounted the first step of the throne, and the second, and the third, and so on, with slow and faltering feet, until he reached the forty-ninth step.

“ The Prince of the Captivity raised his eyes. He stood before the monarch face to face. In vain Alroy attempted to attract his attention or to fix his gaze. The large black eyes, full of supernatural lustre, appeared capable of piercing all things, and illuminating all things, but they flashed on without shedding a ray upon Alroy.

“ Pale as a spectre, the pilgrim, whose pilgrimage seemed now on the point of completion, stood cold and trembling before the object of all his desires, and all his labours. But he thought of his country, his people, and his God, and while his noiseless lips breathed the name of Jehovah, solemnly he put forth his arm, and, with a gentle firmness, grasped the unresisting sceptre of his great ancestor.

“ And, as he seized it, the whole scene vanished from his sight !

These extracts will suffice to give the reader a notion of the power of



language, and the glowing fancy, which are exhibited in the “Wondrous Tale of Alroy.” It is a work far more adapted for popularity than “Contarini Fleming.” It is full of incident—of stir and passion—of wild and melodramatic adventure. It will doubtless be adapted to the stage, for which it is eminently well suited. Its faults we have already hinted at; viz. a diction too often rhythmical—a brilliancy too often meretricious—an imagination too often exaggerated. But there is always metal beneath its exuberant floridity—the sword of the thyrsus as well as the flowers. To the Tale of Alroy, which occupies about two volumes and a half, is added a story of simpler and less elaborate materials, but upon one of the noblest subjects that ever flashed on the conception of the romance-writer or the poet—viz. the “Rise of Iskander.” The two tales form a consistent and harmonious whole—there is a connexion as well as a contrast—between the fall of an impostor, and the rise of a patriot.

We cordially recommend these remarkable volumes to the attention they will doubtless receive: to the common reader, their exciting narrative and glowing diction will be their best charm—to a more examining and critical reader, we beg to observe that to us it seems necessary, in order fairly to judge the *degree* of merit to which they attain, to compare them to no every-day standard of romantic fiction. It will not be fair to apply to writings evidently written upon poetical models, the canons only of prose.

---

### MONTHLY COMMENTARY.

---

New Literary Association—Pleasures intended for the Poor—On Grand Christian Names—Church Property—The Danger of Tea-drinking—Dr. Lardner on Style—Manners of the South Sea transferred to Cornhill—The Prevalence of Lying—Tout est Perdu—Earl Fitzwilliam and Eorlderman Waithman.

---

NEW LITERARY ASSOCIATION.—Some subscriptions lately started will surely suggest to authors some system of self-relief more satisfactory than an appeal to public charity. Individually there is nothing to be done, corporately much. The relief of bad writers is not intended: the only relief such persons can expect is to be driven into some other mode of gaining a livelihood. What would be said of a shoemaker who could fit no foot, and whose handywork was slovenly and misshapen,—simply that something of a temporary nature should be done for him till he could find another line in which he might be useful. We would speak of authors of acknowledged talent,—men whose works are in request, and who are capable of acquiring a sufficient income. There are many causes why even such men frequently fall behindhand; and, once embarrassed, such is the nature of their profession, that it is highly improbable that they should retrieve themselves. The causes may be indicated thus: literary gains are both uncertain in amount and in time; uncertainty leads to anticipation, debt, and overshooting: it is not always that men are capable of intellectual work; the results of their inspiration are not always lucky; it is not always that an author can safely say what he intends to produce: in the course of moulding his genius will take its own way. Again,



men always calling upon their imagination, will find it come when uncalled for,—it will intrude upon calculations; literary men are sanguine; persons like them, too, living much in the ideal world, or, on the other hand, holding the pen of national instruction, are apt to forget somewhat their mundane condition, and order their conduct by intellectual rather than social rank; they are sensitive—nay, quarrelsome; and often far more haughty in their dealings than they can afford. All this must be forgiven them; it comes of their occupation, just as consumption comes of polishing and pointing needles. The question is, whether by any system of mutual assistance literary men may be relieved of their consequences. Take any case—suppose the one of a man of undoubted talent, like Leigh Hunt, who never could be at a loss for employment if the proceeds of the whole year be taken into account. And yet, coming in as the incomes of literary men do, certain we are that a sure annuity, or a dividend from the funds of half the amount of their gains, would be more serviceable; and glad would he, or, if not he, many others, be to exchange an equal and certain income for one of much larger apparent value. If he had capital to fall back upon in case of temporary cessation—not so—but as he and most other literary men are without any capital save the landed property that lies under the *pia mater*, such an arrangement would be in the highest degree beneficial. If such individual were in arrears, the difference between his certain and his uncertain income might be reserved for their payment;—if happily unencumbered, then the difference would pass to his account, and be laid up a store for that time when the eyes grow dim and the fingers wax stiff. Now this being true in one case, it is true of a thousand, according to their means. The bottom of it all is, that capital is wanting individually, and the problem to be solved is, whether that which is wanted individually can be supplied corporately.

The sketch of a plan has occurred to us.

Let a literary loan and life insurance society be formed; other professions have their peculiar life insurance societies; in this case a new feature is to be added. It is the insurance of incomes under certain circumstances.

Suppose an author comes to the secretary of the society, and shows him that for the last few years he has been in the receipt of five, six, seven, or eight hundred per annum; that his engagements are of such and such a nature, promising a continuation of the same income; and makes it further appear that, falling in as it does, it prevents his accumulating anything, and, in fact, leads him into debt, or, at least, to require credit; and we all know that five pounds ready money is worth full ten in uncertain expectancy. Life being insured in the office, the secretary would have no difficulty in saying, Well, you must live on so much—you shall receive it here monthly, stipulating that you refer every payment, be it of a pound, to your account here. This stipulation is liable to evasion; but the proceeding must be, not a merely legal, but an honourable one: the word of honour would be more binding than a bond among the persons for whose relief we are planning; the penalty, expulsion from the society and exposure of name. Gamblers and stockbrokers get on well together by the aid of honour, surely authors may. Well then, suppose the arrangement made—the literary bank pays the monthly income, and receives the general proceeds and earnings;—five per cent. would be



charged on all advances, and, at the end of the year, a portion, say five per cent. be withdrawn for the covering of all losses, and the expenses of the establishment, or for accumulation, to be given as bonuses, or for sick allowances, as might be arranged.

We have mentioned expulsion from the society as a check—this implies a society—yes ; the business of the Secretary and the Board would be greatly lightened, and the security of the institution greatly promoted, by excluding all from the advantages of the establishment, who were not members of the society : admission to it being secured only on certain conditions, viz., an entrance fee, an annual subscription,—and, more important than all, proof of having gained a certain sum or income by literary labour.

The grand purpose of such an institution is to relieve the essential cares of a literary life ; it might however, be made to contribute to its pleasures. A club might be associated with it—a corresponding association—a library—lectures ;—the Athenæum was got up under an idea of bringing literary men together, and of contributing one way or other to the advancement of literature : it is a mere club ; its founders never understood the wants of professional literary men, or had grown above them. The Literary Union consists, we believe, more exclusively of authors, but we are not aware that they congregate to any useful purpose : still these clubs might be made useful in the erection of our Literary Bank ; and, if patronized by them, it would at any rate stand a chance of being tried.

The approval of such a club as the Athenæum, consisting very much as it does of the wealthier lovers of literature, would assuredly greatly assist in setting the subscription for the capital afloat ; though, were the scheme in the hands of good managers, we firmly believe there would be no difficulty in raising two or three hundred thousand pounds, or more. Five per cent. is not easily had for money, and more capital would not be called for than paid that interest, besides a great many collateral advantages that shareholders would possess, or might be made to possess.

This is a plan that requires development and arrangement, such as it is impossible to give it in this form ; but it is quite capable of being put in a shape that would stand the examination of a man of figures, besides containing elements that appeal to other organs than those of number.

**PLEASURES INTENDED FOR THE POOR.**—There has been a good deal of sympathy shown of late for the poor ;—some are for providing them with play-grounds, others are standing up for their foot-paths ;—the Ten Hours' Bill is a step to the abolition of the white slave trade ; the Sabbath is to be held sacred for the sake of the labourer ; and it might be thought, if it were not for the bishops and the beer-shops, that the powerful were about to lead the poor into an earthly Paradise. They are to have walks built by river sides, and open spaces are to be reserved for their exercises,—their ancient paths, by stile and brook and copse, are to be no longer closed against them ; their children are not to be permitted to work too long, and they are not to be held to labour too many days of the week. This is all very well, and we find no fault with it ; but what poor man has the heart to play as he returns from receiving parish pay : with animal food once or twice a-week, it is well



if the labourer has strength to get through his work, much less to play. What cares he for footpaths when they only lead to ill-paid work? if want drives him to poaching, he makes his own way and asks no man's leave. The beauties of nature have no charms for the father who has to keep a family on ten shillings a-week; the hay does not grow for his horse, and the corn is cut for bread almost too dear to buy; he has no cow to feed upon the pasture that looks so bright and green to well-fed eyes. His pleasures are mightily few; one however he has,—the beer-shop,—for there he can go and grumble. Hence it is we suppose that of all the delights spread out and to be spread for the poor man, the only one exactly suited to his wants—the grumbling-shop—is the only one against which there is an almost universal outcry.

Instead of plotting play-grounds for those who are too sad to sport, or preserving bye-paths for those who are almost too weary to go any way but one,—the cut across to the church-yard,—take off taxes, relieve labour from its burthens; let us contrive to make the poor man's home happier, his food more plentiful, his family better clothed and better taught, he will then for himself soon find a nice play-ground for his little ones; and he himself, provided his shoes be good, will hardly care much if he is obliged to trudge by the road rather than the field-path.

ON GRAND CHRISTIAN NAMES.—Nothing will satisfy the Negroes in the West Indies, when they are christening their children, but a long name; the longer the name, the greater the honour: John and George are despised; and a traveller tells us, that he made one poor woman happy, by standing godfather to a little black pudding, to whom he gave the name of Chrononhotonthologos. The Americans, on the Western borders, have a fancy to give what are called fine names. Mr. Stuart tells us, that the appellations of all the young ladies in one district end in *α*.

“I remonstrated with Mr. Picket upon the Minerva names of the female part of his family. He defended himself on the ground, that it was now the universal custom of the country, that the Christian names of ladies should end in A. His defence is, I believe, generally well founded, but it is singular, that such a custom should prevail in a new country, professing perfect simplicity of manners, or that *new* families in England, of recently acquired wealth, should almost invariably abandon the far more beautiful and simple names of Mary, Jane, &c., and adopt the fanciful and romantic names of Theodosia, Constantia, &c., which, in nine cases out of ten, only serve to make the nominees ridiculous.

“If the parvenus of England knew how the Newcastles and the aristocracy of England, whose manners they try to imitate, laugh at this practice, they would infallibly abandon it.”—*Stuart's America*. p. 376. vol. II.

Mr. Stuart is far from shewing his usual good sense here. His remonstrance was quite out of place: Mr. Picket had quite as much right to consult his taste, and make all his daughters end in A as the Duke of Gordon to give all his greyhounds names beginning with Z; or the Duke of Grafton all his race-horses with W. We dare say, no Yankee ever remonstrated with them on the occasion. The beauty and simplicity of names are altogether arbitrary: Mary and Elizabeth, and Judith, may suit a taste formed on the Puritan model, that is to say, an English and a Scotch taste: the French consider Victoire, Adele, Adriane, or any other such “fanciful and romantic” names, quite as simple, and perhaps as beautiful, as Mr. Stuart does Mary and Jane. In



England, our constant adherence to the Puritan names is a proof rather of want of taste and information than anything else. If the aristocracy have more than others indulged in a variety of names, it is because their connexions have been more various, often foreign, and frequently with persons who could afford to please their fancy. Mr. Stuart seems to think that the laughter of the class he indicates by the Newcastles is a thing to be dreaded: it may certainly be so to those who endeavour to imitate them, if there are such. The aristocracy is undoubtedly powerful, but surely it is not quite so strong as to be able to make the people change their system of names,—and by a laugh too. They who call their girls Constantia, Alathæa, &c., because some of the nobility may own them, deserve to be ridiculed; but they who choose to travel from Jewish to Greek and Latin sounds, because they admire them, or because they call up pleasant associations, we hope would never turn to the red-book, or the pension-list, to see whether the great or the fashionable have had similar tastes. Surely a man may do what he likes with his own!

**CHURCH PROPERTY.**—Church robbery has begun: we hope it will be followed by corporation robbery next, and then by school robbery. Church property should provide for the wants of religion,—corporation property for those of police,—school property for the necessities of education. There are few things better known than that the present disposition of church property is injurious to religion,—that corporation funds go to feed, instead of to catch thieves; and that in those schools where the endowments are the richest, there the education is the poorest. If we cannot have a national church so as to embrace all, after the charitable plan of Dr. Arnold, we can take all our thieves in one net, and teach all our boys out of one book: we may have, in short, a general police and a universal education.

When the municipalities are properly formed, every district will have its little capital; this capital will contain its head police-office, its head school, its library, and its museum. Education comes of more things than books: one of the causes of the superior humanity of townsmen is their greater familiarity with works of art and the specimens of their fellow-man's ingenuity. The French people receive a good deal of this education in their museums and collections of curiosities, to which people of all ranks have admission. Mrs. Strutt, in her "Six Weeks on the Loire," speaking of the museum at Angiers, says, "A regiment had marched into Angiers that morning, and, before two hours had elapsed, there were at least a dozen private soldiers at the Musée looking at the pictures in respectful silence, and with a discriminating attention which showed that it was not the first time they had been in an exhibition of the kind." Where would they have been in England?—at the public-house; and had there been a museum in the town it would have either been shut against them, or money which they could not pay, would have been demanded at the entrance. As far as books are concerned, the lower orders of France are not better educated than in England; but they have far more self-respect, and receive a sort of education from their museums, collections of natural history, their humanizing dances, and the amusements of their *jours de fêtes*.

If all that aldermen of corporate towns eat and drink on their festival days,—if all the snug little sinecures in their gift,—if all the jobbing



which goes to make the fortune of the town-clerk, and the mayor's nephews and nieces,—had been spent for the public good, then not a town of the size of Reading or Doncaster that might not have possessed at this moment a capital collection of pictures, a good scientific museum, all sorts of astronomical and other instruments, an excellent library, a botanic garden, and others of those means of public improvement and enlightenment. In this case our artists would not now be pining by dozens; and the authors of good books would at any rate always be sure of a certain quantity of sale. At present they are taxed, —otherwise robbed,—they, of all people in the world! —to the amount of eleven copies of each work,—by such institutions as we possess now under the old system of abuse,—that is to say, by Sion College,—a dungeon in the city of London,—by St. Andrew's, ready to sell her share of the plunder for 500*l.* per annum,—by the libraries of Cambridge and Oxford, for the sole use of the monks of either place,—and other similar and far less deserving institutions.

What would not be the humanizing influence of these little capitals of art and civilization? What have we in their stead? A heap of aldermen,—perhaps a dean and chapter, with a cathedral library never entered; a wealthy foundation-school with three charity scholars and four-and-twenty boarders, each paying a hundred a-year; and another large school without any endowment of either wealth or learning, where nothing is learnt because nothing is taught, and the only purpose of which seems to be to consume so much of life. What little art or science or literature such towns possess may be discovered in their secret pining, struggling, dissatisfied talent,—working its way under all kinds of difficulty, and ultimately either escaping to the metropolis, or sinking into the grave. The crime of both our schools and our corporations is, that they not only fail in doing what they attempt, but they prevent the institution of better things. We would put the church money in one heap, the school money in another, the corporation money in another, and apply it according to the lights of this age. The donors did the same in their time; but their ideas are outgrown; the plans of the institutions they founded differ as widely from the wants of their times as their garments they wore, and how the fashion of them would suit our notions of beauty and utility may be seen in the robes of the boys of Christ's Hospital. Their long blue coats, yellow stockings, and shallow caps are as well fitted to their outward as Latin and Greek to the inward man.

THE DANGER OF TEA-DRINKING.—The South Carolinians are famous for their fervid eloquence: the Tariff, combined with the heat of the climate, is the source of much inspiration. General Hamilton, at a late meeting at Charleston, made a speech which was received with rapturous applause. Among other things, he said, “He had himself made an importation, having made a shipment of rice to the Havanna, and ordered a return cargo of sugar. He would allow his importation to go into the Custom-house stores, and wait events. He would not produce unnecessary collision; but, if our hopes of a satisfactory adjustment of the question were disappointed, he *knew that his fellow-citizens would go even to the death with him for his sugar.*”—[He was interrupted by an unanimous burst of accord.] “Go to the death for sugar!” In the beginning of the Revolution, the quarrel with England was about



tea. The Bostonians went even to the death for tea ! It is now a tax on sugar that is to produce a further split in this great continent. It is curious to think, that that great country should always be going to loggerheads about a cup of tea. Tea must be a very combustible material : *We* have had some ill-temper shown on the subject at home, and have put it under a Board of Control. In the shape of slavery, it has kept this country, and its tea, in hot water for thirty years. Pope speaks of a lady who never took a dish of tea without a stratagem ; and it seems she was in the right, for it appears a very dangerous thing. America has fought and bled for its cup of tea first, and is now likely to do the same for sugar to put into it ; while the ill-blood that has been made here, and the black blood that has been spilt in the colonies, altogether proves a cup of tea to be a beverage brimming with strife and disunion. Its effects may be observed on old maids : tea and scandal are always coupled together : but when nations get to their cups the consequences are more serious. The Bostonians threw some hundreds of chests into the sea, and after having made that enormous cup of tea in the bay with salt water, peace was unknown for many years. Now we shall have a series of combats among hogsheads of sugar, more inflammatory than barrels of gunpowder.

DR. LARDNER ON STYLE.—In awarding the prize to the member of the Mechanics' Institute for the best essay on steam, Dr. Lardner paid the candidates what he considered, no doubt, a high compliment : Dr. Lardner said he had had the pleasure of examining the five essays proposed for the prize, and he could safely say, from a pretty large experience in examining manuscripts, from persons of the highest pretensions, that the very worst of them exceeded the ordinary standard, even in purely literary qualities. Dr. Lardner's experience in examining MSS. from persons of the highest literary pretensions, dates, we presume, from his editorship of the " Cabinet Cyclopædia." The eminent persons who write for that work will hardly be pleased with the Doctor for his unhandsome allusion to the state of their manuscripts. It is to be presumed that the Doctor is speaking of scientific authors, and not of his co-operators, the late Sir James Mackintosh, Messrs. Southey, Moore, and Macaulay ; and it is an undoubted fact, that some of the very worst writers in England are men of the greatest science. A good style is not merely an affair of phrase : the imagination, where there is one, colours every word even of a plain style, and it is the presence or the absence of this faculty which often makes the difference between a good or a bad writer. The cultivation of the exact sciences indisposes the imagination to any other work than the arrangement of quantities ; and thus diverts it from all those occupations which invariably tend to fertilize the composition of a writer. The old belles-lettres professors seem to think, that style is to be obtained by studying models of phraseology. This is a mistake : style comes from the mind ; the study of models may teach the writer to prune and arrange, but can no more produce good composition, than the gardener can cause a good crop of fruit with his hammer and nails, and bits of scarlet cloth.

THE MANNERS OF THE SOUTH SEA TRANSFERRED TO CORNHILL.—The attempt to assassinate Mr. Mellish, the wealthy contractor, in open day, in the middle of the city, when taken in conjunction with the apparent



sanity and coolness of the perpetrator, who never made any attempt at escape, nor yet denied his object for an instant, has been the cause of some surprise and perplexity. The motive seems almost trivial,—a civil injury, such as in great dealings necessarily often occurs between agents and principals, servants and masters. Peaceful people, who value life and never risk it beyond the hazards of a Hackney or Brixton stage, cannot think how, except for the very blackest causes, a man will either shed blood or encounter an ignominious death. The mystery is, however, out when we learn that this individual, who is named Foulger, has been long a master of one of those South Sea whalers,—a species of occupation in which it is almost impossible to engage without acquiring habits of violence and recklessness, or becoming indifferent to life. These vessels go out nominally to the South Sea for oil; but this is the least part of their labour: they trade and barter in every part of the Eastern and Southern world, and very frequently are six or seven years absent. The crew are sharers in the profits, and are, down to the cabin-boy, interested in them. Whether this circumstance contributes to destroy discipline, or whether this effect arises from their long removal from any public opinion but their own,—from their being so long shut up together that they hate each other's very countenance, or to their mixing with so many half-savage nations, and thus becoming habituated to the violent manners and sudden passions of the East; the fact is, that these ships are frequently little else than Pandemoniums. Incessant quarrels take place, the crew is of every nation, and of many where the knife is the natural weapon of revenge; the pistol snaps across the table for an offensive word,—and confusion reigns till, in some way or other, vengeance is satisfied and order restored. In this sea-republic the master has often to fight, and sometimes to slaughter, for the maintenance of his authority: he has not only mutiny to put down,—he has his cargo to exchange and barter for, and his crew to provide for; and his means of payment are frequently bills on London, which sometimes it is vexatious enough to negotiate; and all this time the natural dangers of the sea, of storms, and shoals, and rocks are not mentioned. After all, these vessels often return with profits on their various merchandize, for the owners alone, of 30,000*l.* or 40,000*l.* One of them belonged to this Mr. Mellish which he had named after a daughter, and the proceeds of which were promised to her as a dowry. Now fancy one of these men in Cheapside or Cornhill, buffeted about by a crowd more careless of him than the waves of the sea have been—suppose him, money spent, no employment expected, character denied, and suffering under a sense of fancied injury; what is his most obvious remedy? He boils under a temper hotter than Timor, and breathes words more mephitic than Java,—his head turns as if he were reeling in his own cabin,—he grasps his pistol or his knife and goes in chase of his owner, the overgrown contractor, whom he deems to be fattening on his earnings. For a moment the stones of Threadneedle Street and the Poultry burn like the Philippine Islands,—the smell of powder is sweeter than their aromatic gales;—he sees his prize and fires;—it is only a shot:—the skipper was in liquor;—wipe up the blood and put the patient to bed. The Exchange thinks differently about the attempt to assassinate a man worth a million of money. The city is in a hubbub; and such a fuss is made about it that even the skipper says he supposes his pop at the owner will be the death of him.



**THE PREVALENCE OF LYING.**—Respectable people are much more given to lying than might at first be supposed. There are great numbers of very honourable people who tell a number of lies every day of their lives, and who would not only be shocked at thinking so, but would propose the punishment of death to any one who dared to say so: it is nevertheless most true—in the metropolis, especially true, that not one word is to be believed in ten. Politicians are egregious liars,—sporting men the same; but men do most of all lie when either wine or women are concerned. The passage of a female name through many men's mouths is the foulest of all ways; defilement is the sure fate of the whitest robe and the prettiest feet. Truth is rarer in a great capital than in the country, for the responsibility is less; persons may—or may pretend to—forget their author in the multifarious communication of London or Paris; not so in either country town or country side: a circumstantial lie which passes here from hand to hand without examination would there collect a crowd, and assuredly be fathered on its originator. Here nobody expects you to remember who told you, and whether it be truth or invention no one inquires, for in either case it answers the purpose of the moment equally well. Among the disgusting falsehoods, those that were lately set afloat about the Duchesse de Berri in Paris, and which have produced so many duels, have been the most profligate. There is no horror that can stain the female character that has not been attributed to her, and that simply because her name was in the dirty mouths of men. We do not even give the inventors credit for political animosity. The universal habit of lying, to speak plainly, and more especially about women, when once their name becomes current coin, is quite enough to account for a quarto volume full of enormities. The man seems to have made a law that the woman shall not share with him any public honour: let her but appear beyond the pale of domestic life, and he instinctively drives her back with obloquy and foul charges. It is an unmanly proceeding; but there is no hope of remedy. With regard to lying in general, much good may be done by simply pointing out the habit, of which many are almost unconsciously guilty.

**TOUT EST PERDU.**—The newspapers tell us that several members of parliament decline the Speaker's invitations to dinner on account of the dress and etiquette usual on that occasion. This is a very bad symptom: we all know what the chamberlain said when Rollin entered the Tuileries with shoe-strings,—“*Tout est perdu* ;”—the revolution was unavoidable. If this innovation is yielded to, it will be a proof of the unfinal nature of the Reform Bill. The next thing will be that lawyers will plead causes in their own hair, and gentlemen go to court in shooting-jackets. Conservatives must make a stand here or never. We now see the wisdom of the choice of Mr. Sutton for Speaker: it was clearly designed that his love of forms and old usages should be placed in the breach to resist this vile conspiracy against all order and decorum.

**EARL FITZWILLIAM AND EORLDERMAN WAITHMAN.**—The prince and the shopkeeper: what a difference there was a month ago between these two men—each eminent in his way—and now the distinction is but small! If it were desired to pick out of all known men of the last age



the two individuals who had run a kind of parallel career of distinction, and were yet the most contrasted,—where could better names be hit upon than those of the two who are just now deposited several feet below the bustle of humanity? The distance established in an old society like ours between individual and individual is enormous; nature is altogether controlled, and artificial distinctions are set up of a force stronger even than nature. Had these two men been put together in a republic, Waithman would have been the tyrant of his tribe, and Fitzwilliam would never have moved from the respectability of a steady and amiable citizen. Had they been born under some old monarchy, such as that of Louis XIV., Fitzwilliam, by the force of his rank and birth, would have shone a court star, and might at any one moment have had Waithman bastiled or bastinadoed for a look of impertinence. England is the *juste milieu*: we give each the opportunity of a distinguished career, and yet in all things personal hold them as far as the poles asunder. Publicly, that is to say in controlling the tide of events, there is no doubt that Waithman has played even a more important part than the distinguished nobleman; and yet how high in society the Yorkshire prince has always stood over the Fleet-Street shopkeeper!

How tenderly was the now dead earl nurtured!—what tutors awaited his opening intellect—what grooms, what masters,—what doctors watched the development of his limbs—what youthful pleasures were laid at his feet—what a succession was promised!—how he travelled!—into what capitals he was ushered!—and then he reigned as viceroy over a kingdom—became beloved—was suddenly recalled, and the nation went into mourning!—on the day of his sailing out of the bay of Dublin all the bells of Ireland were muffled: it was a national funeral, and they buried their best hopes. He then came home to his Yorkshire palace; to his wide domains; his stud which kings might envy; to tenantry who followed, and met him, and attended in town and country, like retainers of old; and then he had his public day, and, in short, his court, where we have seen a numerous and yet chosen band of the representatives of the richest and oldest country aristocracy of England. In revenue, in power, in worship, in dignity of person, character, and bearing, Earl Fitzwilliam was a prince! he was a prince in bounty, too; tempered benevolence was the daily habit of his mind. He was the regal steward of enormous revenues, which he administered for the good of that portion of the public over which he presided. In this high and equable career he moved with order and reverence for upwards of fourscore years. Had he been formed in a stronger mould, he might have gone on for a score or two of more years, for no vice or passion ever hurried or rendered turbid the fine stream of blood that circulated in his noble frame.

Now look on this picture:—Waithman, a somewhat younger man, was cradled in hardship; education he snatched; nay, he grappled and wrestled with circumstances for grammar and spelling; he walked into London and bore his burthen as a linendraper's porter; by saving and shrewdness, and by demonstration of strong character, he worked his way to a sort of booth-shop, and secured a fair average of passing custom. The bread and cheese being provided for, he turned round to look at his position in reference to his fellow-men: he found that the city was the prey of a privileged class, and that the hogs did not know how they were cheated of their food. He was a member of the livery, and had the right of speech before an assembly—the most invaluable of privileges, before



which no abuse can last very long ; he spoke out of the honest conviction of his heart, for he had sense and passion, and a deep impatience of wrong : he persuaded a few moulded of the same cast-iron as himself ; but from the multitude, the hoppers from the present, the meanly doing-well, the timid and the peaceable,—not to mention the bold gainers by the old Pitt system,—from these he drew upon himself an intensity of obloquy, that none could have stood that was not by nature formed for controlling and enjoying the storm. He went on from municipal to national wrongs,—taking a strong vulgar view of our country's evils ; and partly by the aid of an old rump of Beckford whigs, and Wilkes-and-liberty adherents, but principally by his own broad and highly-coloured denunciations, which created partizans, he succeeded in making and keeping up a party powerful in speech, from the days of the French revolution to the days of doctrinal reform,—which we think we are right in saying superseded the old Burdett school of politics about the time of the establishment of the “ Westminster Review,”—a work that has had more to do with recent changes than many suppose.

In the meantime, Waithman's business thrived,—for his strong sense and sharp dealing was as applicable to Manchester goods as to Manchester politics,—and he spoke himself into the Common Council ; and his prosperity seemed to justify the shrievalty ; and hence the mayoralty, and the membership, and, in short, all the honours the city can bestow ; and how dearly earned, by shouldering the world both in public and private affairs ! Many are the nights and days of deep chagrin, and stern anxiety, and struggling will, that this man must have gone through in the course of his fight, first against the difficulties of life, and next against the bitter hostilities of the political contests of those days. It was then a supposed struggle *pro aris et focis*. Men had been so completely mystified by the authorities of this country, that it was pretty generally deemed that the sacrifice of such an agitator as Waithman would have been a civic virtue. This was our reign of terror. Waithman was, in fact, the city agitator ; and amongst his brother citizens he had all the capacities of an agitator. He lived hard, like them, and yet with a sort of rule and mastership over apprentices and journeymen. He loved, too, a social union ; was absolute and even sublime, in a sort of broad, overwhelming joke, which gagged and suffocated his opponent ; and then he would come down with a common-sense view of a question which overwhelmed both sides as ignorant as himself, but neither half so clever.

His presence was impressive, and yet there was something repulsive in it ; he spoke well, for he never appeared to be thinking of speech-making, but of hammering his own notions into a public body. Waithman was honest : he was too proud to be otherwise ; he was scarcely liked, for the weapon with which he used to slay his enemies, he used to swing it about in joke, and it gave rude hits. He was not rich, for in order to make wealth—wealth must be the god, and only god. Waithman, on the contrary, thought a great deal more of the machine the Creator had set a-going, and whom men called Waithman, than of any thing the said Waithman could put into a recess the tailor had fabricated in his coat of West of England cloth. No wonder he died under seventy ; adamant would have worn out sooner : deduct the tare and tret from this man's constitution, and the result would have given a continuity of life equal to that of the patriarchs of old.



We have sat with both these men at the table, where character shows itself; and conclude as we began, with saying, that nature never made two more different men, and that society, while it made both eminent, yet contrived that their distinctions should be a thorough contrast.

We recommend the consideration of these two different walks in life to our friends in America, as a curiosity, at least to those who will take the pains to consider it: to most thoroughgoing republicans, the idea of a Lord Fitzwilliam will appear a fable.

---

---

## The Lion's Mouth.

“ALIENA NEGOTIA CENTUM.”—*Horat.*

---

### ODE TO THE STONE PILLAR

NEAR CARLTON TERRACE.

THOU longitudinally great,  
 And perpendicularly straight,  
 And hard and hollow thing! why stand'st thou here?  
 Is it to teach some *lesson* thou dost rear  
 Thy lofty head, “*commercing with the skies?*”  
 In *what* would thy stone sternness make us wise?  
 Are we to soar, like thee, *above the base*,  
 Yet aye be stedfast in our stated place?  
 Still growing less, as more thou dost aspire,  
 Say, dost thou speak of pride than merit higher?  
 Art thou a teller of deeds which greatness deck, or  
 A mere *post mortem* “*Teller of the Exchequer?*”  
 Is it a *public debt*, or *private debts*,  
 That thy recording truth before us sets?  
 On which theme is 't thy moral voice discants—  
 Is it on sterling worth, or *sterling wants?*  
 Thou art, I fear, but Flattery's handywork,  
 Being a tribute unto “*Royal York.*”  
 Thy “*royal highness*” (ah! too like to *his*)  
 Prompts us somewhat to stare, somewhat to quiz.  
 Railing surrounds, above, thy lofty brow,  
 And passers-by do likewise *rail* below!  
 That mortal Prince, whom thou to the Cherubim  
 Would'st raise, what record canst thou give of *him?*  
 Of his *great deeds* few words the Muse can dish up—  
 But, for his *virtues*,—was he not a Bishop?\*

He made a credit, though with some few slurs;  
 He also made such *things* as *creditors*.  
 He, scorning, *dukefully*, thrift's paltry *frenum*,  
 For his own ease contracted “*æs alienum.*”  
 He was a man, “take him for all in all,”  
 Who *paid in part* (that part, albeit, small!)

---

\* Bishop of Osnaburgh.



He was—but words are wanting to tell what—  
 His creditors can tell what he *was not*.  
 Those hungry souls, to thee, alas ! they turn,  
 To thee, proud Pillar ! and, beholding, burn.  
 Thou, cruelly responsive to their groan,  
 For *money*. *columns* show'st them *thine* of stone !  
 On thee they gaze, in heart and pocket riven ;  
 Thy summit, preaching *patience*, points to heaven !  
 Let others praise thee—*they* can only hate—  
 Let others vaunt thy form, and cloud-capped state,—  
 Still, still thou art, to *their* impoverish'd view,  
 Nought but a huge, insolvent I O U !—  
 But hold ! why bear'st thou not e'en now on high  
 His figure whom thou art bound to glorify ?  
 Dost thou dislike the company of *bronze* ?  
 Or, art thou to those impecunious ones,  
 (If, after all, thy granite sides *can* feel,  
 And some few grains of *softer* stone conceal)  
 Those hungered creditors, compassionate ?  
 And so dost wait till they shall *cease* to wait ?  
 If it be so, maintain the just delay,  
 Till empty hopes shall change to solid pay ;  
 Nor let the statue on thy top be planted,  
 Until the public voice cry, “ York, you're wanted ! ”  
 Do so, good Pillar ! do as I have said—  
 So shall my blessing be on thy *bald head* !

G. D.

---

*Philadelphia, January 4, 1833.*

\*                      \*                      \*                      \*                      \*

SIR,—Doubtless, before this time, the papers and magazines of your metropolis have given place to the rumours and disquisitions afloat in our newspapers about the resistance of South Carolina, in relation to the Tariff Laws. I perceive by the “ Westminster Review,”—whose liberal tone is very acceptable to intelligent Americans,—that fears are entertained that the union of these states will come to a *split*. These fears are entirely premature. One circumstance which tends greatly to mislead a foreign *quid-nunc* with regard to American matters, is the enthusiastic manner in which our political discussions are carried on. Numerous questions have arisen during the existence of this republic, which have portended a *dénouement* far more solemn than this question of nullification, and which have easily been settled. South Carolina is the only state which entertains any such design ; and there, I pray you to remember, there is a strong party called *The Union Party*, which is equal, if not superior, in moral power, to the Nullifying sect. There is no fear that any evil will result from the discussion of this topic,—though it is fervently agitated, both by the friends of the union, and the little distracted band of *nullifiers*, as some of your journals call them ; at the head whereof stands a man named Cooper,—an Englishman, by the way,—who has never been fairly inoculated with American sentiments, and who has been for some time in bad odour by reason of his ultra-infidel opinions. Some of his colleagues are clever men, but they are lukewarm in comparison with their Magnus Apollo. Congress will doubtless take such measures, and pass such acts, as will comport with their dignity and honour. I am constrained to believe—and I am happy in so doing—that no *concession* on the part of the Confederacy will be made to the belligerent state, or rather the impotent party of a loyal member of the union. The Tariff Laws will doubtless be repealed—it is but just they should be ; as our national debt is on the verge of extinction, and we shall



soon have surplus millions in the public treasury. The country, moreover, is in a state of unexampled prosperity; agriculture, commerce, and the arts flourish luxuriantly, and they will continue to flourish, should the system of protection be reduced one-half below the present standard. Let not your full-fed oligarchs lay to their souls the flattering unction that our republican fabric is crumbling into dissolution—or that the form of government which this country has adopted is likely to be broken and laid waste. The entire absence from that glorious instrument, *the Federal Constitution*, of all complexity,—the unadorned features which it presents denying all misconstruction,—will preserve it unsullied for ages. Of this I feel honestly assured, from its peculiar adaptation to the condition of every quarter of the Republic, as well as from a survey of the encounters and shocks which it has heretofore sustained, without the slightest injury, and with undiminished lustre. The Whiskey Insurrection in this state (Pennsylvania), as it was called, was carried to a far greater length than Nullification has gone or will go. Yet the leader of that affair soon repented him of his evil, and subsequently became Vice-President of the Union, and Minister to the Court of St. James's. The Missouri and Georgia questions have, in their respective turns, arrived at a pitch quite as ominous; yet the storm abated, the winds hushed themselves to rest, and “up in the blue fields of ether, the star-spangled banner of the land waved and glittered in renewed and unbroken sunshine.” In truth, the Southern states, by which South Carolina is surrounded, and on whose aid she depended in case of her secession, are all against her, or rather that fraction of her, denominated the Nullifying party. The principles which the beloved and honoured Washington promulgated in his farewell address still warm the bosoms of a majority of his countrymen; and until those bosoms cease to be moved by the impulses of life will his injunctions be kept in mind.

We have novelties in religion as well as politics. A strange society of enthusiasts, called *Marmonites*, has been formed in Ohio, and the principles of this sect have spread into this state: new neophytes are made continually. Marmonism is, in one respect, like St. Simonianism in France: its believers adopt the idea that a community of property is the right thing; therefore all their moveables, chattels, lands and tenements are resolved into common stock. Their religion is about two years old. The founder of it, who rejoices in the name of *Smith*, to which he affixes the baptismal of *Joe*, declares that he found certain golden plates, containing the characters from which the Bible called “*The Marmon Bible*” has been translated, in the side of a hill, in the county of Ontario (N. Y.) These, through divine unction, (he is an ignorant *tin-pedlar*,) he caused to be rendered by dictation! Thus he has laid the substratum of his new gospel-standard, to which hundreds of deluded people have flocked—and continually “more come flocking, not with looks down-cast and damp,” but full of hope in Joe's promised revelation. He has called together a fine lot of fanatics in Painesville, (Ohio,) who believe all his testimonies, and are as devoted to their leader, as ever were the followers of Johanna Southcott or Jemima Wilkinson. One of their sayings (taken from the golden plates) is, that the world is coming to an end at the close of the present century, and that every portion of it will vanish into chaos, except America! I can assure you that the people of your island and continental Europe are very much pitied by the Marmonites. This humbug-ball will go on for a while gathering strength, until it explodes from the incoherency of its constituent parts. I shall advise you, occasionally, of its progress and *matériel*.

Since the election of General Jackson, (which was violently contested, but which is probably the best, after all,) the country has been engrossed by Nullification and the Tariff Laws. The weather now (Jan. 5) is just like May. All the windows of the houses are open; and I saw, while standing on the cupola of the state-house steeple, at Sandown, that all the squares below me, Independence, Washington, &c., were green as spring,



and felt the air as bland and balmy as in that delightful season. The sunsets at this period are magnificent. They have particularly inspired Miss Fanny Kemble, who tells me she has never witnessed anything so gorgeously grand. She has written some beautiful poetry on the subject. The Kembles have met with unexampled success here.

I am, Sir, your obedient Servant,

\*\*\*\*\*

We have read, with great interest and pleasure a volume by C. Tynte, Esq., M.P., descriptive of the last French Revolution, in which that gentleman was an actor. Were it not a little too late in the day, we should give it a detailed review, as one of the most minute and spirited works we have seen on the subject.

---

*Two Epitaphs, by Walter Savage Landor*

Quì giace Rospigliosi,  
 Il gentiluomo della Toscana.  
 In pessimi tempi poco sperando migliori,  
 Non abbandonò nè l' amico  
 Nè il sovrano nè se stesso.  
 Esigliato, spogliato, proscritto,  
 Con Ferdinando Granduca stette solo.  
 Immutabile, inflessibile,  
 Vidde altri per altri mezzi alzarsi,  
 E gli compianse.  
 Passate ; e, se degni siete,  
 Pregate per voi il riposo  
 Che gode l' umo giusto.

---

Quì giace Don Neri de' Principi Corsini.  
 Oriondo da una famiglia antichissima  
 Di usuraj stabiliti in Londra,  
 Esercitò anch' esso il mestiere di cambiamoneta,  
 Cambiando la moneta di Toscana  
 Per quella di Francia,  
 Quella di Francia per quella di Austria, &c.  
 Colpito dal morbo bastonale,  
 Ereditario nella casa,  
 E calpestrato dal popolo,  
 Raccomandò a Dio le ossa rotte,  
 Gli usuraj in vano ricercandole per relliquie.  
 Passate, senza esecrazioni, senza immondizie ;  
 Il luogo è sacro,  
 Anchè per colui.

---

*On a Fickle M.P.*

Why at his ratting make ye such a bother?—  
 Know ye not one good turn deserves another?



## BIOGRAPHICAL PARTICULARS OF CELEBRATED PERSONS, LATELY DECEASED.

### EARL FITZWILLIAM.

THIS venerable, patriotic, and generous nobleman died on the 9th instant at his seat in Northamptonshire. The noble Earl was in his 85th year; he is succeeded in the title and estates by his eldest son, Lord Milton.

Earl Fitzwilliam was born in 1748, and at the age of eight years succeeded to the title and a large fortune, with the expectancy of a still larger, being the presumptive heir to the extensive estates of his uncle, the Marquis of Rockingham. At the age of twelve he was sent to Eton school, where he was contemporary with Charles Fox, Lord Carlisle, and many other illustrious characters. Though he did not display talents as shining as those of some of his companions, he was industrious in the pursuit of knowledge, and possessed an enlarged mind, and much liberality of sentiment. By his agreeable and generous disposition he endeared himself to his fellow-scholars; and his benevolence to the poor and unfortunate, to the widow and the orphan, is said to have been unbounded. His studies he finished at King's College, Cambridge. In 1770, soon after he came of age, he married Lady Charlotte Ponsonby, the sister of the present Earl of Besborough; an union which united him more closely with the great Whig families.

With such an education and such principles, Lord Fitzwilliam was decidedly hostile to the war against America. In his opposition to it he displayed equal perseverance and ability. When, at length, repeated disasters had awakened the nation to a sense of the folly and hopelessness of the contest, he redoubled his efforts, and the motions which he made, and the support which he gave to the motions of others, had no small influence in hastening the downfall of the ministry. Under the administration formed by his uncle, the Marquis of Rockingham, Lord Fitzwilliam did not hold any office; but, in his senatorial capacity, he strenuously supported his friends; and when, after the death of the marquis, the court succeeded in producing a schism among the Whigs, his Lordship was one of those who most severely arraigned the conduct of Lord Shelborne, who had been made an instrument in producing that schism. "Does the King need a confessor and a master of the ceremonies, and would he unite them in one," said his Lordship, "let him choose the Earl of Shelborne. I know no one who can quibble more logically, or bow more gracefully." It was the circumstance of Lord Shelborne lending himself to the schemes of the court, which provoked the Whigs to form their impolitic coalition with Lord North. When the united parties accomplished the expulsion of Lord Shelborne, and again obtained the reins of power, Lord Fitzwilliam was intended to be the President of the Board of Commissioners for the management of India affairs, under the celebrated India Bill of Mr. Fox. That bill, however, caused the dismissal of the ministry; and it also destroyed, for many years, the influence which Lord Fitzwilliam had possessed in the city and county of York. Till the year 1793, his Lordship continued to act with the Whigs; and at the period when the Regency question was in debate, he was the person whom they selected to fill the office of Lord Lieutenant of Ireland.

The progress of the French revolution at length produced another division among the Whigs. While Mr. Fox, Mr. Grey, and many of their friends, believed that England had nothing to fear from French principles; Mr. Burke, Mr. Windham, the Duke of Portland, Lord Spencer, and Lord Fitzwilliam, were of opinion that those principles were fraught with danger to this country, and they accordingly quitted the party with which they had long acted, and lent their support to Mr. Pitt. In 1794, Lord Fitzwilliam was appointed President of the Council, and in the following year he was sent over as viceroy to Ireland. In that unhappy and misgoverned country, his presence was calculated to be productive of the greatest benefit. Holding one of the largest estates in Ireland, he had always been popular there, for the manner in which he treated his tenants. He suffered no middlemen, or other extortioners, to grind the faces of the poor on his estates; he delighted to see his tenantry prosper, and was ever ready to succour such of them as stood in need of his assistance. It is no wonder, therefore, that his being chosen as viceroy should have given almost universal satisfaction. He was, besides, known to be friendly to the removal of those disabilities by which the Catholics were still degraded and irritated. The viceregal dignity was accepted by Lord Fitzwilliam only on condition



that he should be at liberty to take all such measures as were necessary to conciliate the Irish. At the outset everything appeared to be propitious. His Lordship began to put his plans in execution, by removing from office those who were obnoxious to the people, and filling their places by men of unexceptionable character. The nation, in return, gave him all its confidence and affection; and the Commons unanimously voted for the service of government a more liberal supply than had ever before been voted. But the hopes of Ireland were speedily destroyed. The fatal influence of those men whom Lord Fitzwilliam had removed was predominant, and the peace of Ireland was sacrificed to them. His Lordship was recalled, and the day of his departure from Dublin was a day of mourning, and almost of despair, to a vast majority of the Irish. On his return to England, he addressed to his friend, Lord Carlisle, two letters, stating the terms on which he accepted the viceroyship, and severely animadverted on the intrigues which had been carried on against him. These letters were made public, and nearly produced a duel between him and Mr. Beresford, who was the most prominent object of his animadversions.

In 1806, during the short administration of the Whigs, Lord Fitzwilliam was Lord President of the Council. Since that period, his Lordship may be said to have gradually withdrawn from politics. In one instance, however, he came forward in a manner which drew upon him the vengeance of the ministers. After the horrid massacre at Manchester, he was one of those who attended a meeting at York, to call for an inquiry into the circumstance, for which his Lordship was dismissed from the Lord-Lieutenancy of Yorkshire.

#### ADMIRAL LORD EXMOUTH.

Edward Pellew, Viscount Exmouth, was born 1757, at Dover, where the earlier years of his life were spent. His father, Samuel Pellew, of Flushing, near Falmouth, was a Cornish gentleman, and in that county his son finished his education. He entered the navy before he was fourteen, and his first cruise was in the *Juno*, Captain Stott, who was sent to take possession of the Falkland Islands. He next went with the same officer, in the *Alarm*, to the Mediterranean, where in consequence of some dispute between his captain, himself, and another junior officer, he and the other midshipman were sent on shore at Marseilles, to find their way home as they could. He next sailed in the *Blonde* frigate; then in the *Carlton* schooner, where he had the first opportunity of distinguishing himself; and his conduct in the battle on Lake Champlain gave earnest of his future career. On his return to England, after the convention of Saratoga, he was promoted to the rank of lieutenant. From the *Licorne* he joined the *Apollo* frigate, Captain Pownoll, then off the Flushing coast. In an engagement with one of the enemy's cruizers, his captain was killed by his side. The command thus devolving on Mr. Pellew, he continued the attack with unabated spirit, till the cruizer took refuge under the batteries of Ostend, then a neutral port, whose coasts our officers were strictly ordered to respect. On this occasion, the young Lieutenant was made Commander of the *Hazard* sloop. In 1782 he obtained his commission as Post-Captain, and from the *Dictator*, his first ship, was transferred to the *Salisbury* off the coast of Newfoundland. We must pause, on this less active station, to record a double instance of daring humanity; twice did Captain Pellew save the life of a fellow-creature, by jumping overboard while at sea, and rescuing the unfortunate object. The last time deserves especial mention, for he was suffering under, and weakened by, severe illness. The war now broke out with France, and his action with the *Cleopatra*, when in command of the *Nymphé*, was one of the most desperate ever fought; ending with the signal defeat of the French ship. Captain Pellew now received the honour of knighthood, and was soon after appointed to the command of the *Arethusa*. It is needless to enter into the details of his coast service, which was equally arduous and active; suffice it to say, that in 1795 the squadron he commanded had taken and destroyed fifteen out of five-and-twenty sail of coasters while the remainder were driven for refuge among the rocks of the Penmarks. The next action, alike courageous and humane, which distinguished this excellent officer, was one which called forth plaudits from enemies as well as friends, and gained him the warm esteem,—the admiration of the whole civilized world. His rescue of the unfortunate crew and those on board the *Dutton*, at Plymouth, was an act of self-devotedness and heroism such as it would be difficult even among British seamen to surpass, and as well as being engraven on the tablets of history, it afforded a subject for the exercise of an elegant poet's pen. The corporation of Plymouth testified their sense of his noble conduct by presenting him with their freedom.



Sir Edward Pellew was soon afterwards advanced to the dignity of a baronet, and appointed to the command of the *Indefatigable*. He next served on the expedition against Ferrol; and in 1802 the *Impetueux*, which he then commanded, was dismantled. About this time Sir Edward was nominated a Colonel of the Marines, and in the same year returned member for Barnstaple. In the House he distinguished himself by his warm and manly defence of Earl St. Vincent. On the renewal of the war, he was appointed to the *Tonnant*, promoted to the rank of Vice-Admiral, and finally, named to the important office of Commander-in-Chief in India, a situation which he filled with his usual zeal and activity. On his departure for England, he received an address from the merchants, ship-owners, &c., of Bombay, expressing their acknowledgment of the protection he had afforded their trade. Sir Edward Pellew was next employed on the blockade of Flushing, and then appointed Commander-in-Chief in the Mediterranean during the remainder of the war. In 1814 he was raised to the peerage by the title of Baron Exmouth, of Canonteign, in the county of Devon; immediately after, he became Admiral of the Blue; and in 1815 was made a K.C.B. On the return of Napoleon from *Elba*, his Lordship proceeded to his command in the Mediterranean; assisted in the restoration of Joachim, King of Naples; in reducing the rebellious Toulonese; and concluded treaties with Algiers, Tunis, and Tripoli, for the abolition of Christian slavery. On his return to England, he found that the Algerines had violated the treaty in the most flagrant manner. Government deeming it necessary to inflict signal chastisement on the refractory Dey and his nest of pirates, his Lordship embarked on board the *Queen Charlotte* for Algiers, where it was soon found that to intimidate, threats must be carried into execution. The records of the memorable Battle of Algiers are well known, and the honourable result of the action is duly appreciated. In this action Lord Exmouth was slightly wounded in the leg and the cheek; his coat did not escape so well, it was cut to pieces by grape and musket balls. Lord Exmouth's conduct and bravery were rewarded by the thanks of both Houses of Parliament, and he was raised to the rank of Viscount. After Sir Thomas Duckworth's demise he was appointed to the chief command at Plymouth; but since the year 1821, he had retired from public service. Among the voluntary honours conferred by his countrymen, we must mention that the City of London presented him with a sword, on which occasion he dined with the Ironmongers' Company; a very appropriate compliment to the conqueror of Algiers, as they are trustees of an estate of 2,000*l.* per annum, bequeathed many years since by one of their members, a Mr. Betton, for the ransom of British captives who may be enslaved by Barbary states. Mr. Betton had himself been taken by these ruthless pirates. Twice the officers under his command have marked their esteem by presenting him with pieces of plate; first, the flag-officers and captains in the Mediterranean, and afterwards those of Algiers. But of all the glory he has reaped, and all the tributes which have been accorded to him, Lord Exmouth perhaps valued most the fame which had been derived from his constant exertions to improve the morals, and promote the religious instruction, of British seamen, and the still voice of approbation of his own conscience. In his own person he has shown that the Christian and the Hero are compatible; and he has been indefatigable in his endeavours to impart the same character to his fellow sailors.

The gallant admiral is succeeded in his honours as Viscount and Baron Exmouth of Canonteign, county Devon, by his eldest son, the Honourable Captain Pownoll Bastard Pellew, R.N., whose heir-apparent, by his first marriage with a daughter of Sir George Hiliary Barlow, Bart., is serving as a midshipman in the Royal Navy. His Lordship was G.C.B., and Knight of the foreign orders of Charles the Third of Spain, Ferdinand and Merit of Sicily, and William of the Netherlands, and Elder Brother of the Trinity-house, D.C.L. He also enjoyed a pension of 2000*l.* per annum for his naval services, conferred on him by Act of Parliament. In addition to many marks of public approbation, the officers under his command at Algiers presented his Lordship with a superb sword, as a token of their admiration of his conduct.

SIR GEORGE DALLAS, BART.

Sir George Dallas was the only brother of the eminent Judge and celebrated orator, the late Sir Robert Dallas, Lord Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas. Seldom has a family had the happiness of possessing two relatives equally remarkable for the highest intellectual qualities, blended with that moral excellence by which shining talents are ennobled. Early in life, Sir George having embarked



as a writer for Bengal, attracted by his abilities the sagacious eyes of Mr. Hastings, then sustaining, with a mind that triumphed over difficulty, the tottering fabric of our Eastern Empire. Appointed by Mr. Hastings to situations of high trust and responsibility, which he filled with great distinction, he acquired during his residence in Bengal that perfect knowledge of Indian affairs, and those statesman-like views of Oriental polity, of which, in the course of his future life, he gave such luminous and able expositions. In these posts of honourable elevation he enjoyed, throughout the civil service of the Company, a due celebrity for talent and attainment, while by the natives he was regarded with veneration for the suavity with which he tempered the exercise of his important functions. Shortly after his return to England, Sir George Dallas was united to the Hon. Catherine Blackwood, youngest daughter of the late Sir John Blackwood, Bart. and the Baroness Dufferin and Claneboye. At the exciting period of the French revolution, his accomplished mind was usefully exerted in defending with zeal and talent the menaced institutions of the state; and he became one of the most popular writers in the *Anti-Jacobin*, to which he contributed a series of papers much admired for strength of reasoning, and graceful facility of style. The talent displayed in these and other publications having given birth to an opinion of his capacity for public life, he was invited to offer himself as a candidate to the electors of Newport, which place he represented in Parliament for several years. He frequently spoke with eloquence and spirit in support of Mr. Pitt's administration, obtaining on his first appearance a gratifying success which caused him to be mistaken for his distinguished brother, who was then in the full enjoyment of forensic popularity and renown. The last speech he made in public was delivered at the India House in 1813, when the proposals of the ministry for the renewal of the charter were submitted to the general Court of Proprietors, and was universally considered a masterly display of knowledge, argument, and elocution.

A love of literature and taste for eloquence, which through life he diligently cultivated, rendered the intercourse of this perfect gentleman as delightful as it was instructive. The graces which adorned his social character were such as made him in every circle an object of attraction and interest. A sweet and playful fancy, embellishing every subject that engaged it, imparted to his conversation a peculiar charm. In manner a model of courtesy and refinement, he united a finished elegance with the natural impulse of a disposition fraught with candour, kindness, and sensibility. Of him it may with truth be said, "*suavem sui memoriam reliquit*," for never did a life of virtue leave for sorrowing hearts to dwell upon a purer vein of tender recollection. By his marriage Sir George Dallas had several children, most of whom, in the bloom of youth and early promise, were gathered before him to the grave. These afflictions, though poignantly felt, were supported by him with a tempered piety that increased, if possible, the attachment and admiration of his deeply sympathising friends. By them and by the surviving objects of his affection his loss will be bitterly lamented, as by all who knew him his memory will be cherished with that sincere and lasting veneration which exalted goodness must inspire.

#### VICE-ADMIRAL WINDHAM

Was the eldest son of the Rev. Dr. Lukin, Dean of Wells, and was born September 24th, 1768. He entered the navy in 1782, and was promoted to the rank of Post Captain 1795. During the revolutionary war, he commanded the *Standard* 64, and the frigates *L'Espion* and *Thames*; in the latter he captured *L'Actif*, *L'Aurore*, and the *Diable a Quartre*,—all of 16 guns. The *Thames* was implicated in the mutiny at Spithead, 1797, but owing to the firm and judicious conduct of her Captain, was the first ship that sailed, although under orders for the West Indies, a most unpopular station from the prevalence of the yellow fever—indeed he was remarkable for possessing the power of attaching to his person both officers and men, and at the same time maintaining the highest order and discipline in his ship. From the breaking out of the war in 1803, he was appointed to the *Doris*, and subsequently commanded the *Gibraltar* and *Mars*, in which latter ship he conspicuously shared the triumph of Sir Samuel Hood in the capture of four heavy French frigates; 25th September, 1806. He was present at the reduction of Copenhagen, and remained under the orders of Lord de Saumarez until the year 1810, when, on the death of his uncle, the late Right Hon. W. Windham, he returned home, having been in succession to Mrs. Windham heir to his estates. He was last appointed to the *Chatham*, 74, and promoted to the rank of Admiral in 1814. We believe he closes by his death the list of those officers who served in the *Valiant* under the



command of his present Majesty. Admiral Windham married in 1801 Anne, daughter of the late Peter Thellusson, Esq., sister of the late and aunt of the present Lord Rendlesham. His personal character was that of an acute, well-informed, open, frank, and good-humoured gentleman. He was in politics a Whig, and much regarded by the large circle of acquaintance, including high persons of all sides, with whom he maintained habits of friendly intercourse. He is succeeded in the estate of Felbrigg by his eldest son W. H. Windham, Esq., one of the Members for the Eastern Division of Norfolk.

MR. ALDERMAN WALTHMAN.

This upright and active citizen died at his house in Russell Square, on the 6th instant. He was a native of a village near Wrexham, and born of parents of virtuous character, but in humble life. Losing his father when an infant, and his mother marrying again, he was adopted by an uncle, a respectable linen-draper in Bath, and put to the school of one Moore, a very ingenious man, the economy of whose school led all his pupils to acquire habits of public and extemporaneous speaking. Mr. Walthman was afterwards taken into the business of his uncle, and subsequently obtained employment in the same line at Reading and in London. At length, at an early age, he married, and opened a shop at the south end of Fleet-market, whence his activity, crowned with success, enabled him to enter upon the capital premises at the corner of Bridge-street and Fleet-street, where, in multiplied transactions, he always honoured the high character of a London citizen and tradesman. The questionable morality of the war against France, and the great social mischiefs which it occasioned, led him, in the year 1794, to submit a series of resolutions against the war, and in favour of parliamentary reform, to a numerous common-hall; and, on this occasion, he displayed those powers of a natural though unpolished eloquence which baffled prejudice, and defeated an opposition which had been organized by all the influence of the Pitt administration. This spirited measure, which was the first attempt to expose the delusion under which the war had been commenced, laid the foundation of his popularity and repute. He was soon after elected into the common-council, where, for several years, he was at the head of a small minority, opposed to prejudice and corruption, till his perseverance and the gradual effect of annual elections, converted his minority into a majority, and for many years his mind and his principles, not his power or his undue influence, governed the measures of that patriotic assembly. In the British metropolis, he was considered, both at home and abroad, as a main director of those liberal and enlightened principles, for which the City of London, during the last five-and-twenty years, has been so much distinguished, and so justly honoured. The deceased Alderman was at one period of his political life subjected to various prosecutions for libels on the part of the Tories. At a public meeting at Wrexham, Sir W. W. Wynn, with much generosity and right feeling, denied the charges against Mr. Walthman's character, which his enemies had raised against him for base purposes. Mr. Walthman had been four times elected M.P. for London.

JEAN BAPTISTE SAY.

This eminent writer, for a memoir of whom we are indebted to the "Athenæum," was born in Lyons in the year 1767, and descended from a family of no mean celebrity in the commercial world. They were of the same extraction as the Saye and Sele family: the common ancestor of both being William de Say, who passed over from Normandy under the banners of William the Conqueror.—Say was destined by his family to be a merchant, and the knowledge thus acquired proved of no little avail to him in after life, when he devoted himself to the study of Political Economy. Being introduced to the celebrated Mirabeau, the latter quickly discovered the abilities of his young friend, and employed him in editing the "Courrier de Provence," and continuing his "Lettres à ses Commettans." After this, he was appointed Secretary to Clavière, the French minister of finance. We next find him connected with Champfort and Guingéné in the "Décade Philosophique, Littéraire, et Politique;" which made its first appearance in 1794, and was the parent of the present "Revue Encyclopédique." Champfort was unfortunate enough to fall under the ban of the Committee of Public Safety, and weak enough to destroy himself in prison; Guingéné, too, one of the most elegant of French scholars, was, likewise, confined with his fellow-labourers, Roucher and Andrew Chénier. Say, though thus left single-handed, was too firm to abandon the good work which he had undertaken; and he, therefore, enlisted Andrieux,



Amaury, Duval, and others, in his cause. Upon the departure of Bonaparte for Egypt, Say was deputed to select the publications intended for the use of the *savans* who accompanied that memorable expedition; and, when the Hero of the Pyramids found his way back, and invested himself with the dignity of First Consul, he conferred the appointment of Tribune on Say, whose qualifications, as it subsequently appeared, were not peculiarly adapted for such an office. He had a strong aversion for the selfish and arbitrary principles which the government of that day began to unfold, and it has been said, that he could ill brook the growing despotism of its chiefs; in this state of his feelings, Say avoided taking much part in public business, but, happily for science, commenced that study, which forms the basis of his admirable "*Traité d'Economie Publique*;" a work which not only improved under his hand with every successive edition, but has been translated into most of the European languages. He was now called upon to vote in favour of Napoleon's assumption of the imperial crown; this he resolutely declined, and was in consequence deprived of the Tribuneship, for which some compensation was made to him by the tender of Receiver-Generalship in the department of the Allier. He could not, however, be prevailed on to enter upon this new office, and nobly excused himself from "combining with the rest to plunder his native land." Thus closing the scene of his official career, he once more embarked in mercantile life, as a manufacturer, but not to the neglect of his favourite pursuit, which he enriched from time to time with a variety of minor publications, all equally tending to throw light and accumulate important facts on the great and difficult science of Political Economy. He was Professor of the School of Mechanics at Paris, where he delivered probably the most useful and perspicuous lectures on the economy of labour and manufactures, which have been ever given; and with these he closed his estimable length of days.

---

## CRITICAL NOTICES.

1. Principles of Geology: being an Attempt to Explain the Former Changes of the Earth's Surface, by reference to Causes now in Operation. By Charles Lyell, Esq., F.R.S., For. Sec. to the Geol. Soc., Prof. of Geol. to King's Coll., London. In 3 vols. 8vo. Vol. I. Second edit.
2. The Mosaical and Mineral Geologies Illustrated and Compared. By W. M. Higgins, F.G.S., &c. 8vo.

WHILE we perfectly agree with Mr. Lyell that "the identification of the objects of geology with those of cosmogony" has been the most common and serious cause of retarding its progress as a science, and the principal source of all the errors, absurdities, and confusion which for many years covered it with ridicule and contempt,—we yet are not surprised that firm believers in the Divine authority of the Mosaic account of the creation, and the chronology arising out of it, should have contemplated with dismay a study which, in their apprehension, was a practical contradiction of its statements, and a consequent invalidation of its claims. It would have been but fair if, in the spirit of true religion, which is ever a spirit of calm and patient inquiry, they had deferred their anathemas against geology till they had ascertained the true meaning of the inspired historian, and whether, indeed, there was anything really at variance between his narrative and the discoveries of this science.

But were dogmatists in faith half as anxious to bring their notions to the test even of the authority on which they rely as they are to impose them upon the implicit belief of others, religion and philosophy would mutually sustain each other, instead of appearing so often in a state of implied or avowed hostility. But this, perhaps, is exacting too much from poor human nature. Truth, from the beginning, has been forced to maintain a long-continued struggle with ignorance and prejudice, with error and falsehood. Bigotry and intolerance have armed themselves against its pretensions; and its advocates have been doomed to utter its vaticinations in sackcloth and ashes, in dungeons and in flames. It was not till the facts of geology, too evidently to admit of denial, established the existence of a



former world, that a critical examination of the Mosaic account led to the conclusion that it contains nothing inconsistent with this rational admission. Of this examination Mr. Higgins has given the result. He observes—

“The first chapter of Genesis, which contains all that God has revealed concerning the creation, may be divided into three periods. First, there is a statement that the heavens and earth were formed by God; there is then a description of the earth previous to the days of creation; and afterwards a somewhat detailed account of the order in which the Almighty furnished the world during the six days.—All the sacred writers insist upon the creation of the universe by God; he is the great universal cause from which all things proceeded. Philosophy has discovered that it was the work of an Intelligent Being; but it is revelation alone that can teach his character and attributes.”

After suggesting the probable reasons which led the writer of the book of Genesis to commence his narrative with the declaration that “in the beginning God created the heavens and the earth,” he goes on to remark, “this statement appears to be entirely distinct from all that follows.” Having asserted this grand fundamental principle of all religion, he represents the sacred historian as describing “the state of the earth at the time which immediately preceded the days of creation.” The passage which, in our version, reads thus—“The earth was without form and void,” he says ought to be rendered—“But the earth was invisible and unfurnished.” He tells us that—

“Mr. Penn’s excellent remarks upon this passage have established this translation. ‘That celebrated phrase, *tohu vabohu*, on which fancy and system have so largely and unsubstantially built, is not of certain signification, as has most inconsiderately and unwarrantably been assumed or pretended; for we find the most ancient interpretation of it, as delivered by native translators, uniformly maintained, both in the Jewish and Christian Churches, for above six hundred years after their time; which prescription constitutes as solid and secure an evidence of the primitive signification of the terms as the most punctilious criticism, founded on reason, can require or desire in any language. Those words which our version, conforming to later translators, has rendered “without form and void,” are rendered by the oldest Jewish interpreters, *אֵרָאָה וְאֵינָהוּ*,—invisible or unapparent, and unfurnished or unprovided. So, also, they were interpreted by the learned Jew, Philo; and that Josephus, whom Jerome calls “a Hebrew skilled in sacred learning from his infancy,” understood the first of these words to signify invisible, is manifest from his paraphrasing it “not coming into view.” And Jerome avowedly regarded this as the established interpretation so late as the close of the fourth century; for, in his commentary on the fortieth chapter of Isaiah, he says, “In the beginning of Genesis, where it is written, ‘but the earth was invisible and unfurnished,’ the other interpreters have translated ‘but the earth was void and nothing.’”

“There are two facts which we would deduce from this statement by the inspired historian: that the world was created at some indefinite period before the commencement of the six days; and that it was created at once, without the interference of any secondary causes.

“That the beginning does not refer to the first day spoken of by Moses is certain; for it is not mentioned as a part of the creation in the enumeration of that day’s work; but we are, on the contrary, informed, that on the first day it was in existence, though unfurnished and covered with water. The term beginning, therefore, is indefinite, and it may refer to the preceding day, or to thousands of years. To guesses there would be no end; for one would be as authorized to assert the truth of his conjecture as another; and, at last, must leave the decision of the question to the results of an examination into the constitution of the globe.

“This is the province of geology; and from this source only can we hope to decide the question, and to determine the state of the earth during the period which intervened between its creation and the beginning of the six days.”

We make no apology for this quotation. Had the enlightened views which it develops been entertained a century ago, geology would, in all probability, have long ere this attained to the eminence of a science. We quite concur with Mr. Higgins in opinion, “that a theory of the formation of the earth ought to be only a detailed description of the Mosaic history,—a finished picture from the outline sketch which the Jewish legislator has given us.”

This view of the subject opens free scope to philosophical inquiry; and we are happy to observe that the grand principle of Mr. Lyell’s work is in perfect accordance with it. No longer restricted by the term of six thousand years to account for the phenomena which science has to investigate in relation to the appearances of the earth presented to the mind that would trace their origin, and the general laws which have produced them, the geologist may range through millions of ages without exposing himself to the charge of impugning the authority of a divine revelation. “The former changes of the earth’s surface may be explained by reference to causes now in operation;” and philosophy and revelation go hand in hand together.



Mr. Lyell has succeeded in furnishing the geological student with the history of the science from the commencement of its rudest hypothesis to the present moment. He has traced with a master-hand all its changes and fluctuations; and has worked out of the whole confused mass a consistent theory. By patient induction he has established principles, and laid the foundation on which a superstructure will ere long be reared which will defy the vicissitudes of time. He has assumed that the laws of Nature are unchangeable; that the agencies now at work are precisely the same that existed from the beginning, and that they produce the same results. All his facts and reasonings go to establish this assumption. We scarcely remember to have read a work which compresses so much varied and valuable information into so small a compass; which affords so much delight to the mind, by opening so wide a field for rational speculation and important discovery.

Of his enlarged and comprehensive views as a philosopher, our space will only allow us to present our readers with the following specimen; it shows that the sciences belong to one family, and that they are mutually dependant upon each other:—

“As it is necessary that the historian and the cultivator of moral or political science should reciprocally aid each other, so the geologist, and those who study natural history in physics, stand in equal need of mutual assistance. A comparative anatomist may derive some accession of knowledge from the bare inspection of the remains of an extinct quadruped; but the relic throws much greater light upon his own science when he is informed to what relative era it belonged, what plants and animals were its contemporaries, in what degree of latitude it once existed, and other historical details.

“A fossil shell may interest a conchologist, though he be ignorant of the locality from which it came; but it will be of more value when he learns with what other species it was associated, whether they were marine or fresh water; whether the strata containing them were at a certain elevation above the sea; and what relative position they held in regard to other groups of strata; with many other particulars, determinable by an experienced geologist alone. On the other hand, the skill of the comparative anatomist and conchologist are often indispensable to those engaged in geological research, although it will rarely happen that the geologist will himself combine these different qualifications in his own person.

“Some remains of former organic beings, like the ancient temple, statue, or picture, may have both their intrinsic and their historical value; while there are others which can never be expected to attract attention for their own sake. A painter, sculptor, or architect, would often neglect many curious relics of antiquity, as devoid of beauty, and uninteresting with relation to their own art, however illustrative of the progress of refinement in some ancient nation. It has, therefore, been found desirable that the antiquary should unite his labours to those of the historian; and similar co-operation has become necessary in geology.

“The field of inquiry in living nature being inexhaustible, the zoologist and botanist can rarely be induced to sacrifice time in exploring the imperfect remains of lost species of animals and plants, while those still existing afford constant matter of novelty: they must entertain a desire of promoting *geology* by such investigations; and some knowledge of its objects must guide and direct their studies. According to the different opportunities, tastes, and talents of individuals, they may employ themselves in collecting particular kinds of minerals, rocks, or organic remains; and these, when well examined and explained, afford data to the geologist, as do coins, medals, and inscriptions to the historian.”

We earnestly look for the completion of this invaluable undertaking, which has hitherto been conducted with such admirable ability.

Of Mr. Higgins's short treatise we would also speak in terms of no light approbation. The following closing paragraph forms an appropriate conclusion to this our brief notice of a science, which, if properly studied, cannot easily be over-rated:—

“In investigating the ancient history of the globe, the mind should be separated at once from all prejudices, and the reason should guard it from the influence of imagination. It is a subject which, pursued by an enlightened mind, fixes the attention and delights the reason. If the antiquary can feel an interest in explaining the almost obliterated traces of art and civilization, still greater will be the delight of removing the obscurity which hangs over the history of our globe; and, by deductions from a series of observations, to connect the broken fragments, which are preserved in the word of truth, to direct our investigations and develop its revolutions. Genius may here find its resource, and may expend all its powers with increasing delight. At present we have only an indistinct view of the history of the world we inhabit; but when its various revolutions shall be determined, and the character of its past inhabitants explained, it will present the finest display of Almighty power, and the capacity of human intellect, that literature has ever produced, or science has ever afforded.”



The Inferno of Dante, translated by Ichabod Charles Wright, A.M. 8vo.

We suspect that the "Inferno," in modern days, has been more talked of than read. This is to be regretted; for, if genuine poetry is to be found anywhere, it flows and brightens along the pages of this remarkable work. We are well aware that perfectly to understand its allusions, to enter into its design, to feel its sublimity, and to relish its beauties, a considerable knowledge of Italian history and literature is previously required. It is certain that no poem, since the revival of letters, has had a more extended and permanent influence in furnishing materials to subsequent poets, in exalting their conceptions, and refining their taste, than the "Divina Commedia" of Dante; which yet was considered by his countrymen so erudite and obscure, that an institution was established in Florence for its elucidation, and a public stipend assigned to a person appointed to read lectures on it. The critical dissertations that have been written upon Dante are almost as numerous as those to which Homer has given birth. In what estimation he was held by the father of English poetry, is attested by the pathetic story of "Hugelin of Pise," in the "Canterbury Tales," which he thus concludes—

"Of this tragedie it ought ynough suffice;  
Whoso wol here it in a longer wise  
Redeth the grete poete of Itaille,  
That highte Dante, for he can it devise  
Fro point to point; not a word wol he faille."

That our readers may enjoy the contrast between the ancient and modern versions of this tale of horror, we present them with extracts from Chaucer, and Mr. Wright's translation. This also will enable them to form a judgment of the manner in which the latter has executed his task:—

"And on a day befell, that in that houre,  
Whan that his mete wont was to be brought,  
The gailer shette the dores of the toure:  
He hered it wel; but he spoke right nought.  
And in his herte anone ther fell a thought,  
That they for hunger wolden do him dien;  
'Alas!' quod he, 'alas that I was wrought!'  
Therewith the teres fellen fro his eyen.  
His yonge sone, that three yere was of age,  
Unto him said, 'Fader, why do ye wepe?  
When will the gailer bringen our potage?  
Is ther no morsel bred that ye do kepe?  
I am so hungry that I may not slepe.  
Now wolde God, that I might slepen ever,  
Than shuld not hunger in my wombe crepe;  
Ther n'is no thing, sauf bred, that me were lever.'  
Thus, day by day, this childe began to crie,  
Til in his fadre's barme adoun it lay:  
And said, 'Farewel, fader! I mote die;  
And kist his fader; and dide the same day.  
And whan the woful fader did it sey,—  
For wo his armes two he gan to bite;  
And said, 'Alas! Fortune, and wala wa!  
Thy false whele, my wo, all may I wite!'  
His children wenden, that for hunger it was  
That he his armes gnawe, and not for wo;  
And sayden, 'Fader, do not so, alas!  
But rather ete the flesh upon us two;  
Our flesh thou yaf us, take our flesh us fro,  
And ete ynough.' Right thus, they to him seide.  
And, after that, within a day or two,  
They laide him in his lappe adoun, and deide.  
Himself dispeired, eke for hunger starf.  
Thus endeð is this mighty Erle of Pise;  
From high estat Fortoun away him carf."

*Mr. Wright's Translation.*

"When I awoke, ere morn its rays had shed,  
I heard my sons, who with me were confined,



Sob in their slumbers, and cry out for bread.  
 Full cruel art thou, if thou canst conceive,  
 Without a tear, what then came o'er my mind !  
 And if thou grieve not, what can make thee grieve ?  
 They were awake : and now the hour drew near  
 Which had been wont to bring their scant repast ;  
 And each was pondering o'er his dream of fear,  
 When, from within the dreadful tower, I heard  
 The entrance underneath with nails made fast.  
 I gazed upon my boys, nor spake a word ;—  
 I wept not ; for my heart was turned to stone.  
 My children wept ; and little Anselm cried,  
 ' What ails thee, father ? strange thy looks are grown.'  
 Yet still I wept not—still made no reply—  
 Throughout that day, and all the night beside,  
 Until another sun lit up the sky.  
 But when a faint and broken ray was thrown  
 Within that dismal dungeon, and I viewed  
 In those fair looks the image of my own,  
 Then both my hands, through anguish, did I bite ;  
 And they, supposing that from want of food  
 I did so, sudden raised themselves upright,  
 And said, ' Oh, father ! less will be our pain  
 If thou wilt feed on us. Thou didst bestow  
 This wretched flesh ;—'tis thine to take again.'  
 Then was I calm, lest they the more should grieve.  
 Two days all silent we remained !—Oh ! thou  
 Hard earth, why didst thou not beneath us cleave ?  
 Four days our agonies had been delayed,  
 When Goddo at my feet his body threw,  
 Exclaiming, ' Father, why not give me aid ?'  
 He died ;—and, as distinct as here I stand,  
 I saw the three fall, one by one, before  
 The sixth day closed ;—then, groping with my hand,  
 I felt each wretched corse ; for sight had failed.  
 Two days I called on those who were no more ;  
 Then hunger, stronger e'en than grief, prevailed.—  
 This said, aside his vengeful eyes were thrown,  
 And with his teeth the skull again he tore,  
 Fierce as a dog, to gnaw the very bone."

Mr. Wright's introduction is well written, and presents a simple and consistent sketch of the design of this great poem, on which he has lavished so much pains, but in his translation we discover much less of the severe and sublime spirit of the original than we could desire. Mr. Wright has wisely, we think, abstained from entering into the discussion of those minor points, which, notwithstanding all the labour and lore of learned commentators, must for ever remain in obscurity. He justly remarks,—

"To those who take up the 'Divina Commedia' for the sake of its poetical beauties, the solution of the curious questions which are now at issue in the literary world is of comparatively little importance. An allegorical allusion to this life the poem may, indeed, be supposed to contain ; for if it be true that wicked men on earth are perpetually suffering from the effects of their own evil passions, and that departed spirits, in their separate state of existence, prior to the final judgment, retain their former feelings,—then, in a poem of this description, a resemblance must necessarily exist between the condition of the dead and the living, independent of any design or intention of the author. By the contemplation of departed spirits deriving joy or misery in the next world from their conduct in this, Dante aimed at exciting men to the practice of virtue, and hoped to see the goodness of the Lord in the land of the living."

Mr. Wright is evidently enamoured of his theme, and his translation is remarkably elegant. He wants spirit and pathos, however ; and Dante, to be understood and felt, must still be read in his native tongue. The great Italian looks not well in his modern English garb.

The Works of Robert Hall, A.M., with a brief Memoir of his Life, by Dr. Gregory ; and Observations on his Character as a Preacher, by John Foster. Published under the superintendence of Olinthus Gregory,



LL.D., F.R.A.S., Professor of Mathematics in the Royal Military Academy. 8vo. London, 1832. Vol. VI.

The late appearance of this volume is to be ascribed to a melancholy event,—the lamented death of Sir James Mackintosh. Sir James was the intimate friend of the late Mr. Hall, and had kindly consented, at the request of Mr. Hall's family, to pay a last tribute to his memory by drawing up a memoir of his life, and a sketch of his character. From the intimacy of such minds what might not have been expected! The eloquent survivor, under the influence of hallowed feelings and tender recollections, giving spontaneous utterance to the emotions of grief and friendship, and raising an imperishable monument to the worth of departed greatness. But, alas! such is the lot of humanity: these gifted and most estimable individuals now repose together in the tomb! Their "purposes are broken off." The duty which Sir James Mackintosh was not permitted to perform, naturally fell upon Dr. Gregory, and he has discharged it with talent and fidelity. The biographer has done justice to his subject, and this is saying a great deal: for Mr. Hall was certainly amongst the most remarkable men of the age. His genius was of the highest order, and his character as a minister of Christianity not only blameless, but exemplary. It was to Mr. Hall that Dr. Parr applied the description which had been given of Bishop Taylor:—"He has the eloquence of an orator, the fancy of a poet, the acuteness of a schoolman, the profoundness of a philosopher, and the piety of a saint." The ablest and best men of all parties since his lamented departure have vied with each other in doing homage to his excellence. But that which by many will be viewed as most honourable to his fame, is a flip-pant and malignant attack made upon him in a recent number of the "*Quarterly Review*." The censure of such men is praise indeed. Mr. Hall was an intellectual giant, and they could not measure his dimensions: he was the ardent friend of liberty, and they sickened at the spectacle; he was the enemy of intolerance, and they hated him. Mr. Foster's observations on Mr. Hall's character as a preacher are profound and eloquent. If we do not agree with him in all his opinions and reasonings, we unfeignedly admire his manly independence, his originality of thought. The younger clergy of all our churches would do well to listen to his instructions. Our pulpits would then be better filled, and sermons would not be the dull common-place things they too frequently are. The portion of the volume which contains Mr. Hall's reported sermons, taken in short-hand, exhibits his eminent powers, but not to the best advantage; and were it not for the unequalled specimens published by himself, posterity would be unable to form any adequate idea of the capacities of his mind. We trust, for the sake of his family, and the spirited publishers of this complete edition of Mr. Hall's works, that it will prove a remunerative speculation. It is certainly the most splendid monument that could have been reared to perpetuate his name, and will be lasting as the language of the country which gave him birth.

**The Young Christian's Guide to Confirmation; being Familiar Lectures on the Baptismal Vow, the Creed, the Ten Commandments, the Lord's Prayer, and the Lord's Supper, with an Introductory Address to each, intended as a Preparation for young Christians previous to their being presented to the Bishop to be Confirmed.** By the Rev. W. T. Myers, A.M., Curate of Eltham, &c. &c. 12mo. London.

The Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge numbers among its publications we do not know how many treatises, exhortations, and homilies, on the subject of Confirmation. We hope that the candidates for this solemnity in the Church of England, especially in the provinces, where confirmation is something very like "a holy fair," will profit by these earnest and continued endeavours of their reverend instructors. Mr. Myers' work comes in among the many, and though the subject is somewhat threadbare, he has really produced a very respectable and useful manual, which those for whose benefit it is particularly intended would do well to "read, mark, learn and inwardly digest." We are not high churchmen, and we hate intolerance, whether in a cathedral or a meeting-house. We are glad to perceive that the tone of the clergy is considerably more moderate than it was a few years since. We hope they will furnish us with books of theology and devotion in accordance with the liberal spirit of the times. We dislike the pride of assumption. The Protestant Church of England and Ireland may, as to



its offices, be a very good Church ; but it rather surfeits us when a clergyman tells us they are “ incomparable.” We tell him that judicious revision would make them better ; and that, “ incomparable ” as they are, they must be harmonized and rendered consistent with each other before they will be regarded by enlightened churchmen with unmingled satisfaction.

Nights of the Round Table ; or Stories of Aunt Jane and her Friends.  
Second Series. 12mo.

The second series of these “ Nights of the Round Table ” is quite equal to the former. The tales are written with the same moral purpose, and delineate life and manners and general nature with great truth and feeling. “ The Quaker Family ” is fraught with instruction, conveyed in a style of great simplicity and beauty : we wish it had not reminded us too vividly of the touching story of “ Andrew Cleaver,” in the “ Chapters on Churchyards,” and “ The Only Son ” of William Kennedy ;—the resemblance is so strong as greatly to weaken its claim to originality ; and though we acquit the fair writer of intentional plagiarism, she ought to have known that the ground had been previously occupied. While “ The Quaker Family ” is much too long, the remaining tales—“ The two Scotch Williams,” and “ The Little Ferryman ”—are as much too short. The last tale, however, is sketched with great power, and some of its delineations are in the author’s best manner. We hope to meet her again, and anticipate the pleasure of passing a few more delightful evenings round her social table.

The Cabinet Cyclopædia. Conducted by the Rev. Dionysius Lardner, LL.D., F.R.S. L. & E., &c. &c. &c., assisted by Eminent Literary and Scientific Men.—*Natural Philosophy*.—Treatise on Heat. By the Rev. Dionysius Lardner, LL.D., &c. 12mo.

Enthusiasm in philosophy converts science into a religion ; the expounder of its mysteries becomes the priest of Nature, and we listen to him with equal reverence and delight. On whatever subject Dr. Lardner employs his pen he writes “ con amore : ” his earnestness of manner, and entire abstraction from everything but the matter in hand, fix the attention and awaken the ardour of his readers ; and they are caught by the philosophical spirit ere they are perhaps aware of its existence. The present “ Treatise on Heat ” is confirmatory of this remark. It is the first time that this important branch of science has been systematically exhibited in a distinct and separate treatise. Dr. Lardner dwells on its comparative importance, and, we think justly, places its claims above light, electricity, and magnetism, which have each been elevated to the dignity of a characteristic name and place in general physics.

“ Light,” he observes, “ is, so to speak, an object rather of luxury than of positive necessity. Nature supplies it, therefore, not in unlimited abundance, nor at all times and places, but rather with that thrift and economy which she is wont to observe in dispensing the objects of our pleasures, compared with those which are necessary to our being ; but heat, on the contrary, she has yielded in the most unbounded plenteousness. Heat is everywhere present ; every body that exists contains it in quantities without known limit ; the most inert and rude masses are pregnant with it ; whatever we see, hear, smell, taste, or feel, is full of it. To its influence is due that endless variety of forms which are spread over, and beautify the surface of, the globe. Land, water, air, could not for a single instant exist as they do in its absence ; all would suddenly fall into one rude, formless mass—solid and impenetrable.”—

And a great deal more the Doctor eloquently deposes on the qualities and virtues of this universal agent. He *warms* upon the subject as he proceeds ; and, having painted it in *glowing* colours, he enters minutely and clearly into all the details of its operations, and gives us all the *light* which it is in the power of philosophy to derive from *heat*.

Biographical Sketch of Joseph Napoleon Buonaparte, Count de Surveilliers.

This volume meets the public eye by a somewhat circuitous route. Abel Hugo, first a page, and afterwards an officer, of Joseph Bonaparte in the Spanish campaigns, writes a summary of the events which placed that individual on the throne of Spain. This summary, and many other similar works, furnish the matter of an article in the “ North American Review.” The article is translated into French



by a "Young Patriot;" and reaches us eventually as a separate publication with some addition, in its present form. The visit of the Count to England is, of course, the immediate cause of its appearance. It contains much that is highly interesting; indeed, we have rarely met with a more pleasing record than the detail of the improvement effected, and the evils exterminated, in the accession of Joseph Napoleon to the throne of Naples. He seems to have striven hard to render himself a real benefactor to the people amongst whom his lot was for a time cast. The concurring testimonies of General Lamarque, General Foy, Bernardin de St. Pierre, and General Lafayette, sufficiently show the estimation in which the Count has been held by those competent to judge. It appears from a note prefixed to the volume, addressed by him to the French editor, and apparently of recent date, that he "still adheres with inviolable fidelity to the declaration made by the French people in the 13th year of the Republic (1804), until the moment that the nation shall please to decide otherwise." That declaration, it is well known, established the reigning power in the line and family of Napoleon and his brother Joseph; now, by the death of young Napoleon, the next in succession. He claims it seems "as long as the nation shall not have adopted another form of government." But we refer those who are anxious about the restoration of the Napoleons to the book itself.

### The Journal of the Royal Geographical Society in London. Vol. II.

The second volume well supports the character of the Society. It is filled with most interesting matter, and deserves the attention of all who are gratified in observing the ardent mind of man urging him on through every difficulty in the career of discovery and invention. The most careless reader must feel pleasure in examining the valuable stores placed here before him by the indefatigable exertions of men who have braved every danger and inconvenience to extend the bounds of geographical science. Among the most valuable papers is a View of the Progress of Interior Discovery in New South Wales, by Allan Cunningham, Esq., which gives a most interesting account of the expeditions of Oxley, Hume, the writer, and more recently (in 1829) of Captain Sturt, in order to explore the country generally, and more especially to trace some of its mysterious rivers. Captain Sturt traced the Macquarie far beyond the place where Oxley had hunted it into an apparently interminable morass, and found that it ultimately joins the Darling, a salt-water river, of whose course little is as yet known. The Darling is considered the largest river of New South Wales, and Captain Sturt supposes that it ultimately forms a junction, after traversing the country in a S.W. direction, with the river Moraumbidgee, in the south of Australia. Mr. Cunningham says that not more than one-sixth part of the country is as yet explored. A very curious paper is that on the Valley of Poison, in Java, where, within a circumference of half a mile, the air possesses the properties of the well-known Grotta del Cane, near Naples. It is covered with skeletons of human beings and various sorts of animals. Without further specification, where all is valuable, we cordially recommend this volume to our readers.

### Mackintosh's History of England. Vol. III.

We ought to have noticed the volume before, if it were only to remark upon the lamented loss of him who commenced, but did not complete it. That distinguished individual, whatever may be said of his qualifications for writing history as tried by the two previous volumes, could not have failed, we feel persuaded, in producing a work of which, viewed as a whole, his country would have been proud. His extensive legal knowledge, his devotion to historical pursuits, his ethical turn of mind, his calm and comprehensive judgment, all well fitted him for the work. The faults he has committed arose more from circumstances than from himself. He was not the sort of man to furnish volumes by a given day of a given month, and, when spurred on to make the attempt, it might have been expected that he would sometimes stumble. We feel persuaded that this is the true reason for the complicated sentences, the disorderly collocation of words, &c., of which one finds frequent instances in the previous volumes. If any body should have been foolishly led to imagine from some such passages that Sir James Mackintosh could not write English as well, perhaps, as himself, let him turn to the "*Vindiciæ Gallicæ*," "the Essay on the Law of Nations," and, perhaps, especially to "the Essay on the History of Ethical Philosophy," prefixed to the "*Encyclopedia Britannica*," and



we are quite sure they will be inclined to speak, as Gibbon does with regard to Hume, of those "inimitable beauties" which impress the reader with positive despair of ever being able to rival them.

The Principles of English Grammar. By William Hunter. Glasgow.

This is not such a book as the "Professor of Logic, Moral Philosophy, and Rhetoric, Andersonian University," should have presented to the public eye. Not that there is not much that is valuable mixed up with the mass of information that it contains, but the book, as a whole, can scarcely be pronounced an improvement on its predecessors; while the conceit which palpably pervades it, gives it an air by no means attractive in our eyes. Continual references by an author to his own works are very offensive to our notions of propriety. Mr. Hunter has in this small volume given us no fewer than one-hundred and twenty-six such references. Every where one is met by "See my 'Anglo-Saxon Grammar;'" and the absurdity—we cannot help so characterizing it—is carried to a still higher pitch by the introduction of questions upon the matter of these, in all probability, unknown works. The pupil is asked (p. 5) "how many letters there are in the Anglo-Saxon tongue, and how they are pronounced?" and referred to "my 'Anglo-Saxon Grammar'" for the answer. There are many points which we could discuss with the author did our limits permit. We have, however, only room to say, that we do not see the utility of employing two pages of an elementary work to show us how we articulate the letters of the alphabet; that we do not believe the diminutive termination in "gosling" is *ing*, we conceive it to be *ling*; that we doubt if patriotism and friendship be collective nouns; that the instance *Kenriculus* (p. 17) does not prove (though brought forward for the purpose) that words ending in *kin* are diminutives; in fact, it would occupy too long to correct a tithe of the blunders of the author and printer together; with errors evidently typographical the book swarms. The only part of it which we can praise is the department assigned to the discussion of offences against propriety and purity of language. Here much may be found that is ingenious.

The Life of William Cowper, Esq.; compiled from his Correspondence, and other Authentic Sources of Information. Containing Remarks on his Writings, and on the Peculiarities of his interesting Character, never before published. By Thomas Taylor. 8vo.

This is the only complete life of Cowper. Hayley's four volumes and Dr. Johnson's two have chiefly supplied Mr. Taylor with his materials. He says that "he has attempted not only to bring the substance of these six volumes into one, but to communicate information respecting the poet which cannot be found in either of these works." He adds, likewise, towards the close of the preface, that "he has made free use of all the published records of Cowper within his reach, besides availing himself of the valuable advice of the Rev. Dr. Johnson, Cowper's kinsman, to whom he respectfully tenders his grateful acknowledgments for his condescension and kindness in undertaking to examine the *manuscript*, and for the useful and judicious hints respecting it he was pleased to suggest."

While we do not hesitate to say that Mr. Taylor's work is pre-eminently superior to those which preceded it as a faithful and comprehensive record of the great subject of which it treats; and, while we admire the frankness with which he assures his readers that it is chiefly, if not entirely, a compilation, we cannot but reprehend what appears to us the great injustice of purloining so largely from the recent work of Mr. Colburn; and surely it would have become Dr. Johnson, who received from the publisher a very handsome sum for the copyright, to have *hinted* to Mr. Taylor that to embody his own work in his manuscript was far, very far indeed, overstepping the limits prescribed by the law in cases of literary property. Mr. Colburn's consent ought to have been asked and obtained before such wholesale plagiarism had been committed.

The Three Histories: the History of an Enthusiast; the History of a Non-Chalant; the History of a Realist. By Mrs. Fletcher (late Miss Jewsbury). Second Edition. 12mo.

The sale of a large impression of this interesting work is no mean evidence of its value in public estimation; and the judgment of the public is seldom wrong, espe-



cially when an author has not been puffed into notice by the arts of mercenary booksellers. The present edition of "The Three Histories" reflects great honour upon the liberality of the publishers: the price is reduced one-third,—from nine shillings to six. It is an elegant volume, and may be placed on a drawing-room table, or given as a present, and will not disgrace the beautiful annuals and other ornamental works with which it may be associated. Mrs. Fletcher's honeymoon is passed, and we hope she will have leisure to resume her literary labours; Mr. Fletcher is not entitled to a monopoly of her admirable talents, who from an enthusiast has become a realist, and will never, we are persuaded, degenerate into a non-chalant.

Fifty-one Original Fables, with Morals and Ethical Index; Embellished with Eighty-five Original Designs, by R. Cruickshanks. Also, a Translation of Plutarch's Banquet of the Seven Sages, &c. 8vo.

These Fables are of unequal merit. Some of them are excellent. The preface is an exceedingly good essay on the origin, nature, and design of the fable. The Banquet of the Seven Sages is worth all the book besides; and the illustrations are in the best style; as engravings on wood, they are most beautiful specimens of the art in its present advanced state. Can it ever be better?

Schinderhannes, the Robber of the Rhine. By Leitch Ritchie.

We know not whether to criticise this clever production as a romance or a melo-drama, perhaps they mean the same thing; for, after all, a melo-drama is only a wild romance put into more extended action—such is undoubtedly the "Robber of the Rhine" from the commencement to the termination thereof. It may be called a book in a bustle: and yet we hardly know how Mr. Ritchie could have introduced repose, without destroying the brilliant energy with which the volume is animated—it is sparkling and spirited throughout; but we would fain see how the author would have painted in the female characters which at present are too sketchy and vague to enable us to judge if he be skilled in the delicate and difficult knowledge of the female heart. Lise is a sweet bride for a brigand, and carries our sympathies with her as far as she goes; the lady of the story is more spirited and decided than well-bred gentlewomen are in general; but there is something much more to our taste in the fascinating being who loves the Jew, with a purity and simplicity singularly touching and truthful: this fair girl would have been one of the most skilful and delightful sketches in modern novels, but for the unhappy incident of her kissing the soldier. Lise might have kissed a whole garrison, and there would have been nothing revolting in it. The stately lady herself might have condescended to such a familiarity upon an occasion, and the plea of "state necessity" might justify her as it has done others; but the trembling, loving, delicate creature, who comes upon us "like the breath of the sweet south," could not have submitted to the degradation even to save her husband; she would sacrifice her life joyfully, to procure him a small advantage, but a being, constituted as she is described, could not submit to the pollution of a rude kiss. We are really angry at this incident, because it was in no degree necessary to the development of the story, the escape could have been managed without it, and the ideal beauty of the character preserved. The men are more perfectly drawn than the women, and the author manages them better: Schinderhannes is the *beau-ideal* of a brigand. We shall love the Rhine and its blue waters a thousand times better for Leitch Ritchie's sake; and, though we have no sympathy with "Peter the Black," inasmuch as he is a species of "Coburg" hero, yet he is of great use to the story,—a dark foreground, throwing out the gentler parts with good effect. Decidedly, the finest and most successfully delineated character of the whole book is the Jew; the conception and development of that one creation proves the author a man of no ordinary mind, and Mr. Ritchie deserves peculiar praise for the pains he has bestowed thereon; for it is evident, that his talent lies more in the formation of a perfect *whole* as regards action, than in the delineation of character; you feel, in general, that his *dramatis personæ* are come to play their parts, not that the situations arise out of circumstances, for which, as in real life, they cannot always be prepared. Nevertheless, the book, as we have said, is sparkling and spirited, creating a breathless interest, and realising the expectations its announcement excited. We observe the editor does not repeat his invitation to the halt, the maimed, and the blind, to be delivered of their MS. for the benefit of Messrs. Smith and Elder: has one little month taught him wisdom?



## The Life of Sir William Hoste. 2 vols.

There is no class of men in the world so justly and so highly appreciated, and yet so little understood, as seamen. We read about them, we occasionally meet with, and mix with them; we look upon them as especially chartered by the Almighty to protect our country and defend it, where only it is accessible to invasion. We respect them, we sympathize with them (as well as we know how). Not a breeze blows heavily over the land that we do not put up a silent, but not less earnest prayer for those who are on the sea;—in a word, there is a warm nook in the heart of every true Britain, that might be called “the sailor’s home.” And yet, of those sailors, during the greater portion of their lives, how little do we know!

The heroic actions of our seamen occupy only a short period of the most celebrated man’s existence; and the long weeks, months, and years, spent by our brave tars on the ocean, are passed under circumstances, and in occupations, with which even now, when so much has been written on the subject, we are only very partially acquainted. We therefore owe a debt of gratitude to every one who adds to our store of information. There is so much good feeling, so much simplicity of heart, mingled with such excellent bravery in every page of these interesting records, that we cordially thank Lady Harriette Hoste for rescuing them from that oblivion which the name of her gallant husband can now never know.

Sincerely do we lament that he was so soon removed from the scene of much earthly glory. To those who had the advantage of his personal acquaintance, as well as to the young aspirants for naval honours, Sir William’s memoirs will prove a most valuable record and monitor.

## LIST OF NEW PUBLICATIONS.

Letters from Sussex Emigrants who sailed for Upper Canada in April, 1832, with a Gazetteer of the Places named in the Letters, &c. &c. 8vo. 2s.

Biographical Sketch of Joseph Napoleon Bonaparte. 8vo. 3s. 6d. bds.

Stuart’s Three Years in North America. Second Edition. 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s. bds.

Whychcotte of St. John’s. 2 vols. post 8vo. 18s. bds.

Murat’s North America, with a Map. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d. bds.

Deloraine. By William Godwin. 3 vols. post 8vo. 1l. 8s. 6d.

A Supplement to Bent’s London Catalogue of Books. 8vo. 3s. 6d. sewed.

Monk’s Life of Bentley. Second Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. 24s. bds.

Selections from the Edinburgh Review. Edited by M. Cross. 4 vols. 8vo. 3l. 3s. bds.

Wilbur’s Reference Testament. 12mo. 4s. 6d. bound and lettered.

Illustrations of the Waverley Novels, Vol. I. Post 8vo. 21s. half-bound morocco.

The English Flora of Sir J. E. Smith, Vol. V., Part I; or the Second Vol. of the English Flora. By W. J. Hooker.

Lardner’s Cyclopædia, Vol. XL.

Southey’s Naval Biography, Vol. I. 12mo. 6s. bds.

Hawkins’s Discourses on the Historical Scriptures of the Old Testament. 8vo. 6s. bds.

The Last Essays of Elia. Cr. 8vo. 9s. bds.

A Million of Facts. By Sir R. Phillips. New Edition. 12mo. 10s. bds.

Mayo’s Outlines of Human Physiology. 8vo. Third Edition. 18s. bds.

Park on the Apocalypse. Third Edition. 8vo. 7s. bds.

Record Commission: Proceedings in Chancery, Vol. III. Folio (Elizabeth). 1l. 10s. half-bound.

Hansard’s Debates. Third Series, Vol. XIV.; the Sixth (concluding vol.) of Session 1831-2. 1l. 10s. bds.; 1l. 13s. 6d. half-bound.

The Edinburgh Cabinet Library, Vol. II. Life of Sir Walter Raleigh. 12mo. 5s. bds.

Six Weeks on the Loire. 8vo. 12s. bds.

## LITERARY REPORT.

The sixth and last volume of Cunningham’s “British Artists.”

The “Life of General Sir John Moore,” from the materials afforded by Family Papers.

“Notre Dame,” a Tale of the Ancien Régime, from the French of Victor Hugo. By the Translator of Wilson’s edition of “Lafayette.”

“Field Book: or, Sports and Pastimes of the British Islands.” By the Author of “Wild Sports of the West.” With Illustrations.

Captain Alexander is about to publish the narrative of his recent Travels in America and the West Indies, under the title of “Transatlantic Sketches.”

“Piozziana; or, Recollections of the late Mrs. Piozzi,” with Remarks by a Friend.

“Sketches in Greece and Turkey,” ending in the Autumn of 1832; with Remarks on the Present State and Future Prospects of those Countries.

“A General View of the Geology of Scripture.” By George Fairholme, Esq.

Dr. Paris’s “Philosophy in Sport made Science in Earnest” is announced, in a single volume.

Miss Jane Austen’s Novel, entitled “Emma,” is promised for the twenty-fifth volume of Mr. Bentley’s Standard Novels and Romances.

A Novel, under the title of the “New Road to Ruin,” from the pen of Lady Stepney.

W. Howitt, we are glad to hear, has nearly ready a second series of the “Book of the Seasons,” embracing all the advantages of the first series, which has been long out of print, and with superior Embellishments.

A new Novel by Mrs. Sheridan, entitled “Aims and Ends.”

“Mary of Burgundy; or, the Revolt of Ghent.” By the Author of “Richelieu,” “Henry Masterton,” &c.



## FINE ARTS.

## BRITISH INSTITUTION.

The exhibition of works of art in the Gallery of the British Institution opened early in the month. Taken as a whole, it is the best we ever noticed, although there has not been so large a contribution as heretofore on the part of artists established in reputation. There are, however, fewer inferior productions than usual; and we observed manifest improvement in many of the exhibitors of former years. Mr. E. Landseer, Mr. Etty, Mr. Constable, Mr. Briggs, and Mr. Howard, are the members of the academy who have sent their productions; and among the more eminent of the other contributors are Mr. Uwins, Mr. Knight, Mr. G. Hayter, Mr. Webster, Mr. Von Holst, Mr. Clater, Mr. Hofland, Mr. M'Clise, Mr. Lee, &c. M'Clise's picture of Mokanna unvailing before Zelica, (from Lalla Rookh,) is beyond question the gem of the season. We never recollect a young artist making so great a "sensation," or rising so rapidly to the highest station in his profession, the most envied honours of which he is doubtless destined to share.

The *Associated Painters in Water Colours*.—We are happy to find that our anticipations have been realized. This society has succeeded in its object; a sufficient sum has been collected among the friends and professors of art, and the exhibition will open in Old Bond-street, early in April.

## THE DRAMA.

## DRURY LANE.

WE have had a good deal of bustle and novelty during the past month at the "great houses;" but the most delightful treat at this theatre has been the revival, or rather appearance, of Mozart's immortal "Don Giovanni" in an English dress. To Mr. Beazley was assigned the difficult task of translating, or writing, English words to Italian music; thus uniting a gay, bounding, graceful Italian greyhound in the bonds of unlawful matrimony with a stiff, cross, crabbed English bull-dog. Critics though we be, we have not the heart to criticize him or his poetry after such an exertion: he has taste and feeling; but there are some things over which neither taste nor feeling can triumph. It would be much easier to find fault with his poetry than to write better. We therefore dismiss the scrutiny altogether; and for once congratulate the management on the possession of an admirable orchestra. Will Mr. Braham oblige us with his secret for the preservation of perpetual youth? He sang and acted the animated air, "Fin ch' an dal Vino," with a glowing gaiety that warmed every bosom in the theatre. In other portions of the music, the melody, the gentle breathing melody, was wanting, both in his lower and his upper tones; but still he is *the* Braham, who, like the youth in Sir Philip Sidney's *Arcadia*, "never should grow old." Madame de Meric, whose voice seems capable of every modulation, and is excellent in them all, exerted herself to the uttermost, and did not, when we heard her, suffer a note of the divine music to lose its expression. Her enunciation of our guttural English is admirable, and her conception of the character of Donna Anna was perfection. Mrs. Wood's "Zerlina" was in many parts finely sung, but the buoyancy of heart and manner, which constitute the life and freshness of the character, were wanting. She did not bound on the stage in all the *abandon* of happy enjoyment; she was too tame, too measured in her movements; in fact, the character is as much and essentially Italian as Shakspeare's Juliet, and requires much discrimination to perform it *comme il faut*, without at the same time violating the proprieties of an English audience. Her "Vedrai Carino" was something to remember as long as we live. Mr. Phillips is too heavy for Leporello; he has more humour than wit in his acting, but there is no English singer now on the stage who could do more justice to the gossamer Italian.\* Miss Betts, notwithstanding the host of talent she had to contend with, acquitted herself, as she usually does—well; but we protest against Elvira running away from her convent in pink satin and feathers. There is not one of even Mozart's operas that comes so laden with the golden feelings of our youth as this same Don Juan. And Stanfield's

\* Towards the close of the month a Mr. Martin took the place of Mr. Phillips, and made by far the most successful debut of the season. He has many of the best requisites for the profession.



glorious pencil contributed so judiciously to the illusion, that but for our barbarous language, we could have fancied ourselves in sight of the statue, where the soft moonlight touches the scenery with its silver beauty, and sheds its chastened light on all around. The opera altogether is a delightful treat, full of the depth and passion of the great *Maestro*, and yet sparkling with his youthful and bright imaginings. They have had O.P. riots at this theatre; and Captain Polhill's manager speechified without effect until the cause of umbrage was removed.

"The Sleeping Beauty" has been another novelty, or called a novelty, though we most strenuously object to the introduction of any thing but incidental Ballets at a house originally intended for the production of the Legitimate Drama, despite the attractions of Mademoiselle Duvernay, which are certainly of a very high order, both as regards her personal charms and acquired accomplishments. "The Sleeping Beauty" is only an improvement on the "Lions of Mysore"—neither had any business at Old Drury. Got up, as all *spectacles* must be, at an enormous expense, and at variance with the taste of that class of persons who frequent, or ought to frequent, an English Theatre, they may "draw" for a few nights, but in the end can only "draw" down destruction on the heads of their misguided producers. This we should have thought had been already proved; but we have not now to learn that there are those who never grow wise by experience. A Ballet at the Opera, where *Ballets* ought to be, and a Ballet at each of the English Theatres, where they ought *not* to be! we shall see in a little time how it will all terminate! We cannot, honestly, wish such a system success, particularly as many of our own actors, whose talent lies in their head, not their heels, are pretty nearly consigned to starvation, to enable foreigners to *star*. Nevertheless we detract not from the merit of the fair *artistes*. Mademoiselle Ancellin improves, and is now, part Taglioni—part Heberle. Of Mademoiselle Duvernay we think most highly; she possesses grace and elegance, as we have stated, of the highest order; we hope soon to see her at the Opera. The dresses and decorations of the "Sleeping Beauty" are truly superb; and the scenery, when we remember who is the magician (whose pencil has all the qualifications of a fairy's wand) that has produced it, need scarcely be pronounced "wonderful in beauty,"—it could not be otherwise.

#### COVENT GARDEN.

Mr. Peake has produced a new drama at this theatre, of which, by this time, all our readers have heard: it is called the "Smuggler Boy," the word and character of the *boy* having been introduced to accommodate the piece to Miss Poole, who is an extraordinary mixture of youthful feeling and matured judgment. The plot is as follows: The family of St. Brieux, though noble, has for many years been connected with a band of smugglers on the coast of Brittany. Paul Count de St. Brieux, otherwise Paul the Smuggler, is suspected by the band of treachery. He has been absent eighteen months, has married and had an heir, and has been seen by their spies in regular attendance on the minister at Paris. On his return he is summoned to a meeting of the smugglers, and compelled to place his infant son in their hands as a hostage for his fidelity. The chateau is attacked by the troops of the government, and defended by the smugglers, who escape with the child, leaving the son of the commanding officer of the district among the slain, and thus terminates the first Act. At the opening of the second we find the Count and Countess returned from Guadaloupe as Colonel and Madame Valry, the Count having sunk his former name and station, and acquired rank and reputation in the army. His own description, as the proscribed Paul the Smuggler, is placed in his hands as Colonel Valry, to be read at the head of his regiment, which is now employed in Brittany to suppress the smugglers, who have again made head. A smuggler boy, called Devilskin, is taken prisoner, but escapes from the troops, and climbing through a window into the house where Madame Valry is staying, implores her protection. He is discovered, but escapes again, flies to his mountains, and ultimately proves to be the lost heir of St. Brieux. The smugglers are overcome, and their dying chief denounces Paul as the murderer of the young officer slain in Act I. His innocence, however, of that crime is proved by another smuggler, and his subsequent services induce the court and jury to recommend him as a fit object for the royal clemency. Such is the outline of this *legitimate melodrama*, if we may so designate an entertainment containing much broad farce, which is cleverly given by Mr. and Mrs. Keeley. Much as we appreciate Miss Poole's talent, we do not like to see this precocious child forced and dressed into such a part: we would not mar the innocence and happiness of youth by so early an acquaintance with vice; and though we are well aware that the stage is no hot-bed for virtue, yet we would not have a child, and more particularly a girl, thrust into immorality under the



very eye of the public. Mr. Haines manifested much talent in his allotted part, and the choruses were in Mr. G. H. Rodwell's best style. It only remains for us to prophesy that the Smuggler Boy will not outlive the season.

The grand spectacle of "Kenilworth" has been produced here, with the tribe of opera dancers and opera dresses. Well may the bills say that it is "from the King's Theatre;" the ballet was most certainly an absurdity worthy foreign growth, but it was a grievous profanation to graft it upon our own beautiful Kenilworth. Darnley, Earl of Leicester, pirouetting before the proud Elizabeth of England on one leg!—dancing into the good graces of the maiden queen, who loved him not over-wisely, and bounding, with a hop-step-and-a-jump, into the susceptible heart of Amy Robsart! What would the manager think of getting up the Reform Bill in the same style of excellence—Lord John and Earl Grey *dos à dos*, while Mr. Cobbett and Mr. O'Connell performed *demi queue de chat* for the amusement of an enlightened audience.

---

## PROCEEDINGS OF SOCIETIES.

### ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE.

At a meeting of this Society held on the 6th instant, the Secretary read a "Dissertation on the Origin of the Primitive Sphere of the Greeks," by Isaac Cullimore, Esq. It is well known that the principles whereby Sir Isaac Newton endeavoured to remodel ancient history rest on the description of what is usually denominated "the primitive sphere of the Greeks," furnished by the astronomers Eudoxus, Aratus, and Hipparchus, combined with a tradition preserved by Clemens and Diogenes Laertius, that the sphere was constructed for the use of the Argonauts by Chiron and his contemporary Musæus,—a tradition which is at issue with the evidence of all sober history, and altogether confuted by the fact of the adoption of nearly the same system of asterisms by all civilized nations, from the remotest antiquity. Newton, as well as his opponents, Souciel, Bedford, &c., determined, however, to see nothing but "the history of the Argo and her gallant crew" delineated in the heavens, have therefore identified the ages of the sphere and of the voyage of Jason—the former at the expense of history, and the latter at that of astronomy. History refers this famous expedition to the middle of the thirteenth century before the Christian era; while the description of the sphere answers to the state of the heavens about the middle of the tenth. To the latter age Newton accordingly lowers the voyage of the Argo, and assumes this as a basis for the general shortening of ancient chronology; while Souciel and Bedford, rejecting the evidence of astronomy, raise the sphere to the historical age of the expedition.

The place of the colures being spoken of by Hipparchus as the middle of Aries, Libra, Cancer, and Capricorn (*i. e.*, the 8°, or middle of the constellations), the opponents of Newton have assumed, to support their theory, that the middle, or 15°, of the signs is to be understood—a difference in time of from four to five centuries. Mr. Cullimore, however, proves, both from the coincident voice of ancient writers, and from astronomical calculation, that the 8° was the place of the colures in the sphere of Eudoxus. There Eudoxus himself placed them, in the fourth century B.C., as Columella informs us. There, also, they were placed by Meton and Euctemon a century earlier; and by Sosigenes, Manilius, Columella, Ovid, and Pliny, during the two centuries which immediately preceded and followed the Christian era. It follows that, whatever was the source of this original sphere of the Greeks and Romans, it was adopted by both nations, without any regard to the changes in the longitudes of the stars. The tenth century B.C., to which calculation refers the coincidence of this sphere, with the celestial phenomena, is a complete blank as regards Grecian history and science; and this blank descends below the Olympic era, B.C. 776. Newton accordingly admits that between the Argonautic era and the time of Thales, about B.C. 600, we know nothing of the state of Grecian astronomy. This chasm in the records of science extends to 350 years, according to Newton, and to about twice that time if we follow his opponents; both parties nevertheless assume the observations of Chiron, the traditional author of the sphere, to have been preserved and transmitted during the whole of it with perfect accuracy.

The present writer consequently takes his stand at the dawn of Grecian astronomy, in the days of Thales, and proceeds to show that this philosopher obtained



his knowledge of the heavens in Egypt; and that his pupil, Anaximander, was the constructor of the earliest Greek sphere of which there is the remotest historical trace; the labours of Anaximander being continued by Clearchus, and soon afterwards adopted by Meton and Euctemon, as above. We are thus directed to Egypt as the source whence Thales imported his knowledge of the sphere; and to that nation Herodotus, and all sober historians, refer the *origines astronomicæ* of Greece. But the Egyptian elements of science could not have been brought into Greece earlier than the first international communication; and this intercourse is known to have begun with the arrival of the Carian and Ionian auxiliaries in aid of King Psammeticus, about the year B.C. 672, a little before the birth of Thales.

What, then, was the state of the Egyptian sphere in this age? We find that the latest delineation of it, of which history has presented any account, was that by King Nicephos the Wise, the immediate predecessor, except one, of Psammeticus, the limits of whose reign fall between the years B.C. 686 and 672.

Mr. Cullimore shows, that, according to principles developed by him in a previous memoir, the Egyptian colures intersected the  $8^{\circ}$  of the cardinal signs, from the year B.C. 676 to 575—an interval coincident not only with the reigns of Psammeticus, Nicephos, &c., but with the greater part of the lives of Thales and Anaximander. And that this was the last correction of the sphere of Egypt appears from the fact, that Sosigenes, the Alexandrian, who assisted Cæsar in the reformation of the Roman calendar, 600 years later, still placed colures in the  $8^{\circ}$  of the signs. It follows that the state of the Egyptian sphere, and that of the Greeks and Romans, was identical, from the age of Thales to that of Pliny; and that the origin of the latter has been at length demonstrably detected.

#### ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY.

The Chevalier Clot Bey was introduced to the meeting by the Chairman, in an energetic speech, which gave an interesting view of the labours of this gentleman, who is a native of France, and now fills the situation of principal surgeon to the Pasha of Egypt, in the costume of which rank he appeared at the meeting. Among other benefits conferred by this individual on Egypt, he has educated three hundred young Arabs in his own profession, one of whom accompanies him. Sir Alexander passed a very high eulogium on the Pasha of Egypt, for the liberal and enlightened manner in which he patronizes the natives of all countries indiscriminately, who can improve in any way the condition and resources of his country. The Chevalier, in returning thanks for his reception by the meeting, expressed his regret that the shortness of his stay in England would not allow him to pay so much attention as he could wish to the institutions of the country; but said, that the high opinion he had formed of our nation was fully borne out by his limited experience. He concluded by offering his services to the Society, on his return to Egypt, in any way they could be made available.

#### SOCIETY OF ARTS.

At a recent meeting of this society, a paper was read by Mr. Aikin on the gaseous substances used for artificial light and the manufacture of gas. In our notice of this *illustration* we must be very brief. The practical details of the manufacture of gas, with descriptions of the retorts, tubes, tanks, hydraulic-mains, &c. without the drawings, the exhibition of which accompanied Mr. Aikin's discourse, would be uninteresting to the most acute reader. The application of elastic fluid, or gas, to the purpose of affording artificial light is of modern invention, though from an early period its existence was acknowledged; for what is the blaze of a coal fire but the burning of coal-gas? In 1739, a Fellow of the Royal Society first discovered the inflammable nature of coal-tar: in 1746, further advances were made; and, some time after, the Earl of Dundonald obtained a patent for the manufacture of gas and coke; but it may be fairly said, that until its introduction by Mr. Windsor, who lit what was then the Lyceum Theatre in the Strand, and one side of Pall-mall, with gas, the extent of its usefulness as an artificial light was not understood. A chaldron of coal, after remaining about eight hours in the retort, yields from 10,000 to 14,000 cubic feet of gas, the difference in quantity arising from the quality of the coal: a loss, varying from  $22\frac{1}{2}$  to  $34\frac{1}{2}$  per cent., takes place, and it becomes coke. About one cwt. of tar is also extracted, together with a quantity of ammoniacal liquor, from which is made sal ammoniac, and other chemical compounds.



LECTURE ON JACOTOT'S SYSTEM OF EDUCATION.

Two lectures have been given by Mr. Joseph Payne, at the Grove-House, Cambridge, to a numerous and respectable audience, on Jacotot's system of education, entitled universal instruction. The following is an abstract :—M. Jacotot, a native of Dijon, also professor of French, at the University of Louvain, made many interesting experiments, which ended in the establishment of a system embracing these principles. 1. That the pupil should be made as much as possible an agent in his own instruction; he learns under the direction, but not by the explanation of his teacher.—2. That the pupil should be made completely master of one thing (one book, one model, &c.) to serve as a sort of nucleus, around which other things may be collected and retained.—3. That this one thing well known should serve to interpret and explain other things imperfectly or not at all known.—4. That a habit of correct and natural association should be assiduously cultivated.—5. That the mind should in all cases be taught by ascending from facts to principles.—6. That the memory should always be practicably considered as the purveyor to the judgment.—7. That the natural faculty of imitation should be extensively exercised.—8. That what is learned should be continually brought into use, i. e. that the principle of reproduction should be in constant operation.—9. That the process of very frequent repetition is absolutely necessary in elementary instruction.—10. That practice makes perfect. The general direction of the system—learn something thoroughly, and refer every thing else to it, was explained, and the results of the plans on the continent and in the country, were shown from official reports; accounts of the Lecturer's own successful experiments, &c. An approving note from Lord Brougham was read, as also a letter from an English officer, who had witnessed very satisfactory effects of this system in Halifax, Nova Scotia. The practice of all men of cultivated minds, and great attainments was shown to be in accordance with the principle of the system; the advantages of being “the man of one book” were pointed out, and the old saying, *cave ab homine unius libri*, elucidated. The process by which the pupil of Jacotot's system is made “the man of one book” was explained in detail, and some compositions of the Lecturer's pupils read. The audience, which, by the way, on the first evening, were put into a thoroughly damp room by the directors of the establishment, evinced by the most marked attention the interest they took in the subject.

---

VARIETIES.

*Expedition in search of Captain Ross.*—The following is an outline of the present plan for conducting this interesting expedition :—The expedition is to consist of two officers and eighteen men, part to be engaged in this country and part in Canada, all of them inured to fatigue, and well accustomed to the duties they have to perform. Several of Captain Back's late companions on Sir John Franklin's last voyage have already volunteered to embark on the present enterprise. They will leave Liverpool so as to reach Montreal by way of New York by the 10th of April. Some days will then be spent in engaging Canadian voyagers as steersmen and guides, and in preparing the usual equipment. The route to be pursued is the ordinary one for the fur-traders, by the Outaway, French River, the Great Lakes, Lake Winepeg, &c., to Great Slave Lake, being a distance from Montreal of about 2500 miles, which may, it is expected, be accomplished early in July. The mode of travelling on the lakes is in a large birch rind canoe, which at Fort William will be changed for smaller canoes, adapted for river navigation. At Cumberland House the party will embark in batteaux, which are better calculated for conveying the pemmican. This, which will form their most certain food, is made from the flesh of buffalo, moose, or reindeer, dried and pounded with a proportionate quantity of fat; and when well prepared it will keep good for years; scraped and boiled in snow water, it forms a palatable and nutritious soup. At Slave Lake, Indian guides and hunters will be obtained to accompany the party to the Great Fishing River. The most eligible spot for winter residence having been selected, a certain number of the people will be appointed for erecting the necessary buildings, and the hunters and fishermen employed to store up provisions, while Captain Back will proceed himself, without loss of time, down the river in a light canoe, with a crew of eight men, well armed. As the river flows through the barren lands of nearly equal elevation with those



north of Fort Enterprise, it is expected its course, like that of the Copper Mine, will be interrupted by rapids, or cascades. This cruise will enable Captain Back to survey these, so that on his return to the winter establishment they may construct boats combining the qualities of the river and the sea navigation. As far, also, as the season will permit, Captain Back expects his visit to the sea will give him an opportunity of communicating with the Esquimaux, and of obtaining, if not intelligence of Captain Ross, at least much information of the direction of his course the following summer. Having passed the first winter, they would start for sea the moment the ice breaks up; and if the opinion which Captain Back has been led to entertain, from an inspection of the maps traced by the Indians, of the mouth of the river being between 68 and 69 parallels of latitude be correct, they would then be less than 300 miles from the wreck of the *Fury*, and, under favourable circumstances, little or no doubt can be entertained of their being able to reach it. If, contrary to their hope, no trace of Captain Ross should be discovered on arriving at the wreck of the *Fury*, and the season should be far advanced, it will be necessary they should retrace their way to winter-quarters; and in so doing they would embrace every opportunity of erecting land-marks and signal-posts on peaks and capes, to arrest the attention of the wanderers to the notes deposited beneath, detailing the position of their fort, and the means adopted for their relief. On the disruption of the ice in the following spring, the expedition would again be on the shores of the Polar Sea, and its researches be resumed in a different direction from that previously taken; every Esquimaux hut would be minutely inspected, in the hope of finding some token of the fate of their countrymen. "The gratification," observes Captain Back, "which the promoters of the expedition will experience should even a single British seaman be rescued from a melancholy fate by their means, will amply repay them for their exertions and outlay; while, even if no such happy fortune should attend their researches, the geographical knowledge that must be obtained, and the scientific information resulting from a course leading nearly over one of the magnetic poles, will, it is hoped, show that the enterprise has not, even in this case, been undertaken in vain."

*Agricultural Improvement Institution*—A society has been lately established, and under very high patronage, for the purpose of giving to the destitute, but industrious poor, useful and profitable employment. The society proposes to effect this great good by establishing home colonies on the model of the celebrated Dutch farms at Frederick's-oord. From an estimate lately laid before Parliament, it appears that 15,000,000 acres of land, capable of cultivation, are now lying waste in the United Kingdom. The objects of the society are, to obtain tracts of this waste land by gift, grant, or purchase; to divide the same into small portions, and to let these portions to the poor at a low rent, furnishing to the occupiers such implements and instruction, as shall enable them to bring the land into profitable cultivation, and eventually to repay all expenses incurred by the society, and by continued industry and frugality to acquire a competence for themselves. The first outlay of the society is to be defrayed by subscriptions: and we mention this, because we feel that such a Society is entitled to the support of all who desire, not only for the poor themselves—and humanity requires something from us—but for the moral improvement of society, that the condition of the humbler classes should be improved, and that every able and willing labourer should have the just reward of his industry. Annual subscriptions, as low as ten shillings, are received, and entitle the subscribers to be present, and to vote at all general meetings.

*A new Route to India*.—A Mr. Waghorn, who has been exerting himself to procure a quick communication with India, is about to sail for Malta, from whence he will proceed to Alexandria, in order to make arrangements for crossing the desert to Suez. Should his plan be carried into effect, he calculates that he may be able to reach India within forty-five days after leaving Falmouth.

The commissioners for building new churches have made their twelfth annual report. They state that at the time of their last report 168 churches and chapels had been completed, in which accommodation had been provided for 231,367 persons. Since that time 20 churches and chapels have been completed, capable of accommodating 26,361 persons; so that, on the whole, 188 churches or chapels have now been completed, and therein accommodation provided for 257,728 persons, including 142,121 free seats. The commissioners state further that there are 19 churches and chapels now building, and that they have approved plans for building 8 more.



## FOREIGN VARIETIES.

*Composition of the Silver Bell at Rouen.*—M. Girardin, professor of chemistry, has, by a careful analysis, ascertained that it does not contain any silver. One hundred parts by weight contain—

Copper	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	71
Brass	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	26
Zinc	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1.80
Iron	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1.20

---

100

Modern French bells differ little from the above, being composed of—

Copper	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	78
Brass	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	22

---

100

Thus, the prejudice which has long existed, that the old church-bells contained a smaller or larger portion of silver, is destroyed by the efforts of science.—*Recueil Industriel*.

*Compensating Pendulums.*—M. Henry Robert, pupil of Breguet, has, by availing himself of the well-known quality possessed by the wood of the fir-tree of preserving its length unaltered in all changes of temperature, and confining a rod of this wood in a metal-box, the expansion of the bob correcting that of the tube, succeeded perfectly in making a pendulum, uniting all the requisites of a good compensator, and at the same time simple in its construction and form.—*Acad. des Sciences*.

The following discovery has lately been made at Macornay, near Lons le Saulner. A labourer, on digging to plant a vine, found a stone about seven feet long, under which there was a well-preserved skeleton of a man of extraordinary size. The stone coffin in which it rested was in an inclined position, with the head turned towards the east. By its side were found a rusty sword, a large iron buckle which probably belonged to the sword-belt; and on the finger a gold ring, from which it is thought to be the remains of a Gallic or Roman warrior.

Chevalier Manzi has just discovered, in the Necropoli di Tarquina, an Etruscan tomb, more enriched with ornaments than any hitherto met with. Its form is quadrangular, and it is supported by a large column in the centre, on three sides of which are full-sized genii. On the principal face or entrance above the door is an Etruscan inscription, surrounded by very fine ornaments and fishes. On the left face is a most animated group of figures exquisitely wrought, and above another inscription in the same language. The tomb is surrounded by three stages of steps, on which are placed several sarcophagi, with figures of men and women in a high state of preservation, various inscriptions in Latin, and names.

---

RURAL ECONOMY.

Government have permitted a patent to be taken out for distilling spirits from Mangel Wurzel, which was powerfully resisted by corn-growers and agriculturists. The establishment is upon a large scale at Malden, in Essex, and promises to become a most successful speculation.

Many people destroy their hyacinths by planting them in too much manure. Rotten dung is as injurious to bulbs as a very damp soil. They do best in pots filled with rich light vegetable earth, that has been sifted and kept dry three weeks before it is made use of. When the bulb is put into the earth, about a third part of it should be left above the surface. The plants should be placed in a dry situation, and should have but little water at first, increasing the quantity by degrees till they have done flowering. As soon as the leaves begin to decay, no more water should be given, and when the flowers and leaves are both gone, the bulb should be taken up; and, after it has been carefully cleared of the earth adhering to it, it should be put by, in a dry airy place, till about the middle of September, when it should be re-planted, in order that it may flower the following spring.

The Camellia Japonica, or Japan rose, is one of the most beautiful as well as the hardiest of green-house plants. Like all other plants of the tea family, it is nearly as handsome in its foliage as in its flowers, and its dark green shining and leathery



leaves appear to advantage, even among the gayest deciduous shrubs of summer. The Camellia will live, and even flower in the open air, but it does best when afforded the protection of glass, though it rarely requires any artificial heat. The trees should be planted in a compost composed of loam, peat, or sand, and decayed leaves in about equal parts, or loam alone will do. The plants should be kept very moist, and not exposed too much to the sun. If there should be any flaws or blemishes in the glass, the sun's rays reflected through them on the leaves of the Camellias are very apt to occasion blisters and white spots, which disfigure the plants. When Camellias are planted against walls in the open air, a north wall should be preferred to one with a southern exposure, and this is the case with all half-hardy exotics. The reason is, that a south wall brings them too forward, and makes them more liable to be checked by spring frosts or March winds. There are many varieties of Camellias, but the colours are always either red or white, or some shade partaking of one of those colours.

---

## USEFUL ARTS.

*Preservation of Wood.*—A method of preserving building-timber from decay has long been a desideratum. The attempts hitherto made have not, however, been attended with success. Timber for ship-building is subject to a peculiar species of decay, called the *dry rot*,—a method of preventing which would be exceedingly valuable. At the meeting of the Society for the Encouragement of National Industry, on the 21st of December, 1831, Mr. Bréant, Assayer of the Mint, and an able chemist, exhibited several pieces of wood of many inches square and several feet long, which had been prepared by him according to a new process, which is expected to preserve them from every species of decay. The details of the method have not been made public by Mr. B.; he has merely stated that the wood is soaked in saline solutions and in oily and resinous matters. These substances penetrate so completely throughout the mass of wood, that when one of the blocks exhibited before the Society was sawed in half in presence of the members, it was found to be thoroughly impregnated with them even to its very centre. Mr. B.'s process requires but two or three days for completion, even in blocks of wood of a large size. If further experience confirm what science has thus suggested, the difficult problem of the preservation of wood may be considered as solved. Mr. B. states that he will shortly be able to furnish timber of all sizes prepared in this way.—*Academy of Sciences.*

*New Printing Machine.*—The Perth Advertizer describes a small printing machine, on an entirely new principle, lately invented by Mr. J. Bogle, of Perth. In rapidity of action it is equal to Cowper's machine, and so easily worked, that a boy may drive it with one hand. One person can work it, but more may be employed with equal facility, according to the hurry of the job. The machinery is constructed in such a way as to distribute the ink on the rollers, ink the types, take in the sheet, print it, and deliver it, at one and the same instant of time. It is perfectly at the command of the workman, and keeps a record of the work it executes, so that a workman is saved even the trouble of counting his paper. This machine has not a single wheel about it; the contrivance for taking in and delivering the sheets is very ingenious—the only mystery being, that such correct results are produced by so simple and apparently inadequate means. It prints every variety of work with the utmost facility; produces a clear and beautiful impression, of a uniform colour, and altogether equal to any thing that can be done by any press; prints correct register, requires no slip or blotting-sheets, and in long numbers the impression is as clean in the last sheet as in the first. It also possesses the recommendation of working silently, and occupying less room than a common press, while it is much more cleanly. In a run of similar work the forms can be adjusted on the machine in one minute, and in every case the types are easily adjusted, and as readily got at in case of alterations, as on the common press. Although there is a great deal of brass and iron-work in the construction of the machine, the inventor, who is a joiner, has executed every part of it himself—a task, the difficulty of which can only be known to those who are aware of the correct machinery required in printing.

*New Machine for Cleansing Roads, &c.*—This machine is formed of a series of scrapers fastened to wooden rods, or bands, acting on a common axis, yet rising or



falling singly and independently of each other, so as to meet the inequalities of surface. They are all inserted into a frame, the lower part of which presses on the scrapers, the upper part being the handle: the machine is then fixed on wheels, and the mode of using it is by hand. The workman commences at a given place, by elevating the handle, which sinks the scrapers, and he drags the machine across the road at right angles, to the line of draught; when he has dragged the mud to the opposite side he depresses the handle, and the scrapers rising deposit their gatherings. The independent action of each scraper enables the whole to enter and cleanse out any holes or depressions of the surface, or to get over any hard projection; and, in short, enables the machine, as a whole, to adapt itself to any state of road, or any kind of surface.

*New Method of Protecting Bank Notes from being Counterfeited.*—The object is to prevent the counterfeiting of bank notes by means of lithography. Those acquainted with that art are aware that the impression upon a bank note, or other engraving, printed with ink into which oil enters as a component part, may be transferred on to stone, and the stone then used to furnish similar impressions on paper. The utmost perfection has been attained in this process, which, as it is merely mechanical, may enable one who is no artist to imitate the work of the best engravers in a way which shall defy detection.

The means by which the patentees effect their object is, by taking printer's ink, or ink made from oil, which is to be of a pink, light blue, or other tint, and which will serve as a ground for the black ink generally used in printing bank notes. The paper intended to be printed on is first covered wholly, or in part, with the light-coloured oleagenous ink, and after this the notes are printed in black-ink in the usual way.

Any attempt to make a lithographic transfer from paper so prepared must fail altogether, as every part which has either the tinted or the black ink on it, will affect the stone, and only a confused, blurred impression, can be obtained from it.

*Lithography in imitation of Mezzotint.*—Various attempts have been made to imitate the style of mezzotinto engraving by lithography. One of the methods tried was by *stamping*. This process had given some beautiful results, but the mellowness of the tints could not be obtained. Besides, in sketching on the stones and removing the superabundant ink with the scraper, for the clear parts, the grain of the stone was destroyed, and when the stone was heated, for the purpose of fixing the sketch upon it, a few engravings with tarnished and gluey tints were all that could be obtained. M. Tudot proposes another process in which the scraper is not used. He lays the lithographic ink on the stone with care, makes it penetrate into the grain by means of an instrument of horn, then with a point of ivory, or, in preference, with a small utensil composed of very fine and pointed threads of steel, he takes from the bottom of the grain as much of the crayon as he thinks necessary to produce the desired tints. This process put in practice by able workmen, has produced designs rivalling, in every particular, engravings which have come from the hands of the most celebrated engravers in mezzotint. The artist, while transferring to the stone the conceptions of his genius, has every desirable freedom, is not embarrassed with any mechanical operation, and the process is very rapidly executed. In some trials made in presence of the Society for the Encouragement of National Industry, the 800th impression was as beautiful as the first. M. Tudot has received for this application a gold medal of the value of 2000 francs,—*Bulletin of Soc. for Enc. of Nat. Ind.*

---

## COMMERCIAL AND MONEY-MARKET REPORT.

It is gratifying to have to observe a steady and continued disposition to improvement in the condition of many of our manufactures; the Woollen trade in particular is in a state of great activity, and the increased price obtained for goods more than compensates the manufacturer for the advance of 10 to 15 per cent. that has taken place in Wool. The Silk trade is also recovering from its former depression, and presents the prospect of affording sufficient employment for the artizans in that particular branch of industry in the ensuing season,

It would appear that the Government, although they have resolved not to re-appoint a committee to pursue the inquiry touching the emancipation of the slaves in the West India Colonies, are not yet definitively agreed as to the measures to be proposed to Parliament on that subject, as no communication of those measures has yet been made to the Committee of West India Proprietors, and Ministers are under a pledge to make that body acquainted with the details of the plan, previously to its being laid open to the public.



The opinion, on the Sugar Market, that a complete abolition of slavery was to be effected within three years, was so strong, in the early part of last month, that the holders were firm in their demand of 1s. per cwt. advance, and many refused even to sell at that price: the stock in the hands of the trade being short, considerable purchases were made at the advance; the market has, however, subsequently given way, and prices have nearly relapsed to their former state.

In consequence of a tolerably brisk demand for crushed Sugar for exportation, and for fine goods for the home trade, the refiners have during the month advanced their prices 2s. per cwt.; this has latterly checked the disposition to purchase, and as the refiners are firm in maintaining the advance, the market has been dull.

In East India Sugars there have been no transactions worthy of notice; 3,623 bags Manilla were lately put up to public sale, but found no buyers. There has been a reduction of 6d. to 1s. per cwt. in Mauritius; by public sale of 3,065 bags, browns brought 48s. to 50s., yellows 51s. to 52s. 6d.; subsequently, few buyers were found at those prices, and the parcels offered were, for the greater part, taken in.

There is an equal stagnation in Foreign Sugars, 294 boxes yellow Havannah having been nearly all withdrawn at 22s. to 24s.

Molasses are dull of sale at 21s. to 23s.

The last average price of Sugar is 17. 8s. 5½.

The state of excitement and confusion in Jamaica, caused by the sudden dissolution of the House of Assembly by the Governor, together with the unfavourable reports as to the state of the crops in that island, and the general uncertainty as to the time and mode of operation of the measures contemplated by Government on the subject of the slave population, induced a very considerable rise in the price of Jamaica Coffee in the early part of last month; clean descriptions of good quality advanced from 6s. to 7s. per cwt., and considerable sales were effected at that advance. Latterly the demand has become somewhat less active, but prices have not given way. By public sale, in the last week, good ordinary Jamaica sold for 76s. 6d. to 81s. 6d., fine ordinary 82s. to 85s., ordinary Berbice, 76s., triage 69s. 6d. to 72s. This improvement has extended in some degree to other descriptions of British Plantation, but has produced little or no effect on East India or Foreign Coffee. Ceylon may be quoted at 54s. to 55s., Brazil at 50s., and St. Domingo at 55s.

The price of Cotton in the London market has continued steady, though there have been no extensive transactions reported. In Liverpool there has been a greater disposition to purchase evinced; but, as the stock on hand is large, and the owners manifest a readiness to sell, a decline of ½d. to ¾d. may be noted generally; 1400 bales Surat, by public sale on the 22d, were sold at a reduction of ½d. to ¾d. per lb. The transactions of the following day were, 300 Egyptians, at 8¾d. to 9½d.; 200 Bahias, 7¾d. to 7½d.; 100 Surats, 4½d. to 5¼d.; and about 1500 Americans, various descriptions, from 6¾d. to 8½d.

The decided improvement in the manufacturing districts has caused a considerably increased demand for Indigo, but has not yet materially affected prices. Nothing is doing in this article for exportation.

In Tea, Cocoa, Spices, and Rice, the market is dull, at former quotations.

There have been considerable sales of the finer qualities of Jamaica Rum for home consumption. In Brandy, prices are steady, but little doing. For Geneva there is no demand.

There has been little fluctuation in prices on the Corn Market during the last month. The finer qualities of Wheat, in good condition, with difficulty maintain the prices of the preceding month; but those at all out of condition can scarcely obtain purchasers at a reduced price.

Although the Money Market has not been so violently agitated as it was in the month of January, the fluctuations consequent upon the King's Speech were considerable, the price of Consols varying, in the course of one week, from 87½ to 86½. The market has lately become more tranquil, with a tendency to advance. The prevalent opinion in the city, that the renewal of the Bank Charter will be accompanied by less onerous conditions than were at one time anticipated, has caused a rise of no less than 6 per cent. in Bank Stock during the month. Such is the redundancy of capital at the present time, that first-class bills have been discounted at 1½ per cent.—a rate of discount which scarcely has a parallel in the recollection of the oldest merchants on 'Change.

The following were the closing prices of the various descriptions of Foreign and Domestic Securities on the 23d.

#### BRITISH FUNDS.

Three per Cent. Consols; ditto for the Account, 87 seven-eighths, 88.—Three per Cent. Reduced, 88 three-eighths, one-half.—Three and a Half per Cent. Reduced, 95 one-half, five-eighths.—New Three and a Half per Cent. 95 one-eighth.—Four per Cent. (1826), 102 one-half, three-fourths.—India Stock, 207 one-half, 208 one-half.—Bank Stock, 199, 200.—Exchequer Bills, 48, 50.—India Bonds, 33, 35.—Long Annuities, 17 one-quarter, five-sixteenths.

#### FOREIGN FUNDS.

Belgian Loan, 85 three-fourths, 86 one-quarter.—Brazilian Five per Cent. 58 three-fourths, 59 one-quarter.—Chilian 21 22.—Colombian (1824), Six per Cent. 15 one-half.—Danish Three per Cent. 73 one-half, 74.—Dutch Two and a Half per Cent. 45 three-fourths, 46.—French Five per Cent.—French Three per Cent.—Greek Five per Cent. 34, 35.—Mexican Six per Cent. 33 one-half, 34.—Portuguese Five per Cent. 51 one-half, 52 one-half.—Portuguese New Loan, 4 one-half, 4 discount.—Russian Five per Cent. 105 one-half.—Spanish Five per Cent. 17 three-eighths, one-half.

#### SHARES.

Anglo-Mexican Mines, 13 14.—United ditto, 10, 10 10.—Colombian Mines, 7 10, 8 10.—Del Monte, 26 10, 27 10.—Brazil, 60 61.—Bolanos, 140 150.



## BANKRUPTS,

FROM JANUARY 22, 1833, TO FEBRUARY 15, 1833, INCLUSIVE.

Jan. 22. W. BLYTH, Birmingham, file-manufacturer., J. BAKER, Over Darwen, Lancashire, and W. HARPER, Manchester, calico-printers. T. COOKSON, Staveley, Westmoreland, manufacturer. A. CLARKE, St. Clement, Worcestershire, brewer. T. DOUGHTY, Goodge-street, Tottenham-court-road, chemist. R. DREW, Great Sutton-street, Clerkenwell, currier. J. HALL, Liverpool, merchant. H. KERBEY, Tottenham-court-road, poulterer. M. MACUIN, Finsbury-circus, merchant. J. SCOTT, North Shields, ship-owner. T. & J. SCOTT, Birmingham, merchants. J. SMEETH, Vauxhall walk, Lambeth, bricklayer. J. WRIGHT, Liverpool, silk-mercer.

Jan. 25. T. BAKER, Rye, tea-dealer. T. C. SWIFT, Eastchurch, Kent, victualler. R. ROBERTS, Birmingham, plumber. T. BEALE, Birmingham, saddler. A. MEREDITH, Bristol, coal-merchant. W. CUTLACK, Littleport, Cambridgeshire, common-brewer. J. MERCER, Liverpool, joiner. D. BRAKE, St. John-street, West Smithfield, beer-dealer. W. F. DORE, Cooper's-row, Tower-hill, wine-merchant. G. V. JACKSON, Chichester-place, Battle-bridge, bookseller. H. WYATT, Northumberland-str., Marylebone, dealer. B. D. WYATT, Foley-Marylebone, timber-merchant. B. C. EDWARDS and R. BLAKEWAY, Stourbridge, Worcestershire, linen-draper. G. MANDER, Borough of Warwick, coal-merchant.

Jan. 29. J. ATTWOOD, Winchcomb, Gloucestershire, victualler. W., W., H. and S. BRENNAND, Little Lever, Lancashire, calico-printers. P. CLARK, Clement's-lane, tavern-keeper. S. COLMAN, Shottisham, Norfolk, miller. D. DUNCAN, Tooley-street, victualler. R. HAMLIN, Poland-street, Oxford-street, tailor. J. Henzell, Manchester, glass-cutter. J. HOLLINGSWORTH, Southsea, printer. J. ILBERY, Doughty-street, Middlesex, merchant. J. JOHNS, Devonport, printer. G. LAMPORT, Newgate-market, salesman. J. M'LEAN, Liverpool, flour-dealer and baker. G. NELSON, Woolwich, currier. T. PO-COCK, Speen, Berks, sheep-dealer. S. SAMSON, Stock-Exchange, London, broker. W. WOON, jun. Bognor, Sussex, chemist. G. WORTH, Clerkenwell-green, licensed victualler.

Feb. 1. J. BARON, Frome Selwood, Somersetshire, innholder. T. T. HAWKES, Frome Selwood, money-scrivener. E. SANDERS, Worcester, carpenter. E. MARTIN and T. C. BARKER, Regent's-park basin, coal-merchants. H. I. LEWIS, Barbican, tallow-chandler. S. S. RELFE, Bell's buildings, Salisbury-square, coal-merchant. G. FRIEND, Great Charlotte-street, Lambeth, wine-merchant. S. TARRANT, Regent-street, tavern-keeper. R. and T. COULTHARD, Crown-street, Finsbury, woolen-draper. W. CARELESS, Charlton, Kent, cheesemonger. S. FLETCHER, Hackney-wick, blanket-manufacturer. T. TIDSWELL and T. THORPE, Cheadle, Cheshire, and Manchester, calico-printers.

Feb. 5. R. ALDERSON, Crawford-street, Marylebone, linen-draper. J. CHANNING, North Petherton, Somersetshire, victualler. W. CLAYTON, Cheapside, carpet-merchant. S. CONSTANTINE, Sheffield, manufacturer of cutlery. W. EARLL, Birmingham, victualler. J. FREEMAN, jun. Drayton, Somersetshire, tinman. M. FURNESS, Great Longstone, Derbyshire, cheese-factor. W. LANCE, Lewisham, Kent, victualler. T. PARNELL, Manchester, laceman. J. WOOD, Hood, Yorkshire, cloth-manufacturer.

Feb. 8. A. BARNARD, Norwich, money-scrivener. W. E. J. NEEP, Norwich, silversmith and jeweller. T. MAY, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, grocer. W. EAREE, Birmingham, victualler. J. W. COHEN, Dublin, and Bury-street, St. Mary-Axe, wholesale jeweller. W. R. JONES, Shad-Thames, Southwark, lighterman. L. J. J. NOEL, Carey Street, Lincoln's-inn-fields, scrivener. D. LEARY, Parliament-street, surgeon. C. HANNUM, Chippenham, carpenter. G. SMITH, Stoke Mills, Dorsetshire, miller. R. HARDCASTLE, West Smithfield, plumber. T. GREATOREX, Albany-street, Regent's-park, hay-salesman. J. and W. HARDIMAN, St. Dunstan's Hill, Lower Thames-street, commercial-agents. B. STOKES, Droitwich, Chandler. J. FOSTER, Leeds, printer. W. DOWN, jun. Portsea, Southampton, woolen-draper. J. MOXON, jun. Southampton, chemist.

Feb. 12. S. HILLS, Hammersmith, Schoolmistress. H. JOYCE, Milford-lane and Kssex-street, Strand, oilman and drysalter. W. BOWDITCH, Exeter, grocer. J. INNES, St. Mildred's-court, City, merchant. J. WILSON, Ernest-street, Regent's-park, victualler. J. JACOMBS, Coventry, and W. JACOMBS, Nuneaton, Warwickshire, riband-manufacturers. T. I. BRUNT, Whittington, Derbyshire, tanner. T. GILBERT, Birmingham, coal-dealer. W. HOOPER, Farmington, Gloucestershire, farmer. J. SIM, Whitehaven, Cumberland, currier and leather-cutter. W. M. ROWE, Stamford, Lincolnshire, grocer. T. NELSON, Stibington, Huntingdonshire, paper-manufacturer. E. MATTERSON, Leeds, Yorkshire, chemist and druggist.

Feb. 15. W. F. SPACKMAN, Claremont-square, oilman. L. MOSELEY, High-str., Shadwell, Staffordshire-warehouseman. G. MATTHEWS, Lawrence Pountney-lane, London, wine-merchant. G. HEARN, Maldon, Essex, plumber. W. DOLLAR and G. THOMSON, Bucklesbury, Manchester-warehouseman. J. PAYNE, Leicester, dyer. M. PHILLIPS, Plymouth, saddler. C. H. BYRNE, Liverpool, sail-maker. J. COGSWELL, Liverpool, wharfinger. W. BINNS, Manchester, flour-dealer. J. WOODS, Liverpool, coal-merchant. T. L. JONES, Holyhead, brewer. J. PAGE, Birmingham, tailor. R. PULLAN, Hatfield, Yorkshire, carpenter. J. THOMAS, Wallsall, Staffordshire, grocer. G. KEYZAR, Toxteth-park, Liverpool, timber-merchant.



## MONTHLY DIGEST.

## GREAT BRITAIN.

## HOUSE OF LORDS.

Jan. 29.—Parliament was opened by the Lords-Commissioners (the Lord Chancellor, Earl Grey, the Duke of Richmond, the Marquis of Lansdowne, and Lord Auckland) with the customary formalities.

Feb. 5.—His Majesty, with the usual state ceremonies, proceeded to the House of Lords. The Commons were summoned; and the Speaker, (followed by as many Members as the space below the bar would contain,) having entered and taken his usual place, the King read, in an audible and firm voice, the following speech:—

*“ My Lords and Gentlemen,*—The period being now arrived at which the business of parliament is usually resumed, I have called you together for the discharge of the important duties with which you are entrusted.

“ Never, at any time, did subjects of greater interest and magnitude call for your attention.

“ I have still to lament the continuance of the civil war in Portugal, which has, for some months, existed between the Princes of the House of Braganza. From the commencement of this contest I have abstained from all interference, except such as was required for the protection of British subjects resident in Portugal; but you may be assured that I shall not fail to avail myself of any opportunity that may be afforded me, to assist in restoring peace to a country with which the interests of my dominions are so intimately connected.

“ I have also to regret that my anxious endeavours to effect a definitive arrangement between Holland and Belgium have hitherto been unsuccessful. I found myself at length compelled, in conjunction with the King of the French, to take measures for the execution of the Treaty of the 15th of November, 1831. The capture of the citadel of Antwerp has in part accomplished that object; but the Dutch government, still refusing to evacuate the rest of the territories assigned to Belgium by that treaty, the embargo which I had directed to be imposed on the Dutch commerce has been continued. Negotiations are again commenced; and you may rely on their being conducted on my part, as they have uniformly been, with the single view of insuring to Holland and Belgium a separate existence, on principles of mutual security and independence.

“ The good faith and honour with which the French government has acted in these transactions, and the assurances which I continue to receive from the chief powers of Europe of their friendly disposition, give me confidence in the success of my endeavours to preserve the general peace. I have given directions that the various papers which are necessary for your information on the affairs of Holland and Belgium should be laid before you.

“ The approaching termination of the Charters of the Bank of England and of the East India Company will require a revision of these establishments; and I rely on your wisdom for making such provisions for the important interests connected with them, as may appear, from experience and full consideration, to be best calculated to secure public credit, to improve and extend our commerce, and to promote the general prosperity and power of the British empire.

“ Your attention will also be directed to the state of the Church, more particularly as regards its temporalities and the maintenance of the clergy. The complaints which have arisen from the collection of tithes appear to require a change of system, which, without diminishing the means of maintaining the established clergy in respectability and usefulness, may prevent the collision of interests, and the consequent disagreement and dissatisfaction which have too frequently prevailed between the Ministers of the Church and their parishioners.

“ It may also be necessary for you to consider what remedies may be applied for the correction of acknowledged abuses, and whether the revenues of the church may not admit of a more equitable and judicious distribution.

“ In your deliberations on these important subjects, it cannot be necessary for me to impress upon you the duty of carefully attending to the security of the Church established by law in these realms, and to the true interests of religion.

“ In relation to Ireland, with a view of removing the causes of complaint which



had been so generally felt, and which had been attended with such unfortunate consequences, an act was passed during the last session of parliament, for carrying into effect a general composition for tithes; to complete that salutary work, I recommend to you, in conjunction with such other amendments of the law as may be found applicable to that part of my dominions, the adoption of a measure, by which, upon the principle of a just commutation, the possessors of land may be enabled to free themselves from the burthen of an annual payment.

“ In the further reforms that may be necessary, you will probably find that, although the Established Church of Ireland is by law permanently united with that of England, the peculiarities of their respective circumstances will require a separate consideration.

“ There are other subjects hardly less important to the general peace and welfare of Ireland, as affecting the administration of justice and the local taxation of that country, to which your attention will also be required.

“ *Gentlemen of the House of Commons*,—I have directed the estimates for the service of the year to be laid before you: they will be framed with the most anxious attention to all useful economy. Notwithstanding the large reductions in the estimates of the last year, I am happy to inform you that all the extraordinary services which the exigencies of the times required have been amply provided for. The state of the revenue, as compared with the public expenditure, has hitherto fully realized the expectations that were formed at the close of the last session.

“ *My Lords and Gentlemen*,—In this part of the United Kingdom, with very few exceptions, the public peace has been preserved; and it will be your anxious but grateful duty to promote, by all practicable means, habits of industry and good order amongst the labouring classes of the community.

“ On my part I shall be ready to co-operate, to the utmost of my power, in obviating all just causes of complaint, and in promoting all well-considered measures of improvement. But it is my painful duty to observe, that the disturbances in Ireland, to which I adverted at the close of the last session, have greatly increased. A spirit of insubordination and violence has risen to the most fearful height, rendering life and property insecure, defying the authority of the law, and threatening the most fearful consequences, if not promptly and effectually repressed.

“ I feel confident that to your loyalty and patriotism I shall not resort in vain for assistance in these afflicting circumstances; and that you will be ready to adopt such measures of salutary precaution, and to entrust to me such additional powers, as may be found necessary for controlling and punishing the disturbers of the public peace, and for preserving and strengthening the legislative union between the two countries, which, with your support, and under the blessing of Divine Providence, I am determined to maintain by all the means in my power, as indissolubly connected with the peace, security, and welfare of my dominions.”

The King's speech having been read, an Address to his Majesty, which was, as usual, an echo of the speech, was moved by the Marquis Conyngham, and seconded by Lord Kinnaid. After some debate, in which the Earl of Aberdeen, Earl Grey, and Lord Roden were the chief speakers, the Address was agreed to, and ordered to be presented in the usual way.

Feb. 7.—The King's answer to the Address of the House of Lords was read to the House by the Lord Chancellor. It was as follows:—“ I thank you for your loyal and dutiful Address, which I have received with peculiar satisfaction; and particularly that part of it which expresses your determination to support me in maintaining the Legislative Union between England and Ireland, and in providing me with the means of enforcing the strict obedience to the laws so indispensable to the welfare of my Irish subjects, and to the peace and security of my dominions at large.”—After some discussion relative to the revenues of the Church, occasioned by a motion of Lord King's for some returns, but which he withdrew, on the assurance of Ministers that the desired information would be supplied by the report of the Commissioners of Inquiry, the House adjourned.

Feb. 15.—Earl Grey brought in a Bill for the Suppression of Disturbances in Ireland: the following are its provisions:—

The recital states that a conspiracy exists against property and against the administration of the laws, causing such general alarm as to frustrate the ordinary modes of criminal proceeding; that divers meetings inconsistent with the public peace have been lately held; and that the laws now in force are not sufficient to suppress such mischiefs.



Sec. 1 enacts, that the Lord-Lieutenant may suppress, by order, the meeting of any assembly deemed by him to be dangerous to the public safety, or inconsistent with the due administration of the law; and may suppress any adjourned or continued meeting of the same.—Every meeting so prohibited shall be deemed an unlawful assembly, and any person present shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor.

Sec. 2 empowers two justices, upon being refused admission, to enter by force, any house or place where any prohibited assembly is held, and there read a notice to the persons so assembled to disperse.—Notice.—Persons so assembled, and not dispersing within a quarter of an hour from the reading of such notice, may be apprehended then or afterwards, and upon conviction imprisoned three months for the first offence, and one year for any subsequent offence.

Sec. 3 enacts, that persons prosecuted by indictment shall plead forthwith.

Sec. 4 enacts, that the Lord-Lieutenant may issue his proclamation declaring any county, &c., to be disturbed, and to require the application of this law, and that such county shall be deemed a proclaimed district.

Sec. 5 enacts, that such proclamation shall warn the inhabitants to abstain from seditious and other unlawful assemblies, &c., and to continue within their houses between sunset and sunrise.

Sec. 6 enacts, that every county, &c., so proclaimed shall be a proclaimed district within the act from the publishing of the proclamation within such district.

Sec. 7 enacts, that all justices, constables, &c., and all commissioned officers of the line, in Ireland, and all persons authorized by the Lord-Lieutenant, shall be required to put down and suppress disturbances and outrages in the proclaimed districts, and to search for, arrest, and bring to trial offenders.

Sec. 8 enacts, that the *Dublin Gazette* shall be conclusive evidence of the issuing of the proclamation there contained.

Sec. 9 enacts, that no meeting be allowed in a proclaimed district for petitioning Parliament, or for discussing any alleged grievance, or any matter in church or state, without a previous written notice of ten days, specifying the objects of the meeting, given to the Lord-Lieutenant, and his consent obtained, &c.; and all such meetings, without such notice and consent, are declared to be illegal assemblies, and every person attending to be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Sec. 10 enacts, that the Lord-Lieutenant may appoint commissioned officers of the line for trial of offences within this act.

Proviso as to age and time of service.

Sec. 11 enacts, that any number of persons so appointed, not more than nine nor less than five, shall be deemed a court-martial for the trial of offences within this act, and shall have the powers of any court-martial, and also the powers of any court of Oyer and Terminer, gaol delivery, or sessions of the peace, and may pass judgment in like manner as might be done by any court of Oyer and Terminer, &c.

Sec. 12 enacts, that the Lord-Lieutenant shall nominate a serjeant-at-law or King's counsel, to act at such court-martial, who shall perform the duties of a judge-advocate, &c.

Sec. 13 enacts the oath to be taken by persons so nominated.

Sec. 14 enacts, that the decision of the majority of such court-martial shall have the same force as the decision of the whole court.

Sec. 15 enacts, that such court-martial may issue orders for bringing before them persons charged with offences under this act, or for carrying into effect their sentences; and all justices, sheriffs, &c., shall execute the same.

Sec. 16 gives power to such court-martial for compelling the attendance of witnesses, and for committing in case of refusal to give evidence.

By sec. 17, any person liable to be prosecuted within any proclaimed district for any offence against 27 Geo. III., c. 15 (1), 50 Geo. III., c. 102, 1 and 2 Wm. IV., c. 44, or 2 and 3 Wm. IV., c. 118, or with any offence against this act, may be tried by such court-martial, who may, in case of conviction, pass judgment as any court of Oyer and Terminer, or gaol delivery, or other court or criminal jurisdiction, might have done, whether the offence has been committed before the issuing of the proclamation or not.—Provided that if the offence is capital the court-martial shall not try it without the special direction of the Lord-Lieutenant; but the court, being so directed, may try such offence, and sentence to transportation for life, or for a term not less than seven years.

Sec. 18 enacts, that any magistrate, peace-officer, or other person authorised by the Lord-Lieutenant, may commit to prison any one found out of his house in the proclaimed district, from one hour after sunset to sunrise, who may be tried before any such court-martial, and, if convicted, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor.



Sec. 19 enacts, that any justice, or any person with warrant of justice, accompanied by a commissioned officer or chief constable, may, from one hour after sunset or sunrise, demand admission into any house in a proclaimed district, and if entrance is refused, may enter by force any house from which he suspects the inhabitants are absent, and may search for them, or for arms, weapons, &c.; and all persons absent shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, unless they prove some lawful occasion of absence.

Sec. 20 enacts, that if, on search, arms are found, and the person inhabiting the house, or having possession of the arms, has been called upon to deliver them up, such person shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and punishable by a court-martial, unless he make it appear that the arms were there without his knowledge.

Sec. 21 enacts, that any person who disposes of a seditious paper in a proclaimed district, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and liable to imprisonment for not more than twelve months, unless he discover by whom he was so employed.

Sec. 22 enacts, that any person who shall injure the property or person of any one who has appeared as juror, witness, or prosecutor, or for the purpose of any prosecution or civil proceeding, or who, by [menaces or otherwise, shall deter any one from appearing as juror, witness, &c., or otherwise, shall be triable for such offence, if committed within a proclaimed district, by a court-martial, and on conviction be liable to transportation for 7 or 14 years.

Sec. 23 enacts, that nothing done in pursuance of this act, in any proclaimed district, shall be questionable in any civil or criminal court; and that all officers and soldiers acting in pursuance of such power or authority shall be responsible only to courts-martial.

Sec. 24 enacts, that in case of any person arrested under this act, the person to whom the warrant is directed may detain him in any place within Ireland, and the place where he is detained shall be deemed a lawful gaol for his detention.—The Lord-Lieutenant, or Chief Secretary, or any commanding officer, or person authorized by the Lord-Lieutenant, may, by warrant, change from time to time the place of safe custody.

Sec. 25 provides, that copies of such warrants shall be transmitted to the clerk of the Crown, and by him filed.

Sec. 26 enacts, that any person knowingly swearing falsely, in giving evidence before any Court under this act, shall be liable to all the penalties of corrupt perjury.

Sec. 27 enacts, that in case of *Habeas Corpus* sued out within three calendar months, by a person detained in custody under the powers of this act, it shall be a sufficient return that the person so detained is detained by virtue of this act.

Sec. 28 enacts, that offences under this act shall not be bailable, and that persons arrested shall be brought to trial within three calendar months.

[The next three clauses are money clauses, which are left to the House of Commons.]

Sec. 32 enacts, that magistrates of next adjacent counties may execute this act within counties of cities (except in county of city of Dublin), and magistrates of counties of cities may execute it in the adjacent counties at large.

Sec. 33 enacts, that powers given by this act to magistrates of counties are also given to magistrates of counties of towns or of cities.

Sec. 34 enacts, that any action against a person for anything done under this act, not within a proclaimed district, shall be commenced within three months after the act complained of was committed; and the person sued may plead the general issue.

Sec. 35 enacts, that in case of verdict for the defendant, the judge may certify that the act complained of was done by virtue of this act, and the defendant in that case shall be allowed his treble costs.

Sec. 36 enacts, that in case of verdict for the plaintiff in action for false imprisonment, or entering a house, if it appear to the judge that there was probable cause for so doing, and he certifies accordingly, the plaintiff shall recover only 6*d.* damages, and not the costs of suit; but plaintiff shall recover treble costs if the judge certify the act to be malicious.

Sec. 37 enacts, that the Lord-Lieutenant may, by proclamation, revoke any former proclamation.

Sec. 38 provides, that nothing in this act shall be construed to take away or annul the prerogative of the Crown to resort to the exercise of martial law, or any powers given by law for the suppression of insurrection.

Sec. 39 enacts, that this act is to continue in force for ——— years.



The Bill was read a first time.

Feb. 18.—The Bill for the Suppression of Disturbances in Ireland was read a second time without opposition.

Feb. 19.—The Irish Disturbances Bill was committed, and proceeded with clause by clause. An amendment was proposed by the Duke of Wellington, to the effect that the Presidents of the Courts-Martial to be appointed shall be Field Officers. Agreed to.

Feb. 21.—Lord Grey brought in the bill for changing the Venue in Ireland, where that course might be deemed advisable, to secure the impartial administration of justice. It was read a first time without any discussion.—The report on the Disturbances Suppression Bill was agreed to, with some amendments, allowing counsel to parties accused, as in ordinary criminal courts, excluding the punishment of whipping for offences under the Act, &c.

Feb. 22.—Disturbances Suppression Bill was read a third time, and after some verbal amendments, passed, and ordered to be taken down to the Commons.—The Change of Venue Bill was read a second time, and ordered to be committed on Tuesday.

#### HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Jan. 29.—The first session of the New Parliament was commenced; soon after the Members had assembled the House proceeded to the election of a Speaker. Mr. Hume proposed E. J. Littleton, Esq., who was seconded by Mr. O'Connell. Lord Morpeth proposed, and Sir Francis Burdett seconded, the Right Hon. Charles Manners Sutton, who was supported by Ministers. On this question the House divided. For Mr. Manners Sutton, 241—For Mr. Littleton, 31—Majority, 210.

Jan. 31.—The Speaker returned thanks for the honour which had been conferred upon him. He would endeavour zealously to maintain the rights and privileges of the House, and also impress on the members themselves, for the sake of public business and their own convenience, the necessity of attending to all the rules and orders. The oaths were then administered to the Speaker, after which the members were sworn, according to the alphabetical order of their counties, or the counties in which their cities or boroughs are situate.

Feb. 5.—The House of Commons, after receiving the King's Speech, proceeded with notices of motions and routine business; after which the King's Speech was read, and the address was moved by the Earl of Ormerlie, and seconded by Mr. Marshall, of Leeds. Mr. O'Connell opposed the address, and characterized the King's Speech as a *brutal and bloody* speech, for which he was called to order. The Hon. Member moved for a Committee of the whole House, to consider the Speech, and was seconded by Mr. Cobbett. Mr. Richards, Colonel Davies, Mr. Roebuck, Mr. Lalor, and Mr. H. Grattan spoke on the same side; and Mr. Stanley, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. M. Hill, and Mr. Clay, advocated the address. After a debate, principally upon the state of Ireland, and which was protracted until nearly twelve o'clock, the House adjourned until the next day.

Feb. 6.—The debate on the address was resumed. Mr. E. L. Bulwer, Mr. Tennyson, Mr. Shiel, and Mr. Barron spoke against the address in its present form; and Mr. Macaulay and Mr. C. Grant supported the address. Several other members took part in the debate, but touched generally on secondary topics. The principal subject of discussion was, as on the preceding night, the state of Ireland. The debate was further adjourned at twelve o'clock to the next day.

Feb. 7.—The debate on the address was resumed and again adjourned. Mr. Hume, Major Beauclerk, Mr. Cobbett, and Mr. Finn, spoke in favour of Mr. O'Connell's motion. Sir Robert Peel opposed it, and concluded a long speech by saying that, "He had not the slightest wish to return to office. He felt, indeed, that his doing so under present circumstances would be a public misfortune. He felt that at present the country could be governed only through the House of Commons; and as his opinions did not concur with those of the majority, the only course left for him to pursue was, to take up his stand in support of law and order (cheers)—and when his Majesty's Government brought forward measures calculated to promote those objects they should have support from him as zealous and independent as could be given by any man in that House, however large the body of his constituents." Mr. Ruthven rose to address the House, but finding that his voice was nearly drowned, the House divided on the question that the debate be adjourned.



For the adjournment, 65—Against it, 301—Majority, 236. Other motions for adjournment were put and negatived. The debate, however, was ultimately adjourned.

Feb. 8.—'The debate on the address' was resumed by Mr. Ruthven. Sir R. Nagle, Mr. Brown, Mr. Peter, Mr. Rotch, Mr. O'Dwyer, Dr. Lushington, Mr. Walter, Mr. Shaw, Mr. Fergus O'Connor, Captain Yorke, and Mr. Tennant, addressed the House. The House divided :—For Mr. O'Connell's amendment, 40—Against it, 428—Majority for Ministers, 388. Mr. Tennyson then moved, that after the word "precaution," in that part of the Speech which regarded Ireland, the following words be inserted :—"as may be found necessary ; but if, under the circumstances which may be disclosed to us, we may be induced to entrust your Majesty with additional powers, we shall feel it our duty to accompany our acquiescence in your wishes by a close and deliberate investigation into the causes of the discontent, with the view of applying an effectual remedy ; and that it is the duty of this House to receive the petitions of the people of Ireland with respect to the legislative union, and leave ourselves free to consider that subject ; we are, at the same time, ready to support your Majesty in maintaining that union against all lawless attempts to defeat it, or to disturb the peace and security of your Majesty's dominions." For the amendment, 60—Against it, 393—Majority, 333.

Feb. 11.—On the motion for bringing up the report on the address, Mr. Cobbett moved an amendment to the effect that "the House was determined to go into a full consideration of the manifold grievances under which the Irish people laboured." This amendment elicited some discussion, and the House divided on it. The numbers were :—For the amendment, 23—Against it, 323—Majority, 300.

Feb. 12.—His Majesty's answer to the address was read, and ordered to be entered on the Journals. Lord Althorp, in pursuance of the notice which he had given, moved for leave "to bring in a bill to alter and amend the laws relating to the Established Church in Ireland." His Lordship detailed the alterations which he intended to effect, at great length, but our limits only allow us to give the following summary of what will be effected by the bill :—"The unlimited taxation of Catholic inhabitants for Protestant purposes under the title of vestry cess is to be abolished ;—10 Bishops out of 22 are to be cut off ;—all bishoprics and all benefices above 200*l.* per annum are to be taxed for the creation of a fund, applicable to the same uses with the now extinguished vestry assessment ;—the nett income of the Episcopal Bench is to be reduced from 130,000*l.* per annum to 70,000*l.* ;—all sinecure dignities are to be abolished ;—the tenants of bishops' lands are to have the right of buying a perpetuity in their leases, at a fixed and moderate rate of purchase ; and finally the proceeds of these purchases, amounting (if the whole be effected) to 2,500,000*l.* or 3,000,000*l.*, are to be applicable to purposes not connected with the church, if so ordained by Parliament ; the bishops to be first provided for out of them." When Lord Althorp stated, that after the best consideration Ministers thought that they might reduce ten bishops, with perfect safety to the establishment, he was hailed with tremendous cheering. The sees which it is intended to reduce, are—Dromore, Clogher, Raphoe, Elphin, Clonfert, Killala, Kildare, Cork, Waterford, and Ossory. In order that the duties of those dioceses should be properly performed, it was proposed to unite Dromore to Down and Connor ; Clogher to Armagh ; Raphoe to Derry ; Elphin to Ardagh and Kilmore ; Clonfert to Killybegs ; Killala to Tuam ; Kildare to Dublin ; Cork to Cloyne ; Waterford to Cashel ; and Ossory to Ferns. (Cheers.) All the points of the bill may be given in the following order :—"Church cess to be immediately and altogether abolished. This is a direct pecuniary relief of about 80,000*l.* per annum. A reduction of the number of archbishops, and bishops prospectively, from 4 archbishops, and 18 bishops, to 2 archbishops and 10 bishops, and the appropriation of the revenues of the suppressed fees to the general church fund. Archbishoprics to be reduced to bishoprics :—Cashel and Tuam. A general tax on all bishoprics, from 5 to 15 per cent., to be imposed immediately.—An immediate reduction from the Bishop of Derry, and a prospective reduction from the primacy, in addition to the tax ; the amount to be paid to the general church fund. The net incomes of all the archbishops and bishops of Ireland amount to 130,000*l.* The plan will effect a reduction of about 60,000*l.* An immediate tax on all benefices, from 5 to 15 per cent., in lieu of first-fruits, which are hereafter to cease. Benefices under 200*l.* to be exempt, and the tax to be graduated according to the value. Total income of parochial clergy under 600,000*l.* An abolition of all sinecure dignities, and appropri-



ation of their revenues to the general fund. Commissioners to be appointed to administer the fund, and to apply it—1st, to ordinary church cess; surplus to augmentation of poor livings, assistance in building glebe-houses, churches, dividing unions, &c. &c. Commissioners to have the power, with consent of privy council, of dividing and altering limits of parishes. Also where no duty has been performed nor minister resident for three years before the passing of the act, commissioners to have power to suspend appointment (if in the gift of crown or church), and apply proceeds to general fund. Tenants of bishops' leases to be empowered to purchase the perpetuity of their leases at a fixed and moderate amount, subject to a corn rent equal to the amount now annually paid in shape of rent and fine. The proceeds of these leases to be paid to the state, and applicable to any purposes not connected with the church. The amount, if all purchase at a low rate, will be from 2,500,000*l.* to 3,000,000*l.*" Leave was given to bring in the bill.

Feb. 14.—On the motion of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, a Select Committee was appointed to inquire into the existing municipal corporations of England, Wales, and Ireland; his lordship observed that the Lord Advocate had a separate measure to propose relative to Scotland.—Mr. Hume moved "that the utmost attention to economy was at all times, but more especially at present, an important duty of the House; and that all sinecure offices in the army and navy were unnecessary, and inexpedient as the means of rewarding public services. On this motion the House divided:—For the motion, 232—Against it, 138—Majority for Ministers, 94.

Feb. 15.—On the motion that the House resolve into a Committee of Supply, Sir R. Peel, without proposing any resolution, or calling on the House to express any opinion, went at considerable length into the question of the embargo on Dutch commerce. He condemned the Proclamation as unprecedented, particularly in setting forth no grounds for its issuing, and as contrary to the principles of all laws that extended protection to foreign merchants, except in cases of actual war. Dr. Lushington, the Solicitor-General, and the Attorney-General defended it, as resulting from the undoubted prerogative of the King. He had the power to declare war, and, they argued, he had the power to adopt intermediate measures, or mitigated hostility, that might cause certainly, but that might, on the other hand, avert war. Mr. Baring and others complained of the Proclamation. The debate occupied the whole evening.

Feb. 18.—On the motion for bringing up the report of the Committee of Supply, Mr. Cobbett moved an amendment, pledging the House to take into consideration the inequalities of the Stamp Duties. The Chancellor of the Exchequer admitted that there were considerable inequalities, and that, with the view of remedying them, he had long had a bill prepared to consolidate the Stamp Acts, and to amend the system of imposing the duties; and he trusted to be able to bring it forward at no distant day. Mr. Cobbett eventually withdrew his motion. Mr. O'Connell took occasion to deprecate at considerable length, and with great vehemence, the measures contemplated by Government with respect to Ireland. He was interrupted as being out of order, but persevered on the ground that the measure was the rumoured intention of Ministers. The Chancellor of the Exchequer complained of the unfairness and inconvenience of attacking measures not yet before the House, and said that when brought forward ample time would be allowed for their discussion. Several Irish Members spoke on the same side with Mr. O'Connell, and although no question was proposed, the discussion occupied nearly the whole of the evening.

Feb. 19.—In the House of Commons, Mr. Stanley moved for leave to bring in a Bill to amend the Irish Grand Jury Laws, and detailed his plan. He proposes various important amendments, with the view of bringing before the public, in open Court, in the several counties, and under the inspection and scrutiny of Parliament, the expenditure authorized by Grand Juries. The inhabitants are to be represented amongst those who are to decide on the presentments; it is to be mandatory on the Sheriff to summon at least one individual from each barony to attend as Grand Jurors: and the discussions on the presentments are to be public, but those who are to decide, are to be allowed to retire to determine on their judgment. There is to be appointed to each county a surveyor to examine the presentments—to report on the justice of the applications—to watch the progress of works—to state whether they are properly done—and no money to be paid till he has testified to the workman-like manner in which all shall have been completed. Works to be done by open contracts. The Treasurership to be broken up. He added that it



had been contemplated to take out of the hands of the Grand Juries the management of the great mail-coach roads in Ireland, and to place the subject under the control of a Board; but he had prepared no measure on the subject, and he mentioned it for consideration. Mr. O'Connell admitted that this measure would much amend the system; but he contended that the whole discussion ought to be public, and that as to imposing the county cess on the landlords (part of Mr. Stauley's plan) it was futile. After some further observations from other Members the motion was agreed to. Mr. O'Connell postponed, till May, his motion for the extinction of tithes, and for the abolition of the church cess, to ascertain how far the measures of the Government would supersede the necessity of them.

Feb. 20. The subject of the Chancellor of the Exchequer's plan for conducting the business of the House was discussed, and after some debate ultimately adopted. The House is to meet at twelve o'clock, for the purpose of receiving petitions and proceeding with private bills.

Feb. 21.—The Chancellor of the Exchequer, in reference to a motion of Mr. Hume's, which was afterwards withdrawn, said that he had a bill to improve the Exchequer department, so as to secure accounts of receipts and expenditure, which would enable the House to judge of the fitness of subsequent estimates.—A motion was made by Mr. Hall, for an account of all monies paid into the Exchequer, on account of the surplus of Prince Leopold's pension, but it was withdrawn, on the statement of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, that the demands on the property had not yet been liquidated; and that the Trustees had not acted lest they should render themselves personally liable.

Feb. 22.—In the House of Commons the Disturbances Suppression Bill was brought down from the Lords, and on the motion of Lord Althorp that it be read a first time, a discussion arose, which had not terminated when we went to press.

---

## THE COLONIES.

### JAMAICA.

ON the 14th of December the Earl of Mulgrave, the Governor of Jamaica, dissolved the House of Assembly of that Island. The speech is couched in rather strong language, and it appears that even from the first arrival of his Lordship in the colony, a misunderstanding arose between him and the members of the legislature. Though his Excellency was personally popular on his first appearance in the colony, his understood instructions were from the beginning viewed by the colonists with suspicion. Even his first address to the Colonial Parliament (as they style themselves) was replied to in a manner which compelled him to assert disagreeable truths—and the legislative honey-moon was scarcely passed without an open rupture. Since the business of the Session began, the same refractory spirit has been manifested in every shape and in every shade of faction and annoyance.

The house, consisting of some twenty or thirty planters (for they seldom divide more), have on various pretexts refused, or on no pretext at all have delayed, to take in consideration any of those measures for the improvement of the condition of their slaves which have been recommended by the British Parliament and the British Crown. They even seem to act on the principle which they advanced in their reply to the speech of the Governor, and which his Lordship so promptly and energetically contradicted, that in legislating for the colony they are perfectly independent of the Government of the mother country. They have refused to pay the salary of the Chief Justice who has lately arrived in the island—a refusal, we believe, hitherto without precedent, and deemed indecent and impolitic even by their own organs. Having been accustomed of late to administer oaths to witnesses on examination before them, his Excellency sent them a message, pointing out the inconsistency of the practice with the nature of their functions and the practice of the House of Commons in England, and requesting to learn by what law or immemorial custom they exercised that privilege. The message was received with dissatisfaction, and answered with something like reproaches. In short, this Colonial Parliament seemed rather to sit for the purpose of defying the executive power of the mother country, than for the loyal and orderly dispatch of



public business,—rather as an antagonist club, or a rival lodge to the Council, than a harmonious member of an united legislature.

The immediate cause of the rupture between them and the Governor, and of the consequent dissolution of the Assembly, was a disagreement between them and the Council on a point apparently of very trifling importance. The Council sent them a bill which originated in their house, or rather at their board, authorizing vestries to take probate of deeds as well as magistrates. The appearance of this bill excited as high a ferment among the representatives of the Jamaica planters as the money-bills, founded on the estimates of the year, would do in the British House of Commons, if carried in the first instance from the Lords to their bar by Masters in Chancery, instead of being presented, in the first instance, to the representatives of the people. The Speaker of the Assembly told the Clerk of the Council, “that with every respect for the Council, he was bound to decline receiving the bill.” “The message,” he added, “I am ready to take, but the bill I cannot receive without violating the rights and privileges of this house.” On the following day the Speaker had scarcely taken the chair when “the Provost-Marshal-General” appeared at the bar, and commanded the attendance of the Assembly in the Council Chamber, where his Excellency gave his assent to a money-bill, and dissolved the Legislative body in the speech to which we above allude.

#### CANADA.

The Legislature of Upper Canada has voted 50,000*l.* for the purpose of making further improvements in the navigation of the St. Lawrence, and 25,000*l.* to the Welland Canal for the same object.

---

### FOREIGN STATES.

#### HOLLAND.

THE accounts from Antwerp show a disposition on the part of the King of Holland to oppose more obstacles to the settlement of the Belgian question, and to interpose further difficulties to the navigation of the Scheldt. It appears by letters from Flushing that by virtue of a Dutch royal ordinance, all ships entering the Scheldt were not only to be visited by Custom-house officers but by officers of the navy,—that no vessels would be allowed to proceed upwards to Lillo without a Dutch convoy, or to descend from Lillo to the sea without a similar convoy,—and that single ships must be detained till, by successive arrivals, they could form a sufficient number to warrant the employment of a man-of-war in such a service. But this was only one part of the intended hardship and menaced vexation. A toll was imposed, not according to the tonnage of the ship, but according to the nature of the cargo. Thus, one duty was to be levied on coffee, another on tobacco, another on cotton, and so forth, in such a manner as might render it necessary, in order to collect the tax, to discharge and reload the cargo.

#### AMERICA.

A very important Message from the President of the United States to Congress, in reference to South Carolina, gives proof of his determination to meet the resistance of the South Carolinians in the most energetic manner. That resistance does not appear to be in the least abated. The President, in his Message, after detailing the various hostile acts of the South Carolinians, remarks, “that if these measures cannot be defeated and overcome, the constitution must be considered as incompetent to its own defence, the supremacy of the law is at an end, and the rights and liberties of the citizens can no longer receive protection from the government of the Union.” The Carolinians having threatened to withdraw themselves from the Union, the President insists on his right to enforce obedience to the decrees of the general government, and proceeds to consider the extent of his means of doing so. The President concludes in the following terms:—“For myself, fellow citizens, devoutly relying upon that kind Providence which has hitherto watched over our destinies, and actuated by a profound reverence for those institutions which I have so much cause to love, and for the American people, whose partiality honoured me with their highest trust, I have determined to spare no effort to discharge the duty



which in this conjuncture has devolved upon me. That a similar spirit will actuate the representatives of the American people is not to be questioned: and I fervently pray that the Great Ruler of nations may so guide your deliberations and our joint measures, as that they may prove salutary examples, not only to the present, but to future times, and solemnly proclaim that the constitution and the laws are supreme, and the Union indissoluble."

CHINA.

Several engagements between the insurrectionists and imperial troops had taken place between the 20th and 25th of June, in which the latter, under the command of Governor Le of Canton, had sustained a loss of 2,000 men. The rebel forces amounted to 40,000. The communication between the Canton authorities and foreign nations was in an unsettled state. The British colours had not been displayed since the dispute between the Company's factory and the Chinese first took place in May 1831; and the United States' flag had not been displayed in front of the American Hong since the 1st of June. The Consular Agent of that country left China some time since, and a successor had not been appointed.

MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

*Married.*—At St. Pancras, by the Rev. E. P. Hannam, George Dickins, Esq., of Kettering, Northamptonshire, late of his Majesty's 23d Light Dragoons, to Elizabeth, relict of Joseph Bright, Esq., formerly of Wormwood-street, Bishopsgate-street, London.

J. Hodgson, Esq., of Elswick, Northumberland, M.P. for Newcastle-upon-Tyne, to Isabella, eldest daughter and co-heiress of the late Anthony Compton, Esq., of Carham-hall, Northumberland.

At St. James's Church, Frederick Angerstein, Esq., to the Hon. Charlotte Sophia Blayney.

At the British Embassy, the Right Hon. Geo. Lord Rivers, to the Hon. Susan Georgiana Leveson Gower, eldest daughter of his Excellency Viscount Granville, his Majesty's Ambassador at the Court of France.

At Paris, Isabella, youngest daughter of Gen. Sir George and the Hon. Lady Airey, and granddaughter of the Baroness Talbot, of Malahide, in the county of Dublin, to Charles Tottenham, Esq., of New Ross, and grandson of the late Sir Robert Wigram, Bart., of Wexford.

At Trinity Chapel, Sloane-street, J. Smith, Esq., of York-street, Portman-square, to Harriet, eldest daughter of W. France, Esq., of Cadogan-place, Sloane-street, and one of his Majesty's Justices for Middlesex.

G. F. Heneage, Esq., M.P., to Frances, daughter of M. Tasburgh, Esq., of Burghwallis, York.

At Hampton, E. S. Curwen, Esq., late of the 14th Light Dragoons, and son of Henry Curwen, Esq., of Workington-hall, Cumberland, to Frances, daughter of Edward Jesse, Esq., of Hampton-court, Middlesex.

At Southampton, on the 22d inst. Charles, son of the late John Swinfen, of Swinfen, Esq., to Caroline, only daughter of the late General Campbell, of Menzie, N.B.

At St. Mary's Church, Bryanstone-square, T. Bulkeley, Esq., 1st Regiment of Life

Guards, to Frances Emilia Rivers, daughter of Sir F. Freeling, Bart.

*Died.*—At the house of her daughter, Mrs. Baillie, in Cavendish-square, Elizabeth, widow of the late Thomas Denman, M.D., and mother of the Chief Justice, in the 86th year of her age.

At his house in Dover-street, on the 22d inst., James Money, Esq., of the Hon. East India's Company's Service.

At Hastings, the Marchioness Dowager of Londonderry, daughter of Charles, late Earl Camden, sister of the present Marquis Camden, and mother of the Marquis of Londonderry and Earl Vane, aged 82.

At Woolwich, Lieut.-General Sir John Macleod, G.C.H., Director-General and Colonel Commandant of the Royal Horse Artillery, in the 81st year of his age.

At Leintwardine, General Sir Banastre Tarleton, Bart., Governor of Berwick, and formerly Member of Parliament for Liverpool during seven sessions, aged 79.

Patriek Robertson, M.D., one of the physicians to the Islington Dispensary.

At his house in Montague-square, George G. Wyatville, Esq., only son of Sir Jeffrey Wyatville.

In Alfred street, Bedford-square, aged 90, Ellen, widow of the late W. Woodfall, Esq., to whom we are indebted for the first public reports of the proceedings in Parliament.

Mrs. Mason, wife of Mr. Mason, of the Edinburgh theatre, and sister of the late Mrs. Siddons, and John Kemble.

At Hailes-house near Edinburgh, Marguerite Adelaide Le Normond, wife of Henry Richards, Esq., solicitor of stamps for Scotland.

At the Lees, Berwickshire, Sir John Marjoribanks, Bart., formerly member for the county.

At Brooklodge, near Cork, the Hon. Mrs. St. Lawrence, relict of the late Bishop of York and Ross,



## PROVINCIAL OCCURRENCES

### IN THE COUNTIES OF ENGLAND, AND IN WALES, SCOTLAND, AND IRELAND.

---

*An Abattoir in London.*—The report from the Society for Preventing Cruelty to Animals mentions the establishment of an abattoir in the neighbourhood of London thus:—"A new abattoir (the first ever erected in England) was opened in Portman New Market. This building is far superior to the common slaughter-houses, both for the improved method of slaughtering cattle, as well as for the clean appearance and the internal improvements, which the most delicate person may view without exciting any offensive feeling. The gentleman who, at his sole expense, has erected this building for the public accommodation, is Thomas Wilson, Esq., of Baker-street. This humane gentleman has promised to become a donor to the fund for suppressing cruelty. He celebrated the opening of the abattoir by distributing to the poor of the neighbourhood the first ox slaughtered, and roasted whole in the market."

The new church erected in the parish of St. Dunstan's in the West has been consecrated by the Bishop of London, in the presence of the Lord Mayor and Sheriffs, and a respectable congregation. The interior of the church is very elegant, and is beautifully decorated. It forms an octagon, composed of eight recesses, which extends in height nearly two-thirds of the space from the flooring of the nave to the roof, and there is a handsome Gothic window of stained glass over each recess. There is some very beautiful carved work over the altar; and above the communion-table is another stained glass window. The Bishop delivered a very able and impressive sermon, after the Psalms had been read.

The outside of the Ladye Chapel, opposite the grand approach to London Bridge, will soon be completed. The improvement in this part of the town is so great, that shops are eagerly sought for; and the situation is considered so eligible, that very high rents are demanded. Indeed, in spite of the decrease in the rent of houses, that of shops seems rather to have increased.

*Port of London.*—The Committee appointed to superintend the improvement of the port of London have had a meeting at Guildhall, in consequence of the numerous complaints which have been made of obstructions to the navigation of the port. Evidence was adduced, with a view to the adoption of the best practical remedy; and the Committee declared their determination to provide for the more efficient execution of the duties of the harbour service.

The site for the intended new City Club House, in Broad-street, has undergone extensive excavations, it being found to contain ancient vaults of great strength. The foundation stone will be laid with much ceremony at an early period. The establishment is considered likely to attract much interest.

---

#### CORNWALL.

Tresavean copper-mine, in the parish of Gwennap, Cornwall, is likely to prove one of the richest adventures ever known in that county. At the last account the adventurers shared 10,000*l.* profits for the last two months; and it is understood that the lodes in view are sufficient to continue the dividends at the same rate for the next twelve months at least.

#### DEVONSHIRE.

*Interesting Discovery.*—A few days since, the men employed in digging a shaft for the purpose of sewerage in the Bedford-circus, Exeter, were surprised at discovering, about nine feet below the surface, two human skulls, and a number of large bones. These relics of mortality were inspected by several medical gentlemen and other individuals, pieces of bone being taken away by the curious, among whom various conjectures were raised as to how long, and by what means, the bodies had been in that spot. It is very probable, however, that this place was formerly used as a cemetery; for the Bedford-circus, until the dissolution of monasteries, was covered with the convent of the *Dominicans*, or *Black Friars*. The site was granted by Henry VIII. to John, the first Lord Russell, on the 4th of July, 1539.



The fine level road cutting on the east side of the river between Bideford and Barnstaple, along the banks of the river, is nearly completed.

## HAMPSHIRE.

The new Landing Pier at Southampton, though a very useful and long-delayed public work, is at last commenced in earnest, considerable progress having been made with it; the contract being taken, we believe, for its completion in April, or early in May. It is to be a wooden pier, 900 feet long, curving to the east, for the convenience of steam-packets, &c. The carriage-road is to be 20 feet wide, more than sufficient for two carriages abreast, with a footpath on either side, protected from the carriage-road by railing. It will also be lighted by gas.

## KENT.

A meeting of the inhabitants of Greenwich and Deptford has taken place on the subject of the London and Greenwich railway. Mr. Carttar stated that the work had the sanction of the Duke of Richmond, who considered its continuance to Dover, by way of Woolwich, Gravesend, and Canterbury, would tend to facilitate our intercourse with the Continent, and be of great national advantage. Mr. Carttar also said that it was expected that the completion of the road would be followed by an Act of Parliament, preventing steam-boats from approaching nearer to London than Greenwich and Deptford, in consequence of the frequency of accidents. Resolutions were finally put and carried, and a petition to Parliament for the Bill received a number of signatures.

## LANCASHIRE.

The number of passengers conveyed by the Liverpool and Manchester Railway Company from the 1st of July to the 31st of December, 1832, was 182,823; and the merchandise during the same period amounted to 86,642 tons, independently of the coal that was also carried during the same period. The gross receipts of the half year amounted to 80,901*l.*, of which 43,120*l.* was for passengers, and 37,781*l.* for goods.

## LEICESTERSHIRE.

The frame-work knitters in Leicester are suffering great distress. Many of them, who work seventeen hours a day, only earn from six to nine shillings, and deductions are made even from this wretched pittance. A subscription has been set on foot for their relief.

## SOMERSETSHIRE.

The Attorney-General has directed a *nolle prosequi* to be entered upon all the informations against the Bristol magistrates, so as to prevent any further proceedings being had thereon.

## YORKSHIRE.

*Trade of Hull.*—The following is a statement of the number of vessels, British and Foreign, entered inwards at Hull and Goules, during the last and the preceding year. In 1831, 1,069 British, and 725 Foreign, entered Hull; and in 1832, only 889 British, and 474 Foreign. In 1831, 108 British, and 89 Foreign vessels entered the port of Goules; and in 1832, only 97 British, and 53 Foreign entered. The total in 1831 was 1991 vessels, and in 1832 only 1513, being a decrease of 478 vessels last year.

## SCOTLAND.

*Edinburgh.*—The Edinburgh Town Council have elected Mr. Forbes to fill the vacant chair of Natural Philosophy in the University.

*State of the Manufacturing Trade at Kirkcaldy.*—Nothing since the panic in 1825 has occurred to affect this trade in any material degree. Previous to the peace, it consisted almost wholly of ticks and checks; dowlas is at present the staple article, and the trade is on the increase, though it may be questioned whether the profits on a whole bale do not fall short of what our fathers were wont to realize upon a single piece of good tick. The demand for mill-spun yarn has been uncommonly brisk for these some months past—3*lb.*, 2*s.* to 2*s.* 1*d.* The weavers are all employed, and would fain hope for better wages.—*Fifeshire Journal.*

The registered constituency of Scotland is—voters in burghs, 31,324; in counties, 33,222; total, 64,546. Owing to various causes, however, many persons duly qua-



lified did not register ; and, perhaps, if the whole of these were included, the total number of electors in Scotland would not be less than 75,000. Under the old system, the little self-elected juntas of burgh magistrates, with their brethren the freeholders, formed an aggregate constituency of about 4200 ; so that the Reform Bill has multiplied our electors fifteen-fold, and improved them in value still more than in number.

Major-General Sir James Bathurst, K.C.B., who resides in, and is an active magistrate for, Somersetshire, has been appointed Governor of Berwick, in the room of General Sir B. Tarleton, deceased.

#### APPOINTMENT OF SHERIFFS.

The following gentlemen have been appointed Sheriffs for the present year :—

Bedfordshire—George Pearse, of Harlington, Esq.

Berkshire—East George Clayton East, of Hall Place, Esq.

Buckinghamshire—Charles Clowes, of Delaford Park, Esq.

Cambridge and Huntingdonshire—Denzil Onslow, of Great Staughton, Esq.

Cheshire—Postponed.

Cumberland—Henry Curwen, of Workington Hall, Esq.

Cornwall—Christopher Wallis Popham, of Autron Lodge, Esq.

Derbyshire—John Harrison, of Snelston Hall, Esq.

Devonshire — John Quicke, of Newton House, Esq.

Dorsetshire—Richard Bronnaker, of Beveridge, Esq.

Essex—Richard Birch Wolfe, of Woodhall, in Askedon, Esq.

Gloucestershire—Henry Elwes, of Colesbourn, Esq.

Herefordshire—Thomas Dunne, of Bircher, Esq.

Hertfordshire—George Jacob Bosanquet, of Broxbourn, Esq.

Kent—Demetrius Grevis James, of Ightham, Esq.

Leicestershire—John Mansfield, of Birstall, Esq.

Lincolnshire — Henry Dymoke, of Scrivelsby Court, Esq.

Monmouthshire—Wm. Vaughan, of Courtfield, Esq.

Norfolk—Sir W. B. Proctor, of Langley, Bt.

Northamptonshire—William Rose Rose, of Harleston, Esq.

Northumberland—Sir Edward Blackett, of Matfen, Bart.

Nottinghamshire — Sir T. W. White, of Wallingwells, Bart.

Oxfordshire—Sir Geo. Dashwood, of Kirklington Park, Bart.

Rutlandshire—John M. Wingfield, of Market Overton, Esq.

Shropshire—Walter Moscley, of Buildevas, Esq.

Somersetshire—George Henry Carew, of Coneom Court, Esq.

Staffordshire—Thos. Kinnersley, of Clough Hall, Esq.

Southampton—Thomas Chamberlayne, of Cranbury, Esq.

Suffolk—Sir T. S. Gooch, of Benacre, Bart.

Surrey—Sir H. Fletcher, of Ashley Park, Bt.

Sussex—Thos. Broadwood, of Beeding, Esq.

Warwickshire—Sir John Mordaunt, of Walton, Bart.

Wiltshire—William Temple, of Bishopstrow, Esq.

Worcestershire—Jno. Brown, of Lea Castle, Esq.

Yorkshire—Wm. C. Maxwell, of Everingham, Esq.

#### NORTH WALES.

Montgomeryshire—John Jones, of Deythur, Esq.

Merionethshire—George J. Scott, of Peniarthueha, Esq.

Anglesey—Charles Henry Evans, of Henblas, Esq.

Denbighshire—Wm. Parry Yale, of Plas-yd Yale, Esq.

Flintshire—W. T. Ellis, of Counst, Esq.

Carnarvonshire—D. Price Downes, of Hendrerhysgethin, Esq.

#### SOUTH WALES.

Glamorganshire—R. T. Tuberville, of Ewenney Abbey, Esq.

Carmarthenshire—D. Lewis, of Shaduy, Esq.

Pembrokeshire—J. H. Phillips, of Williamston, Esq.

Cardiganshire—William Owen Brigstocke, of Blaenpant, Esq.

Breconshire—W. H. West, of Beaufort, Esq.

Radnorshire—Walter Wilkins, of Maeslough Castle, Esq.

[*State of Trade.*—The accounts from the seats of all the great staple manufactures of the kingdom are more encouraging than they have been for many months. We believe we may say, without hesitation, that the present year has opened with as bright prospects of commercial prosperity as any in the recollection of the oldest merchants ; and now that we have got rid of the cholera, of political agitation, and of the fear of continental war, there is every reason to hope for a still greater improvement and extension of business, both at home and abroad, than has yet taken place. We know that this is confidently anticipated by many of the best informed and most sagacious of our commercial men.]



# THE NEW MONTHLY MAGAZINE.

---

THE POLITICIAN, NO. XIII.

\* THE PRESENT PARLIAMENT—DISTINCTIVE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THAT, AND FORMER ONES—CHARACTER OF ITS ELOQUENCE AND INFORMATION—MR. ATTWOOD'S MOTION.

WE have changed our old lamp for a new one. The Tories were right. The old lamp, indeed, had its magic and its mystery. It had a spell; and by its spell buildings of magnificence arose. You rubbed it,—and lo! Brighton sparkled with its golden cupolas and Chinese pagodas. You rubbed it,—and lo! solemn in insignificance, Pimlico possessed its palace;—nay, by one of your incantations you sent forth the fantastical Genii of Aladdin, to gild and whitewash the solemn spires and antique towers where Science adores the memory of the Plantagenet.

Gardens blushed with golden and precious fruit,—forbidden, it is true, but still sought after; and, to those who knew its magic power, that antique lamp could show the subterraneous road to the Hesperides of Pensions. You changed away your old lamp. “Alack,” said the Tories, “what a foolish bargain you have been making! Your new lamp can never do what your old one did. It's a plain, household, ordinary article, that will only light your way through the dark; and, at most,—rather more lucky than the lantern of Diogenes,—enable you to find a few honest men;—but where is the antient spell, the long-cherished enchantment? If we want to grope our way to a sinecure—to a very, very little sinecure—who shall illumine the path? The haunt of our earliest and latest hopes is closed to us. Twiss has gone back to the bar;—and there stands our boast of Buckingham, imperfect and unpaid for.” Yes, we have changed our lamp, and the new one is not like the old one.

Are you a stranger, reader, to yon old oaken room, or do you re-

---

\* We are indebted to a Member of Parliament, of some years' experience, for the ensuing remarks, with one or two of which we do not quite agree, but their general bearing seems to us at once new and true.—ED.

*March.*—VOL. XXXVII. NO. CXLVIII.

2 E



member it on that memorable night, when Lord John lisped out the ruthless sentence which proclaimed that ruined walls, and ill-patched park-palings should no longer have Parliamentary representatives? Fell destroyer of all that was venerable and sacred! Heartless enemy to the rights of old stones and rotten timber! Careless invader of the immunities which the weather and the worm yet spared! What visions of the olden time—solemn in sinecures and panoplied in pensions—must visit thy midnight couch! Can the cheer of Devonshire House, or the cheers of Devonshire Hustings, repay thee for those sidelong, sad glances, which the ghosts of Gatton, and the spectres of Corfe Castle, cast upon thy lone meditations? Darest thou be alone with the still company that stare upon thee, and say—“Thou hast murdered my Borough,—my own Borough,—my dearly and deeply beloved Borough,—my Borough, for which I gave 60,000*l.*,—my Borough which got me a Baronetcy,—my Borough which got me a Peerage,—my Borough which got my old aunt a pension, my nephew a sinecure, my sons commissions in the Army. Alas! for thee, Lord John! But you, good reader, with whom our colloquy first began, you perhaps remember—or perhaps you do not remember—those benches on the right of the chair which we refer you to. There sat the Ministry much as you see them; and opposite to the Ministry sat Croker, his keen eye flashing forth a sarcasm which his curled lip caught and cherished; and there was George Dawson, so ardent, yet so gentleman-like, ready to shake your hand as a private friend, or to knock you down as a political opponent; and there was Sir J. Yorke, compressing his powerful voice between the lips that smiled a good-humoured capsize to the Royal George of the Admiralty; and there was Sir Charles Wetherell, our excellent, comical, short-breeched, and sesquipedalian-sentenced Sir Charles Wetherell; and there was Goulburn as he is now; and Herries as he is now; and ———, not as he is now, the solemn shadow of his former portly insignificance—no; there he was then with cheeks distended, like the statue of *Æolus*; and contained within those round and rosy caverns were the multitudes of “order,” and the myriads of “hear, hear,” with which, in such jocund days of Downing-street expectation, that popular gentleman used to electrify us. Poor Mr. ———! Pause with us, gentle reader, to lament the fate of this interesting young man. Is such to be the bourne of his hopes? the topmost pinnacle of his ambition?—a silent place on yonder radical-surrounded bench! Is he to be like the flower in Tibullus’s garden? Is he to be another of those Hampdens and Sidneys who sleep inglorious in a country churchyard, without any other epitaph than that with which Gibbon recorded the life and death of so many of Rome’s successive Emperors? He shall have cried “order,” and he shall have shouted “hear,”—he shall have shouted “order,” and have cried “hear,” *et his exactis*, will say



the monumental marble,—*obiit*. On that bench, too,—on that bench to which we now call your attention, reader,—and on which, his hat slouched over his eyes, you may still see him,—sat Sir R. Peel ; and there he sits,—*sed quantum mutatus ab illo Hectore*, who carried flame and terror into the Whig camp. Where are his chosen legions, where are many of those sprigs of nobility—the flower of Troy and Toryism ? —and where are many of those older adjuncts—the sacred band of Pittites, whose well-practised cheer may here and there be yet—but, oh ! how faintly, heard,—

“ When through each rank he turns his kindling eyes,  
And bids the thunder of the battle rise ! ”—*Homer's Iliad*.

But few, very few remain ; shouldered up into a melancholy corner, they sit, *parva et pallida turba*, obscured rather than protected by the shrunken shadow of their great but powerless leader.

On entering the New House, the first thing which strikes you, is the utter and immediately-apparent break up of all the landmarks of times past. The places almost historically occupied by men, who, from long exercise of the privilege, had acquired a prescriptive right to bore or to bully with impunity, are occupied by new faces. Hardly does a well-remembered voice chime in with the sympathies of your ear. This is the second Parliamentary deluge that we have witnessed : the first swept away General Gascoigne and his famous 42 ; the second has left the member for Tamworth with a less following than the member for Dublin. He has a sort of by-place at the corner to the left of the Speaker's chair, behind which his friends, few and feeble, range themselves. The old Tories, that is, the very old Tories, even now that their faction may be called defunct and gone, preserve in death the separation which made the last scenes of their life memorable ; and Sir Edward Knatchbull and Sir Robert Inglis sit on the same side-bench they sat on when their party voted the Duke of Wellington out of office. But that which startles you most, shocking all your conventional feelings, is the strange and almost unholy community of old members and new members, distinguished members and undistinguished members, radical members and Tory members, who sit and assort together on those seats which were of antique usage assigned to gentlemen who had once been blessed with official situations. The Tory ex-Ministers were not able to fill it, and now it is filled by gentlemen of all opinions and of all descriptions. It is necessary to see nothing more than the faces of Sir Robert Peel, and Messrs. Ruthven and Cobbett, side by side, within three feet circumference, in order to say,—“ Ay, this House of Commons is decidedly very much changed from the last House of Commons.” We see at a glance that the character of the House of Commons is very much changed ; but it requires a little time for closer observation before



we can say exactly what the internal causes, as well as the outward symptoms of these changes are. One is startled at coming in by the apparition of Peel and Cobbett in such close fraternity—one member sits down—three new members get up, one after the other—and there is something of a desultory style of confusion that one is not accustomed to, in the tone and manner in which they address you. One is struck, by a want of regularity—by a want of discipline, if I may so express myself, in the manner of conducting the Parliamentary battle. It is not a well-sustained fire kept up from the most commodious heights, and supported by the best engineers and artillery of two contending squadrons. A gun is fired here—and a gun is fired there—none of the fixed rules of war are observed, and every soldier seems more intent on discharging his piece than on gaining the victory. When a troop of gentlemen entered the House, returned by the boroughs of a particular party, and almost wearing the badge or uniform of that party upon their backs, the place of each gentleman in that party was assigned to him—he was brought forward or he was kept back—he was a part of his party, and nothing of himself; and all the leading men being party men, the tone they adopted was the tone of the House. But your boroughs are gone, and with your boroughs parties are gone likewise. No man is brought in by a greater man, or to serve under a greater man than himself—he is the great man then in his own conceit, as in the conceit of his constituents—he walks into the House of Commons with the same magisterial strut that he stepped forward on the hustings, and says in his self-complacent air, *plaudite cives!* There is an individuality about a member of the House of Commons now that there never was before: an *ipse ego* as it were, which, while it has its disadvantages in discussion, has its advantages in division; and renders it impossible for any ambitious individual, so to unite and to rule others, as to feel sure that he has a band, which, seeing with *his* eyes, and hearing with *his* ears, will enable him either to overthrow or to support an administration as it may suit his purpose.

The greater number of men now brought into the House, are no longer what was called promising young men, but rather old men, who have fulfilled many of their early promises; they are not brought in there to make their fortunes, but rather because their fortunes are made—they do not devote themselves to a political career, but rather close, by politics a career, that has been devoted to other pursuits—they have more local knowledge than their predecessors, less general information—they are more accustomed to look at things in detail, less accustomed to regard them in gross—they know the village, the town, the county better, the kingdom perhaps not quite so well. In questions of a common and simple kind their judgment will be a clear and a correct one—in questions of another kind, those parts which may be called the



more metaphysical, the more sublime, or the more complex, are likely to escape, or to be but superficially exposed to, their observation. They well understand the economy of saving, which is mere retrenchment, better than the economy of profitable expenditure, which is laying out a capital to produce an advantageous return—they will be hardly sensible to the moral magnificence of our Indian Empire—they will be quickly alive to the smallest commercial advantage of our poorest colony—they will enter at once into the miseries of the English pauper—they will be slow to feel the sorrows of the expatriated Poles—they may not be sufficiently scrupulous in defending (what is often worth defence) a constitutional form or an abstract right ; but the Minister must have more than the eloquence of Demosthenes, that can persuade them to accord an ill-earned pension or accede to an unnecessary tax. Among those sturdy countenances and stout figures, you may not find many Hampdens who would die on the field ; but you will meet a vast number of Humes, who would go so far in rebellion as to refuse to pay taxes :—and the Government found it more difficult to defend the Governorship of the Tower, than to place Ireland under the sword of the sub-lieutenant.

We are not of the opinion of Burke, who, in speaking of such men “ as vulgar and mechanical politicians, who think of nothing but what is gross and material,” says, “ that so far from being qualified to be the directors of the great movements of the Empire, they are not fit to turn a wheel in the machine.”

The diffuse and philosophical mind of Burke inspired him with an extraordinary contempt for all that was commonplace and cramped. His language is far stronger than ours would be ; but even we are quite sure that, respectable as they are, your elderly gentlemen just launched from the workshop or the counting-house, are not the proper persons to take the helm of affairs in a state, the interests of which are so widely spread, the power of which is so deeply and abstrusely planted, as our own. A knowledge of local details is necessary, if it be only to form a general truth. But it is a more general philosophy that extracts from each fact its essence, and forms thereof those universal precepts, which tend to the common happiness of mankind. The manufacturer at Manchester, and the manufacturer at Spitalfields, and the agriculturist in Norfolk, may all form a pretty shrewd guess as to what may tend to their own immediate disadvantage or prosperity ; but their view will be frequently microscopic, even as far as it regards themselves, and an insulated interest is always the utmost limit of their political horizon.

It is to be hoped, therefore, as well as expected, that such persons will rest satisfied with the simple power of representatives of the people ; and in that situation, their local knowledge, their accurate and intimate acquaintance with particular branches of national industry, will form a



proper and efficient check to those who, governing with more comprehensive views, do still require to be curbed and restrained by a sensibility to partial and temporary influences, which the brief career and transitory condition of mankind forbid us to neglect.

The Tory argument against Reform, which had many illustrious examples for its support:—viz., that the greatest statesmen had been returned by the rotten boroughs—was met by our assertion and belief, that the people now, a wiser and better people than their ancestors, would be able and desirous to choose some men of the order of mind and class of information that the aristocracy had astutely chosen for the management of public affairs. We do not easily believe that men are born statesmen, or that political information can be obtained but by many years of devotion to political knowledge: as none will be qualified for success at the bar or the church who do not make law and divinity, and legal and clerical eloquence their constant and unwearying study, so none will be qualified to succeed in the yet more difficult career of governing their fellow-men, who do not make the science of government their constant pursuit. Politics must be a profession—what Reform should do is to make it an honest one. This is the people's affair, and let the people look to it! It is their business to show the same wise and fostering attention to talent wherever it is to be found to fight the battles of the community, which an oligarchy formerly showed, when it enlisted a Canning or a Tierney to fight the battle of a party. If the influence of wealth, and the eloquence of beer are to be predominant in the minds of the popular constituencies—the stone walls which we have disfranchised were the best means of procuring members of Parliament of the two. A venal mob is not likely to return a more honest, or so intelligent a man, as an ambitious peer; and if the representative is of necessity to be corrupt, the narrower the sphere of corruption among the represented, the better. Hertford and Liverpool are as filthy, corrupt channels to the House of Commons, as Gatton and Sarum; and if we could persuade ourselves that our people in general were like those generous and grateful persons, who denounced the idea of voting any longer for Mr. Duncombe, because they thought they had ruined him, we should be heartily sick of the farce of continuing such disgusting and brutal assemblages of a drunken populace as those which have been collected under the pretence of choosing, without fee or reward, a fit representative to serve in Parliament.

If the people wish to keep with credit to themselves that power which the legislature has now vested in their hands, let them, we repeat, carefully look to it. If they do not choose men who are capable of acting and reasoning on sound and statesmanlike principles—and who, sufficiently independent to be without any other profession than that which Parliament affords, are still not lost in those luxuries, or raised



to that elevation, which sinks them below, or carries them beyond the conduct of official business—if they do not do this—they will find their affairs strangely mismanaged, or they will be managed as heretofore, by a small knot of wealthy individuals, who, uniting together under the name of the Conservative Club—or under any other name, will make a purse for returning the most talented of their partisans—by means even more prejudicial to the morals and spirit of the country than those which were formerly adopted.

If we wanted a proof of the manner in which the class of gentlemen we have alluded to—is likely with even the most upright intentions to err on subjects of general policy—we should refer to Mr. Attwood, their chief and their type, and his motion “for the appointment of a committee to inquire into the causes of the existing distress.”

Mr. Attwood’s committee would, in fact, have been a committee on the currency, since, as that gentleman has adopted the idea, that the only relief to the country would be the robbery of the creditor and the destruction of credit; and as he, being the mover for the committee, would have the nomination of its members, it is quite clear that his view would have been their view, and the people would doubtless have become pre-eminently prosperous under a committee, the first sitting of which would have operated as a law of universal arrest. If, however, the object and the research of the committee had in reality been as wide and as general as Mr. Cobbett supposed them likely to be, what would have been the consequence?—the relief of any one distress?—no;—the most plausible excuse that could be devised for not relieving any. The House of Commons is—as Mr. Warburton very properly observed—the committee for inquiring into the *general* distress of the nation, and every member of the House has now the power of bringing forward any *particular* grievance or distress which comes more peculiarly within the scope of his knowledge and information.

But name Mr. Attwood’s House of Commons,—and you at once annihilate the House of Commons that is now sitting. Should any member get up and require a tax to be removed or a monopoly abolished,—should he wish the people to be better educated by removing the impositions on knowledge, or better fed by improving the present system of poor-laws,—should he speak of the malt-duties, or the corn-laws,—the Chancellor of the Exchequer is ready with a never-failing answer,—“A committee is sitting to discover the causes of distress, and until we see the report of that committee it will be absurd (so indeed it would) to take any step to alleviate the evils you complain of, evils which the committee, in its inscrutable wisdom, may declare to be no evils at all.” And what perfect babyism this inquiry after the causes of distress which lie on the very surface of society! Does not every man know that excessive and unequal taxation,—



severe and ill-administered laws,—an increasing, unemployed, uneducated, and starving population are the grievances that we have to cure, and that cheap justice, cheap knowledge, and cheap provisions are the benefits we have to aim at? It may indeed require a very curiously concocted body to discover that the receiving our debts in paper, which are due to us in gold, would make us wise, wealthy, and contented. But the existing House of Commons, with all its faults, is quite wise enough to see the miseries we have pointed out; and all the people desire is, that it should devise a method of getting rid of them. Your inquiries are insults and mockeries;—give them remedies, and they will thank you. Mr. Attwood, with his inquiry, reminds us of the philosopher who, when his house was in flames, gave no orders for preserving it by the simple prevention of cold water, but sat down to inquire into the causes and properties of fire.

If the House is different from what it was, the character of the eloquence of the House of Commons has also differed very considerably from what it was in the time of its predecessor. It has become more passionate,—more popular;—an arithmetical figure produces less effect,—an oratorical figure more; declamation is more necessary, and clap-traps more successful;—the quiet, conversational, and, as it was called, gentleman-like style of speaking, has deepened into a broader, bolder, and more rhetorical and hustings-like manner.

For this there are many reasons:—in the first place, the audience in other times rarely consisted—when matters of business came on—of more than fifty or sixty members, and the subject of debate was discussed across the table as between one gentleman and another. But now it is just when these matters are discussed that the fullest attendance may be expected, and, as there are no silent constituencies, so we have but few silent members. The discussion then is maintained, not across the table and among a few, but from one side of the house to the other, and among many. The voice must be louder, the action more powerful, and the whole manner swells into something more than that of the simple man of business. Again, the nice ear of a polished and lettered aristocracy is more apt to be shocked by faults than to be struck by embellishments; and the same cause, viz. a less delicate audience—which has favoured the romantic school on the theatre in France—is likely to be favourable to a more passionate mode of oratory in the English House of Commons. Add to this, the feelings of the people are now brought more directly to bear upon all questions under discussion, because every body represents the people, while the greater number of Irish, and not Irish-Englified Members—that are lately come into Parliament, and the frequency of Irish debates, will also most probably contribute to change our Attic style into something more Ionian. It is possible, moreover, that in an assembly of men where facts are more



generally known, the simple statement of facts in debate will have less value. Formerly the House was left without an answer to two or three cyphers of Mr. Hume, and everybody was in raptures with Mr. P. Thomson's speech upon shipping, because of shipping almost every body but Mr. P. Thomson was utterly ignorant.

This was the case formerly, but now there are so many *Counter Humes* and so many Counter Thomsons—and as the talent the most rare in an assembly is generally the most appreciated, so a higher value will be set upon general reasoning and a less one upon arithmetical details. Thus the general taste of the assembly will very probably act as a counterbalance to the tone of mind likely to prevail among its individual members, and, as the last House of Commons, possessing little practical knowledge, was corrected in this respect by the importance attached to those by whom this knowledge had been acquired, so the present House of Commons, possessing little general information, will rectify its deficiency by an inverse inclination. To sum up, then, the present House of Commons presents features exactly contrary to those which the superficial observer would have expected. It was the customary cry, “Oh! there will be no vulgar oratory in a Reformed Parliament!”—it is exactly that quality which obtains the most applause. Every one supposed it would be a quiet, decorous, orderly assembly—it is testy and impatient to a degree without a parallel. And why is this?—simply because it is a better attended, that is, a *more numerous* assembly than ever! Consequently, it more resembles, than before, a popular meeting, which is ever unfriendly to details, and averse from reasoning. Its very faults are to be found in the conscientious punctuality with which its members attend their duties.

SCYPHAX.

---



## NORTH AMERICA.\*

THERE are two points of view in which America may be looked at by travellers, and the character of their reports turns very much upon which point is taken by the observer. This will account for the strange differences that exist in books on that country. Captain Hall disapproves of every thing: Mr. Stuart finds no fault. Both travellers are British gentlemen of good motives and honest intentions. The truth is, that Captain Hall referred all he said to his own feelings. Mr. Stuart tried to discover which were the feelings of the Americans. "How should I like this?" said Captain Basil Hall. Mr. Stuart asked, himself "How do they like it?" Now this is a very important distinction. It may be very safely asserted that no English gentleman, unless indeed caught very young, can sit down in America with any real satisfaction. Though he may profess the most republican sentiments, though he may have the most liberal notions respecting the rights of men, and never treat a fellow-man, of whatever rank and condition, without the respect due to a man, still if he have been bred up in all the artificial distinctions of an old aristocratical country, and with such refinements as an ancient society, like old housekeepers, always contrive to get about them, he will never be easy in a newly-settled state founded on true republican principles. Take a Highlander from his mountains, and set him down on the flats of the Isle of Ely, will he be content? No, the lake and the crag, and the distant line of blue hill, are with him essential beauties of nature; he can with difficulty allow that there is any merit in a field of wheat forty bushel to the acre. The member of an aristocratical society comes to be proud of its inequalities, and will even glory in its injustice. Foreigners have been astonished in listening to the proud expressions of satisfaction with which Englishmen of the middle classes have expatiated on the privileges of the aristocratical game laws. In the same way non-commissioned officers have been found to glory in the great gulf which separates themselves from the king's officers. Where command exists, it is some consolation to poor humanity to consider that it is not an equal to whom submission is made: that it is some one whom nurture, opinion, and education have combined to distinguish from the common herd. In America, a gentleman has to sit down at table with his own servant; it is not improbable that, if the servant have the more popular manners, he will have most respect paid him; nay, generally speaking, the servant must be preferred, for he looks up to the republicans, while the master is looking down with contempt on the whole party, and, at any rate, expecting their subserviency. It is almost a proverb in this country, that a man is judged pretty much by his coat; this is a test that makes an insensible impression upon those who are far from holding there is any virtue in new broad-cloth. What then will be the uncomfortableness of a man who suddenly appears among a busy population, where appearance is held utterly worthless, nay, where the nicest external distinctions would rather excite a laugh than promote a deference? Suppose such a person, long accustomed to observances, sit-

\* Three Years in North America. By James Stuart, Esq. Second Edition. Edinburgh. Cadell, 1833.



ting down to dinner, and his waiter drawing a chair near him ; suppose his stage-driver turning out the sheriff of the county, as Mr. Stuart did, would he ask him to dinner, as was done by this very meritorious traveller ? Suppose him accosted by a party of well-informed mechanics in jackets on board a steam-boat, and in no respect valued except for the information he gave them, would not all this, and much more of the same kind, greatly perplex the best specimens of Englishmen ? This is only a very slight odour of the disagreeables a contented Englishman has to encounter.

If his speculations extend to matters of government he is equally shocked. Any ordinary letter of introduction will procure an interview with the president ; the authorities are generally in trade, and the elections of all kind are settled with less fuss than goes to the choice of a coroner or even a churchwarden. The imposing is altogether wanting in America ; in Europe most countries have it, and some few are great in that species of delusion.

The States are no country for the few ; it is the land of the many. Every one who has looked upon the institutions of Europe must see that the only question is of the few ; it is of the few that it is spoken when it is said such is the way with our neighbours.

Mr. Stuart is a man who visited America under peculiar circumstances : he had probably good reason to be dissatisfied with our mode of treating deserving citizens of liberal opinions. When he left Scotland he had long maintained a war with the Tories, during which he found his substance crumbling away under Tory exactions, and, perhaps, his temper somewhat turned against the unfair distribution of honour and profit in his native land. With no pleasant reminiscences of his native land, he sought America as a country supplying an agreeable and easy retreat. He was like the admiral that burnt his transports as soon as he had disembarked ; he was determined not to look back. Mr. Stuart never thought of Britain while he was in America. Captain Hall always asked himself, now what will they think of this at home ? what would Mr. —, or Lady —, say, if she was obliged to submit to this ?

The merit of Mr. Stuart's book is, that he looked upon the country with more than an absence of prejudice, with a good nature and a good sense that did not even desert him in the uncivilized west, where he was sometimes compelled to inform landlords that they had at least mistaken their vocation.

Mr. Stuart's book, looking at it merely as a book, is *not* of *first-rate* excellence,—regarding it as the report of a private and trust-worthy gentleman on the United States, it assuredly *is*. A book-maker, or travel-writer, ought to have a picturesque style, an imagination, a lively sense of the characteristics of society, and a taste for nature in all her forms : in all or most of these qualities Mr. Stuart is to seek. He is a sensible, observing, intelligent, liberal, and good-natured man ; he knows good society in its Scotch provincial form, and anxious to gain authentic intelligence about the country he visited, he has put down all he said in a general way, and has compiled along with his journal all the different documents, advertisements, and papers he could collect ; information, therefore, is the order of his day ; and he gives it, often, however, in a crude form—the change, however, is rather against the book-maker than the traveller. He who really wants intelligence about the States



will acknowledge the book to be indispensable—the critic will weigh it in all its essences, and find all wanting. Admirable critic! We will deceive no one; this work is proceeding to a third edition—and deserves it; and yet it is almost the only book deserving such success that has of late got it. We shall soon hear a cry of disappointment; it is no light affair for the book-clubs. It is too full of small change of intelligence, and too deficient in the great features of strong and impressive writing to make a sensation round the country tea-table. There is no romance here; and yet the experience in the latter part of the second volume traverses a country which some men, let us instance Chateaubriand, nay, even a hero of the Sports of the Forest as Lloyd, or an amateur, such as the author of the “Wild Sports of the West,” would have made famous for all time—in all such emergencies Mr. Stuart runs to quotation. This we understand: it is not that he does not feel the virtue of the scenes he observes, but, unaccustomed to write, and very long in the habit of admiring waters, he picks out the pleasant passages of such authors as Flint as the most natural mode of expressing himself.

In all practical and business matters Mr. Stuart seeks no aid; he is at home. Look, for instance, at his valuation and appreciation of the prospects of a farmer of a certain capital who determines upon settling in Illinois or Indiana: look again at his calculations of the expense of living in all parts of the States; his views are always those of a settler, determined, like any other wise man, to overlook small objections.

We have learnt that this was not a calculated book: there are books of which the reader says as of a late mineralogical professor in one of the universities, why he has as much to say of a stone as another man of his first-born. Here it is clear that the book is an accident; the man does not travel to make a book, but having travelled and arriving at home, and on conversing with his friends, and on seeing what there is produced in his way, all of a sudden finds that, in his own portfolio, he has that which his countrymen desire, if not for profit, at least for pleasure. Mr. Stuart's book, and it is pleasant to be able to spread the truth in spite of slander and puffery, is not an amusing book; it has no qualities to recommend it to the idle readers of the day, and yet it is the work alone, which, of all those that have been written, enables us to extend an arm across the Atlantic, and shake hands heartily with our dear brother Jonathan: he should be our son, but there are those who maintain he is our uncle.

---



## THE BILL OF BELIAL.

## A POLITICAL ALLEGORY.

Ridentem dicere verum

Quid vetat ?

THE following legend, in point of authenticity, rivals the greatest number of similar compositions ; in utility it exceeds them all.

In the forty-third year of Elizabeth, a holy old man, who had lived in the utmost seclusion from the world, since the time when, he then being a young novice, his monastery was delivered up to a favourite of that scourge of anchorites, Henry VIII., had a wonderful vision which he left in writing for the benefit of posterity.

Instead of mounting upwards, as it usually happens, our good monk found himself transported to the infernal regions. Aware of his downward course, he shuddered at the idea of beholding the various torments of the wicked ; yet he comforted himself with the hope of seeing King Henry VIII. writhing under the operation of fire, applied according to the most approved manipulations of infernal chemistry. But to his great surprise he found himself in a grand saloon, furnished with numerous seats, and not very unlike the House of Commons. His invisible conductors placed him in a gallery, from whence, by the red glare of numerous torches, he could see the whole place, and hear whatever might be said at the farthest end.

The monk had not been long seated, when a crowd of spirits rushed in without much ceremony, and occupied the benches on both sides of a chair and table, which stood at the head of the room. Soon after this, a spirit, with a Medusa head-dress, supposed to be meant for a wig, took the chair. The beings who composed the assembly were unquestionably the same that, about sixty years after this vision, (and perhaps from some verbal report of it,) were described by Milton as filling up Pandæmonium. The identity of the place cannot be doubted. The members of the assembly had neither tails nor horns, nor was there anything hideous or terrific in their appearance. What language they actually spoke cannot be ascertained ; yet the holy monk understood them as plainly as if they had been using his native language.

The subject of that evening's discussion happened to be " The State of England."

Great alarm (as the first speaker stated) had prevailed in the infernal regions in consequence of the prospects of the British nation. Light had rushed in upon it like a flood ; a large mass of property had been taken out of the hands of useless drones, and the spirit of enterprise and commerce was collecting strength with alarming rapidity. " That nation," said the speaker, " if allowed to proceed on the path now opened to it, will certainly ruin the remnants of our much-weakened empire. The people of England are bold, persevering, and not easily turned away from their purposes. They have given a mortal blow to our faithful allies the monks and the Spaniards\*. The commercial activity of the English will scarcely know any limits for many years. Industrious habits will spread down to the lower classes ; and where industry prevails, vice does not thrive. I move, therefore, that a fresh army of tempters be sent up into the heart of that improving country ; that ' Moloch, horrid king, besmeared with blood,' excite priests of all denominations to preach persecution and mutual hatred among Christians ; that he stir up the pride both of the Pope and his dignitaries, as well as the conceit of the Protestant controversialists, each of whom wishes to be a Pope ; that a civil war be prepared by this means, &c. &c. &c. ; that Belial, who, by allowing a virgin queen on the throne of England, appears sadly to have neglected his department, exert himself in the promotion of vice among the

---

\* Alluding to the discomfiture of the *Armada*, which had taken place thirteen years before this subterranean meeting.



higher classes, since the lower will be too much engaged in, and exhausted by, labour, to afford the abundant harvest of souls which this empire has hitherto annually reaped; that——”

The monk could not remember the remainder of this speech. He tells us only that there was in it much abuse of the diabolical heads of different departments for allowing England to rise so rapidly towards that point of temporal happiness which seems most favourable to the diffusion of knowledge and virtue.

Fortunately, however, he was so deeply impressed with Belial's reply, that he has preserved us a very accurate account of his diabolico-political views in regard to these kingdoms. He represents that spirit as he was afterwards described—

“ Graceful and humane :

A fairer person lost not heaven : he seemed  
For dignity composed and high exploit.  
But all was false and hollow ; though his tongue  
Dropt manna, and could make the worse appear  
The better reason, to perplex and dash  
Maturest counsels.”

On the occasion we speak of, Belial rose with a smile in which it was difficult to perceive whether it expressed the most refined politeness, or the most perfect contempt.

“ During the long course of our warfare with heaven,” said the able and eloquent Spirit, “ I have invariably been under the deepest sense of the inefficacy, not to say absurdity, of the leading politics of our empire. Though mankind accuse us of deceit and subtlety, rage and violence have always been our guides. We send up numerous bands of semi-barbarian devils—armies of spiritual cossacks, who, instead of promoting and establishing our interests, only fatigue, harass, and shock the best portion of the sons of Adam, giving them a disgust of our dominion, finally leading them indirectly to permanent improvements. There might be some excuse for this conduct while we were dealing with people but little removed from the savage state. But in spite of all our efforts, the progress of light, though slow, is steady, and has changed the face of the world. Are we so ignorant as to suppose that vice and evil, in their nakedness, can attract mankind? Nevertheless, we proceed in the old-fashioned course. We call every thing by its proper name, though that name be most odious to man, except when brutalized or rendered furious by passion. One single measure of enlightened policy was adopted many hundred years ago at my suggestion, and the results have been more favourable to this our kingdom of darkness than the most sanguine temper could have conceived. I appeal to you, as the best witnesses, my fellow rulers of darkness ! The violent party amongst us attempted to drown infant Christianity in its own blood. I told them they were blind ; but I was not believed till Christianity had spread beyond all possibility of suppressing it. When, however, you threw yourselves upon my wisdom,—[‘ *We did not extirpate Christianity,*’ from the opposition benches.] It is true, very true, we did not succeed in extirpating Christianity ; but did we not poison it to the very roots ? How was this accomplished ? Was it by the preposterous method of recommending the worship of Mars and Venus ? Ridiculous ! Advise men to be lewd and cruel ; to be in fear for the honour of their wives and daughters ; to hasten to cut each other's throats, and be in perpetual dread of an ambitious and conquering power !—what is this but absolute insanity ? No : Christianity was poisoned by inducing emperors to take up *religious truth* (that is, their own party) under their protection : by suggesting to the priesthood the advantage of engaging the secular power in favour of *religious truth*. As *religious truth* means for each man his own convictions on subjects out of the reach of experimental proof, Christians could not fail to sanctify all their most violent passions under the name of faith. Glorious—(forgive me



this burst of exultation)—glorious, indeed, have been the fruits of that scheme! Man became the most implacable enemy of man throughout Christendom. Envy, hatred, malice, and all uncharitableness, were converted into all-atoning virtues, when let loose against those who defended their *truth*, their *orthodoxy*. Human blood was shed in torrents, while those who made it flow from the veins of the honest and sincere supporter of his own *truth*, from the bosom of tender females who took their purest affections for theological *truth*—all raised up their ensanguined hands to heaven, and thanked Him, whom we never name in this place, for the opportunity he had given them of destroying his enemies. Let me ask those among you who daily ‘traverse the earth,’ whether the fruits of this my scheme are exhausted, or whether there is not the fairest prospect of reaping them in abundance for centuries to come?

“But I ask pardon for allowing myself to be carried away from the subject of our present discussion. I will make amends by entering upon it without further preamble.

“You are aware how nearly we have neutralised Christianity, by consecrating the angry and proud passions of man under the name of zeal for *religious truth*. The step which I have to recommend to our Assembly for the purpose of preparing the downfall of England is analogous to the one just mentioned. Do I need to use disguise among you? Or should I stop to cull the softest and most delicate words and phrases, in order to prevent (what I most earnestly wish to prevent in regard to men)—to prevent, I say, your being shocked? I hear *No* from every part of the House. My plan then is simply this—to poison *charity* and *benevolence*. (Immense applause.) Yes, my friends, to make *charity* and *benevolence* the inexhaustible sources of vice and crime, and to banish them from millions of souls by the simple means of *compelling* them to exert those favourite virtues; to extinguish gratitude among the poor, by the very regularity and profusion of the alms given them; and compassion among the rich, by a well-grounded fear that they may soon be reduced to a state in which they must depend upon the compassion of others. I will not, however, dwell any longer in generalities. Here I hold in my hand the heads of a Bill, which, if it meet your approbation, I engage to get passed into law by the English Parliament. I have drawn it up in our own language; that is, calling things by their proper names; it shall, however, be my business to translate it into the language of *charity* and *benevolence*, of *national honour*, and all the other phrases most acceptable to men, and, in that state, I will recommend it to the English Government. Be not discouraged, if at first my scheme does not perform all that I have promised. The ‘Poor Laws’—so shall my Bill be called in the upper regions—will rapidly develope themselves into all the consequences of evil which I hereby engage to bring about; and whatever may be the length of time in which they are destined to accomplish the ruin of the British empire, not one year will pass without showing the rapid and uninterrupted progress of the measure towards that happy conclusion. (Hear, hear, hear.)

“The Bill which I have the honour to propose is as follows:—

“Whereas it has become apparent, that the island known on the surface of the earth by the name of Great Britain, is making most alarming strides towards wealth, peace, and happiness;—that industry, sobriety, and prudent foresight are likely to spread among the labouring classes of that people, under the influence of liberty and commerce;—that the generous temper of its inhabitants will bind the lower to the higher classes by means of *free* donations from the wealthy to the poor;—that a diminution of vice and crime, and an increase of virtuous habits, will, with awful certainty, follow this state of things, producing great injury to this our Great Empire of Darkness;—

“Be it enacted, and it is hereby enacted,—

“I. That for a more effectual abolition of charity and benevolence, the



great mass of the English nation shall be *compelled by law* to give as much, and, in many cases, more than they can conveniently give to the poor; so that, in paying to the tax-gatherer what they might have been induced to give for the gratification of kindness, they shall have all their worst passions excited:

“ II. That to increase this evil moral tendency, the said people shall have both an opportunity and inducement to rejoice in seeing their neighbours *compelled* to be as *charitable by law* as themselves:

“ III. That for the discountenancing of industry, forethought, and independence, all men who neglect these virtues shall have a legal claim to an indefinite portion of the property of those that practise them:

“ IV. That in order to diminish affections, kindness, and the feelings of gratitude between the nearest relatives, the forced charity of the English nation shall be so distributed as to show to parents, husbands, and children, that it is folly, under such circumstances, to toil for the sustenance and comfort of those for whom they may fully and permanently provide by deserting them; and to this practical demonstration of the folly of *providing for one's household*,\* shall be added the spiteful feeling, that to toil for the support of parents, wives, and children, is only saving so much money to the richer neighbours:

“ V. That to encourage and spread idleness, drunkenness, and every kind of intemperance, a permanent provision shall be made for every man who throws away and mispends his earnings, and that this provision shall extend to the last day of every profligate man or woman; in a word, that destitution, in whatsoever manner brought on, shall be a lawful claim to a portion of the property of the industrious:

“ VI. That to discountenance chastity, such a provision shall be made for bastards, that women may consider them as a source of income:

“ VII. That to prevent accumulation of property among the labouring classes, wherever there may be a competition for labour, the improvident shall be preferred to those who are known to have saved any money; that the latter shall be allowed to live hard, and the former shall be assisted with money, and every thing contributing to ease and comfort, so as finally to break down all spirit of independence:

“ VIII. That to secure, in the highest degree, the effect of the aforesaid enactments, all magistrates and overseers shall take care that the diet of paupers, and their maintenance in the workhouse, or anywhere else, shall be superior to that of honest and industrious labourers, though not equal in comfort and abundance to that of *convicts*:

“ IX. That for the discouragement and depression of the Church, tithes shall be made rateable, or subject to the tax, for the maintenance of the poor, as above described, so that farmers may absorb as much as possible of the maintenance of the clergy in part of payment to their own labourers:

“ XI. That, whereas a *blessing* was pronounced on the multiplication of mankind, when that multiplication is not, as among beasts, the result of blind appetite, but is brought about under the guidance of reason and foresight, this blessing shall be turned into a curse, by persuading people, that to follow a mere animal instinct is a virtue, and to bring human beings into existence, without providing for their maintenance and education, is a meritorious act; for, in fact, the law will have provided an inheritance for every child born into the world, at the expense of those who have saved and accumulated wealth to any amount, and till the property of the whole island shall be devoured by the multitudes thus made heirs of it, no child can be said to be unprovided for; and consequently it will be impossible to prove to those who marry in what is called an improvident manner, that they are improvident at all, for they see clearly that their children, to any number, cannot possibly be destitute.”

Reader! we will not pursue this sport, for it is, indeed, a melancholy



one. We have thus far indulged in irony, because we thought that nothing can so effectually remove the delusion which makes people blind to the ruinous consequences of the Poor-Laws, as divesting them of the appearance of charity, benevolence, and generosity, by which they have deceived this nation. We think we have made it evident, that, if the invisible enemy of mankind himself had devised a refined method of obstructing, and finally ruining, the bright prospects which were opened to this nation in the reign of Elizabeth, the system of poor-laws would have answered his purpose better than any other. The practical effects of the laws, which are ignorantly called the *pride of the nation*, appear in a most appalling form in the evidence collected by Parliament, and, still more, in that of which the Board of Commissioners on the Poor-Laws are about to publish some extracts. Every one of the evils, moral as well as economical, which we have embodied in a supposed bill, conceived in a truly diabolical spirit, is fully proved, in that evidence, to arise from the poor-laws. The extracts from that most valuable mass of practical information will soon appear before the public. It will then be the duty of every honest man, whose opinion can have even the least weight, in private or in public, to make himself acquainted with the facts which have come to light upon this most important subject. The moral and political life of this great nation depend on the turn which public opinion shall take in regard to the poor-laws.

In order, however, not to delay some useful information on this matter, it has been thought advisable to publish the evidence delivered before the Committee of the House of Lords (in 1831) by a gentleman who, possessing a most accurate knowledge of the evil effects of the poor laws, has devoted his talents and efforts to the practical correction of their tendency. The evidence therein subjoined is that of the Rev. Thomas Whately, Rector of Cookham, in Berkshire. That evidence has been selected in preference to all other, because it shows how much may be done by a single man of intelligent and upright views, as well as firmness of character, in counteracting a most desperate evil. The conduct of Mr. Whately may be set forth as a safe and encouraging example to all who, being concerned in the administration of the poor-laws, may be sincerely desirous to serve their country, and not to betray its interests for the selfish purpose of gaining popularity at the expense of the nation, or with the pitiable object of indulging a morbid benevolence—a benevolence which injures the interests of the industrious and virtuous part of the community, to pamper the low luxuries of the idle and profligate. It is only the day preceding that on which the preface is written, that, casting a glance over the newspapers, we found the following fact in one of the reports of the “Morning Chronicle.” We copy only the substance of the paragraph:—“Yesterday (March 5th, 1833) at least a dozen persons appeared at Queen-square Office, St. Margaret, Westminster, summoned for the non-payment of poor-rates. The arrears of these persons amounted to 80*l*. Some time was allowed them by the magistrates. Distress warrants were granted against several who, at the expiration of the respite which had been given to them, had failed to come forward with the money. Upwards of 100 inhabitants have been summoned for a similar cause to this office during the last two months.”

This is an instance, taken entirely at random, of the daily distress and mischief occasioned by that *national* charity which robs the industrious in order to maintain the indolent. The inhabitants of Westminster who were thus harassed, and perhaps ruined by the operation of the poor-laws, must be either completely insensible to their own interests, or (what unfortunately cannot be expected) must be heroic examples of virtue and independence, not to conceive that it is folly to struggle against poverty. Let them renounce industry and labour, and they and their families will be immediately provided for, at the cost of such idiots as continue to live upon the fruits of their own industry.



## THE DIPLOMATIC SERVICE.

IN 1721, one hundred and twelve years ago, the great diplomatic work of the time accomplished itself; and Europe, agitated on all sides, saw the most mortal enemies, George of Hanover and the Stúarts—the Court of Madrid and the Court of Vienna—the disciples of Luther and the disciples of Molina—unite to confer the sacred scarlet on the Priest of the Roués. The Cardinal de Rohan, the Abbé de Tencin, the Bishop de Sisteron, were enjoying, in the simple language of the time, “*un avant goût de Paradis.*” Dubois had purchased a cardinal’s hat, which had only cost France eight millions of francs: that of Mazarin’s brother had been more expensive.

“Le prêt des troupes a manqué nèt. Cependant des qu’il s’agit d’engagement pris par M. le Cardinal de Rohan, je voudrais pouvoir me vendre-moi même fussé-je acheté pour les galères. . . . J’envoie à M. de Rohan une lettre de change de dix mille pistoles, et je me suis engagé en mon propre nom pour deux cent quatre vingt mille livres.” This was the language of the minister, who talked, as a matter of course, of leaving the army unpaid and unprovisioned, for the purpose of purchasing a paltry dignity for himself; and talked of this to a Frenchman—to a French gentleman—as a transaction known to a cardinal of one of the most noble houses of the country he was misgoverning and betraying. The minister was corrupt, the ambassador was corrupt, and his object was to corrupt those he was sent to. How clear, even at this distance of time, can we see these wily and reverend gentlemen of the Church gliding from palace to palace—whispering here, smiling there, and agitating with an earnest pensiveness the comparative importance of a bag of pistoles and a vote for the Pope; for it had been boldly decided by the Bishop of Lafitau, at the death of Clement XI., that the simplest mode of success was to buy the conclave at once, and to give the tiara to him who agreed to give the hat.

Such was the spirit which animated the diplomacy of 1721. This was 112 years ago, in which year the embassy at Rome alone cost France rather more than all the expenses of her foreign department in 1832, i.e., according to M. Bignon’s report, 7,502,000 fr.

So much for representative governments and for public accounts; but that which surprises us is—that with the vast decrease that has taken place in the expenses of diplomacy, there has not been an entire and total change in its system. When the weightiest affairs of state were canvassed at a supper, and conducted in a quadrille—when peace or war depended upon a well-turned compliment to a prince, or a prince’s mistress—and a becoming manner, and a graceful carriage, and an agreeable smile were the appropriate weapons of controversy, in those pink-satin official cabinets in which a Duchesse de Falari, or a Madame du Barri, agitated the grave interests of humanity—then indeed, as all political power was social power, it was wise to choose those who were to represent us from those who could carry into the boudoir or the drawing-room the greatest power to do so with advantage, and to give them, moreover, all necessary means of influencing that “vast polished horde” who bow before a good cook, a brilliant saloon, and a costly entertainment. But great is the change that has taken place in most of the courts



of Europe since the period of which we are speaking. The affairs which were lispingly discussed in the lady's chamber are now seriously debated in the representative assembly; and the secrets timidly uttered round the fauteuil of the minister are publicly printed in the daily papers. The nation is no longer circumscribed within the limits of a court; it is necessary, then, that diplomacy should become acquainted with the nation itself. The state is no longer moved by a parcel of petty puppets on the surface of affairs; it is necessary, then, to penetrate into the internal machinery of the state, and by becoming acquainted with its main and most important springs, foresee and foretell, if you cannot control, its movements. This should be the part of modern diplomacy, whose theatre, except in some few countries, is now almost as much in the workshop of the mechanic as in the monarch's palace. But this is a new, and, at first sight, a startling proposition. The genius of the present time is rather to spend as little as possible, and to confine and limit the object to be obtained, in order to confine and limit the expense necessary to its acquisition,—than to take that large and comprehensive view of economy which would teach us, that money employed by a state should be considered as capital employed by an individual, always profitably laid out when it brings in a return adequate to the advance. For years past, therefore, we, and other nations with us, have attempted to support our diplomatic inefficiency at a miserable trifle less than in years preceding, instead of entering upon the greater plan of rendering it cheaper by making it more useful.

With this view, a Committee sat in 1831, called, “a Select Committee on Civil Government Charges,” and published its Report of the manner in which a few odds and ends might be pared off the diplomatic service, then for the first time removed from the civil list. “These arrangements,” says the Committee, speaking of the arrangements proposed, “these arrangements appear at once calculated to protect the public interests in the foreign relations of the state, and to guard against improvident or lavish expenditure.” Vague words, and such as are usually to be found in those Senatorial Reports, the main object of which seems to be that of saying nothings parliamentarily. What are our public interests in relation to foreign states? and what is improvident and lavish expenditure? Not the expenditure of a million, if it brings its adequate reward; but the expense of a farthing, if it brings no reward at all.

“The amount which is recommended for the future effective diplomatic expenditure of the country, exclusive of pensions to retired ministers, is 140,000*l.*, which not only effects the reductions recommended by the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs in November 1830, but carries those reductions still farther.

“This estimate also makes provision for four new missions, which the state of Europe and of the Americas may render it expedient, in the opinion of his Majesty's Government, to establish for the protection and promotion of British interests. The salaries of the first attachés, heretofore defrayed out of the civil contingencies, and which amount to 2950*l.* a year, are also included in this estimate.

“If by temporary vacancies, or absence on leave, the whole sum included in this estimate should not be taken, the surplus in each year will become a saving to the public.

“Your Committee have found, with satisfaction, from the letter of the Secretary of State of the 31st August, 1831, that in deciding upon all future



claims for diplomatic pensions, Lord Palmerston proposes to be strictly guided, as to the scale and limitation of such pensions, and as to the eventual limitation of their amounts, by the recommendation contained in the Third Report of the Finance Committee of 1828 ; an extract from which is inserted for more convenient reference and for more precise information :—

“ ‘ It appears further necessary to lay down more precise regulations with respect to the periods of service which shall in future give a title to such a provision. Your Committee, therefore, recommend—

“ ‘ 1st. That no person whatever shall be entitled to receive a diplomatic pension until the expiration of fifteen years from the date of his first commission, nor unless he shall have actually served ten years :

“ ‘ 2ndly. That no person shall be entitled to a pension of the first class (2000*l.* a-year) unless he shall have actually served three years as ambassador at some foreign court :

“ ‘ 3dly. That pensions to envoys and ministers plenipotentiary at the greater courts shall not exceed 1500*l.* a-year, and shall not be granted until after five years residence in that capacity at a foreign court :

“ ‘ 4thly. That pensions to envoys and ministers plenipotentiary at other courts, and to ministers, shall not exceed 1000*l.* a-year, after a similar period of residence :

“ ‘ 5thly. That pensions in the remaining class shall not exceed 800*l.* a-year, under the same conditions as to time of residence.’

“ It will be proper further to provide, that all diplomatic pensions shall be held subject to the condition of forfeiture in case of refusal to proceed to any mission of equal or higher rank ; and of suspension or abatement in any case in which the party receiving the pension may be appointed to any other office of profit under the crown.

“ In addition to these restrictions, the Committee were desirous of checking, by some positive rules, a practice which has prevailed to a considerable extent, of giving retired pensions to diplomatic servants having sufficient private fortunes ; but finding, on mature consideration, great difficulty in laying down general regulations applicable to all cases, they think it better to content themselves with expressing their marked disapprobation of this practice : it could never, under any circumstances, be justifiable ; but, if the whole fund be limited, as recommended, to 40,000*l.*, the Committee trust that this abuse will be prevented by a consideration of the evident injustice of burthening the fund with improper charges of this description, to the prejudice of those who have equal claims with respect to their service and better claims in respect to their pecuniary circumstances.”

Such are the regulations laid down by the Committee ; more narrow in their general view of active service, and more ridiculous and absurd in their view of retiring pensions, than any we can well conceive. The service of a man is made arbitrarily to consist in the period and the rank of his employment, so that a stupid younger son of my Lord Fiddle-faddle, who shall have remained his fifteen years, and regularly progressed, through home influence, in his career of insignificance, shall be rewarded for having, during that time, worn the King’s button, while an active, enterprising individual, who, after ten years of really useful and efficient service, shall be obliged, by any official accident, to retire, will be thrown upon the world with broken energies and wasted vigour, without any means remaining in the hands of the minister or the crown to prevent him from starving. Then, says the Committee, “ if any gentleman should refuse to accept active employment of an equal rank to that which he possessed on retiring, his pension is to be taken away from him. But supposing, after fifteen years, (since it is to be fifteen years,) in which a person has been devoting himself to a profession



which, when at the top of it, he is called upon, for no fault of his, to resign, supposing he should again, after an interval in which his health has materially suffered, be ordered to a climate which his physician says would be death,—is the very circumstance of ill health, which renders the pension imperatively necessary, to be the reason for taking it away?

What is a pension?—A reward for past services; and when you take away the pension, can you take away those past services? Can you render back the years of prime and of manhood, in which the world displayed itself with a variety of paths to fame and fortune, as tempting as the one which he took, all closed to him now? And then comes the petty desire, which generally distinguishes the gentlemen who figure in these Committees, of prying into everything, and taking cognizance of their neighbours' most private affairs.

“A man ought not to have a pension who cannot prove he has not enough to do without it!” What, we repeat, is a pension?—A reward for past services; and could a master say, at the end of a year, to his servant,—“You have no right to your wages, John, for I hear your father died last month, and left you 30*l.*, so don't come to me for money; you don't want it.” “But, sir,” would say the servant, “you have nothing to do with my father; I brushed your clothes and cleaned your boots, and this is all you have a right to know about the matter.” There never, we will venture to say, was a piece of more narrow-minded arrogance and insufficient self-sufficiency than this said Report.

These fiddle-de-dee committees do not go to the bottom of the sore. The public of England is a sensibly-minded public; it knows the most extravagant thing in the world is to buy bad things what is called cheaply; it does not object to pay essential services well; it does not object, and never will object, to paying those well who have served it essentially. What it objects to, and what it is sensible upon, is paying as services those things which are no services at all. What it objects to, and is sensible upon, is rewarding things which are worth no reward at all. What the would-be economists are striving to do, is to give men a disgust for paying anything. What all sensible economists ought to endeavour to do, is to make every thing worthy of its pay. It is with this view that we take up the diplomatic service, with the desire of rendering it solidly and soberly beneficial to the public, and not keeping it as a mere refuge for official dandies, protocolising pedants, and insignificant grands seigneurs.

*Estimate of the Sum required for the future Salaries and Allowances for House Rent of his Majesty's Diplomatic Servants abroad, 140,000*l.**

Statement in detail of the proposed Appropriation of the Sum of 140,000*l.*, estimated as the Sum required to provide for the Annual Salaries and Allowances for House Rent to his Majesty's Diplomatic Servants abroad.

<i>Residence.</i>	<i>Character.</i>	<i>Salary.</i>	<i>Allowance for House Rent.</i>
France . .	Ambassador . . . . .	£10,000	—
	Secretary of Embassy . . . . .	1000	—
	First attaché . . . . .	400	—
Russia . .	Ambassador . . . . .	10,000	1000
	Secretary of Embassy . . . . .	1,000	—
	First attaché . . . . .	400	—
Carried forward,		£22,800	1000



	Brought forward,	£22,800	1000
Austria . . .	Ambassador . . . . .	9,000	900
	Secretary of Embassy . . . . .	900	—
	First attaché . . . . .	250	—
Turkey . . .	Ambassador . . . . .	6,500	—
	Secretary of Embassy . . . . .	800	—
	First attaché . . . . .	250	—
Spain . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	6,000	500
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	550	—
	First attaché . . . . .	250	—
Prussia . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	5,000	500
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	550	—
	First attaché . . . . .	250	—
Washington . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	4,500	500
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	550	—
	First attaché . . . . .	200	—
Naples . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	4,000	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
	First attaché . . . . .	—	—
Portugal . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	4,000	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
	First attaché . . . . .	—	—
Brazil . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	4,000	500
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	550	—
	First attaché . . . . .	250	—
Holland . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	3,600	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
	First attaché . . . . .	—	—
Belgium . . .	Envoy-Extraor. and Minister-Plenip. . . . .	3,600	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
	First attaché . . . . .	—	—
Sweden . . .	Envoy-Extraordinary . . . . .	3,000	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
Denmark . . .	Envoy . . . . .	3,000	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
Bavaria . . .	Envoy . . . . .	3,600	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
Sardinia . . .	Envoy . . . . .	3,600	500
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	500	—
German Diet . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	2,600	300
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	400	—
	Attaché and German Translator . . . . .	200	—
Wurtemberg . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	2,000	300
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	400	—
Saxony . . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	2,000	300
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	400	—
Tuscany . . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	2,000	300
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	400	—
Switzerland . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	2,000	250
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	400	—
Greece . . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	2,000	200
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	400	—
Mexico . . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	3,600	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	600	—
	First attaché . . . . .	200	—
Columbia . . .	Minister-Plenipotentiary . . . . .	3,600	400
	Secretary of Legation . . . . .	600	—
	First attaché . . . . .	300	—
	Carried forward,	£120,650	9650



		Brought forward,	£120,650	9650
Buenos Ayres	Minister-Plenipotentiary	.	3,000	300
	Secretary of Legation	.	500	—
	Agent	.	1,000	—
			<hr/>	<hr/>
		Salaries	£125,150	9,950
		House Rent	9,950	
			<hr/>	
			£134,000	
Chili	Minister	.	}	5,900
	Secretary	.		
Peru	Minister	.		
	Secretary	.		
Guatamala	Minister	.		
	Secretary	.		
Banda	Minister	.		
	Secretary	.		
			<hr/>	
			£140,000	

Now, we will take this list, and first look at it, since that is the fashion, on the simple score of ordinary retrenchment. The most obvious thing that should strike the gentlemen of the Committee was, that since (whatever may be the expenses of our own country) the expenses of an English gentleman abroad are the expenses of another gentleman; so, in knowing what we gave as sufficient to our ministers on the continent, it would be wise to ascertain what was given to the ministers of other powers.

It was simple and natural to expect this degree of information in the Committee; and yet we will venture to say, almost on our own individual knowledge and information, that not one knew the cost of the French, the Austrian, and the Russian diplomatic service. We will take the Russian service, the one best paid after ours, as a model; and we will venture to say, just glancing our eye down the preceding columns, that there is no Russian diplomatist who shall not confess, that even if we choose to preserve our system upon the same plan as at present, still we shall be able to reduce between 30,000*l.* and 40,000*l.* from this 140,000*l.*

We will begin by leaving France, Austria, Russia, and Turkey as they are now.

Spain	.	.	.	.	£1000 from 6000
Russia	.	.	.	.	1000 &c.
Washington	.	.	.	.	1500
Naples	.	.	.	.	1000
Portugal	.	.	.	.	1000
Brazil	.	.	.	.	1000
Belgium	.	.	.	.	600
Holland	.	.	.	.	600
Denmark	.	.	.	.	100
Bavaria	.	.	.	.	600
Sardinia	.	.	.	.	6000
Greece	.	.	.	.	1200
					<hr/>
					£11,100
Wurtemberg	.	.	.	.	2,400
Tuscany	.	.	.	.	2,400
					<hr/>
		Carried forward,	£15,900		



	Brought forward,	£15,900
House Rent		9,950
Instead of	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} £13,500 \\ 5,900 \\ \hline £19,400 \end{array} \right\} £10,000$	9,400
		<hr/> £35,250

In all the courts in which we have diminished the salary, it still remains as high, and in some instances higher, than that given by other governments. The ordinary salary of the Russian minister at Madrid is 4000*l.*; and at Berlin, where the chief part of the Russian minister's duty is ostentation, since there is a military diplomatist residing there also, that cabinet, less economical than any other when an object is to be obtained, allows their minister but 4000*l.*, to which we are reducing ours. To the two missions we have erased we should have added another, 'Saxony.' Saxony and Wurtemberg, in adopting the name of kingdoms, are the mere *magni nominis umbræ*—perfect nonentities, as independent states; while it is still more ridiculous to send any one to be present at their insignificance at home, since we have a minister expressly appointed to see them arrayed in all their feebleness at the Diet. The farce of a minister at Florence is only relieved by the part being assigned to Mr. Seymour, the most able of the rising young men in the service, and the one therefore on whom all the business resulting from the late transactions in Italy has devolved; but it is too absurd to have three ministers in Italy, in order that, if any business should by chance occur there, one of the three may be able to transact it. Prince Gortchakoff, the Russian *chargé d'affaires* at Florence, has 600*l.* a year, and there is no sort of political necessity to have a resident at all. Greece we have reduced from 2400*l.* to 1200*l.* because we conceive, in the present unsettled state of that country, where no kind of representation is required, an active and intelligent young man, who took it as his first step from a secretaryship of embassy, would be quite sufficient; more especially considering, that we have a governor in the Ionian Islands and Malta, a minister at Naples, and an ambassador at Constantinople, from whom he might always, in particular cases, receive instructions. Nine thousand nine hundred and fifty pounds we have deducted from house rent, because the salaries, as we have left them, are quite sufficient to meet that charge. M. Pozzo de Borgo, at Paris, has his house found him; but then he has but 9000*l.* a year, and is obliged to keep a daily table for those gentlemen attached to his mission. The South American missions, (with the Albanian consul, for whom we see no necessity,) already cost 13,300*l.*, and 5000*l.* more is allotted for visionary empires in America yet unborn. In the present uncertain state of that part of the world, and the more probable utility of consular than diplomatic agents, that 10,000*l.* per annum, exclusive of the 3750*l.* allotted to the Brazils, would be amply sufficient; which sum we would leave it at the discretion of the minister, according to the varying destiny of these daily dying dynasties, to distribute and bestow. And thus, if our sole object were saving, we have, merely taking the ostentatious court of Russia as a model shown that an enormous decrease in expenditure might be effected, and this without cutting off the miserable perquisites of clerks and persons



of inferior grades, as is usually the plan, but from the ambassadors and ministers themselves, whom, however, we still suppose, in the allowances we give them, to be dependent on their salaries for support. If saving then were our paramount object, here are upwards of 36,000*l.* which we defy any man at all acquainted with the matter to deny might fairly be obtained to the public ; no great sum, perhaps, but at all events one far greater than the miserable 4000*l.* to which such a solemn sacrifice of principles was made in the election of the present Speaker ; but we did not commence this article with that limited view of economy before us. One mode of economizing, as we have said, the public service is, by rendering the public service more efficient. 10,000*l.* or 12,000*l.* spent in the capital of France is a sum which this country ought not to compare with the advantages which might be procured by that sum wisely spent there ; and here we come back to the theory, or rather to the fact, we set out with. France is no longer to be met in a drawing-room—the engines of its power are not to be wielded, the secrets of its policy are not to be discovered there. Be closeted for two hours in the most confidential manner with the monarch ; hold a whispering discourse, of the most private nature, in yonder corner with the prime minister ; let either of them tell you all they *mean* to do, you are as ignorant as ever until you have learnt what they really *can* do. Learn the state of the finances, the state of the army, the disposition of the people ; if you know them well, you know all about peace or war that the first man in the kingdom can tell you. Do you wish to ascertain the result of any commercial proposition you have to make ? Do not go to M. Thiers or Mons. D'Argout ; feel the pulse of the manufacturing districts which will suffer, and of the consuming population that will gain by it ; ascertain whether the bias of opinion in the country is in your favour ; what number of deputies in the chamber are enrolled against you ; and, when you have done this, sit down and write your dispatches. What we want to know is, not whether 11,000*l.* is too much to allot to our diplomacy in Paris, but whether that 11,000*l.* is spent in the most useful and advantageous manner.

At the head of the Paris embassy is Lord Granville, a high-bred and distinguished nobleman, and possessing all those advantages of station and education which might fit him for his office. Mr. Hamilton Hamilton, a gentleman of fair acquirements, who has seen much service, is secretary of the embassy ; Mr. Ashburnham, a well-informed and well educated man, is paid as attaché ; and then there are other gentlemen attached to the embassy without receiving any salary. These attachés happen to be well-informed ; have seen a good deal of the world, and been employed at various courts. One would imagine then that there is sufficient talent combined here to do a good deal, if well employed—now how is it employed ? What they have to do, is simply to copy dispatches, and every talent they have, beyond that of writing legibly, is lost to the public. Could not these gentlemen be employed differently ? Would not one, the youngest in the service, be sufficient to do all the business of copying ? But not only does an attaché do nothing, but it is presumed that, because he is an attaché, he is unfit to do any thing. For instance,—

The government have wished to enter into some commercial arrangement with France. It has been thought desirable to have a report of the state of commerce in France. Is this embassy, costing the country so



much, and possessing so much ability, which costs the country nothing—is this embassy incapable to negotiate this arrangement? to make this report? If it is incapable to do this, it is a disgrace to the country that sends it forth! If it is not incapable, which, knowing the persons it is composed of, we must suppose,—why, in the name of Providence, send Dr. Bowring and Mr. Villiers, with five guineas a day, to do what might be done just as well without them! Not that they are not able men, and well worth their five guineas a day, but they are doing that which others there are capable of doing, and are paid to do.

But the answer might be, if it so happen, by chance, that the gentlemen attached to the embassy at Paris are better informed than gentlemen in that situation in general, and therefore capable of doing more than copying dispatches, it does not follow that this is always likely to be the case; on the contrary, people who are paid nothing are generally capable of doing nothing, and therefore we proceed upon a general principle, and suppose these gentlemen to be as ignorant as those who preceded them were, and as those who succeed them are likely to be. There may be some truth in this; but the question then is, whether the 11,500*l.* given to the embassy at Paris might not be so given and distributed as to procure a certain supply of much greater and more useful talent for business, together with all the social advantages, which we do not mean to despise, that are connected with the present system.

The chief fault which strikes us after the observations we have been making is, that the only person presumed to have any capacity in an embassy is the ambassador; he is the only person who receives a considerable salary, and this salary is surely beyond all the sober wants of his station. But the business which devolves upon him for his salary is, after all, a very insignificant one. He has to give soireés, and to hold conversations with ministers, and out of a variety of reports and assurances to frame dispatches. But the information he collects is generally caught upon the mere surface of society; all he pretends to occupy himself with is the news of the day; and if the minister of foreign affairs in England were to arrive at his hotel and to say—“Now, what is the position of France? what is the actual state of her resources? what is the tendency of her opinions? what the feelings in the various classes of her society and of her provinces?” he would find the ambassador’s mind—we speak of any ambassador’s mind—a perfect chaos. He would have formed no idea, collected no materials for forming any idea upon these questions, and all at most that he could tell you would be, what the *Tribune*, or the *Débats*, or the *Quotidienne*, had said the day previous. But if our diplomatists are in this general state of ignorance respecting the countries they inhabit, it is almost impossible to describe the ignorance which Englishmen, in general, have of the continent, and of continental affairs. Not twenty persons in either house of parliament ever dream of occupying themselves about them; and when we are called upon to decide upon any question of foreign policy, we do it with our ears and our mouths open, ready to receive all the wonderful things that are told us, and even too ignorant to pretend to know any thing of the matter. Now, between interfering with the affairs of the continent, and understanding those affairs, there is a wide difference, and we would wish the House of Commons, from time to time, to receive more valuable documents than those seventy odd protocols which Lord Palmerston



was lately so obliging as to lay before them. Thus, then, we would fairly recast the whole of our diplomacy: the principles we should go upon would be, first, to avoid all little courts. Their expense is not only useless, but they cramp and fetter the energies of the persons employed there, and if any person doubts it, we wish he would read Mirabeau's "*Mémoires Secrètes*."

Secondly; we would adapt the embassy, both as to its formation and its expenditure, to the country it is intended for. In Russia and Austria, where every thing is yet done through the influence of an imperial court, the establishment kept up, the persons employed, and the salaries given, should be of another kind from those where society, and the influences of society, are totally different. Here is one of the great changes of modern times. All countries,—all great countries, at least in the time of Louis XV.,—were governed alike. Now their governments differ greatly from one another; and that which is necessary to acquire influence in one species of society, and under one species of government, may not succeed in doing so in another.

Thirdly; we would at once provide for the capacity of the persons employed, and for the utility and importance of their employment. In Prussia, a very strict examination is, in the first instance, necessary in order to enter the diplomacy at all as an unpaid attaché. When the attaché is promoted to secretary, a still stricter examination follows; and thus, though you may not be sure that you have all brilliant diplomatists, you are at least certain that you have not absolutely ignorant men. This example we would imitate; it would shut out all mere saunterers from the profession, and elevate the tone by increasing the acquirements of the persons belonging to it: and, having thus provided for the suitable education of those who enter the diplomacy, we would proceed to a different distribution of its employments.

At Paris, there is now an ambassador who receives 10,000*l.*, a secretary who receives 1000*l.*, an attaché 400*l.*, and three or four attachés who receive nothing. The ambassador writes dispatches of the news of the day; the attachés copy the dispatches, and the secretary sees that they are copied; the whole process much reminding one of John who was doing nothing, and Susan who was helping John.

Now, we would take this 11,400*l.*, and thus distribute it: there should be three secretaries, intelligent men, who had arrived at their post through a proper examination; to each of these should be assigned a separate department; one should study and report the state of manufactures and commerce, and finance; another, of the army, navy, and fortifications; a third, of education and opinion; and each should receive a salary graduating from 600*l.* to 1000*l.* a year, i.e. in all 2200*l.* The secretary of embassy, a [grade above these, should make a *précis* of their various reports, which would be always ready for the use of the ambassador, and which should be laid before the two Houses of Parliament once a year, who would thus be constantly in possession of the dispositions and resources of foreign powers. The salary of the secretary of embassy would be, following the same graduation, 1,200*l.* a year—sum total, 3400*l.*; there would still remain 8100*l.* Now 1000*l.* we would allow, and that is ample, for a hotel, and 5000*l.* in addition would be sufficient for all the necessary purposes of social influence and hospitality—Sum total, 9400*l.*; remains, 2000*l.*

In regard to unpaid attachés, we admit there is much advantage in



persons who are to transact business in foreign countries becoming, in early life, acquainted with the language, the habits, and the persons of those with whom they may afterwards have to act ; and if the gentlemen admitted into this caste were purified, by the examination we have alluded to, from the outcasts of Almack's, whose bills to their tailors make them diplomatists, we think much service might be derived from young men being attached to the diplomatic corps in early life ; and to each secretary then there should be an unpaid attaché.

By these regulations we should, at this moment, bring Paris to a diminished expense of 2000*l.*, besides getting rid of the expense and the absurdity of the two extra commissioners. We should have an ambassador furnished with all the means of knowing the exact state in every department of the country he was dealing with. We should have men studying successively a variety of different branches of useful political science, and acquiring an extensive and almost universal knowledge of various countries, which, when they arrived at the head of their profession, and had to express political opinions, would give them at once a clear, and comprehensive, and long-sighted view of political affairs. We should also have the younger men something more than mere idlers, with the necessity and the reward of application just before them : while we ourselves, instructed through our legislature by the reports that were laid before us, should become daily better acquainted with those facts by which we might safely direct our policy : and doing all this, we should be doubling our efficiency in one of the most important, and diminishing our expense in one of the most expensive, courts in Europe at the present moment. When our plan is followed up, its features will appear to still stronger advantage.

In Germany, for instance, by striking off the little courts to which we have stated our objections, and we would add Bavaria to Wurtemberg and Saxony, merely preserving a minister at Berlin and Vienna, we should be able, at a reduced expenditure of 7000*l.* or 8000*l.* a-year, to have two far more efficient missions in these important parts, and something more than a mere chargé-d'affaires at Francfort, where all the affairs of Germany, as Germany, are carried on.

But mere saving, as we said at first, is but a small part of our plan. By this system we should not have an embassy in any country in which would not be found all the statistical details of that country : we should not have a foreign minister who would not have all the statistical details of every country (the best basis surely of any general line of policy) within his reach in Downing-street. Nor would there be a man in the House of Commons who might not, in the ordinary receipt of his parliamentary papers, become acquainted with something of the state of education, of opinion, of commerce, and of the means for hostile aggression and defence in every country he heard mention of.

We have only followed out our plan with any distinctness in respect to Paris, but we have said that one of our principles would be to alter and modify that plan in other countries as it might seem desirable. By this we mean that in Petersburg, where it might be necessary to cultivate social influence more than positive information, since a despotism is governed by the feelings of the individual more than by the interests of the state—there we would allow a greater proportion to be spent in acquiring mere social influence ; and, on the same principle, as our ambassadors



should be better paid, so our secretaries might be worse paid. To this we need not add that, in those states like Denmark and Sweden, too small to afford much matter of interest, but yet too interesting to be wholly neglected, the departments we have separated might be conjoined, and one secretary and one attaché would be sufficient. We have not time to give further developement to our idea. Still, faint as is this outline of the system we have hastily shadowed out, sufficient has been said of it to show its advantages, as a system, over the present. If we had merely wished to economize upon that present system, we should have dwelt on the salaries in France, where there are nine ambassadors, amounting but to 100,000*l.*, and said that Prussia, for 80,000*l.*, pays the whole expenses, including couriers, of her diplomacy. But we have been rather anxious to open a new and wide field for the exertions of diplomacy than to contract its expenses; we have been desirous to remove it from the carpeted boudoir, as affairs have in reality been removed, to the great floor of Nations; we have been desirous to take it from petty passions and trifling intrigues and pursuits, and to bring it into contact with great and important interests; we have been desirous to make it, in these days of light and of truth, the instructor and not the deceiver, the improver and not the corruptor,—and, diplomatists ourselves, we have felt, as the subject warmed upon us, some enthusiasm at finding what *might* be the object of our profession.

P. L.

---

#### THE GENIUS OF MOLIERE.

THE genius of comedy not only changes with the age, but appears different among different people. Manners and customs not only vary among European nations, but are alike mutable from one age to another, even in the same people. These vicissitudes are often fatal to comic writers; our old school of comedy has been swept off the stage; and our present uniformity of manners has deprived our modern writers of those rich sources of invention when persons lived more isolated, and society was less monotonous; and Jonson and Shadwell gave us what they called "*the humours*,"—that is, the individual or particular characteristics of men.

But however tastes and modes of thinking may be inconstant, and customs and manners alter, at bottom the ground-work is Nature's, in every production of comic genius. A creative genius guided by an unerring instinct, though he draws after the contemporary models of society, will retain his pre-eminence beyond his own age and his own nation. Time may render his work obsolete, for new follies will supplant old ones, but here the workmanship may be said to survive the work; the mind outlasts the matter; what was temporary and local disappears, but what appertains to universal nature endures. The picture of existing manners may dim with age, but the figure of man, if rightly drawn, remains ever the same. It is on this principle that the scholar dwells on the grotesque pleasantries of the sarcastic Aristophanes, though the Athenian manners, and his exotic personages, have long vanished.

Moliere was a creator in the *art of comedy*—and although his per-



sonages were the contemporaries of Louis the Fourteenth, and his manners, in the critical acceptation of the term, local and temporary, yet his admirable genius opened that secret path of Nature, which is so rarely found among the great names of the most literary nations. Cervantes remains single in Spain ; in England, Shakspeare is a consecrated name ; and centuries may pass away before the French people shall witness another Moliere.

The history of this comic poet is the tale of powerful genius creating itself amidst the most adverse elements. We have the progress of that self-education which struck out an untried path of its own, from the time Moliere had not yet acquired his art, to the glorious days when he gave his France a Plautus in his farce, a Terence in his composition, and a Menander in his moral truths. But the difficulties overcome, and the disappointments incurred, his modesty and his confidence, and, what was not less extraordinary, his own domestic life in perpetual conflict with his character, open a more strange career, in some respects, than has happened to most others of the high order of his genius.

It was long the fate of Moliere to experience that restless importunity of genius which feeds on itself, till it discovers the pabulum it seeks. Moliere not only suffered that tormenting impulse, but it had come accompanied by the unhappiness of a mistaken direction. And this has been the lot of some who for many years have thus been lost to themselves and to the public.

A man born among the obscure class of the people, thrown among the itinerant companies of actors, for France had not yet a theatre, occupied to his last hours by too devoted a management of his own dramatic corps ; himself, too, an original actor in the characters by himself created ; with no better models of composition than the Italian farces *all' improvista*, and whose fantastic gaiety he, to the last, loved too well, becomes the personal favourite of the most magnificent monarch, and the intimate of the most refined circles. Thoughtful observer of these new scenes and new personages, he sports with the affected *précieuses* and the fluttering *marquises*, as with the *naïve* ridiculousness of the *bourgeois*, and the wild pride and egotism of the *parvenus* ; and with more profound designs and a harder hand, unmasks the impostures of false *pretenders* in all professions. His scenes, such was their verity, seem but the reflections of his reminiscences. His fertile facility when touching on transient follies ; his wide comprehension, and his moralising vein, in his more elevated comedy, display, in this painter of man, the poet and the philosopher, and, above all, the great moral satirist. Moliere has shown that the most successful reformer of the manners of a people is a great comic poet.

The youth *Pocquelin*—this was his family name—was designed by the *tapissier*, his father, to be the heir of the hereditary honours of an ancient standing, which had maintained the Pocquelins through four or five generations, by the articles of a furnishing upholsterer. His grandfather was a haunter of the small theatres of that day, and the boy often accompanied this venerable critic of the family to his favourite recreations. The actors were usually more excellent than their pieces ; some had carried the mimetic art to the perfection of eloquent gesticulation. In these loose scenes of inartificial and burlesque pieces was the genius of Moliere cradled and nursed ; and never to the last were they absent from his fancy. The changeful scenes of the *Théâtre de Bourgogne* deeply



busied the boy's imagination to the great detriment of the *tapisserie* of all the Pocquelins.

The father groaned, the grandfather clapped, the boy remonstrated, till, at fourteen years of age, he was consigned, as "un mauvais sujet," (so his father qualified him,) to the college of the Jesuits at Clermont, where the author of the "Tartuffe" passed five years, studying—for the Bar!

Philosophy and logic were waters which he deeply drank; and sprinklings of his college studies often pointed the satire of his more finished comedies. To ridicule false learning and false taste one must be intimate with the true.

On his return to the metropolis, the old humour broke out at the representation of the inimitable Scaramouch of the Italian Theatre. The irresistible passion drove him from his law studies, and cast young Pocquelin among a company of amateur actors, whose fame soon enabled them not to play gratuitously. Pocquelin was the manager and the modeller, for, under his studious eye, this company were induced to imitate Nature with the simplicity the poet himself wrote.

The prejudices of the day, both civil and religious, had made these private theatres, no great national theatre yet existing, the resource of the idler, the dissipated, and even of the unfortunate in society. The youthful adventurer affectionately offered a free admission to the dear Pocquelins. They rejected their *entrées* with horror, and sent their genealogical tree, drawn afresh, to shame the truant who had wantoned into the luxuriance of genius. To save the honour of the parental upholsterers, Pocquelin concealed himself under the immortal name of Moliere.

The future creator of French comedy had now passed his thirtieth year, and as yet his reputation was confined to his own dramatic corps—a pilgrim in the caravan of ambulatory comedy. He had provided several farces, temporary novelties, and by some of their titles they appear to have been the preludes of Moliere's inventions. Boileau regretted the loss of *Le Docteur Amoureux*; and by others we detect the abortive conceptions of some of his future pieces. The severe judgment of Moliere suffered his skeletons to perish, but when he had discovered the art of comic writing, with equal discernment he resuscitated them.

Not only had Moliere not yet discovered the true bent of his genius, but, still more unfortunate, he had as greatly mistaken it as when he proposed turning *avocat*, for he imagined that his most suitable character was tragic. He wrote a tragedy, and he acted in a tragedy; the tragedy he composed was condemned at Bourdeaux; the mortified poet flew to Grenoble; still the unlucky tragedy haunted his fancy; he looked on it with paternal eyes, in which there were tears. Long after, when Racine, a youth, offered him a very unactable tragedy, Moliere presented him with his own:—"Take this, for I am convinced that the subject is highly tragic, notwithstanding my failure." The great dramatic poet of France opened his career by recomposing the condemned tragedy of the comic wit, in *La Thébaïde*. In the illusion that he was a great tragic actor, deceived by his own susceptibility, though his voice denied the tones of passion, he acted in one of Corneille's tragedies, and quite allayed the alarm of a rival company on the announcement. It was not, however, so when the author-actor vivified one of his own native personages; then, inimitably comic, every new representation seemed to be a new creation.



It is a remarkable feature, though not perhaps a singular one, in the character of this great comic writer, that he was one of the most serious of men, and even of a melancholic temperament. One of his lampooners wrote a satirical comedy on the comic poet, where he figures as Moliere hypochondre. Boileau, who knew him intimately, happily characterised Moliere as *le Contemplateur*. This deep pensiveness is revealed in his physiognomy.

The genius of Moliere, long undiscovered by himself, in its first attempts in a higher walk did not move alone ; it was crutched by imitation, and it often deigned to plough with another's heifer. He copied whole scenes from Italian comedies, and plots from Italian novelists : his sole merit was their improvement. The great comic satirist, who hereafter was to people the stage with a dramatic crowd who were to live on to posterity, had not yet struck at that secret vein of originality—the fairy treasure which one day was to cast out such a prodigality of invention. His two first comedies, *L'Etourdi* and *Le Dépit Amoureux*, which he had only ventured to bring out in a provincial theatre, were grafted on Italian and Spanish comedy. Nothing more original offered to his imagination than the Roman, the Italian, and the Spanish drama ; the cunning adroit slave of Terence ; the tricking, bustling *Gracioso* of modern Spain ; old fathers, the dupes of some scape-grace, or of their own senile follies, with lovers sighing at cross purposes. The germ of his future powers may, indeed, be discovered in these two comedies, for insensibly to himself he had fallen into some scenes of natural simplicity. In *L'Etourdi*, Mascarille, “le Roi des Serviteurs,” which Moliere himself admirably personated, is one of those defunct characters of the Italian comedy no longer existing in society ; yet, like our Touchstone, but infinitely richer, this new ideal personage still delights by the fertility of his expedients and his perpetual and vigorous gaiety. In *Le Dépit Amoureux* is the exquisite scene of the quarrel and reconciliation of the lovers.\* In this fine scene, though perhaps but an amplification of the well-known ode of Horace, *Donec gratus eram tibi*, Moliere consulted his own feelings, and betrayed his future genius.

It was after an interval of three or four years that the provincial celebrity of these comedies obtained a representation at Paris ; their success was decisive. This was an evidence of public favour which did not accompany Moliere's more finished productions, which were so far unfortunate that they were more intelligible to the few ; in fact, the first comedies of Moliere were not written above the popular taste ; the spirit of true comedy, in a profound knowledge of the heart of man, and in the delicate discriminations of individual character, was yet unknown. Moliere was satisfied to excel his predecessors, but he had not yet learnt his art.

The rising poet was now earnestly sought after ; a more extended circle of society now engaged his contemplative habits. He looked around on living scenes no longer through the dim spectacles of the old comedy, and he projected a new species, which was no longer to depend on its conventional grotesque personages and its forced incidents ; he aspired to please a more critical audience, by making his dialogue the conversation of society, and his characters its portraits.

---

\* A scene exquisitely conceived, and painted by one of our living artists, who, on more than one occasion, has shown a pencil imbued with the ideas of Moliere and Le Sage.



Introduced to the literary coterie of the Hotel de Rambouillet, a new view opened on the favoured poet. To occupy a seat in this envied circle was a distinction in society. The professed object of this re-union of nobility and literary persons, at the hotel of the Marchioness of Rambouillet, was to give a higher tone to all France, by the cultivation of the language, the intellectual refinement of their compositions, and last, but not least, to inculcate the extremest delicacy of manners. The recent civil dissensions had often violated the urbanity of the court, and a grossness prevailed in conversation which offended the scrupulous. This novel intellectual court was composed of both sexes. They were to be the arbiters of taste, the legislators of criticism, and, what was less tolerable, the models of genius. No work was to be stamped into currency which bore not the mint-mark of the hotel.

In the annals of fashion and literature, no coterie has presented a more instructive and amusing exhibition of the abuses of learning, and the aberrations of ill-regulated imaginations, than the Hotel de Rambouillet, by its ingenious absurdities. Their excellent design to refine the language, the manners, and even morality itself, branched out into every species of false refinement; their science run into trivial pedantries, their style into a fantastic jargon, and their spiritualising delicacy into the very puritanism of prudery. Their frivolous distinction between the mind and the heart, which could not always be made to go together, often perplexed them as much as their own jargon, which was not always intelligible, even to the initiated. The French Academy is said to have originated in the first meetings of the hotel; and it is probable that some sense and taste, in its earliest days, may have visited this society, for we do not begin such refined follies without some show of reason.

The local genius of the hotel was feminine, though the most glorious men of the literature of France were among its votaries. The great magnet was the famed Mademoiselle Scudery, whose voluminous romances were their code, and it is supposed these tomes preserve some of their lengthened *conversaziones*. In the novel system of gallantry of this great inventor of amorous and metaphysical "twaddle," the ladies were to be approached as beings nothing short of celestial paragons; they were addressed in a language not to be found in any dictionary but their own, and their habits were more fantastic than their language; a sort of domestic chivalry formed their etiquette. Their baptismal names were to them profane, and their assumed ones were drawn from the folio romances—those bibles of love. At length all ended in a sort of Freemasonry of gallantry, which had its graduated orders, and whoever was not admitted into the mysteries was not permitted to prolong his existence—that is, his residence among them. The apprenticeship of the craft was to be served under certain *Introducers to Ruelles*.

Their card of invitation was either a rondeau or an enigma, which served as a subject to open conversation. The lady received her visitors reposing on that throne of beauty, a bed, placed in an alcove; the toilet was magnificently arranged. The space between the bed and the wall was called the *Ruelle*, the diminutive of *la Rue*, and in this narrow street, or "Fop's alley," walked the favoured. But the chevalier who was graced by the honorary title of *l'Alcoviste*, was at once master of the household and master of the ceremonies. His character is pointedly defined by St. Evremond, as "a lover whom the *Précieuse* is to love



without enjoyment, and to enjoy in good earnest her husband with aversion." The scene offered no indecency to such delicate minds, and much less the impassioned style which passed between "the dears," or "les chères," as they called themselves. Whatever offered an idea, of what their jargon denominated *charnelle*, was treason and exile. Years passed ere the hand of the elected maiden was kissed by its martyr. The celebrated Julia d'Angennes was beloved by the Duke de Montausier, but fourteen years elapsed ere she would yield a "yes." When the faithful Julia was no longer blooming, the Alcoviste Duke gratefully took up the remains of her beauty.

Their more curious project was the reform of the style of conversation, to purify its grossness, and invent novel terms for familiar objects. Menage drew up a "Petition of the Dictionaries," which, by their severity of taste, had nearly become superannuated. They succeeded better with the *marchandes des modes* and the jewellers, furnishing a vocabulary excessively *précieuse*, by which people bought their old wares with new names. At length they were so successful in their neology, that with great difficulty they understood one another. It is, however, worth observation, that the orthography invented by the *Précieuses*, who, for their convenience, rejected all the redundant letters in words, was adopted and is now used; and their pride of exclusiveness in society introduced the singular term *s'encanailler*, to describe a person who haunted low company, while their morbid purity had ever on their lips the word *obscénité*, terms which Moliere ridicules, but whose expressiveness has preserved them in the language.

Ridiculous as some of these extravagancies now appear to us, they had been so closely interwoven with the elegance of the higher ranks, and so intimately associated with genius and literature, that the veil of fashion consecrated almost the mystical society, since we find among its admirers the most illustrious names of France.

Into this elevated and artificial circle of society, our youthful and unsophisticated poet was now thrown, with a mind not vitiated by any prepossessions of false taste, studious of nature and alive to the ridiculous. But how was the comic genius to strike at the follies of his illustrious friends—to strike, but not to wound? A provincial poet and actor to enter hostilely into the sacred precincts of these Exclusives? Tormented by his genius, Moliere produced *Les Précieuses Ridicules*, but admirably parried, in his preface, any application to them, by averring that it was aimed at their imitators—their spurious mimics in the country. The *Précieuses Ridicules* was acted in the presence of the assembled Hotel de Rambouillet with immense applause. A central voice from the pit, anticipating the host of enemies and the fame of the reformer of comedy, exclaimed, "Take courage, Moliere, this is true comedy." The learned Menage was the only member of the society who had the good sense to detect the drift; he perceived the snake in the grass. "We must now," said this sensible pedant, in a remote allusion to the fate of idolatry and the introduction of Christianity, to the poetical pedant Chapelain, "follow the counsel which St. Renie gave to Clovis: we must burn all that we adored, and adore what we have burnt." The success of the comedy was universal; the company doubled their prices; the country gentry flocked to witness the marvellous novelty which far exposed that false taste, that romance-impertinence, and that sickly affectation, which



had long disturbed the quiet of families. Cervantes had not struck more adroitly at Spanish rhodomontade.

At this universal reception of the *Précieuses Ridicules*, Moliere, it is said, exclaimed—"I need no longer study Plautus and Terence, nor poach in the fragments of Menander; I have only to study the world." It may be doubtful whether the great comic satirist, at that moment, caught the sudden revelation of his genius, as he did subsequently in his *Tartuffe*, his *Misanthrope*, his *Bourgeois Gentilhomme*, and others. The *Précieuses Ridicules* was the germ of his more elaborate *Femmes Savantes*, which was not produced till after an interval of twelve years.

Moliere returned to his old favourite *canevas*, or plots of Italian farces and novels, and Spanish comedies, which, being always at hand, furnished comedies of intrigue. *L'Ecole des Maris* is an inimitable model of this class.

But comedies which derive their chief interest from the ingenious mechanism of their plots, however poignant the delight of the artifice of the *denouement*, are somewhat like an epigram, once known, the brilliant point is blunted by repetition. This is not the fate of those representations of men's actions, passions, and manners, in the more enlarged sphere of human nature, where an eternal interest is excited, and will charm on the tenth repetition.

No! Moliere had not yet discovered his true genius; he was not yet emancipated from his old seductions. A rival company was reputed to have the better actors for tragedy, and Moliere resolved to compose an heroic drama, on the passion of jealousy, a favourite one on which he was incessantly ruminating. *Don Garcie de Navarre, ou le Prince Jaloux*, the hero personated by himself, terminated by the hisses of the audience.

The fall of the *Prince Jaloux* was nearly fatal to the tender reputation of the poet and the actor. The world became critical; the Marquises, and the *Précieuses*, and recently the *Bourgeois*, who was sore from *Sganarelle, ou le Cocu Imaginaire*, were up in arms; and the rival theatre maliciously raised the halloo, flattering themselves that the comic genius of their dreaded rival would be extinguished by the ludicrous convulsed hiccough to which Moliere was liable in his tragic tones, but which he adroitly managed in his comic parts.

But the genius of Moliere was not to be daunted by cabals, nor even injured by his own imprudence. *Le Prince Jaloux* was condemned in February 1661, and the same year produced *L'Ecole des Maris* and *Les Facheux*. The happy genius of the poet opened on his *Zoiluses* a series of dramatic triumphs.

Foreign critics, Tiraboschi and Schlegel, have depreciated the Frenchman's invention, by insinuating, that were all that Moliere borrowed taken from him, little would remain of his own. But they were not aware of his dramatic creation, even when he appropriated the slight inventions of others; they have not distinguished the eras of the genius of Moliere, and the distinct classes of his comedies. Moliere had the art of amalgamating many distinct inventions of others into a single inimitable whole. Whatever might be the herbs, and the reptiles thrown into the mystical cauldron, the incantation of genius proved to be truly magical.

Facility and fecundity may produce inequality, for on these occasions



the poet wrestles with Time; but when a man of genius works, they are imbued with a raciness which the anxious diligence of inferior minds can ever yield. Shakspeare, probably, poured forth many scenes in this spirit. The multiplicity of the pieces of Molière, their different merits, and their distinct classes—all written within the space of twenty years—display, if any poet ever did, this wonder-working faculty. The truth is, that few of his comedies are finished works; he never satisfied himself, even in his most applauded productions. Necessity bound him to furnish novelties for his theatre; he rarely printed any work. *Les Facheux*,—an admirable series of scenes, in three acts, and in verse; was “planned, written, rehearsed, and represented in a single fortnight.” Many of his dramatic effusions were precipitated on the stage; the humorous scenes of *Monsieur de Pourceaugnac* were thrown out to enliven a royal fête.

This versatility and felicity of composition made every thing, with Molière, a subject for comedy. He invented two novelties, such as the stage had never before witnessed. Instead of a grave defence from the malice of his critics, and the flying gossip of the court circle, Molière found out the art of congregating the public to “the quarrels of authors.” He dramatised his critics. In a comedy without a plot, and in scenes which seemed rather spoken than written, and with characters more real than personated, he displayed his genius by collecting whatever had been alleged to depreciate it; and *La Critique de l'Ecole des Femmes*, is still a delightful production. This singular drama resembles the sketch-book of an artist, the croquis of portraits,—the loose hints of thoughts, many of which we discover were more fully delineated in his subsequent pieces. With the same rapid conception, he laid hold of his embarrassments to furnish dramatic novelties as expeditiously as the king required. Louis XIV. was himself no indifferent critic, and more than once suggested an incident or a character to his favourite poet. In *L'Impromptu de Versailles*, Molière appears in his own person, and in the midst of his whole company, with all the irritable impatience of a manager who had no piece ready. Amidst this green-room bustle, Molière is advising, reprimanding, and imploring his “ladies and gentlemen.” The characters in this piece are, in fact, the actors themselves, who appear under their own names; and Molière himself reveals many fine touches of his own poetical character, as well as his managerial. The personal pleasantries on his own performers, and the hints for plots, and the sketches of character which the poet incidentally throws out, form a perfect dramatic novelty. Some of these he himself subsequently adopted, and others have been followed up by some dramatists without rivalling Molière. The Figaro of Beaumarchais is a descendant of the Mascarille of Molière; but the glory of rivalling Molière was reserved for our own stage. Sheridan’s “Critic, or a Tragedy Rehearsed,” is a congenial dramatic satire with these two pieces of Molière, and it is not improbable was suggested by them.

The genius of Molière had now stepped out of the restricted limits of the old comedy; he now looked on the moving world with other eyes, and he pursued the ridiculous in society. These fresher studies were going on at all hours, and every object was contemplated with a view to comedy. His most vital characters have been traced to living originals, and some of his most ludicrous scenes had occurred in reality before they delighted



the audience. Monsieur Jourdain had expressed his astonishment, “qu’il faisait de la prose,” in the Count de Soissons, one of the uneducated noblemen devoted to the chase. The memorable scene between Trissotin and Vadius, their mutual compliments terminating in their mutual contempt, had been rehearsed by their respective authors, the Abbé Cottin and Menage. The stultified booby of Limoges, *Monsieur de Pourceaugnac*, and the mystified millionaire, *Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme*, were copied after life, as was Sganarelle, in *Le Médecin malgré lui*. The portraits in that gallery of dramatic paintings, *Le Misanthrope*, have names inscribed under them; and the immortal *Tartuffe* was a certain Bishop of Autun. No dramatist has conceived with greater variety the female character; the women of Moliere have a distinctness of feature, and are touched with a freshness of feeling. Moliere studied nature, and his comic humour is never checked by that unnatural wit where the poet, the more he discovers himself, the farther he removes himself from the personage of his creation. The quickening spell which hangs over the dramas of Moliere is this close attention to nature, wherein he greatly resembles our Shakspeare, for all springs from its source. His unobtrusive genius never occurs to us in following up his characters, and a whole scene leaves on our mind a complete but imperceptible effect.

The style of Moliere has often been censured by the fastidiousness of his native critics, sometimes as *bas* and *du style familier*. This does not offend the foreigner, who is often struck by its simplicity and vigour. Moliere preferred the most popular and naïve expressions, as well as the most natural incidents, to a degree which startled the morbid delicacy of fashion and fashionable critics. He had frequent occasions to resist their petty remonstrances; and whenever Moliere introduced an incident, or made an allusion of which he knew the truth, and which with him had a settled meaning, this master of human life trusted to his instinct and his art.

This pure and simple taste, ever rare at Paris, was the happy portion of the genius of this Frenchman. Hence he delighted to try his farcical pieces, for we cannot imagine that they were his more elevated comedies, on his old maid-servant. This maid, probably, had a keen relish for comic humour, for once when Moliere read to her the comedy of another writer as his own, she soon detected the trick, declaring that it could not be her master’s. Hence too our poet invited even children to be present on such rehearsals, and at certain points would watch their emotions. Hence too, in his character of manager, he taught them to study nature. An actress, apt to speak freely, told him “You torment us all; but you never speak to my husband.” This man, originally a candle-snuffer, was a perfect child of nature, and acted the Thomas Diaforius, in *Le Malade Imaginaire*. Moliere replied, “I should be sorry to say a word to him; I should spoil his acting. Nature has provided him with better lessons to perform his parts than any which I could give him.” We may imagine Shakspeare thus addressing his company, had the poet been also the manager.

A remarkable incident in the history of the genius of Moliere is the frequent recurrence of the poet to the passion of jealousy. The “jaundice in the lover’s eye,” he has painted with every tint of his imagination. The green-eyed monster “takes all shapes and is placed in every posi-



tion. Solemn or gay, or satirical, he sometimes appears in agony, but often seems to make its "trifles light as air," only ridiculous as a source of consolation. Was "Le Contemplateur" comic in his melancholy, or melancholy in his comic humour?

The truth is, that the poet himself had to pass through those painful stages which he has dramatised. In his own solitary heart, and with his susceptible temperament, the comic poet was often pensive and melancholic. The domestic life of Moliere was itself very dramatic; it afforded Goldoni a comedy of five acts to reveal the secrets of the family circle of Moliere; and l'Abbate Chiari, an Italian novelist and playwright, has taken for a comic subject, "Moliere the Jealous Husband."

The French, in their "petite morale" on conjugal fidelity, appear so tolerant as to leave little sympathy for the real sufferer. Why should they else have treated domestic jealousy as a foible for ridicule, rather than a subject for deep passion? Their tragic drama exhibits no Othello, nor their comedy a Kiteley, or a "Suspicious Husband." Moliere, while his own heart was the victim, conformed to the national taste, by often placing the object on its comic side. Domestic jealousy is a passion which admits of a great diversity of subjects, from the tragic or the pathetic, to the absurd and the ludicrous. We have them all in Moliere. Moliere often was himself "Le Cocu Imaginaire;" he had been in the position of the guardian in "L'Ecole des Maris." Like Arnolphe, in "L'Ecole des Femmes," he had taken on himself to rear a young wife, who played the same part, though with less innocence; and, like the "Misanthrope," where the scene between Alceste and Celimene is "une des plus fortes qui existent au theatre," he was deeply entangled in the wily cruelties of scornful coquetry, and we know that at times he suffered in "the hell of lovers" the torments of his own "Jealous Prince."

When this poet cast his fate with a troop of comedians, as the manager, and whom he never would abandon, when at the height of his fortune, could he avoid accustoming himself to the relaxed habits of that gay and sorrowful race, who, "of imagination all compact," too often partake of the passions they inspire in the scene? The first actress, Madame Bejard, boasted that, with the exception of the poet, she had never dispensed her personal favours but to the aristocracy. The constancy of Moliere was interrupted by another actress, Du Parc; beautiful, but insensible, she only tormented the poet, and furnished him with some severe lessons for the coquetry of his Celimene, in *Le Misanthrope*. The facility of the transition of the tender passion had more closely united the susceptible poet to Mademoiselle De Brie. But Madame Bejard, not content to be the chief actress, and to hold her partnership in "the properties," to retain her ancient authority over the poet, introduced, suddenly, a blushing daughter, some say a younger sister, who had hitherto resided at Avignon, and whom she declared was the offspring of the Count of Modena, by a secret marriage. Armande Bejard soon attracted the paternal attentions of the poet. She became the secret idol of his retired moments, while he fondly thought that he could mould a young mind, in its innocence, to his own sympathies. The mother and the daughter never agreed. Armande sought his protection; and one day, rushing into his study, declared that she would marry her friend. The elder Bejard freely consented to avenge herself



on De Brie. De Brie was indulgent, though "the little creature," she observed, was to be yoked to one old enough to be her father. Under the same roof were now heard the voices of the three females, and Moliere meditating scenes of feminine jealousies.

Moliere was fascinated by his youthful wife; her lighter follies charmed; two years riveted the connubial chains. Moliere was a husband who was always a lover. The actor on the stage was the very man he personated. Mademoiselle Moliere, as she was called, was the Lucile in *Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme*. With what fervour the poet feels her neglect! with what eagerness he defends her from the animadversions of the friend who would have dissolved the spell!

The poet was doomed to endure more poignant sorrows than slights. Mademoiselle had the art of persuading Moliere that he was only his own "cocu imaginaire;" but these domestic embarrassments multiplied. Mademoiselle, reckless of the distinguished name she bore, while she gratified her personal vanity by a lavish expenditure, practised that artful coquetry which attracted a crowd of loungers. Moliere found no repose in his own house, and retreated to a country-house, where, however, his restless jealousy often drove him back to scenes which he trembled to witness. At length came the last argument of outraged matrimony—he threatened confinement. She fainted, but recovered only to reproach him for his ancient tenderness for De Brie, to whom her caprices had often driven "the suspicious husband," to restore the tranquillity he had long lost. To prevent a public rupture, Moliere consented to live under the same roof, and only to meet at the theatre. Weak only in love, however divided from his wife, Moliere remained her perpetual lover. He said, in confidence, "I am born with every disposition to tenderness. When I married, she was too young to betray any evil inclinations. My studies were devoted to her, but I soon discovered her indifference. I ascribed it to her temper; her foolish passion for Count Guiche made too much noise to leave me even this apparent tranquillity. I resolved to live with her as an honourable man, whose reputation does not depend on the bad conduct of his wife. My kindness has not changed her, but my compassion has increased. Those who have not experienced these delicate emotions have never truly loved. In her absence her image is before me; in her presence, I am deprived of all reflection; I have no longer eyes for her defects; I only view her amiable. Is not this the last extreme of folly? And are you not surprised that I, reasoning as I do, am only sensible of the weakness which I cannot throw off?"

Few men of genius have left in their writings deeper impressions of their personal feelings than Moliere. With strong passions in a feeble frame, he had duped his imagination that, like another Pygmalion, he would create a woman by his own art. In silence and agony he tasted the bitter fruits of the disordered habits of the life of a comedian, a manager, and a poet. His income was splendid; but he himself was a stranger to dissipation. He was a domestic man, of a pensive and even melancholy temperament. Silent and reserved, unless in conversation with that more intimate circle whose literature aided his genius, or whose friendship consoled for his domestic disturbances, his habits were minutely methodical; the strictest order was observed throughout his establishment; the hours of dinner, of writing, of amusement were allotted, and the slightest derangement in his own apartment excited a



morbid irritability which would interrupt his studies for whole days.

Who, without this tale of Moliere, could conjecture, that one skilled in the workings of our nature would have ventured on the perilous experiment of equalising sixteen years against forty—weighing roses against grey locks—to convert a wayward coquette, through her capricious womanhood, into an attached wife? Yet, although Mademoiselle could cherish no personal love for the intellectual being, and hastened to change the immortal name she bore for a more terrestrial man, she seems to have been impressed by a perfect conviction of his creative genius. When the Archbishop of Paris, in the pride of prelacy, refused the rites of sepulture to the corpse of Moliere THE ACTOR, it was her voice which reminded the world of Moliere THE POET, exclaiming—  
 “Have they denied a grave to the man to whom Greece would have raised an altar!”

ATTICUS.

#### THE AUTO-BIOGRAPHY OF AN UGLY MAN.

I WAS born under the influence of an eclipse of the sun, on the 10th of November, 1799. Whatever grudges I may owe Fate—and verily their name is Legion—I cannot, at least, accuse her of inconsistency; for ever since she sent me

“Before my time  
 Into this breathing world, scarce half made up,  
 And that so lamely and unfashionably,  
 That the dogs bark at me as I halt by them,”

she has invariably “suited the action to the word,” and even the place to the event, with regard to me; for she so contrived it, that my advent should take place in the most frightful part of Cornwall, at a moment when all the winds and waves were at concert pitch, indulging in what the sailors call “*an ugly squall*.” I arrived two months before I was expected, and consequently, when neither my father nor mother, nor any of the household, were at all prepared to receive me, although I was that envied, and often enviable thing—an elder son. I have since heard that my ugliness was of that unblushing and uncompromising nature, which is so nearly allied to deformity, that a consultation was held upon the propriety of sending me out of the world almost as soon as I had come into it; but the doctor gave his casting vote against it, declaring that I was not anatomically deformed, and therefore had quite as good a right to live and expose myself as many thousands more had done before me. I shall pass over my childhood, with all its nursery miseries, which were but the preludes to those which befel me in after-life. Suffice it to say, that my mother, being a lady of delicate nerves and high-wrought sensibility, could not endure the sight of me, as she declared it always gave her a bad opinion of herself when I called her “mamma.” My father was too much occupied with the intellectual amusements of drinking and fox-hunting ever to see either me, or my brothers and sisters, of whom I had four; nor do I ever remember his noticing me, beyond remarking, with a sigh, whenever I was brought down to be shown to any uncles and grandmothers—“What a pity it was that such a beautiful property should go to so ugly a young dog!” I had no sooner attained my sixth year, than I was transplanted to a preparatory bread-and-milkery.



Wretched as my life had been at home from neglect, here it became positively insupportable from persecution. The snowy hall was never sullied by the slightest spot, (even though it bore that *tria juncta in uno* trefoil-imprint that at once proclaims itself to have been left by some canine or feline interloper,) but what the maids were always ready to assure the master—and what was worse, the master's wife—that that “*howdacious*, naughty boy, Master Clavering, had again been walking over the nice clean hall with his muddy shoes, and making it in the dreadfulest mess as ever was;”—for which Master Clavering, of course, was duly caned and double-lessoned. At dinner too, when Mary, the cherry-cheeked school-room maid, was, as in duty bound, handing two plates at once, should the one that ought, in due course, to have come to *me first*, contain more lean, and gravy, and less fat, and gristle, than its companion, she would be sure, dexterously, to change them from one hand to another, and thus place the one she considered the most attractive before George Mildmay, who was the Adonis of the school. Then I was fag to the whole establishment, but to Mr. Henry Webster in especial, who was my senior by four years, and who generally seasoned his tyrannies by a spice or two of school-boy wit, such as—“I say, Clavering, go and get me my shuttlecock, that got up into the cherry-tree this morning;” or “Give me the pillow out of your bed, mine is so small; do now, there's a good fellow, for as you can't be ornamental you ought to be useful, you know.” Upon another occasion, when I had refused to join in a barring-out for fear of the consequences, Webster exclaimed, “Ah, there's a fine fellow; that's right, Clavering, *don't* have anything to do with it, for it will be sure to turn out an *ugly* business, if *you* are concerned.” Happy was I when the time came for my removal to a private tutor's. I thought here, at least, my persecutions would cease; but alas! “Man (aye, and boy too) never *is*, but always to be, blest.” Nothing could be more like Paradise and perfection than the first fortnight I passed at Dr. Tithewell's. My father had two large livings in his gift, and some reversionary interest in the India House. The doctor was never tired of extolling my father's virtues, and lamenting that his son Charles (who had sailed, a month before my arrival, in the Bombay Castle, for Calcutta) was away, as *he* would have so enjoyed his *dear young* friend's society. *I*, yes, *I* myself *I*! was the dear young friend. Oh extacy! that *I* should live to be dear friend to any one, even to Dr. Tithewell. Nothing could equal the kindness and attention of Mrs. Tithewell—her anxiety that *I* should not sit in wet boots, and her *empressment* that *I* should have the best cup of tea, and most cream in it! Exemplary woman! *I*, who, all my life, had been nobody, thus suddenly to become “the observed of all observers!” Though, to be sure, it was only at Grabbingdon Rectory; *n'importe*, it was the first, last, and only place where *I* ever was “made much of;” and *I* shall always remember it with gratitude. During this memorable fortnight, invitations showered in, requesting the honour of Dr. and Mrs. Tithewell's company to balls and suppers, and that of the young gentlemen who were with them. The first of these balls was given by a Mrs. Markham. Her husband had been a linen-draper, and, since he had left off trade, and his wife drove a britzka and gave balls, he had taken to winking and making puns. They had one daughter married to a London physician in good practice. This daughter they thought *the* great person of the family, as she had “married a *gentleman*!”—though, as Mr.



Markham himself expressed it, he thought his pretty daughter Matilda the most *superior article* in his house; in which opinion I quite agreed. The rest of his family consisted of a bale of ugly, vulgar sons, and a remnant of freckled, red-haired children. The whole night I danced with Matilda Markham, and thought her an angel of light for dancing with *me*; and when her mother pressed me, at supper, to have some “’am and some ’ock,” and called me the young baronet, though my father was still living, I thought the blush that mantled in Matilda’s cheek the most beautiful thing nature ever had, or ever could produce. Was I in love with the linen-draper’s daughter? Do not be alarmed, dear reader; I *may* have been *silly* enough to have been so at the time, but depend upon it I am not wicked enough to deluge you with the milk-and-water of a first love. Time rolled on, and I saw Matilda nearly every day, which did not advance me in my studies much. She wrote a very pretty hand, which I was never tired of looking at, in the frequent notes she sent to Mrs. Tithewell; and every scrap of paper in the house, not excepting the title-pages of the doctor’s books, were scribbled over with my clumsy imitations of Matilda’s pretty handwriting. So that, at last, with her usual amiable attention to my *comforts*, Mrs. Tithewell used to make over to me all Miss Markham’s billets, and no *millionaire* ever felt more satisfied with his possessions than I did in my reversionary property, not in those days having heard anything against the paper currency. *Mrs. Doctor Tims*, as her *own* card announced, at length arrived at ——. The day had been too sultry to stir out; but the evening was so delicious that I could not stay at home, and, strange to say, without intending it, in a few minutes I found myself in Mr. Markham’s garden; but I suppose my shoes were some relations to the seven-leagued boots, and knew their way by instinct. I scarcely ever asked if Mrs. Markham was at home, for if she was not, I was generally sure of finding some one that suited me quite as well. So, no sooner was the door opened, than I walked into the hall as usual, and was proceeding to the drawing-room, when Matilda rushed into the hall, and exclaimed, in the greatest agitation,—“Indeed, indeed, Mr. Clavering, mamma is not at home; there is no one at home; the—they—are all out,” and she moved on into the lawn, thereby compelling me to follow her. Her agitation!—her embarrassment! What did it—what could it mean? Vanity! vanity! thou art always the first person to break silence in the council!—that a *man*—even an ugly man—aye, even the *ugliest* man—holds with his own heart;—and you whispered me that Matilda must love me; and with that intensity of nervous fear, which is always inseparable from a real but unacknowledged passion, there was nothing she dreaded, because nothing she wished, so much as a tête-à-tête with me. The mere thought seemed to turn the blood in every vein into so much liquid fire. My spirit was so buoyant within me, that it would have been a positive relief to have heard some bad tidings at the moment, to have forced it back into its usual resting-place, and have prevented it soaring up with me to the seventh heaven, and thereby putting my unhappy brain in a whirl that almost endangered my reason. Father, mother, pride, birth, “the world’s dread laugh,”—all were forgotten or despised. My only thought was, that Matilda loved me, and the next moment would have seen me at the feet of the linen-draper’s daughter, offering her myself and all my worldly possessions; but fate,



for once, befriended me, though in the shape of a footman. Of course I at first consigned him, and all his tribe, to as many devils as would accept the ignoble boon; but, God knows, I have gratefully recanted since, and every footman that has ever lived with me can testify that I have been a most liberal and indulgent master. I had rushed forward: to seize Matilda's hand was the work of an instant. Next came the speech. I had got as far as—"Oh! Matilda, only tell me that you——" when, lo! a vision of a drab coat, turned up with scarlet, and nankeen shorts, crossed our path, and accosted Matilda with an—"If you please, Ma'am, master wants the newspaper as you had before dinner." Matilda broke from me in greater agitation than ever. No wonder. What woman, or man either, could bear to have the most sublime—the most delicate—and the most mysterious of all their feelings witnessed by a footman!

\*                      \*                      \*

The whole of that night I passed in writing volumes to Matilda, and telling her all I had not been let to say. I rose early, meaning to be my own Mercury, and return before breakfast. I jumped over the garden paling, in order to go a shorter way through a field. In turning to disentangle my coat, which had caught on one of the palings, I beheld, flying away under Mrs. Tithewell's bedroom-window, a note in Matilda's well-known hand, torn in two. I instantly seized the precious paper, and having kissed and re-kissed the fairy characters, I proceeded to read it, which I did without the slightest compunction, as every note of Matilda's had hitherto become my lawful property. It was dated the evening before—that eventful evening—how then could I resist reading it; it ran thus:—

"Monday evening.

"Dear Mrs. Tithewell,—I have a little request to make to you, which I am sure you are too kind to refuse; it is this:—will you contrive some means to prevent Mr. Clavering coming to us during the next week, while Maria is with us, for you know how nervous she is; and, considering the situation she is in for the first time, Dr. Tims is afraid, were she to see our poor friend, (though of course, poor man, he cannot help being so ugly,) it might be productive of the very worst consequences to her, either in losing her maternal hopes entirely, or, what would be even worse, having a young monster. Poor man, he called this evening, and I was so afraid he would come in where Maria was, after what Dr. Tims had said, that I rushed out to prevent his coming any farther. I was so flurried, that he must have thought me very strange; but I hope he did not suspect they were at home, as one would not like to hurt his feelings. I don't know what excuse I could have made, or how I should have got away, if, luckily, papa had not sent out James to ask for the newspaper, for poor Mr. Clavering had taken my hand very kindly, no doubt thinking I was ill. It was very wrong of me, but I then thought him more ugly and more horrible than ever; but after dear Charles (I wonder how far he has got on his voyage by this!) I could think no one handsome, even if they were so. How I long for his first letter, as I have now nothing to console me but his picture, which I wear night and day. Trusting to your tact to manage about poor Mr. Clavering, believe me, dear Mrs. Tithewell, ever yours,

"MATILDA MARKHAM."



This, then, was the termination of my first love. Madman—fool—idiot!—and so, forsooth, you could suppose that even a linen-draper's daughter could love you! And you could suppose no better destination for the broad lands, that had belonged to your fathers before the conquest, than to offer them to a linen-draper's daughter, who was in love with a *lieutenant in the Bengal Cavalry!!* and whose sisterly affection was on the rack, for fear the very sight of you should blight the hopes of a *Mrs. Doctor Tims!*

I shall pass over my college adventures, and begin my next volume with my *Lachrymæ Londini*.

VOLUME II.

Behold me, then, in London, that focus at once of human bliss and bane, where most persons find their level, whether for wealth or for poverty, for birth, for talent, or for folly, for beauty, or for——yes, for ugliness. Why, then, might not *I* hope what others fear—to be out-done? Yes, for a whole week, while “I took mine ease at mine inn,” I laid this “flattering unction to my soul,” for I read no warning in the obsequious bows and smiling *impressement* of the waiters at the Clarendon. Moreover, I had accumulated a valuable moral capital of maxims, from which I was beginning to derive a comfortable income of self-conceit. I treasured up the memorable boast of that arch-scoundrel, John Wilkes, that there was only a fortnight's difference between him and the handsomest man in England in gaining a woman's affections. I feasted on the false and absurd assertion of Philip Thicknesse, that “nothing is completely ugly that is not old,” (I was only thirty, and lulled myself into a fool's paradise, by carefully weeding my library of “Mason on Self-Knowledge,” and all such egoistical “Daily Remembrancers.” Matilda Markham had given me a surfeit of teens, blue eyes, flaxen hair, and bread-and-butter passions. Having determined to “turn my” own “silver lining on the” crowd, and dazzle and conquer by the beauties of my mind, I began to look about for a handsome, *sensible* woman, not *too young*, half Juno, half Minerva, who would be too intellectual to think of a man's person; but although this “bright Egeria” was not to think about my appearance, that was no reason why *I* should be equally regardless of it. My hair was decidedly against my inspiring a devoted passion, as it might have been easily mistaken for burnt flax; I therefore determined upon educating it into a state of perfectibility, through the medium of Mr. Rigmarole's Tyrian Dye. I never rightly understood the meaning of “the purple light of love,” till I saw my own head in the glass the next morning, after my first application of Mr. Rigmarole's promises; but, like a too vivid painting, it mellowed down in the course of time, and a few hours after my head presented the appearance of a fine old Rembrandt, a great relief after it had so long glared upon my sight in all the aching paleness of one of Flaxman's illustrations: from that hour I began to look—

“As hyænas in love are supposed for to look, or  
A something between Abelard and old Blucher.”

The deuce was in it, if, after literally *dying* to please the women, I could not succeed. As I was extended on the sofa one morning in the dog-



days, quaffing hock and soda water, in order to allay the parching heat of a large fire which I was enduring, that my hair might dry the sooner, and enable me to get out to Richmond to dinner, my man entered with a note—"From Lord Castleton, sir—the servant waits an answer." Castleton was a college chum of mine, the best fellow in the world; in short, my *fidus Achates*; yet some how or other he had always (though unwittingly) crossed me in every thing; in a word, he had played the Leopold to my Prince of Orange, ever since I had known him. His note ran thus:—

"Dear Clavering,—If you have not disposed of yourself for this evening, either positively or conditionally, will you look in at Mrs. Damer's, No. —, Grosvenor-street? She is a beauty, a blue, and a widow, therefore thought she might be in your way, and, as she gave me a *carte blanche*, have filled it up with your name; but mind, I give you fair warning, not to think of her sister, who is a perfect goddess *de seize ans*, as refreshing, as sparkling, and as cold too, I fear, d—n her, as iced champagne—*à ce soir*—Vale,

"Ever yours,

"CASTLETON."

This note caused me to relinquish all ideas of Richmond for that day, lest fatigue, heat, and dust should be more malicious than nature, and make me look less attractive still. I was already in love with Mrs. Damer, for Castleton's sneer of her being a blue was quite as efficacious a spell as six whole months of "becks, and nods, and wreathed smiles," would have been to any other mortal. "You need not fear, Castleton," cried I, in an extacy, as I poured out the remainder of the bottle of hock: "no flippancy and fifteen for me;" and so saying, I rang the bell violently, when my servant entered. "Jefferson," said I, "order Ganymede to be saddled *instantly*, and go *yourself* to Henderson's for my violets." "Ganymede has been bled this morning, sir." "Well, then, take May-fly, and tell them to be sure and send me the large double violets." From the moment of my coming to town, I had contracted with Henderson to let me have violets, *all the year round*, for 150*l.* a year, and I should strenuously recommend ———, and ———, and ———, *cum multis aliis*, who possess no more personal attractions than myself, *never* to be without a bouquet of violets, *except* in the months of February and March, (when all the world can have them, and therefore a moss-rose should be substituted); but it is astonishing the sensation they produce, and the notice they obtain for one, in December or July. Then you will see eyes, that never would have glanced towards you otherwise, fixed admiringly on you; then you will hear the sweetest voices exclaim—"Oh, Mr. Such-a-one, or Lord So-and-so, where *did* you get those *dear* violets?" To have anything belonging to one called dear, and still more, the next moment, to see what was dear in you transferred to the most beautiful bosom in the world! *This*, at least, is cheap at 150*l.* a year; but *I* am obliged to go farther. Having always a collection of very costly and beautifully designed rings hanging to my chain, they are sure to attract the attention of some fair creature or other; upon which I immediately invent some Polish, or Turkish superstition, as belonging to them, which serves as a pretext for my presenting, and their accepting them! Oh

La dépense d'être laid!



I could hardly wait patiently till half past ten, to present myself at Mrs. Damer's. A beauty—she was a perfect goddess; a blue indeed! She was *the* cleverest woman I had ever met in my life; and then, *such* a voice! She *thanked* me for coming, and said she had heard so much of me from Castleton. I need not say my violets were in her bosom at the end of half an hour. The sister was certainly pretty, looked like a Psyche, not come out, half cherub, half coquette; but the corners of her mouth curled up too much, and her eye was too laughing and restless for me to venture much near her. I soon became an *habitué* in Grosvenor-street. Oh those delicious, long, lounging morning visits!—when I had the extacy of hearing—“Not at home” to every one but myself! We talked politics, metaphysics, physiology, and even sometimes common sense; but we had not yet got to sentiment—*N'importe cela viendra*, thought I, and in thinking so, every morning found some new offering on Mrs. Damer's shrine from her devoted slave. I happened to possess a copy of the original edition of “Shaftesbury's Characteristics;” I had valued it as the apple of my eye, but this too was sacrificed to my celestial, or, as Castleton called it, cerulean passion; but I was more than repaid by the grateful delight with which it was received. A few days after this my last gift, I received a note from Mrs. Damer; it was the first note I had ever had from her. Oh the effect of that *first note* from a woman one loves! I do not know whether to call it electricity or natural magic, or what; the note was only to ask me if I would go with her and Dora (her sister) to Deville's, and she would call for me at three; but it was read, and re-read, and I thought the hand prettier than Matilda Markham's; and I had to write my answer over six times before I could indite to my satisfaction this eloquent reply:—

“Dear Mrs. Damer,—Yes, with the greatest pleasure, and I shall be ready when you call for me at three.

“Ever faithfully yours,

“AUGUSTUS CLAVERING.”

Mrs. Damer and Dora were half-an-hour later than they said, and I thought it six hours at least; at length we were *en route*, and I was sitting opposite to all that I cared to behold in the world! I reaped comfort from the harvest of human ugliness which is always to be found in the Strand, and my thoughts actually became pleasant thereupon, till I saw two or three successive pattened and umbrellaed damsels touch their companion's arms, look at me, and laugh; then all became doubt, strife, and bitterness within me—so true is it that

“Life is a comedy to those who think,  
A tragedy to those who feel.”

Mr. Deville soon explained to us all the “*wacuum*s” and “*horgans*” in our respective craniums; but said so much of the wonders of mine in particular, that Mrs. Damer and Dora became very urgent that I should have a cast of my head taken. I resolutely refused, for very cogent reasons. Mr. Deville pushed back a phalanx of skulls and lamps, and began entreating me with great gesticulation and oratory; still I was immoveable, till Dora whispered me, with her little malicious will-o'-the-wisp smile, “If you so obstinately refuse to become a slave of the lamp, you never can expect to have a slave of the ring.” Mrs.



Damer coloured at this speech, and said, imploringly, “*Do*, Mr. Clavering, let Mr. Deville take a cast of your head. I should so like to have it.” There was no resisting this; so, with the air of a martyr, I sat down, and, like an excommunicated nun, was soon walled up alive. When I was released from my plaster Pandemonium, Mrs. Damer and her sister were laughing, almost convulsively, over a slip of paper that Miss Dora was holding. I begged to be let into the jest, but they refused. Emboldened by my own great stretch of complaisance, I snatched the paper out of Dora’s hand, and had the satisfaction of reading the following epigram on myself, which she had scribbled with a pencil, while I was enduring the torments of the d——d to please herself and her sister:—

Love triumphs, and the struggle’s past;  
To seem less strange in beauty’s eye  
He’ll ‘set his fate upon a *cast*,  
And stand the hazard of the *dye*.’ \*

This was too, too much. No sooner were we reseated in the carriage, than I began a pathetic remonstrance with Mrs. Damer upon the impropriety of her allowing her mad-cap of a sister to turn everything into ridicule, and make a laughing-stock of everybody. She replied, with the most insulting *sang froid*, “Really, Mr. Clavering, in this instance I must acquit Dora; for, as Lord Shaftesbury very justly observes, ‘there is a great difference between seeking how to raise a laugh from everything, and seeking in everything what justly may be laughed at.’” This was indeed barbing the arrow with a feather from my own wing, and so making the wound rankle more deeply. Was there ever such heartlessness?—but those *clever women* never have any heart. With this thought I dashed open the carriage-door, and sprang into the street. I hurried on, and never stopped till I arrived at my own room; there I forswore all ideas of love, at least of marriage, from that day.

Three years have elapsed since my adventure at Deville’s. I am now thirty-four, and most true is it that

“Time, who steals our years away,  
Steals our pleasures too;”

for it has stolen away the only pleasure I ever had—*hope*. I am now too old to hope, and consequently unfit to live. My property is also considerably diminished, by foolish generosity to an ungrateful sex; in every grade, and in all attempts at propitiating them I have failed; even a little French opera-dancer, who took my diamonds when I addressed some verses to her, beginning with

“O toi à qui l’amour à pretoit tous les charmes,”

had the impertinence to return me Ninon de l’Enclos’ well-known answer to a similar effusion—

“Eh bien si l’amour prête des charmes,  
Pourquoi n’empruntois tu pas.”

I shall only record one more of my adventures, or rather failures, as Lord Byron’s journal of Mr. Hobhouse’s piscatory exploits would, with a slight alteration in the wording, serve right well for “an abstract and

---

\* I have heard, Mr. Editor, another story respecting the origin of this epigram, and have known it attributed to another lady. I say, with Mahomet, “Mine is the only true account.”



brief chronicle of the rise, progress, decline, and fall of my *bonnes fortunes*,"—i. e. "Hobhouse went out to fish—caught nothing."

I was beginning to forget the many bitter lessons I had learnt, and feel a great deal more than was either prudent or proper for that prettiest of all pretty women, Lady ——; for at all times, and at all places, she not only spoke to me, but spoke kindly to me. She asked me one night if I would go to the Opera with her. We were *tête-à-tête* till nearly the last act of the "*Medea*." I have no doubt Pasta was more divine than ever, but I neither saw nor heard; I was thinking I had never seen such eyes, or such an arm as Lady ——'s. I was going to tell her so, when the door opened, and Castleton came in. He was my best friend, but I wished him most sincerely at the d—l; he stayed out the whole *ballet*, but he left us in the crush-room. Georgiana, as I now began to call her in my own mind, leant on me; I put her into her carriage; in getting in she dropped her handkerchief; I picked it up, and thought I never heard such music as the voice in which she said "Thank you;" she would have said it just as sweetly to an adder that had got out of her way. The next morning saw me in Belgrave-square by two o'clock. I was admitted; Lady —— was in her boudoir; the atmosphere was heavy with the breath of flowers, and the deep shade of the rose-coloured blinds at first prevented my perceiving that she had been in tears. She withdrew her handkerchief, and tried to smile when I came in. "Good heavens, Lady ——," said I, "what can have made you so unhappy? I do not ask *who* has done so, for no *one could* be barbarian enough." After a little hesitation, and a fresh burst of tears, she at length sobbed out, "Lord —— is so very unkind to me—so—so angry—about the Opera—last night." The next moment I was at her feet, and grasping her hand, exclaimed, "Dearest Lady ——! angry at your going with me!" She withdrew her hand hastily, and smiling, nay almost laughing outright, through her tears, said, "Jealous of *you*! Oh no, no! Mr. Clavering, no *one could* be jealous of *you*, which was the reason I asked you; but it was be—be—because Lord Castleton came into my box, though I am sure he did not stay ten minutes." Here was another agreeable *denouement*. I rose and strode to the window. My eyes fell upon my five hundred guinea horse (which I had bought solely because Lady —— had admired it).

"A shudder came o'er me, why wert thou so *dear*?"

I left the house—I vowed vengeance against love, and "all its dear, delightful, d—d sensations." I tried public life, and stood the other day for a certain borough, but all the women were against me, and—but what matter details—I lost my election. My father has been dead some years; my baronetcy is ancient enough, God knows; there is moreover a dormant peerage in our family. Will not these soften the heart of some gentle Zelica, and throw a silver veil over my unprepossessing physiognomy. Shall I try an advertisement?—mystery has great attractions—or——What's this, Jefferson? a roll of paper—the last caricature. Ha! confusion—the Lovely Lover! What, this in St. James's-street!—crowds round the window! 'Sdeath!—I shall go mad! Caricature, indeed! I wish it was—it is an exact likeness—a copy from the very picture I gave to the French opera-dancer, after making the d—d painter flatter the resemblance as much as he could!



## MEN AND BOOKS.

*Bookstalls, and a Lover of them—A French Emigrant—Memoirs of Madame de Stahl—Whims of a patronizing Duchess—Exactions of a Princess—The Abbé de Chaulieu, and his gallantry at four-score—A real love—Extraordinary and candid account of a series of husband-huntings—Dacier in his last days—Royal and considerate advertisement of a wife to let—Geometrical test of the amount of a man's affections.*

I HAD scarcely written my first article under the above head, in the course of which I had occasion to touch upon the exacting selfishness of the royal, when I met with a proper bookstall-book, much connected with that matter. It was an old favourite of mine, which I had not seen for many years, the *Memoirs of Madame de Stahl*; not the Madame de Staël lately so famous, but a lady of nearly the same name, who lived in the time of the Regent Duke of Orleans, and was mixed up with the conspiracy of the Duchess du Maine. Before I touch upon it, however, the reader will allow me to indulge in a notice of my older favourites,—the bookstalls themselves.

I have been a lover of bookstalls all my life, and at all seasons of the year; I seemed to fall naturally upon them the moment I left school. I not only found my Juvenal and Horace upon them (whose names I was glad to see, though I had a schoolboy's objection to their substance), but there also I met with my beloved English poets, and with a world of old authors and love-stories,—all new to me, and precisely what I wanted. I had no prospects in life, and I did not wish to have any. I had all the faith in the present moment which youth, innocence, and fancy could bestow; and, perhaps, there were few happier persons than myself when I walked off with a new purchase under my arm, value ninepence, having the rest of the day, before dinner, to read it in, and a visit to somebody I loved for my prospect in the evening. It was still better if my purchase consisted of two volumes instead of one, for then I had the pleasure of carrying one in my hand, and of feeling the other making a square *bulge* in my pocket, delightfully inconvenient. No sooner did I walk off, than I fell reading "like a dragon," in the open street, but with so little ostentation, that the sarcasms of the errand-boys, and other invidious passengers, gave me no concern; they only made me turn up the alleys and bye-places whenever I could. Half the quieter thoroughfares in Holborn and Oxford-street are endeared to me from the recollection.

I have to *think* now, and do not read with such mere uninterruptedness, though it is in the spirit of truth that I have described my reading as incessant. The bookstalls I love as much as ever; it is with difficulty I pass one, upon whatever business I am bound, or in whatever weather. Rain prevents me, only because the books are taken indoors; and though conversant with the inner shelves, I do not take such delight in them as in those outside the window; I am too conscious that somebody is watching me, and I have the weakness of hating to quit a shop without buying something. I know that *the man* is glad to see me, and that he thinks I shall buy something next time, or go away with some memorandum,



profitable to him in the long run ; but I cannot help fearing that he will take me for a shabby fellow, and make unpleasant comparisons between the natural generosity of a love of letters, and its contradiction in my person.

Upon the same principle I have never been able to arrive at a proper sense of *bating* the man down, and giving him a shilling when he asks eighteen-pence. I acknowledge the reasonableness of so doing, and the expectation he has to that effect ; but I have such a love of every kind of book which is at all worth purchasing, that it is only by dint of calling to mind very grave reasons for the economy, that I can persuade myself to pretend that a few pence ought to be taken off the price. I am insensible to the taunt of what the man will think of my easiness and folly ; people to whom we pay too much, unless the very stupidest of the “ knowing,” always think better than worse of you for spending your money handsomely. If you boggle at it, I grant you must stand it out, or they will think you have the desire to be economical without the courage, and thus you force upon them a sense of your feebleness ; but if you give what they ask freely, and with a good air, and the demand is not out of all reason, they think you have a soul above the difference, and are “ a gentleman.” The fine thing is, when, with plenty of money to spend, and the want of certain books which you are pretty sure to find, you ransack the bookstalls, outside and in, and carry off, not only those, but others. The only interferences with your pleasure are, when the volumes are in a condition unreasonably dirty, or the prices ill-scrawled and carelessly stuck on upon dirty white slips of paper, or *the man*, or, worse than all, *the woman*, stands watching you at the door. I hate her for being of a sex, as well as an occupation, that ought to be more liberal, and for not knowing, by instinct, that I am honest.

The authors I prefer seeing on the stalls, are the bookmen alluded to in my last,—authors made by books, and therefore more suitable to collections of this kind, in which you must like the books for their own sakes, or you will sometimes not like them at all. The old French wits and scholars look well there on this account, and the French writers of memoirs. They have a taste of life at once artificial and natural, polite and familiar, and seem to have written in times when every man had his collection of wits and classics, as well as his “ affair of the heart.” One’s staple authors are in one’s home, like home itself, or our prospects, or our own heart and imagination ;—yet it is delightful to meet with these in their proper old editions, such as the old folio Spensers and Boccaccios. Dryden and Pope may be “ proud to be less.” The French books and the classics ought to be small, and the older they are the better. The new ones are too often printed in an unfeeling, cutting stereotype, without any humanity of engraving, or other ornament. The old type is softer ; you get plates of some sort in the old books, and they seem coeval with the times in which they were written. We are not sure that Molière, or Chaulieu, or Racine, or Gay, or Swift (a great hunter of bookstalls), has not handled them himself.

The *Tatlers* and *Guardians* look becoming on a stall (the *Spectator* is too common) ; all the writers of Charles and Queen Anne’s time suit it ; the Elzevir classics ; the *Poetæ minores Græci* ; and the novels of the last century, from Fielding to Miss Minifie : in short, to *me*, whatever was the favourite reading of one’s grandfather and grandmother,



and in houses built two hundred years back. Succeeding generations, I suppose, will love a different or additional set of stalls,—delighting to see upon them the books of the present day, which, for my part, I hate there; they look as if they had not “sold,” and as if the authors must be uncomfortable. The crown of bookstall uneasiness is to see a work of one’s own, marked at a third of the price, with the significant addition of—“same as sells at five shillings.”

The only other uncomfortableness I know of, in connexion with this luxury, is the box which is sometimes put on one side of a stall, containing a set of miserable books, all at “sixpence a piece.” I never got any good out of it. I have a hundred times vowed internally I would never look into it again, but generally find myself breaking my vow, and meeting with the same mouldy literature,—odd volumes of history, old calendars, red-books, and pamphlets, old grammars, books of arithmetic, French Testaments, &c. Now and then you discern a promising cover, and drag the volume forth;—it is a *Pharmacopœia*, or the *Gauger’s Vade Mecum*.

It is an elegance, in my eyes, to meet with an old French emigrant sitting in the inside of one of these stalls, or coming out with some little classic in his hand, which he has read a million times, but which he finds ever new, because he himself is ever young, and his imagination has willing bounds. I speak of some respectable, clerical-looking man, in clean rusty black, with features at once light and serious, and with side-curls to his head, and powder. He is a Doctor of the Sorbonne, or Mons. l’Abbé somebody, who preached before Louis the Sixteenth, and missed a bishoprick. He does not go back to France, partly because he is too old to give up his new country, and partly because he goes every evening to see Madame la Marquise de Printemps, who was a beauty thirty years ago, and was bed-ridden at the restoration. I should like to hear what he has to say of the *Memoirs of Madame de Stahl*, if it would not hurt his feelings, or he could be impartial on such a subject. The look of old times is better preserved in his person than if he were living in modern Paris; and he feels this, and knows there is not so much to contradict it here in London, as if he were among Napoleon’s dukes, or the military bourgeois of the new dynasty. With these thoughts he consoles himself, and with the aforesaid little Juvenal, a million times read, and eternally quoted. He is not more intimate with his own name than with the words “*omnibus in terris*,” or “*semper ego auditor*.” He doubts, more than ever, the merits of Shakspeare, because he understands that his revolutionary countrymen begin to like him; but he expresses his contempt in the politest manner, justifying it by his love for the “great Corneille,” and condescending to soothe one’s national feelings by expressing his regard for the Cato of “*Addisson*,” and “the Essay on Critique of Mr. Pop;” and then he quotes, with an elaborate slowness, always dwelling on the wrong words, particularly the article,—

“Notta—so—when—swift—*Cà-mil-là* scours the plain,  
Flies o’er th’ unbending corn, and skims along the main.

To return to our old acquaintance Madame de Stahl.—This lady’s autobiography is of undoubted authenticity, and is remarkable for its self-knowledge, and the interest it excites for a querulous, disappointed, and even husband-hunting woman, by reason of her candour, her good



sense, and the justice of her complaints against fortune. Her great mischance—a rare and pitiable one—consisted in beginning life under circumstances too favourable for the expectations of her rank in society. All her misfortunes flowed from this source. Born of obscure parents, Mademoiselle de Launay (her maiden name) became, by accident, the infant pet of a nunnery, and got so used to service and worship, that she never recovered the effect of it when thrown out upon the world. An early consciousness of her natural powers gave her a good opinion of herself; the good nuns converted it into a sovereign one, and made her impatient of a want. It is one of the charms of her book, to see how she found out her defects, and what mortifications she endured to render her capable of the discovery.

“The Convent of St. Louis,” she says, “was a little state where I reigned supreme. The chief care of the abbess, and her sister, was to please my humour and prevent my desires. I had a room in her apartment, than which nothing could be more convenient and elegant. No less than four sisters attended me, and the roivings of my giddy fancy kept them all sufficiently employed. When checked in nothing, we desire a great deal. The abbess’s nieces, whom, out of regard to her family, she had taken under her care, were, though much against their will, my playfellows; and the whole house found themselves obliged to pay their court to me. As all about me courted my favour, I little dreamed of any regard being due to them; accordingly, I showed them none, not even to the ladies whose blind fondness had erected this little empire for me.”

The death of the abbess, and the accession, to that office, of a nun who had headed the opposition party in the convent, were the commencement of Mademoiselle de Launay’s difficulties. She became a visitor to a succession of friends,—a very different position from that in the convent; though some of the friends were truly amiable, and the French have a greater generosity in money matters than is common with more commercial nations. At the first house she went to, she had the mortification to be well treated by an old gentleman on whom she had written some satirical verses; but she ingenuously gives us to understand that this was not her greatest. She had not yet lost enough of the vivacity of her pretensions. The old gentleman’s niece, Mademoiselle du Tot, who was the friend that introduced her, humiliated her by being “*so unexceptionable in her behaviour*,” that in addition to her having a great deal of wit, it subjected her to “*criticism which could not be retorted*.” And yet this was not the greatest blow:—

“One day I had the head-ache; this, heretofore, would have set the whole house in a bustle, abbess, sisters, and maids. Here only once or twice a message came to know if I did not want anything. I shall never forget my silly surprise, at seeing so little account made of what before I had seen treated with such concern and assiduity.”

Before she left her convent, the wit and vivacity of Mademoiselle de Launay had procured her several admirers of the other sex, and she had, in one instance, fallen in love. But more of this when I come to give an account of her loves in general,—all innocent ones (as far as can be known), and none successful but the last,—which was none at all! Her fate, in everything, partook of the doubtfulness and distress of her unsettled prospects. Let us, at present, attend her to the house of



Madame la Duchesse de la Ferté, a chattering, fidgetty, patronizing old gentlewoman, who, taking a fancy to her, cried her up everywhere as a prodigy, and of whose manner she has left us some masterly sketches.

For the acquaintance of this flighty personage, she was indebted to one of the Duchess's chambermaids,—for Mademoiselle de Launay's sister, the introducer, was nothing greater; so different had been their modes of bringing up. An introduction of this nature partly accounts for the liberty the Duchess took in her style of patronage, and partly for the panegyrical excess of the patronage itself. A girl of wit and breeding, who was of no greater rank than her maid's sister, appeared to her like a prodigy of her own creation. The following is the way in which the Duchess went on when she first saw her:—

“After a few questions on her side,” says Madame de Stahl, “and some very plain and possibly insipid answers on mine, ‘Bless me!’ said she, *‘never creature talked so finely!’* She comes just in the nick of time to write a letter for me to Monsieur Desmarets, which I must send him immediately. Come, Mademoiselle, some paper shall be brought to you, and you need only write.’ ‘But what must I write, Madam?’ answered I, much out of countenance. ‘You may give it what terms you please; it must be right. I insist on his complying with what I ask.’ ‘But, Madam,’ replied I, ‘still I should know *what* you would say to him.’ ‘No, no, you understand me.’ What could I gather from such vague sallies? But it was in vain to insist on any further explanation. At last, connecting the broken sentences which came from her, I pretty nearly guessed the matter in hand: This was but one part of my task, for I was quite unacquainted with the customs and ceremonials of the great world, and *I very well perceived that she would not distinguish a fault of ignorance from the want of good sense.* However, the paper I took; and while she was getting up, fell to writing by conjecture. At length I finished the letter, and, with a palpitating heart, went and delivered it to her. ‘Well,’ cried she, ‘this is just the whole of what I wished to say to him. ’Tis really strange that she should hit my thoughts so well. Hetty, your sister is a surprising girl. Oh, since she has such a knack at writing, I must have another letter to my steward; it can be despatched while I dress.’ There was no asking a second time what she intended to say. A torrent of words issued from her mouth, with a rapidity which all my attention could not keep pace with; and I was still more embarrassed with this second essay. She had named her counsellor and her attorney, who constituted a great part of this letter; they were both utterly unknown to me, and, unfortunately, I took the name of the one for that of the other. ‘The business is well couched,’ said she, after reading my letter, ‘but how could a girl of your wit call my counsellor by my attorney’s name?’ *By this she discovered the limits of my genius;* yet, by good fortune, it did not entirely lose me her esteem.”

One day Madame de la Ferté takes it into her head that her protégé must go to the King's supper (Louis XIV.), and be taken notice of by the Duke of Burgundy, his grandson; and next day, having called upon the Duchess de Noailles, she sends for her in order to fling her at the Duchess:—

“‘Here, Madam,’ said she, ‘is the person I was speaking of, who has



so much wit, and knows so many things. Come, Mademoiselle, speak ; you'll see, Madam, how she talks.' She perceived me in a hesitation about answering, and thought of helping me, as the beginning of a song is sometimes hummed over to a singer. 'Let us have a word or two *about religion*,' said she ; 'then you shall talk of something else.' There is no expressing the confusion I laboured under ; I don't so much as remember how I acquitted myself."

Our heroine's patroness used to carry herself like a proper duchess in town, but was more than easy when in the country. Her familiarity was such, that she would sometimes get together, not only her servants, but her tradesfolk, place them round a large table, and play at a sort of lansquenet with them. On one of these occasions, she frequently whispered to Mademoiselle de Launay, "I cheat them, but 'tis because they rob me." At La Ferté, her country-seat, the usual good living went on, though she had not brought her cook, being out of humour with him for "asking for *larding-pins*." "Thus it is," said she, "that the quality are ruined,—*continually larding-pins*. It has cost the Marshal de la Ferté twelve hundred thousand livres for *larding-pins*!"

Mademoiselle de Launay was frightened at discovering that her patroness's favourites all terminated in becoming ladies'-maids, or worse ; and therefore she secretly contrived to get transferred to the service of a princess, the Duchesse du Maine, with whom she fared no better. The pet of the convent was for many years neither more nor less than one of her Highness's *chamber-maids*,—always resenting her situation, everlastingly worried or treated with contempt or indifference by the princess, and yet (such is the influence of a slavish or tyrannical education, and of sympathy with any prevailing error) manifestly proud of her condition, purely because she lived in the presence of royalty. She would fain have bettered this condition by marrying somebody for love (a passion of which she was more capable, perhaps, than any person around her), but latterly she gave up this hope, and would have been content with any husband in a genteel rank of life,—a deliverance which she finally obtained when she scarcely thought it worth having. But before we give an account of her disappointments in love, we must notice her treatment by the princess.

The Duchess du Maine, daughter of the Great Condé, and wife of the Duke du Maine, one of the legitimatized sons of Louis XIV., was a pretending, officious woman, who incited a weak husband to reclaim certain privileges, which had been granted him by his father, and withheld by the Regent Duke of Orleans. To this end she was foolish enough to enter into a conspiracy, and become a party to certain anti-national views of the Court of Spain. The conspiracy came to nothing, and the husband was reduced to his proper insignificance ; but in the course of her measures the conspirators were thrown into prison, and Mademoiselle de Launay was put into the Bastille for not betraying her confidence. During this court intrigue, Mademoiselle de Launay was raised from the dignity of waiting-woman to that of reader (Madame D'Arblay's situation) ; not indeed by actual appointment, or with a salary, but for convenience-sake to the Duchess, who had discovered her talents, and resolved to profit by them for nothing. The following is the sort of life which she led in consequence :—

"Events had thrown the Duchess into such disquietude, that it



deprived her of her rest. The woman, who used to tell her stories till she fell asleep, *not being able to hold out*, she proposed to me to read to her. With great joy did I undertake this function, looking on it as an inlet to her confidence, and a means of gaining greater regard, and raising me above the flirts of chambermaids. I was not indeed mistaken in this; *but I found my constitution very unequal to this honourable exercise, which was constantly renewed every night.*"

\* \* \* \* \*

"The press was taken up with the multitude of pamphlets, corroborating or refuting the arguments adduced for the claim of the Duke du Maine, though the subject was little more than rough-hewn by them. The complete piece was, 'The Memorial of the legitimatized Princes,' drawn up under the inspection of the Duchess du Maine, by the Cardinal de Polignac, Monsieur Malesieu, and Counsellor Davisart of the Parliament of Toulouse, who had been lately recommended to the Duke for his wit, and his extraordinary talents in business.

"The Duchess du Maine herself contributed not a little to this work, by her own knowledge and happy intellects, and likewise by very laborious researches. The greatest part of the night was spent in them. The mountainous heaps of volumes on her bed, she said, made her look like Enceladus, crushed under Mount Etna. I also assisted in the important toil, and used to turn over old chronicles, and civilians ancient and modern, *till excessive fatigue inclined the princess to take some rest, and then I had to read her to sleep.*"

All this while Mademoiselle de Launay lived in a miserable apartment, which had neither fire nor light, but what it received from an antechamber; she calls it her "cave." Her whole occupation was to subserve to the wants and humours of a pragmatistical woman, who had no feeling for anybody but herself, and took the most absorbing attention as a matter of course.

Mademoiselle de Launay, now getting into middle life, had had a succession of lovers and attachments from an early period. She relates them with extraordinary and delightful candour. The first attachment, on her part, was a matter of vanity, in order to fancy herself of an age to have one, and to be of "importance." Monsieur de Rey, a married man, and the celebrated Abbé de Vertot, made her generous offers of pecuniary assistance, which appeared to her in too suspicious a light to accept; but she afterwards thought well of de Rey, who had proposed to settle on her an annuity for life, in order to hinder her from becoming a nun. The French memoirs are full of these instances of generosity, as genuine, on many occasions, as they are doubtless mixed up with less disinterested motives on others. Mademoiselle de Launay's first real attachment was to the Marquis de Silly, a brother of a friend of hers, who boarded in the convent; but the love was not returned. During her connexion with the Duchess de la Ferté, she became acquainted with the Abbé de Saint Pierre (the first of that name), with the celebrated Fontenelle, who esteemed her, and with Du Verney, a famous anatomist, who having understood that she had read one of his works and admired it, took a prodigious liking to her, and amused people with crying her up everywhere as "the woman, in all France, who had the best knowledge of the human body." Her situation at the Duchess du Maine's did not hinder her from being visited by many admirers, but



they were not marrying ones. Among them was the famous Abbé de Chaulieu, the poet of the French loves and graces, who would, perhaps, have married her had she wished it, and who gave her an unlimited command of his purse as well as his carriage; but she lets us understand that she shewed him no more regard than that of friendship and an agreeable kind of assumption, pleasant to old gallants, who are willing to think themselves of any sort of consequence; and we may believe her, not only for her natural candour, but because the Abbé was nearly eighty years of age, and blind. The lively old poet had been so used to fancy himself in love, and to pet somebody, that he could not leave it off. His wit however remained, and he appears to have been a really generous man, in spite of the cynical piece of pleasantry, *à la Northcote*, which is related in the following passage:—

“The Abbé de Chaulieu, who had for me as lively a passion as four-score years is capable of, taxed me with a little coquetry. I assured him, that it was entirely owing to the necessity I was under of pleasing, in order to make the rigours of my position in some measure supportable; and that had I not seasoned my behaviour with a little of it, I should have been left to myself. I gave him my word, and I kept it, that when I should be the mistress of a window and a chimney, I should lay aside all thoughts of rendering myself agreeable. The poor Abbé, who was blind, attributed to me, in his imagination, those graces which were most apt to charm him; and as any of his own were now out of the question, he endeavoured to recommend himself by complaisance, and by anticipating whatever I could desire. I omit his verses on me, though they showed that, in such an advanced age, his wit retained all the sprightliness of youth. To this incense, which with some has so intoxicating a fragrancy, the Abbé also proposed to add presents; and one day, after some importunate instance, that I should accept of a thousand pistoles, I said to him, ‘I advise you, in return for your generous offer, not to make the like to many women: you would find some one to take you at your word.’ ‘*Oh!*’ says he, ‘*I know whom I am speaking to.*’ This ingenuous answer set me a-laughing. He was often persuading me to dress, and endeavoured to make me ashamed of my appearance. ‘Abbé,’ said I to him, ‘what I am without is my ornament.’ Having no other resource than his complaisances, he repeated them incessantly. He used to write a letter to me every morning, and came to see me every day, unless I directed otherwise. His letters were to know my pleasure; and, when I preferred his coach to his company, he sent it me without repining, and I disposed of it as I pleased; I was absolute all over his house.”

The Abbé’s love-letters were put on paper for him by a little footboy of his, in a charity-child’s hand, and badly spelt. The poet died a few weeks after his fair friend’s liberation from the Bastille. “I saw him,” she tells us, “and observed, how in that condition, what is of no further service to us becomes indifferent. He had shown an extreme concern at my imprisonment, but manifested not the least joy at my deliverance. I had a true sense of the approaching loss of a friend, who seemed to make it his business to relieve my life with all the satisfaction and pleasure it was capable of. I was not, indeed, without some one who took care I should want nothing that was really necessary to me; but in this agreeable office he was never replaced.”



The death-bed "indifference," mentioned in this passage, is a very French remark of the old school, and it was, perhaps, deserved; but for a man who had been bred up, and passed his life in the worldly way of this rich and joyous Abbé, the justice of it may be excused. Perhaps it was not altogether indifference; something of objection might be mixed up with it, to the carelessness with which Mademoiselle de Launay may have treated him during her imprisonment; for in the Bastille she conceived her second real attachment, the object of which was a fellow-prisoner, the Chevalier de Menil. It was returned, and went on prosperously for a good while, stimulated by the obstacles of prison difficulties and stolen interviews; but the Chevalier, when they were set free, contrived to throw it off; we fear, not without some reasons on the side of the lady's temper, which was never very perfect, and had been irritated by her anxiety to retain him. One unfortunate perversity seems to have attended her all her life, the effect, perhaps, of the self-will indulged in the nunnery: she had a propensity to like those most who cared least for her, and to be indifferent to the hearts she conquered. In the Bastille she made a conquest of a real lover, the sub-lieutenant of the place, a man of the name of Maisonrouge, somewhat blunt in his manner, but of so true an affection for her, that he served her in every possible way, at the risk of his office; procured her interviews, even at the expense of his peace, with the man she preferred; in short, to use her own words, evinced for her "attention without ceasing, complaisance without reserve, a perpetual tenderness without self-love, a greater desire of contenting her for his own sake than of being agreeable to her for his." The prison incidents (too long to repeat here) with which this excellent man is mixed up, throw an air of touching romance on this part of Mademoiselle de Launay's biography; but he got nothing by his devotion. When the Chevalier de Menil gave her up, she had "some thoughts," she tells us, "of rewarding poor Maisonrouge's faithful attachment;" but they were "disconcerted by his death." "A lingering illness, with which he was seized soon after our separation, obliged him to go into his native province for the benefit of the air, and to drink the water, but there he died; I lamented him infinitely more than I had been able to value him."

This phrase, "some thoughts," is affecting from its very air. The poor sub-lieutenant, with his want of cultivation, did not suit the accomplished "Abigail" of the Duchesse du Maine, and yet she found reason to regret him. It is difficult to know whether to regard our heroine's subsequent accounts of her husband-hunting (for such it plainly was) with more astonishment at its self-abasement, or admiration of its candour: pity will help the latter feeling to predominate. Mademoiselle de Launay, her friends, and the Duchesse du Maine herself, were all on the look-out for a husband for her,—the lady and her friends very sincerely, the Duchess with great earnestness, till the project was likely to be realized, and then, like a proper tyrant, she always turned against it. The first lover that presented himself, or rather that was found out for her (and this by her old acquaintance, the Duchesse de la Ferté, who had got a liking to her again from her imprisonment, and was as lively as ever), was the celebrated Dacier, who had just been deprived of his more celebrated wife.

"In returning from Versailles yesterday," says the Duchess, "I met poor Dacier, at the Marshal de Villeroy's; it really grieves one to see



him. He declared to us, that his grief was the same as at the very first day, and it would soon or late be his death. 'Well,' said I, 'there is still one way to relieve you; you must marry again.' 'Bless me!' cried he, 'where shall I find a woman to replace her whom I have lost?' 'Mademoiselle de Launay,' answered I. He appeared quite struck, and, after some pause, replied,—'She is the only one in the world with whom I could live, and who would not injure the memory of Madame Dacier.' The Marshal and I seeing him stagger, jointly pushed the overture, and have entirely brought him to listen to it. *He shall marry you.* He is a famous man, and has money, and you will succeed an illustrious woman; there will be honour and advantage too in this match." This I was well convinced of, and expressed *great acknowledgment of the care which she was still pleased to take of a provision for me.* She assured me that she would follow *the affair close*, and bring it to a good issue. However, other circumstances fell out, and the Duchess went a journey into the country, where diversion superseded her intention. *I mentioned it to Monsieur de Valincourt*, who approved of it, and took more connected measures *for bringing it about.* He was intimate with Monsieur Dacier from a similarity of talents and dispositions, and easily *got out of him* what the Duchesse de la Ferté had said. M. Dacier owned that the motion, though but slightly thrown, had made a strong impression on him, and that ever since his thoughts had been taken up with the means of rendering his views acceptable to me. Monsieur de Valincourt undertook to break the affair to me, and to let him know my inclinations."

Dacier was old, and really sorrowful for the loss of his wife, who, in every respect, suited him; but he had enough of the *vieux garçon* in his composition to give his wish for consolation a loving turn, and nothing remained to complete the affair but the consent of the Duchesse du Maine, who refused it. She had forbidden her attendant to take any step for bringing back the Chevalier de Menil, *but now was for reviving that connexion.* Mademoiselle de Launay, in spite of her wish to get free, was not in any hurry to obtain her liberty by means of the old scholar, notwithstanding his vivacity. She says, with great naïveté (which is heightened by a bad translation), that she had once a conversation with him, in which he "shewed such an eagerness as made her draw back," and that she then felt the "*inconveniency*" of a husband who has such a degree of fondness "as one cannot bring one's self to." From this dilemma she was relieved, not without lamentations for the lost opportunity of procuring her freedom, by the death of poor Dacier; *and then the Duchesse du Maine expressed a provoking regret that she had missed a settlement.*

The next person to be conquered into matrimony was a Chevalier de G——, an arrogant sort of gentleman, whose temper was "so dry and unequal, that his very virtues were scarcely supportable;" yet he had made some "notable conquests," and poor Mademoiselle de Launay counted him a man of "probity," and "made *some advances* to him," which were not taken amiss. The reciprocity, however, was so doubtful, that the lady thought to bring the question to issue by threatening to go into a convent. The gallant Chevalier was not frightened, and the matter came to nothing. Among other offers, was that of a gentleman whose affairs were in such confusion, that the lady could not induce herself to enter a labyrinth from which there was no outlet. "A kind



of country gentleman" then took it into his head, that a person at court, and in favour with a princess, might make his fortune, and, in consequence, he offered to marry her; but he could not make out a decent prospect from his "accounts;" and so this new "affair" miscarried. Then came "a man of middling rank, but rich, living at Paris very retired, and wanting a discreet wife to keep him company, *but no questions were to be asked*," and Mademoiselle de Launay wisely abstained from the wish of marrying a knave or a Blue-Beard. Then followed a gentleman about fifty, with a pretty estate in the country, who wished to complete it with a fair companion and a little more money (for at this part of her book, Mademoiselle de Launay tells us that her friends "had left her some marks of their affection"). The gentleman, however, would allow of no connexions but his own, and the lady would not enter into engagements which she knew the Duchess would not approve.

At length comes the important, the final man, and how does her Serene Highness think proper to introduce the lady to him? Under what flattering terms? Behold a specimen of royal consideration for feelings. The Duchess had commissioned an officer's lady to look out among the Swiss Guards, commanded by her husband, for "*one who would marry a woman without birth, youth, beauty, or fortune*,"—a discovery, says the authoress, "which the thirteen cantons put together could scarcely afford, so that the good gentlewoman was a long time about it!" If this is not the very excess of the candour of long-suffering, never did it exist. At length, however, the gentleman is found; he was a Monsieur de Stahl, a man of birth, middle-aged, and of an honest countenance, living with his two daughters in a neat, little country-house, with a dairy to it.

While the Swiss officer's lady was giving this and other accounts of him, "her discourse" (says the fair auto-biographer) "presented to my mind an image of natural life, the contrast of which with mine gave a relief to every object, and filled me with admiration of its mild and simple beauties. At that time I was *on a milk diet*, and nothing seemed to me *so satisfactory* as to have *cows of one's own*." Then follows one of those affecting acknowledgments, of which this curious book is full:—"The pride of mankind carefully hides from them the low circumstances which have contributed to determine them on the most important occasions, and it is only an exact and difficult retrospect which can find them out. Now, behold me quite in love with the new kind of life which I propose to lead."

The stipulations on the part of Monsieur de Stahl were, first, that being a lieutenant in the Swiss Guards, and having a captain whose apoplectic tendencies had hindered him from performing his duties, he should succeed to his post when the apoplexy had finally settled him; and second, that having acted as captain meanwhile, he should at once receive the title of commandant. After receiving this favour as a pledge of the other, he was willing to marry the lady. "Some difficulties" took place; the lady herself became unwilling as the time approached; and the Duchesse du Maine played fast and loose till the very last, respecting the continuance of her connexion with her, alternately insisting upon it, and giving it up with true royal perversity. Even when the match had at length taken place, her Serene Highness, though she had declared that she would interfere with her duties no longer, layed her veto in the most peremptory manner upon her passing a particular week with



her husband, who was going on a campaign, though both husband and wife “ passionately desired it.” That was the reason for the veto. “ You will a thing, do you ? Then my will shall prove itself stronger than yours.” This is the whole substance and secret of all such perplexities—of millions of wretched homes.

Mons. de Stahl turned out to be the honest man his wife had taken him for ; not very remarkable for his wit, nor a very chatty companion ; but a man of good plain understanding and an excellent temper. It is difficult to say which of the two had the worst or the best of the bargain ; for if the gentleman wanted conversation for the lady, there is reason to suspect that a life of disappointments had soured her temper beyond redemption. One event however occurred which may have sweetened the last dregs. A friend of her’s died, and left her her diamond-ring, and “ a pretty country-house which had been her delight.”

There is one thing, which must not be omitted in favour of the Duchesse du Maine, especially as it is the only one of the kind. In spite of her love of pleasure and dissipation, and her long indulgence in it, she attended her husband, through a painful illness, with the greatest and kindest assiduity. But, it is added, that his death deprived her of a husband, “ over whom she had an invincible ascendancy, from which she used to draw the greatest advantages.” However, the disposition could not have been radically bad which, for any purpose, could treat such a husband so well. A nature thoroughly deficient in well-disciplined feelings and good sense, tyrannizes over its victims on all occasions, and contrives to keep in a perpetual state of distress even those whom it professes to love.

To show how a piece of nature lays hold of us,—I had not read the *Memoirs of Madame de Stahl* since I was a youth, and the only thing that I particularly remembered in it was the circumstance related in the following passage :—

“ I met with a very joyful reception at my convent, where I lived, as usual, with my select friends Mons. Brunel, Mademoiselle d’Epinay, and Mons. de Rey, who still showed a great regard for me ; yet, from two or three slight circumstances, I discerned some decrease in his sentiments. I often used to visit Mademoiselle d’Epinay, where he seldom failed of being. As they lived very near the convent, I generally walked home and he accompanied me. In the way was a large square, *and in the beginning of our acquaintance he constantly kept along the sides of it, whereas he now crossed it ;* whence I concluded his love to be diminished, at least the whole difference of the diagonal to the two sides of the square.”

The sincerity which is the great charm of this book, (and by which we are to understand a real love of truth, apart from considerations of egotism, and not that ill-natured delight *in speaking one’s mind*, as some people call it, which implies a wish to mortify, and a mind very unfit to be spoken,) was doubtless the great attraction in the character of the authoress, and what kept her young so long, and procured her the regard of Chaulieu in his old age. There is no virtue which it is so reviving to a man of the world to meet with, or which so enables him to believe in the existence of something good, when it has failed him in other quarters. The gallant Lord Peterborough, in his old age, fell in love with the Countess of Suffolk, for no other reason ; and his love was reasonable on that account, old as he was.



## SKETCHES OF PARIS.

## No. I.—A MINISTER'S SALON.

*Paris, January 30th, 1833.*

You beg me to tell you something of Paris. Here are some leaves from my scrap-book.

I paid a visit this evening to Messrs. Guizot, Thiers, D'Argout, Soult. It is rather ridiculous in most cases,—the contrast between the splendid hotel of the minister, and the manners simple and somewhat rustic of the man. You see two parts of two different states of society badly joined together; one of the many instances of the strife between the manners and the ideas of the epoch. There is not a chair on which a republican sits that does not speak of the luxury of Louis XV. These ministerial *soirées* are attended by diplomats and deputies, each of whom go more or less to see or be seen by the other. It is the same troop which rushes from *salon* to *salon*, and seems never to have a word to say to its master. Now and then the great man is taken apart—he smiles and bows—it is a solicitor who has asked for a place, and is not much the nearer to it for having obtained a promise. In this country, where the fortunes are small, and the patronage excessive, people consider a place as we do the heritage of a relation, certain to come to us some day or other, sooner or later; they count upon it, live upon it, and get credit upon their chance of it from their friends and relations.

The persons for whom these days of reception are really wanted are the provincials, who would honestly imagine that no government existed, if they did not see it, and talk to it, and court it. The bow and the smile they receive is to them the *loi vivante*, and they enter the courtyard of the president of the council with the same feeling of security and satisfaction that they take up the code of the constitution.

It is this which sustains those princely *salons* in the monarchy of republican institutions. Every thing here is perishable and daily perishing. Legislation, a very Proteus, may array itself in its various forms, and be called by its various names of monarchy, legitimate or illegitimate, republic, communal or directorial; but as long as the morals and manners of the people change but little, there will, in fact, be little difference in the government: nor will it be easy to associate those desires of luxury and elegance in which the ancient aristocracy still exercises its influence with that equality which is called for by the popular voice of the present day. The passion for equality which proceeds, not from any feeling of individual greatness and independence, but from a restless jealousy for every one placed a step above ourselves, is a hateful passion—it is the passion which pervades the society of France, and gives its people their alternate tone of insolence and servility—it is the passion which permits no public man to enjoy an honest reputation, and which fills the *salons* of every man in power, whatever his dishonesty may be, with hosts of flatterers and solicitors.

The most superficial observer of what is passing here will see that the habits are pushing to a despotism, the ideas to a republic.

That government will alone be stable which unites the two: a mo-



narchy with republican institutions did this in name, and the people at once sympathized with the name ; but a monarchy with republican institutions is an impossible monster, and the next attempt very probably will be at a republic, in the spirit and with the institutions of a monarchy.

Mons. Dupin, when he stated the simple fact that, in 1827, the purchase of furniture, plate, and jewellery was increasing by twenty millions of francs a year, gave us a sounder basis for any political theory we may choose to build here, than we shall find in the rhapsodies of Mons. Chateaubriand, or the declamations of Mons. Mauguin.

As to the present Ministers themselves, their history is to be read in the *Gazette* or the *Debats*, and there is, perhaps, as much truth spoken of them in one as in the other. It matters less that the Duc de Broglie is a doctrinaire, or that M. Guizot was a royalist, or that Marshal Soult is a hypocrite, or that M. D'Argout is a turncoat, or that M. Thiers is a thief, than that of the most capable men in France there is not one who is not accused of being *sans principes*, save him who is ridiculed *pour en avoir trop*. Personally, there is little to say of them, except that they pretty well realize the idea one might have formed.

Mons. Guizot, a little, dark man, with a mild, pedagogic manner, *physiquement* as well as *moralement epuisé*, has the air, in opening his *salon*, of a schoolmaster who is just receiving after the holidays. He is, however, gracious and *spirituel* in his conversation, and gives one decidedly the idea of a man of merit, though one does not feel so sure that he is an able minister.

Mons. D'Argout I had no opportunity of speaking with. His tall, gaunt figure is unprepossessing ; but his *salon*, and that of Soult, were filled with far better company than I found at Thiers' or Guizot's. Soult himself is now a very old man, cold and uncourtly in his address, and yet with a certain air of the Grand Seigneur, which he had not five years ago, and which may be compared with Peel's manner in the House of Commons, and comes from the same feeling, viz., that he is superior to all around him.

Thiers I did not speak to. I was disappointed in his countenance. It was less imaginative than I had expected ; and the roguish leer in the eye was rather that of a man who was cheating at cards than playing with men.

## NO. II.—THE YOUNG ROYALISTS.

The young M. de —, who was lately compromised in the affair of La Vendée, is one of the best specimens of a young and liberal royalist of the school of Chateaubriand and Martignac.

Never seen at the Tuileries during the prosperity of Charles the Tenth, immediately on arriving from Algiers he hurried to Lulworth ; and disdaining, as he says, to control a sentiment which he thinks chivalrous and noble, by any prudential calculations, he has ever since been ready for any enterprise, however desperate, which the misguided family at Holyrood have felt inclined to sanction. He will neither permit himself, nor any one else, to reason with him on this subject. " If the mob had been reasonable," he says, " they would never have ventured with an army of hackney-coaches to overthrow the ancient dynasty at Rambouillet."



One sees in this young man, more strongly than in any instance I ever met with, how much depends on circumstances; the benumbing, soporific effect of prosperity, and the advantages which, in the developement of intellect and character, adversity has at least the merit to bestow.

Four years ago, ——— was a French dandy, occupied with nothing but his horses, his tilbury, his neckcloths, his waistcoats, and pantaloons. Hurrying from amusement to amusement, the only thought that ever came across him at times was, that he—bored. With an easy income, and one of the most illustrious names in France (at that time a fortune), handsome, graceful, and just married to a wife in every way accomplished, the grand-daughter of the Duc de C——, and an heiress, he had every thing to be desired; and yet, with all these advantages, there is no comparison in the measure of respect which he received from those who knew him then, and that which is paid him by those who know him now.

The life he leads, and has led, is curious, as a specimen of that pursued by many in the same situation.

For the last two years he has spent eight months of each year in a lonely chateau in the country, with his thoughts and books. He has dismissed even the appearance of pleasure—horses, equipage, &c. In Paris he goes no where, but to the club in the Rue de Grammont. At home he never receives visitors, and is only to be found by one or two friends, whom he invites to a dinner which is nowise changed on their account. If he has any society, it is that of artists and men of letters, who, he feels by a certain instinct, will throw a kind of dignity and poesy about his position. Such, too, is in general the society of that class of royalists to which he belongs; partly because the head of their party inspires a respect for his own distinction, and partly because there is in their feelings and politics a hazardous situation,—a something noble, imaginative, and dangerous, which seeks for thoughts and sympathies higher than those of the ordinary herd.

With a feeling that he should be disgraced by the *mauvaise compagnie* of the court, he begged me to get him introduced to the *soirées* of a bookseller. ——— has a small house in the Rue Blanche; with two suites of apartments, one below for reception, consisting of an entrance-hall, two *salons*, and a *salle à manger*—one above in which he usually lives. The entrance-hall opens into a *salon*, on one side of which are Madame ———'s bed-room and cabinet, on the other his study and bed-room. The nursery and servants' rooms are on the second story. The whole house is furnished with that nice tact, almost exclusively aristocratic, which escapes being ostentatious, without falling into the still more vulgar affectation of simplicity. One of the *salons* below is in chintz, the other in the old damask silk of Louis the XIV.; and the antique pieces of family porcelain, and the rich chairs of silver brocade, have each a kind of family history attached to it which makes their finery venerable. His own cabinet or study up stairs is *à la comfortable*—large and lounging chairs, plain mahogany bookcases, a commodious bureau or secretaire—on the side of the wall opposite the chimney-piece are suspended a variety of arms of curious and foreign workmanship, not bought in a broker's, but obtained each of them under some peculiar and interesting circumstance. A Bedouin's knife, a trophy of the battle of Algiers, a Turkish sabre or a Greek ataghan, a remembrance of the



expedition to the Morea, a Spanish dagger, wrested from the hands of an assassin in the romantic Cadiz. These, and two or three small pictures and sketches by the best modern masters, give to this little apartment a classic and picturesque appearance. With this family, as with all those of the same opinion, the present king is held in a kind of abhorrence.

The violence of parties, too, is carried to a much greater extent than we, even during the days of the reform-bill, have been accustomed to. Mons. de ——— sees none of his wife's relations. Madame, who shares her husband's opinions, speaks of everybody as *bien* or *mal pensant*. There is a portrait of Henry the Fifth, above and below, and the black man with whom the children are frightened is Louis Philippe.

I was sitting alone with ———, his wife having left us after dinner to go to the opera, when the door opened quickly, and she entered with a flushed countenance and an agitation difficult to describe. "Madame est prise !" were the first words she uttered ; and if his father's death had been announced to him, ———'s face could hardly have undergone a more sudden or terrible alteration. "Comment le savez vous," said he, as if desirous to doubt the fact. "Ce'st vrai, ce'st bien vrai : elle est prise—tout le monde l'a su à l'opera—et Mons. de Gerardin en est sorti toute suite. D'ailleurs 'La Nouvelliste' (a ministerial evening journal), l'a dit." ——— and I immediately went to the club. The capture of Madame de B. was in the "Nouvelliste ;" and ——— left me to visit some friend in the Faubourg St. Germain.

To this family loyalty historically belongs. If it is for any one, it is for the descendants of James II. to shew respect for the misfortunes of Charles X. ; and let us not disguise the fact, that there is in these days of calculating egotism, something in the noble devotion to any political principle, be it royalism, or republicanism, which makes us respect even those whose theories are impracticable, or those whose superstition is gone by.

But we must not confound opinions ; among that class of royalists to which M. de ——— belongs, the principle of hereditary succession, though accompanied by a personal respect and affection for the prince, is by no means attached to the idea of his possessing any divine right to the throne of his fathers. Henri V. is considered as a guarantee of stability and durability ; as a link between the past and the present—as a decoration and an illustration to that system in which the name of a monarchy is preserved ; it would be as absurd to accuse M. de Chateaubriand of wishing to restore the system of Louis XIV. as it would be to reproach M. Mauguin with the desire of consecrating the reign of Reason, and repeating the frenzied crimes of Robespierre. "Il n'y a plus d'oriflamme ni de Chevaliers bardés de fer et prêts à suivre la panache blanche," &c. &c.

### No. III.—A DUCHESS OF THE EMPIRE.

One of the singularities of the present state of society here, is a Bookseller introducing me to a Duchess of the Empire, who lives in a convent. You mount a small staircase to the right. The two rooms that were furnished—the larger one is waiting a second edition of the memoirs perhaps—are pretty, but small.

About twenty persons were crowded in there ; and the company was



of a mosaic description, that corresponded with the combination which had brought my introducer and my hostess into acquaintanceship.

Here was a general of Napoleon—there a member of the Institut—an antique beauty of the Directory, flirting with a young poet—and a dandy of the day (with a cravat almost as high and as stiff as I remember, in 1817, the sixth form rejoiced in at Harrow), in earnest *coquetterie* with a *femme de lettres*, already more immortal than her works. My patron, who, in the circle before us, found himself the life, and soul, and bread, and soup, and fame, and glory, of at least a dozen who wrote works which they did not sign, or signed works which they did not write, held up his head and regulated his regards with all the magnanimity of a Mæcenas; and was, what the Duke de Choiseul would have been in a similar circle seventy years ago.

*La librairie est une des aristocraties de l'époque*, and a bookseller, of talent and reputation, is, in point of power and consequence, far above a peer of France.

Madame Junot herself is a little woman, with a stature indecisive between the fat and the *en bon point*. Her face could never have been pretty or beautiful, but piquant, original, and voluptuous. Her eyes are dark and full of fire, and her bosom, though she must be between fifty and sixty, firm and smooth as satin. So that in spite of wrinkles, rouge, and a certain air of age about the mouth, one can understand, that two years ago M. Balzac was her lover, and that, at the present moment, there are many with similar pretensions.

She has a *ton bref* and *tant soit peu brutal*, which has as much of the *vivandière* as the *maréchale* in it; but she is *spirituelle*, quick, and full of that kind of passion to speak, which puts a conversation at once *en train*.

#### NO. IV.—THE COURTIER OF THE OLD REGIME.

I dined with M. de — and a gentleman, the Marquis de —, *homme à la mode* in the reign of Louis XVI., and who had seen Louis XV. sup with Mde. Dubarri. “What do you think of society now and society then, Marquis?”—“For society to those who lived when I did, there is no society now. How can you expect there should be any, when here was my young friend who was going to cut my throat during dinner, because we don’t happen to have the same political opinions? When I was a young man, Sir, the only thought and occupation of every one was, ‘How shall we most amuse ourselves!’ All the wit and all the talent which is now working itself out in a variety of channels was then concentrated in creating pleasure.” “But the shopkeepers, they were a different race?” “O yes, they lived frugally and laid by their money, not with the idea of becoming gentlemen themselves, but with the hope and expectation that their great-grand-children might become so. People rose gradually;—the son of a shopkeeper purchased a charge; his son purchased one higher;—by degrees the family rose to the dignities of the magistrature and the parliament; and thus it arrived there prepared for a situation which had gradually approached.

“The common people had less desires, and were consequently more happy in their poverty, and more virtuous, being less exposed to temptation. This reminded me of the old lady from Bretagne whom I met the other day, ‘And how are the peasantry in your country?’—‘Oh!



Monsieur,' said she, 'naivement, ils sont les meilleurs gens du monde, car ils sont si ignorans;' and for luxury and comfort and all that sort of thing, M. le Marquis? There was a greater kind of luxury,—more servants, more plate, more horses,—but the table-cloth was not so fine and so clean,—the rooms were not so well lighted,—all the little articles of luxury were not so much attended to. But when you talk of luxury you must remember that one class of persons, the most luxurious, is entirely extinct. The courtezan exists no more than the mammoth. In my time she kept her carriage,—had her beautiful apartments or her *petite maison*,—gave her *soirées*, which were more difficult to get to than those of the queen. Everything about her breathed that *volupté* and that desire to which she devoted herself." "And how did she support her establishment?" "Oh, she lived either with a gambler or a Grand Seigneur. I remember your grandfather, Jaques, saying, when he had lost all his fortune, 'au moins puis-je garder Julie et un cabriolet!' The Prince de Soubise had seven *figurantes* who had each their night. He allowed them lovers, but they were obliged to be of the *noblesse*. I remember the Count de Segur was very much in love with Mdlle. Adèle. 'Ah, Prince,' said some one to him, 'if you knew the pain every Tuesday that you give to the poor Segur. Mais qu'a-t-il donc? Il aime Mdlle. Adèle. Quels enfans! pourquoi ne me l'ont-ils pas dit? Elle ne viendra donc plus que jusque cela soit passé;—cela passera.'"

#### NO. V.—THE BOOKSELLERS.

I went to M. —, publisher and bookseller. Janin was there, the author of the "Ane Morte, Barnave," &c., a little dark man with a brilliant olive complexion, and an Italian countenance; vulgar, very gesticulatory, and handsome if he had not a squint. He lives with Mdlle. Georges, and makes about 2000 napoleons a-year. He is accused of having no principles,—of writing in every newspaper 'Juste milieu,'—royalist and republican. Of course, he did nothing but talk of the want of principle in France; and I saw, as he continued to talk, that he did not exactly know what principle was. I observed this to my next neighbour. "You are right," said he, "Janin wants a conscience, poor fellow; it is not his fault, he was born without one, just as another man may be born without an arm or a leg."

It is this which shocks one in the present state of France,—not the predominating influence of talent, which indeed is the only influence; but that talent, without honour or principle, should possess this influence. It is, in fact, stripping the empire of genius of all its advantages,—its poesy,—its beauty,—its chivalry. It is those advantages for which we wish and feel an interest in the triumph of intellect, because we believe that it will bring to the affairs of the world the greatness and the sublimity which can only be learnt in the closet,—that it will invest with poesy and grandeur the things which have hitherto been treated by vulgar minds as incompatible with such poesy and such grandeur.

But if the talent which succeeds is to be the talent of a Crispin or a Scapin,—if the cunning and intrigue of the *parvenu* is to take the place of the insolence and prerogatives of the ancient nobility;—if France is to be governed, and the world is to be governed, by men who, if they were not ministers and men of letters, would be swindlers at the Palais



Royal; swindlers with loaded dice; packers and shufflers of cards,—we have retrograded instead of advanced, and we shall be obliged to go back to something worse than we started from in order to arrive at better things.

Mr. F——'s apartments are up one pair of stairs only; but that pair is a dirty and ill-lighted one. His lodging, too, is small; three little rooms,—prettily furnished,—and with that kind of taste which we should call feminine in England, and which shows in its prevalence that the men live much more with the women here than with us. M. F——, however, complains of his lodging as not fine enough. He is going to get another;—"200 or 300 francs, more or less, for a *soirée*." "What is that to me?" said he,—and this is the genius of the present race of young men engaged in commerce and affairs.

You find the taste and the manners of the aristocracy have been divided in the same manner as the fortunes.

The antique nobility is not destroyed, it has only been cut up into pieces. Society is the Hôtel de Montmorency divided out into apartments; and, of one Grand Seigneur, time has made an infinity of little ones.

### THE HERMIT OF THE RUE DE LA PAIX.

---

## THE VEILED PICTURE.

### A TALE OF THE FINE ARTS.

---

the good die first,  
But those whose hearts are dry as summer dust  
Burn to the sockets.—*Wordsworth*.

SOME time since, at one of the artists' *conversazioni* which are held in London, I recognized an individual whom I had previously known at Rome. He was considered, in that metropolis of the arts, a young man of first-rate genius; and certainly those of his productions which had come before my notice, I thought fully entitled him to lay claim to so exalted a character. We became intimate, and were much in each other's society. I found, as I listened to his observations on his favourite study, which he idolized with an exalted enthusiasm, that, to the exquisite taste and mature judgment he evinced on every subject connected with art, he joined a highly poetical imagination, and a mind well stored with the treasures of classical literature. When I left Italy we parted with mutual regret, and I proceeded on my travels, leaving him to continue his studies with every probability of his soon becoming famous. Since then I had not heard from him, although I was frequently an ear-witness of his praises: the joy, therefore, with which we met may easily be imagined.

I observed a strange alteration in his person and features. When I left him he possessed a handsome athletic form, eyes flashing with animation, and a countenance whereon the hues of health and manly beauty had been mellowed beneath the influence of a southern sun. He now appeared thin and pale, a shadow of deep melancholy enshrouded his features; and his gaze, which used to make all glad on which it fell, forced the spectator to participate in the wretchedness it expressed: he was evidently suffering from illness.

"Good God! Arthur," I exclaimed, as I approached him, "what has caused this fearful change? Have you been ill?"



He seemed pleased at meeting me, but did not answer my question. I repeated it, and with a forced laugh he answered me that he was very well, laying an emphasis on the two last words. He then changed the subject, and we talked of old times and old adventures; our troubles after Raffaelles and Salvators among monasteries and palaces; and our dangers in search of the picturesque among mountains and brigands. He entered into the conversation, but he had lost all that sparkling vivacity which had always made him so agreeable a companion. He smiled occasionally, when I brought to his recollection any odd incident which had previously given us food for many hours' laughter; but the smile was so faint, that it only showed more vividly the suffering it attempted to conceal. It was quite painful to me to observe the change which had taken place, and my heart ached as I listened to his broken voice.

I received the most pressing invitations to visit him frequently, of which, on the first opportunity, I gladly availed myself; for all my sympathies were awakened for his appearance, and I thought if I could find out the cause of the alteration, I might possibly discover some remedy which would restore him to the health and happiness he had previously enjoyed. On my first visit he showed me several of his productions. Many of them were grand, some remarkably beautiful, and all gave signs of extraordinary genius. The subjects were mostly historical; but there were some landscapes and imaginative compositions, and a few portraits; they possessed a richness of colour, and a correctness of drawing, rarely equalled in modern painting. His figures were designed in a masterly style—his females particularly; they were worthy of the highest praise, and possessed a character of intellectual beauty which made one feel disposed to worship them as beings of a superior order. One painting only he neglected to show me; it was in his *studio*, carefully veiled with a green curtain. I thought it at first rather strange that he should pass it unnoticed; but imagining it to be unfinished, I made no remark upon the subject.

I afterwards visited him frequently. The only real pleasure he seemed to enjoy was, when I sat by his easel while he was busily employed, and read to him the best classic authors; but his health did not improve. He seemed declining rapidly, and I began to fear he was labouring under the effects of some malady which was secretly undermining his constitution; yet he never complained, and when I asked him if he was ill, he would always reply in the negative. He took but little nourishment, and drank very sparingly of wine. At last he seemed wasting away so rapidly, that I found it impossible any longer to restrain myself from interfering, and determined, at any risk, to get at the knowledge of the hidden mischief, whose effects appeared every day to me becoming more dangerous.

One day after I had been reading Plato to him in the original, to whose philosophy he listened as if his soul was bound up in the words, I laid the book aside, and addressed him in the most kind and persuasive language I could use, while I watched to observe what effect my discourse produced.

"Arthur," said I, "it is evident to me that you have some secret which is ruining your peace of mind, and destroying your health."

I observed that he trembled, and changed colour, but did not speak.

"Pardon me," I continued, "if I am intruding upon your private thoughts. I am influenced by a regard for your welfare, and I cannot retain the sacred name of friend, if I see that you are miserable, and attempt nothing to render you happy."

"Happy!" he exclaimed involuntarily, but with such an expression of anguish as can scarcely be imagined; and then relapsed into silence.

"I knew you," I proceeded, "at one time, when you seemed not to have a care in the world; when your heart was buoyant and your step light. I now find you like one who, in the world, has no occupation—whose soul is oppressed with a multitude of griefs—and whose foot clings to the earth as if the limbs were rooted to the ground. I am certain that some heavy disap-



pointment has fallen upon you, on which your happiness chiefly depended. I do not desire to participate in your secrets from feelings of idle curiosity; I am actuated by motives of a far higher character; but I must say, that I consider you very wrong in keeping your afflictions to yourself, when there is one beside you who is ever ready to share them, and to offer whatever consolation it is in his power to bestow."

He shook his head mournfully, as if to intimate that the remedy was beyond my aid.

"You ought to be convinced, my dear friend," I continued, "that the encouragement of any secret grief is wrong; there is a selfishness about it; it generates misanthropic feelings; is often followed by consequences of a debasing character to the moral excellence of the human heart; and I must think that mind little influenced by the golden truths of philosophy, that can continue in a practice so contrary to social love and generous fellowship. I know that you will not take offence at anything I can say to you on such an occasion as this, when I can regard nothing but the human wreck which I see before me, and can desire nothing but a speedy return to 'all its original brightness.' You may reply, perhaps, that there is a luxury in the sole enjoyment of grief; but it is one that should not be indulged in. Anything carried to excess is injurious—the feelings and passions of humanity particularly so; they create a delirious poison that runs through the blood, infecting all the channels of vitality, till the heart and soul are deprived of all their social qualities under its withering influence. For what are we endowed with reason, my dear Arthur, but to show how far we are superior to the rest of the creation, and to keep us from acting under the blind impulses of passion? You have allowed your feelings to get the better of your reason, and a morbid sloth has overpowered your better nature. Shake off this incubus—shake it off, I implore you." I observed a slight twitching of the muscles of the face as I concluded; his eyes glistened; he laid hold of one of my hands with a convulsive grasp, and nature, after a short struggle, triumphed. He turned away to conceal the weakness he had evinced, and I returned him a cordial pressure of the hand. I allowed him the full indulgence of his feelings, knowing that their influence would go farther towards producing the state of mind in which I wished to keep him, than all the eloquence of which I was master. At last he broke silence,—

"I had thought," said he, in a voice tremulous with agitation, "that the secret would have gone with me to the grave; but it is for the best, perhaps, that it should be divulged,—therefore I will tell you all."

He seemed as if he was preparing himself for an effort, and then continued—

"In my early youth I became acquainted with a young lady, whose beauty I will not eulogize, because you will soon have an opportunity of judging for yourself. I loved her; we were both young, but I was, by a few years, her senior; and in a short time she returned my affection with all the devotedness of woman's first love. We lived within a short distance of each other. My family had once moved in a sphere of the highest respectability, but misfortunes had humbled them, and they were obliged to find associates in a different community. Her father had amassed a considerable fortune by the most industrious habits, and in his old age continued the same employment with as much perseverance as he had practised in his youth. As long as he saw his family comfortable and his business productive, he cared not how the world went, and never interfered in domestic matters. Her mother was a vulgar and ignorant woman, of a tyrannical disposition, who considered wealth the only sign of respectability: she ruled everywhere. She took care that her children should be educated as well as money could make them, in the hope of their forming alliances that would increase her importance. Laura was the youngest of them all; it was strange that a form and nature of such rare workmanship should have been produced from



such materials ; but nature loves to disappoint the calculations of philosophers. She had but one brother, who was a few years older than herself ; he was the counterpart of his mother in all things, and consequently her idol. It is almost needless to say that I was objected to by them ; but this rather strengthened Laura's affection than the contrary, and we met clandestinely, and corresponded through the agency of her servant.

“ At a very early age I had given evidence of a talent for painting, and I was educated for that profession. I have already told you that my family had been unfortunate ; another reverse of fortune occurred, which obliged them to leave that neighbourhood for ever. At that time, having, I knew, nothing to depend upon but my own exertions, I thought that the world might suspect me of interested motives in retaining the affections of a young girl whose expectations were so far superior to my own ; therefore, after a long and painful struggle with my feelings, I came to the determination of discontinuing the connexion rather than throw myself open to such debasing suspicions. I wrote, and resigned all claim to her hand and heart ; as from my situation in society I was unable to offer her those advantages which I felt convinced she had a right to expect. Then, in language that can never fade from my memory, she replied—‘ When you have lost all affection for me, then, dearest Arthur, tell me that you cannot offer what I have a right to expect ; and she who now feels in calling herself only *your* Laura, will no longer style herself by so enviable a name.’ This silenced my scruples, and I resigned myself to the delightful enjoyment of loving and being loved.

“ Some envious wretch, like the Evil One, when he beheld the felicity of our first parents, had witnessed our happiness only with a design to mar it,—he told her family of our secret meetings. They were of course very much enraged, took advantage of Laura's absence to break open her writing-desk, and there discovered several of my letters. Laura was instantly sent for, overwhelmed with abuse, which she bore with the meekness of an angel, and made to indite a very angry letter to me, the purport of which was to reprove me for my presumption in daring to aspire to an alliance with her family, and to forbid any further correspondence. When I received it, it caused me much anxiety, and I began to believe in the general fickleness of womankind, but the next post brought me a letter from her full of womanly tenderness, and of words—

‘ Sweeter than the lids of Juno's eyes,  
Or Cytherea's breath.’

It cleared up the mystery.

“ Although she was watched with the most rigid espionage, and suffered every indignity from the family, because she would not promise to renounce me, for two years we continued to correspond with, and at intervals to meet, each other. She improved in beauty, and I in my profession. I studied long and earnestly for improvement, for I thought that only by attaining eminence I could prove myself worthy of her love. About this time her letters began to be less frequent, and our interviews at longer intervals. Yet in speech and in writing she seemed as kind as ever. At last she told me that our correspondence must be discontinued, as her mother had quarrelled with the faithful servant by whose agency it had been carried on ; and as she had been dismissed from her service, no letters of mine could come to her without being discovered ; she concluded her letter by saying—‘ I allow that time does make changes, but it never—never will in my regard for you ; and I tell you, dear Arthur, that while I can hear that you still remain firm in your affection to your Laura, no power on earth shall force me to give my hand to another.’ Although I could not but regret that the only channel of communication between us was no longer available, these assurances of her unalterable attachment convinced me of her sincerity, and I felt assured that the absence of my letters would make no difference in her regard for me. I placed the most unbounded confidence in her truth.”



As he concluded the sentence, Arthur linked his arm within mine, and led me before the picture, which I have noticed as the one concealed by a curtain.

"So deeply," he continued, "were her features fixed upon my memory, that wanting to paint a picture from the story of Abelard and Heloise, I made her as a study for the latter, and endeavoured to trace upon the canvass those charms which had made so lasting an impression on my heart. I had then no opportunity of seeing her, but she was ever in my thoughts; therefore, from memory I am indebted for the strong resemblance which the portrait bears to the original. There is no composition with which I have taken so much pains; I lingered over it like a mother over her first-born; I touched, and retouched it, and endeavoured to bestow upon it all the exquisite finish of a Gerard Dow. I have lately closed the painting from view, because it became too painful a mockery for me to bear."

With a trembling hand he drew aside the curtain, and I never beheld anything so lovely as the being before me; the atmosphere seemed to grow bright, as if a burst of sunshine had flashed upon the room. Heloise was designed as rising from a couch, on which she had been reclining, while her lover, kneeling at her feet, had, in the passionate eloquence of verse, declared the eagerness of his love. Her hair was light and of a glossy hue, parted off her fair and open forehead, and rested in luxuriant tresses upon her dazzling throat and swelling breast; her eyes were of that deep rich blue that seem born of Heaven, from their resemblance to the fair clouds which veil it from our sight, and were filled with that deep and earnest expression of womanly tenderness that subdues the heart on which it falls. Beauty seemed to breathe in the swelling outline of her form, and passion appeared to dwell in the melting fondness of her looks. Her dress was in the picturesque costume of the twelfth century, allowing the graceful shape of the limbs to be seen beneath its folds. The room was decorated with tapestry, on which were delineated subjects from scriptural history, and the rich light which fell upon the eloquent features of Heloise came mellowed through a window of painted glass, whereon a virgin and child were drawn in clear and fadeless colours.

I looked upon the painting with unconcealed rapture: it was a masterpiece. It appeared to possess all the flowing richness of colour which belongs to the Italian school, united with the exquisite finish of the Flemish painters. I think I should have gazed at it till nightfall, entranced in admiration, had I not been started by a heavy sigh. I hastily let fall the curtain, and turned round; my friend had sunk into a seat; his face was buried in his hands, and his attenuated frame shook with violent convulsions.

"Arthur!" said I, taking his thin hand in mine, "what ails you?"

"Nothing," he replied, faintly, catching his breath at intervals, as if something impeded his respiration, "nothing—nothing—my friend; 'tis a slight attack to which I am sometimes subject, but it will soon be over; there—there—I am better now—I am much better—I will now go on with my narrative."

"No, no, Arthur," I exclaimed, observing the agitation he was endeavouring to control, "you can continue it at some other time."

"Perhaps not, my friend—perhaps not," he replied; "I dare not trifle with time." He made a violent effort to conquer his weakness, and then, with assumed composure, continued. "Soon afterwards my productions attracted the attention of a certain nobleman, well known for the liberality with which he patronizes the fine arts, and he was so pleased with my compositions, that, after a short acquaintance, he offered, at his own expense, to send me to Italy to pursue my studies. This was a temptation I could not resist, and I soon accepted his generous offer. Although I sought frequently, I found no opportunity of having an interview with Laura before I left England; but when I arrived in Rome I determined to confine



myself to one object, that of rising in my profession, for the sole purpose of becoming worthy of her affection. The name of my noble patron was a passport to every *palazzo* in Rome, and I quickly availed myself of its influence. I studied the glorious creations of the antique till I felt imbued with the spirit of their beauty, and the immortal designs of the great painters I had before my eyes, till I became familiar with every excellence they possessed. There I found the best living models to draw from—women as lovely as the Madonnas of Raffaele, and men as finely shaped as the Deities of Canova.

“Three years I remained in Italy, seeking for eminence, and in some degree—in a degree which gave me a proud and happy consciousness of having succeeded in my endeavours—I obtained it. Yet Laura was never absent from my remembrance. I fed my heart with hopes of creating a name and fortune worthy her acceptance. I yearned for distinction, only for her sake. I was happy with the world and with all around me. I had obtained honours and rewards above my expectations, and I looked forward to the possession of Laura as the crowning gift which would give a value to the rest. She was present with me at all times, and in all places, and shed a line of beauty and excellence over all I did. If I wanted to design any figure possessing extraordinary grace, I thought of her, and creations of more than earth-born loveliness rose upon the canvass. It was her to whom I looked for inspiration; and all bright thoughts and glorious imaginings were centered in her remembrance. Visions of beauty thronged upon my mind, freshly bathed in the sunshine of her delicious smiles, or newly glorified by the soft brilliance of her enamoured eyes.

“The time drew near for my return to England, and I busied myself, during my voyage home, with delightful anticipations of my coming felicity. I thought of the joy with which she would welcome me after so long a separation, and seemed to behold the lustre of her dove-like eyes dwelling fondly on my own. I hailed the white cliffs of Dover, shining through the mist, for bringing me nearer to her presence. My fame had travelled before me; and I discovered, when I landed, that I was in as high estimation among my fellow-countrymen, as had followed my efforts in Italy. At the first opportunity I made inquiries for Laura and her family. I found that her father had died during my absence, leaving an immense fortune to be divided amongst his widow and children, who, with the exception of the son, had retired into the country. It was some time before I found out her residence, and when it was discovered, I had still greater difficulty in seeing her. At last I met her by accident in town. She appeared glad to see me, pressed my hand with extacy, and looked up into my face with all her usual tenderness; yet, afterwards, she blushed, hung down her head in silence, and seemed fearful of being seen in my company. I would not leave her till she had given me permission to write to her, and had received her promise to answer me. I was too much wrapped up in the happiness I felt in her society, short as the period was in which we were together, to observe, at the time, those signs of estrangement, which afterwards came before my memory with all the bitterness of disappointment. My friend—it was the last time we met!”

In the few last sentences his voice faltered, and at the conclusion it was so broken as to be scarcely audible; but, with a supernatural energy, he struggled with his feelings, and, in a few minutes, resumed his narrative with apparent composure.

“I wrote,”—he continued—“yes, I wrote to her; I told her how long I had loved her—how faithful had been my affection, and that my attachment could only cease with my existence. That to me all the glory I had obtained was worthless, unless she for whom only it was sought made it valuable by sharing it with me; and I implored her, by all her gentle endearments, and by all the happy moments we had passed in each other’s society, to assure me, at once, either of the certainty of my happiness, or of my misery. I waited long and anxiously for an answer. When



any suspicion entered my mind of her inconstancy, I thought of all she had endured for my sake. I recalled to mind the letter she had written to me from the country, where she had been sent by her friends for the purpose of preventing any communication between us, in which she stated that the persecutions of her relations had become quite insupportable, and the waters of a lake, round which she was in the habit of walking, looked so clear, so tranquil, and so beautiful, that she had been tempted to put an end to her misery and her existence at once; but that the thoughts of possessing my love held her back, and she felt that she could not give up my affection, even to possess peace, and happiness, and heaven. Yes, I thought of these things, and my heart smote me for suspecting her of deceit. I waited without a murmur; laid the fault of the delay on a variety of different causes, and felt assured of my coming happiness. My friend! imagine my feelings when I received this letter."

With a trembling hand he gave me a note which appeared much crumpled, and felt damp to the touch; it was dated more than three months back, and I read as follows:—

"You have, perhaps, before this, accused me of neglect for not having answered your note before, but I have been unable to do so. Your letter was what might have been expected from you—noble and disinterested. I am grateful for your kind affection for me, though I can never repay it as you merit. Forget me, Arthur—I ask you to forget me; I am still your friend, and shall never cease to be so, but you will meet with those more likely to make you happy: you can then remember me as the friend of your adversity, and as one who would never have forsaken you in the day of trouble.

"Your sincere well wisher,

"LAURA."

I was wondering, within myself, at the extraordinary fickleness of this girl, when my friend, with more composure than I could have expected from him, proceeded:—

"When I had perused that letter," he continued, "its meaning came with such a sudden shock upon my brain, as to derange, at once, every faculty it possessed; I was sensible only of a sudden and intense pain about the region of the heart. The rest I heard from my attendants; they were alarmed by hearing a noise in my room; they rushed in, and found me extended on the floor. For several months I was delirious; my life was despaired of; but I recovered to the state in which you now see me, to linger by a painful and declining death. What are to me fame, and name, and honour, and glory, now she for whom I sought them requires them not? What are to me the riches of the world, now her for whom I struggled to obtain them refuses to share them with me? I have no occupation—I have no incentive to occupation. The world holds out to me no prize worth struggling for, and the stimulus of earthly passions has no power over me. I am wasting away, gradually, but surely; all the functions of the body have lost their energy, though the soul still lives in the immortality of its youth. 'Tis hard, as Homer says, *ἀμφὶ γυναῖκὶ πάσχειν*; but in a short time it will be over, and I shall be at peace with her and with all mankind."

I went home in a most melancholy state of mind from hearing my friend's eventful history. The next morning I called upon him at an early hour. I had left him tranquil and resigned; indeed I felt surprised and delighted at his composure. When I was taking my leave, he pressed my hand with more than his usual kindness of manner, while the tears were tracing their way along his haggard cheeks. I knocked at the door as I recalled these things to my mind; the servant opened it; his look alarmed me; I rushed up stairs into my friend's bed-room, and there I beheld the unhappy man extended lifeless on his bed! On the table, near him, lay a small bottle, which had contained poison of the deadliest nature. I saw how bitterly I had been deceived by his composure of the previous evening; he had evidently premeditated self-destruction, and had assumed tranquillity to avoid



suspicion. He seemed to have died without a struggle. As I was examining the corpse, I observed something glittering between its bony fingers ; it was a gold locket, containing hair, and on the back of it was engraved the name of Laura.—He died as he had lived.

I witnessed the last honours paid to his remains, and then proceeded to examine his papers. He left his pictures to be sold for the benefit of his relations, except a few which he bequeathed to me as a testimony of his friendship ; and one, which was “the Veiled Picture,” he begged me to take to Laura, after he was buried, and to give into her hands at the same time the following letter :—

“ I do not write either to complain or to reproach ; I am as much above the one as I am superior to the other. Before these lines meet your gaze, the hand which now traces them will be cold, and the heart from whence they spring will have ceased to hold communion with the world : the dead complain of no injuries, and feel no wrongs. I write to assure you of my forgiveness, and that my last words may express, with heart and soul, and in spirit and in truth—God bless you !

ARTHUR.”

With some difficulty I discovered her dwelling, and learnt that she was going to be married the following week. After asking for the young lady, I was told by the servant she would be with me immediately, and was desired to walk into a handsomely decorated room. I placed the picture in the most advantageous light, and awaited her coming. In a short time she appeared. She was fully as beautiful as she had been described ; but there was a trace of melancholy in the features of the original, which the portrait did not possess. I wondered not at the infatuation of my unfortunate friend, as I gazed on the charms with which this Circe had bound his existence in her love. I said nothing to her, fearing to trust my voice in her presence, but gently undrew the curtain of the picture. As soon as she beheld it, a flood of sweet recollections seemed to rush upon her heart, and her whole soul appeared absorbed in the scene before her. As she gazed upon it, she drew in her breath eagerly, so as to make her respiration distinctly audible, and her looks were expressive of the most intense interest. I gently put into her hand the letter ; she took it almost mechanically, but without taking the least notice of my presence ; her eyes fell upon the characters, which she recognized and read. As soon as she had perused it through, she turned her gaze upon me with a glassiness of eye that riveted me to the spot. Her beautiful mouth became momentarily distorted ; her lovely features underwent a sudden and complete transformation, expressive of deep and silent agony—she dropped the letter at my feet—uttered a long and horrid laugh, and sunk down upon the floor in violent hysterics.

For several days she was in a state of raving madness ; and though the fit left her in a precarious state of weakness, on her first return to sensibility she sent for me. She bade me relate to her all I knew of her lover. I did so ; and she continually interrupted my narration with execrations on her cruelty and falsehood. After she had heard me out, she told me she was the victim of her mother’s ambition. During Arthur’s absence, she had tried every scheme to thrust him from her affections, and to bring about a marriage which she considered more advantageous. She had succeeded but too well. Laura’s heart had been humbled by threats, and her life had been rendered miserable by unkindness. Receiving no intelligence of her lover, in a moment of weakness she agreed to all her mother proposed. She now exclaimed against her inhumanity, her falsehood, and her treachery, and accused herself of being the murderer of her lover. Although great attention was paid to her by her friends, she received a shock from which she never recovered ; and before the day arrived which was to have seen her a bride, the grave possessed all that remained of one of the loveliest forms that death had ever disrobed of beauty.

W\*.



## THE PRAYER OF MARMADUKE.

BY MARY HOWITT.

## PART I.

- DAME Ellinor from her bed rose up,  
 A little past midnight up rose she ;  
 “ And oh ! ” she said, “ this dream of mine  
 An evil thing betokeneth me !
- “ Alas ! my Lord, that you are false,  
 That our home’s peace is overthrown !  
 Oh ! woman’s heart is a heart of flesh ;  
 But the heart of man is made of stone.
- “ And now ’tis nine long years and more  
 Since you, on that false embassy,  
 Went to the fatal court of France ;—  
 How could you leave your babes and me !
- “ They say the young Queen loves you more  
 Than any noble in her land :  
 My dream betokened other things,—  
 The dungeon-chain, the ruffian’s hand.
- “ Ah ! though you have been false to me,  
 I never can forswear my truth ;  
 And they were evil counsellors,  
 My Lord, that did misguide your youth.”
- And so she spoke, and wrung her hands,  
 And paced the floor in wild dismay :  
 At length she turned her toward the bed  
 Whereon her maiden daughter lay ;—  
 Beautiful in her blooming youth,  
 Her bright hair on the pillow spread ;  
 And in her deep sleep’s holy calm  
 Resting her innocent young head.
- “ Oh ! would,” she cried, “ ye were a man,  
 To ride by land, to sail by sea,  
 That ye might seek your father out,  
 And save him from this jeopardy !
- “ Or would I were a childless wife,  
 Whose life had but one love at stake ;  
 So would I give my own heart’s blood  
 A ransom for my dear Lord’s sake ! ”
- With that, up sprung young Marmaduke  
 From the little bed whereon he lay,—
- “ I’ve heard your words, dear mother,” he said,  
 “ And let me go to France, I pray.
- “ I will up, and ride by morning light,—  
 I will up, and sail across the sea,—  
 I will hie me to the court of France,  
 And bring my father back with me.”
- “ Alas ! my child,” his mother spake,  
 “ What couldst thou do ?—there’s wood and wold—  
 Mountain and sea,—a thousand miles  
 To go,—and thou’rt but ten years old ! ”



“ Oh ! heed not that, dear mother,” he said,  
 “ And of my travel take no heed :  
 God will go with me night and day ;  
 I feel that I can do this deed.”

“ My noble boy ! ” his mother said,  
 “ If God go with thee, all is right !  
 Now lay thee down and sleep again,—  
 Lie down and sleep till morning light.”

Then callèd she her maidens three,  
 Long ere the dawning day begun ;  
 And speedily the three rose up,  
 Much marv’ling what was to be done.

And the lady she took silk and lawn,  
 And velvet rich, and cramoisie,  
 And woollen cloth that was fine as silk,  
 And laid them all before the three.

“ And see that ye make a goodly dress,—  
 A goodly dress that ye make with care,  
 Well fitted to my son Marmaduke,—  
 E’en such as the King’s own son might wear.”

And so they wrought with mickle thought,  
 The skilfullest maidens in the land ;  
 And in three days’ time their work was done ;  
 And his mother took him by the hand ;

And she clothed him in the Holland lawn,  
 The finest that the loom could spin ;  
 And a snow-white collar of needle-work  
 She pinned on with a diamond pin.

And she put him on a vest of green,  
 So shapely made, with many a fold ;  
 And she belted him like a little earl,  
 And clasped the belt with a clasp of gold.

Then she drew on his leg the silken hose,  
 As fine as hose of silk could be,  
 And she laced on his shoes with ribbon strings  
 Midway below his graceful knee.

And again she took him by the hand,  
 And thus she spoke to an ancient knight :—

“ As I have told you, trusty friend,  
 Be ready to ride by morning light.

“ And here is my son Marmaduke,  
 And, with God’s blessing, good Sir Hugh,  
 As soon as the morning’s prayer is said  
 He shall be ready to ride with you.

“ But look ye, as I have him dressed  
 In this fair robe of forest green,  
 When he is come to the court of France  
 Let him appear before the queen.

“ And so God’s blessing go with you !  
 I’ve little to say which I have not said,—  
 But keep in mind that he is young,  
 And as yet but little hath travelled.



So bethink you of his tender age,—  
That he may weary long ere you ;  
Therefore take rest at the hostelrie  
Of each good town you travel through.

“ And, lack ye time, be up and ride  
As soon's ye list by morning light ;  
But, good Sir Hugh, by a mother's prayer,  
Ride never long nor late at night.

“ And if it chance that he fall sick,—  
As God forfend that so it be,—  
Convey him to a holy house,  
And hasten back with speed for me.

“ And when ye come to London town  
Delay not, make no longer stay  
Than ye would at the hostelrie  
Of any town upon the way.

“ For many men be in London town  
To whom his noble father's known ;  
And, God forgive me, but I scorn  
E'en pity by those proud men shown.

“ And when to the end of fair England  
Ye've ridden, ye'll come unto the sea,  
And, mind you, that ye only cross  
When the wind is fair as it can be.

“ And cross not in a crazy boat,  
Nor yet in a new one trust the tide,  
For the crazy boat must have an end,  
And the new one it has not been tried.

“ And when ye come to the land of France,  
As I know ye for a man discreet,  
Say not one word of whence ye come,  
Yet courteously the people greet ;

“ Else chance might be that quarrels rose  
In a tongue ye little understand ;  
And if ill happed, what could ye do  
With this poor child in that strange land ?

“ And now, once more, God be your guide,  
And counsel you as shall be best !  
But the sun is set, the night wears on,  
So hasten to your needful rest.”

Good rest had the knight and Marmaduke,  
But little rest had the anxious lady ;  
And with the dawn, when the two rose up,  
They found all things were waiting ready.

Then she booted the boy like a little knight,  
And she put him on a golden spur,  
And she gave him a cloak for his travel long,  
Well lined and trimmed with minever.

And so she watched the two ride forth—  
Through the portal high she watched them ride ;  
And she blessed aloud her noble son,  
And the trusty old man by his side.



And her step was free, her eye was clear,  
 And her cheek with flushing crimson burned,  
 As she passed the people of her house,  
 And back into her hall returned.

But then she to her chamber went,  
 And the door of her chamber bolted she;  
 And she knelt beside his empty bed  
 And wept like a mother bitterly.

---

## PART II.

Now twenty days they travelled,  
 Young Marmaduke and old Sir Hugh,  
 And they rested long at the hostelrie  
 Of each good town they travelled through.

And in each town that they went through,  
 The thronging people gazed and smiled;  
 Saying, "Yonder rides some great earl's son,"  
 Or "Blessings on your goodly child!"

And as they rode through London town,  
 They met the king and nobles nine;  
 And he said, to the lord on his right hand,  
 "I'faith I wish yon child were mine!"

And every lord, from first to last,  
 Turned in his saddle with sudden spring;  
 But not one lord of all the train  
 Whose son he was could tell the king.

And when they unto Dover came,  
 There did they rest one summer's day;  
 And then a steady wind arose,  
 And twenty ships were in the bay.

And, in the stoutest ship of all,  
 Before the morrow's sun went down,  
 The old man and young Marmaduke,  
 Sailed safely into Calais town.

And through the pleasant land of France  
 They rode, a joy in all men's sight;  
 And everywhere they left the name  
 Of the "noble child and the courteous knight."

And ere in Paris they abode  
 For seven days, they won such grace,  
 That 'mong the nobles of the court  
 The old knight and the boy had place.

And ere seven days were come and gone  
 The boy put on his vest of green,  
 And in his silken hose and shoes  
 Came in before the youthful queen.

The queen beside a table sate,  
 All radiant in her regal dress,  
 And a handsome man stood by her chair,  
 And they two laughed and played at chess.



At length the merry queen looked up,—  
And “Ay, my lord,” she said and smiled,  
“Is that a pretty page of yours?—  
Come here, thou grave and gentle child!”

That moment he stepped lightly up,  
And bent upon his knee as soon:—  
“I’m page to no good lord,” he said,  
“But I am come to crave a boon.”

“Whate’er thy boon,” she said, “tell on,  
Fair boy; I will not say thee nay.”  
Then earnestly he spake:—“Oh, send  
My father home with me this day!”

“Your father, child! and who is he?”  
Amazed, the merry queen replied;  
“And how may I your father know  
From any gentleman beside?”

“You may know him for the bravest man,  
With good broad-sword and tourney lance,—  
And by the songs the people sing  
Of him in every town of France.

At this the queen looked pale, then red;  
“And how is this, my lord,” said she;  
“For whom has any minstrel sung,  
Save you and your great chivalrie?”

“You may know him,” still the boy went on,  
“For the handsomest man in all the land;—  
You may know him by a true-love ring  
That he wears upon his own right hand.”

At this the queen sprang up in ire,  
And the noble clasped his hands in fear,  
For she had the ring upon her hand  
That she had worn for many a year.

“My lord,” said she, “what meaneth this?”  
And she snatched the ring from off her hand,  
“How came you here to mock a queen  
When you’d a wife in your own land?”

“If you had a wife and children there,  
You might have known a woman’s mood;  
By heaven, false man, my rage shall burn  
Till it is quenched in your heart’s blood!”

“Shed not his blood!” cried the little boy,  
For his father spake no word at all,  
“Or my mother’s dream it will come true,  
For it told her evil would befall.”

“And what is your mother, child, to me  
But a name to curse until I die!—  
Hence, hence!—you shall carry back the news  
That you saw your father bleeding lie!”

Scarce heard young Marmaduke these words,  
When he sprang unto his feet,—and cried,  
“Oh, say not so, I’ve a milk-white doe  
At home, and seven fleet stags beside.



“And these I’ll give to save his life—  
 The gentle doe and the stags so bold;  
 And my mother will give far more than these—  
 Broad lands and store of good red gold!

“But, for God’s love, shed not his blood!  
 For if my words have wrought his bane,  
 My gentle mother may mourn us both,  
 For I can never go home again!”

The queen looked up, the queen looked down,  
 Then dashed away the tears that sprung;—  
 “And tell me, boy,” she softly said,  
 “Is your mother beautiful and young?”

“Your hair,” he said, “is yellow as gold,  
 My mother’s is long and deeply brown;  
 She’s kind and sad, and she often weeps—  
 Weeps long, but I never saw her frown.

“And if she comes, or if she goes,  
 All hands to wait on her are ready;  
 And the old men and the children small  
 Pour blessings on so good a lady.

“And night and morn she prays to see,  
 My father,—must she vainly pray?—  
 ’Tis nine long years since he went forth,  
 Oh, send him home with me this day!”

The queen from her neck took a jewelled chain,  
 And clasped it round his neck with care;  
 “And now,” she said, “go home, sweet boy,  
 My mood is changed—I grant thy prayer.

“But it is not for thy milk-white doe  
 That I have pardoned this man’s offence;  
 And it is not for thy mother’s gold,  
 But ’tis for thy young innocence!”

The earl he was a proud, proud man,  
 And he put on his haughtiest mien  
 As he took his fair son by the hand,  
 And hasted from before the queen.

But when he sate with him alone,  
 Sometimes he frowned, sometimes he smiled;  
 And long he mused and nothing spake,  
 At length he rose and blessed his child.

Saying, “Thou’st won me back, my boy,  
 The furious storm is hushed to rest;  
 Thou hast adventured life for me,—  
 My duteous child thou shalt be blest!”

And so they rode to Calais town,  
 And with a fair wind crossed the sea;  
 And through the pleasant English land  
 They passed along right lovingly.

And when he saw the ancient woods,  
 And towers of his own house arise,  
 Again he blessed his gentle boy,  
 And tears stood glistening in his eyes.



But when he stood on his hearth-stone,  
And clasped his own true wife again;  
In sooth the tears from both their eyes  
Poured down like plenteous summer rain.

And a loud joy ran through the house,  
Startling the old wood's solitude;  
But their soul's joy was all too deep  
To pour itself in riots rude.

And Marmaduke in after years  
Became an earl of high renown;  
And England's king, in civil strife,  
Received from him the ransomed crown.

And in the chapel of his house  
He sleeps among the dead of old;  
And minstrels of that ancient time  
Preserved the legend I have told.

---

---

### MONTHLY COMMENTARY.

---

Literary Loan Association—New House of Commons—The Spirit of the Nation—Cobbett and the Jews—The Passion for Light Reading—Arcana of Knowledge—Why do People hate their Servants to dress Fine?—Police Force, alias Police Violence—A modern Alderman.

---

LITERARY LOAN ASSOCIATION.—The suggestions which have reached us since the publication of the last commentation under this head, and which arose strictly out of the exigencies of the times, have more and more convinced us of the practicability and usefulness of the project. To the objection that great capitalists would not come into such a scheme, it may be answered, small capitalists perhaps would. There are those who, being now somewhat before the world, do not require the aid of this sort of Bank, but who might, and who, in that expectation, might contribute in some of the forms that would be framed for its maintenance.

Let it be borne in mind that this is not a Literary Charity, but a Literary Bank. The difference between this and other Banks being, that the officers understand the nature of *literary* security.

We ask, had Gibbon gone to a bank and shown his previous productions, exhibited his library, proved the expectations of his friends, and even laid before it an agreement with his responsible booksellers, could he have obtained 5*l.* on advance upon his great historical work, most inadequately paid by six thousand pounds? No, he might have starved and the work been aborted. But Gibbon had a private fortune; if he had not had one, it would seem then that his "History of the Decline and Fall" would never have appeared; and yet our bank would have enabled such a man to have produced his work. Were Mr. Baring to propose in the House a vote of sixteen thousand pounds, the cost of last year's Museum, in order to procure for English literature such an historical work as Gibbon's, would there be a dissentient voice except Cobbett's? To instance a later



work, Mr. Mill's "British India"—the publishers of this work, than whom there are not more respectable or enlightened men in any rank of society in this country, did all they could consistently with the ordinary principles of business, and yet, during its composition, we believe the author had to submit to severe privations; and it is probable that, had he had tastes less austere, or been gifted with a less determined spirit, this great work would never have appeared, and the author, discouraged, dispirited, perhaps broken-hearted or ruined, would have sought some obscure employment, or fallen a victim to disappointment. When Mr. Mill's work appeared, the East India Company saw the merit of it; the money received from Messrs. Baldwin and Co., though considerable, never could have made up for years of uncertainty; but the great East India Bank had it in its power immediately to repay the devotion of time and ability that had been spent upon the history of their affairs. Still this would not have been wanted if the booksellers could have distributed equally over the time employed the sum ultimately given for the work. Now it is this very accommodation which our Literary Loan Society would afford, and with advantage to itself.

It is one of the reasons why such an institution as we propose will not be established, that literary men are so careless and helpless in their own concerns, and yet this is the very strongest ground upon which such an establishment could be based.

NEW HOUSE OF COMMONS.—Sentimentalism is a curious but also a dangerous vice, and ought to be speedily put down. When it was lately proposed to build a new House of Commons better adapted for present wants, Sir Robert Inglis allowed that the present house would not hold the members, but still maintained that no new house should be built. Why? Because the existing house had once been adapted to its purpose, and that many able and distinguished men had spoken in it. This sounds differently when such names as Pym and Hampden are coupled with such phrases as "these sacred precincts," "these old walls," "reverberating to the sound of this or that great man's solemn voice," &c. If a man were to say of his rat-trap, "To be sure it is grown utterly useless, and for a moderate expense I could buy a far better, but still, when I remember that this trap did once catch that big rat and this big rat, I cannot bear to bait another; no, here is a piece of bacon for the venerable old ruin!" he would of course be laughed at. Sir Robert spoke of Pym and Hampden, but these were not the names he meant.

What can be clearer than that a room ought to be big enough for the assembling of those whose bounden duty it is to meet in it daily and hourly? The argument that the number of members is too great for a deliberative meeting applies to that number, and not to the house. Reduce the number, if it is too great; if it is not too great, accommodate honourable gentlemen with a seat.

At the same time, be it observed, buildings in England are ordinarily made such jobs of, and frequently so mismanaged, that we should pause long before we pulled down the present house on the chance of getting a better. A bigger our architects will secure us, but we are scarcely prepared to depend upon them for one in which members can be *heard*.

Connected with the question of the due number of members occurs



another: Should the seats of members be fixed as in the American senate at Washington? There the seat is as fixed as the state for which the member is the delegate; and the most cursory glance shows not what honourable gentleman is absent, but what district for that evening is unrepresented. The absence of a sufficient member from an important debate is like striking such or such a district out of the catalogue of existence, as far as the business of that night is concerned.

We wonder that Mr. Hume's organ of economy has not suggested to him that, with no great expense or difficulty, a portion of Buckingham Palace might be well adapted to this purpose. There is a locality of that fine building that only wants a few thousand pounds to be spent upon it to be converted into one of the finest rooms in the world, always excepting the few rooms Lord Burlington built in this country, such as the York Assembly Rooms, and one or two others, which, as a whole,—we do not speak merely of size,—are perhaps unrivalled. The great mass of building, and the vast variety of rooms now existing in Buckingham Palace, would all be wanted for committee-rooms; besides which we would give each member his office or place of business. The gardens are unnecessarily large in this point of view, and a part of the ground might be most advantageously occupied by buildings appropriated to the temporary residence of bachelor and other members who came to reside in London only during the transaction of business\*. This is a class that will increase every day: the Reformed Parliament has many such. The House of Commons must cease to be considered as a thing of fashion.

**THE SPIRIT OF THE NATION.**—It is in vain to endeavour to resist the spirit of the nation; it will break out in spite of the efforts of learned imitators to mould it into some other form. The arts most surely follow all its caprices. We have heard a great deal of encouraging historical pictures: sacred history-painting flourished in Italy and other countries when the spirit of the mass of the nation was bigotry, or, at any rate, Romanism. In England much pains have been taken to divert the popular taste into the same channel; but it will not do, the heart is not in it,—amateurs and dilettanti pay their money and keep a few artists from starving, but the trade thrives not: the national caprice does not run in that way. The way in which it does run is indicated by the following paragraph:—

“**GIN-SHOP FINERY.**—The expense incurred in the fitting-up of public-house bars in London is almost incredible, every one vying with his neighbour in convenient arrangements, general display, rich carving, brass-work, finely-veined mahogany, and ornamental painting. The carving of one ornament alone, in that of the Grapes public-house, in Old Street Road, cost 100*l.*; the workmanship was by one of the first carvers in wood in London. Three public-houses, or rather gin-shops, have been lately fitted up in Lamb's Conduit Street, at an expense, for the bar alone, of upwards of 2000*l.* each.”—*Loudon's Encyclopædia of Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture.*

The luxury of the gin-shop owners is here attributed to competition;

\* Alas! our worthy commentator is far kinder to members of Parliament than they will ever be to themselves. The new House, if built at all, will probably join the old one, which will then be left as a lobby.—ED.



this is a mistake,—it arises out of the large profits produced from the immense consumption of spirits by the wayfaring people. Competition might lower the price of gin, but it would not lead to the lavishing of thousands on the scene of consumption, more especially as such places are not places of entertainment, and depend not at all upon their finery; the hard-working and fainting porter cares little about the beauty of brass-work; he thinks only of the heart-warming given by his favourite dram, which enables him to look bad weather and hard times in the face with a jolly countenance. At a late circuit of the Insolvent Debtor's Court, in the county of Somerset, half the insolvents appeared to be beer retailers. No gin-shop keeper is ever precipitated into the Gazette; his "blue-ruin" is something like the devil's blue fire—it consumes all but himself and his imps.

COBBETT AND THE JEWS.—Cobbett's objection to the Jews is that they don't plough: he would ask who could produce a Jew who ever dug, who ever went to plough? It is only very lately that Jews have been permitted to hold land: how were they to learn to plough? The only piece of earth that Jews of former years were permitted to possess was a burying-ground: and this little farm the Jews dug and trenched, after all the approved principles of the drill-husbandry. Are the Jews ever to be treated in this manner? they are to make bricks, but are denied straw: they are accused of not ploughing, and have been for ages denied land.

If surprise is expressed that Cobbett should be the giver of hard measure to the oppressed, the surprise is only felt by those who judge superficially. Cobbett is a sentimentalist; he loves agriculturists because they dwell in pure air, and work surrounded by the beauties of nature, and because he was one himself. His ideas of happiness are closely associated with the milking of cows: his paradise is still that of the farmer's boy. The *gusto* with which he writes of the country has been a grand cause of the popularity of his writings. The two *foibles* of the English (as also, in another sense, their sources of strength) are their love of the country and their adoration of the sea. Cobbett appears fresh from a chapter of Cottage Economy or Indian corn; and the "stinking Jew" is put under his nose. Pah! he has no toleration for a suppliant with a beard; a trafficker in cast-off garments, a pale unwashed worshipper of Mammon. His nostrils are full of the perfume of new-mown hay, and shall he suffer a skunker between the wind and his nobility? his first movement is to extinguish the "varmint." In Cobbett's mind, Jews go with stoats, weasels, fougarts, rats, foxes, and other serpents: and this because the Jew is an unclean thing. No one will suspect Cobbett of a religious bigotry. Cobbett is a sentimental bigot—one who would sacrifice on the altar of taste: it is not because he is not great in landed property, not curious in equipage, that he should not be a bigot in taste: there are dandies of the plough as well as of the *robe-de-chambre*, and such is he. Cobbett, like all sentimentalists, is a good hater: he hates the Press: the newspapers have met him at every step: the broad sheet has been to him a diaphanous but impassable veil which has interposed between him and his prey: he sees but not hears through it. It is for this that he has set



himself against the intelligence taxes.\* Radicals who take such a man for a guide must make up their minds to follow a more capricious course than the Irish will-o'-the-wisp fiddle, that sets silk stockinged-legs dancing after it through a bog and quagmire.

There are some difficulties in the way of complete Jew emancipation, but they do not arise from Jews dealing in old clothes. Many of our civil forms are grounded on religious models: our public functionaries are obliged to avow a certain connexion with the church: a Judge, for instance, is under a sort of necessity to attend the cathedral service in the assize towns, and when he condemns his criminal, it is the usage to do it with a sermon. These are difficulties, not in the spirit, but the letter: what has the legal functionary properly to do with the established church? there is, however, an actual connexion. Sir J. Campbell takes credit to himself, that he has lately assisted a Jew lawyer *over* the bar: it does him honour: nay, that and other right and liberal opinions upon which he acts make him an honour to his profession; but would there not be a difficulty in his helping a Jew barrister on to the bench? Let us see, however, whether any Jew will prove himself worthy of such elevation: it is a great injustice to debar a people from certain privileges, and then, when they have not entitled themselves to them, because they have no hope, to turn round and say, "you are not fit." A Jew, as such, we would not exclude from national employment, but being a Jew, we should require from him a more scrupulous probation than would be exacted from others who are born and bred more intimately bound to the country. Emancipate the Jews, and they will soon get them ties, but still time is required: Jews have been ill-treated; the effects are rooted fast in their habits and temper: it will be some time before the real benefits and more liberal proceedings of present times reach the heart. Give every eligibility to the Jew, but when the time of election comes, let the circumstance of his peculiar condition be weighed in the balance which tries him and his competitors. A Christian must consider Judaism a disadvantage, but in civil affairs the demerit may be greatly overbalanced.

THE PASSION FOR LIGHT READING.—We hear of this passion: does it exist? People read that which interests them: that interests them which is familiar to their comprehension, and may be drawn into a case of self. If more of novels, and what is called light reading, now occupies the attention of the people, it is because there are more readers, and that this description of reading appeals to sympathies that are born with us. What was the girl dreaming of who reckoned her chickens before they were hatched, and broke her basket of eggs? she was making a little novel of her own. To be rapt and charmed by most novels, requires but little experience of the world—to be but little educated; but, in fact, to possess all those sympathies which are born with every man, just as he is born with liver and lungs. What is called the Heart jumps into the world all ready to play its part, but the

\* May he not think also that the repeal of the newspaper duties might give too many rivals to the "Register?"—ED.



Head is a very awkward fellow, and demands much instruction before he can go through his exercise with tolerable facility. A work came out some time ago, called Sematology—a metaphysical essay on signs: probably six persons read it, and no doubt with eagerness and high gratification: if so, they must have been persons long accustomed to considerations of the kind, having pursued many similar speculations of their own, and feeling perpetual pleasure either in seeing new channels opened, or old ones cleared out. That which the mind perceives it can do easily and completely, that will it perform with pleasure. The majority of minds are undisciplined; what then can they make of subtle reasoning? History has some portion of personal interest: where it has not, persons are apt to observe, what is all this to me? “perhaps it is not true,” they say, and if it were, it is the affair of statesmen. Once upon a time, the Bible was the popular book: religious feeling prevailed, and it was duly impressed upon the minds of the mass, that it much imported to their interest to study the sacred volume. This impression has greatly worn off, except in religious communities: the present world and its fluctuations, their own mortal joys and sorrows, occupy the attention of people, and naturally lead them to fiction: fiction chiefly working upon such materials. The hold which fiction has got of the public mind, may be proved from the religious world itself having recourse to it in their tracts and tales. A chapter in the Bible was formerly felt to be all sufficient for the consolation of the afflicted, and the encouragement of the faint-hearted: now it is the Dairyman’s Daughter, or the Wicked Apprentice, that is expected to serve for guide and model. The cares of life and the difficulties in the way of obtaining subsistence are now so numerous and heavy, that it can hardly be expected that the majority should add to them the pains of study: the imagination is found to be the more easy and accommodating faculty: it carries the reader into a pleasanter society, and a more careless life: it is dreaming without sleep: it is an anodyne that lulls the external world, while it excites the internal one. People used to go to plays: they now stay at home and look through the magic peep-hole of one of Mr. Bentley’s title-pages.

ARCANA OF KNOWLEDGE.—The *beau sexe* are to be congratulated on a remarkable discovery which has lately been made: the descendants of Eve must look to Sir Alexander Johnstone with gratitude; we envy him the female deputations that will set down at his door. He has sent a drawing to the “Gardener’s Magazine” of Eve’s apple tree, as it is found in Ceylon, by which it appears, that the said apples do not grow in the ordinary fashion, and that that fair original was probably led by accident into the commission of her crime. From this tree, the apples are suspended by a long peduncle, and bob about in the air like bobbing-cherries, and carry with them a strong look of “Come eat me,” or at any rate, “Come feel me.” In passing this tree, it would be impossible for any naturalist (and such surely was Eve—see South’s “Sermon on the Employment of Adam in Paradise”) to pass this curious production without just turning the vegetable ball as it hangs at the end of the thread, in the hand: but now the thread or peduncle is brittle, Eve handled it, and no doubt the apple *came off* in her fingers long before she had any idea of plucking it: and here was all the mischief. Of



course, having rifled the tree of its fruit, though without thinking any harm, she tasted, on the old principle of “in for a penny, in for a pound.” The penalty of death being awarded against the small offence, it was natural to extract all the gratification out of the commission of the crime that did not increase the punishment. That this is the true Eve’s apple is proved by the fact, that each specimen of the fruit appears as if a piece had been bitten out of it, and because it is poisonous: all who eat of it die, as is proved by the military annals of Ceylon, our soldiers having been seriously tempted thereby, and some having perished by a rash consumption of this Eve’s apple.

WHY DO PEOPLE HATE THEIR SERVANTS TO DRESS FINE?—Nothing so surely excites the wrath of the mistress of a “regular family” as the detection of a cap of rich materials on the head of a servant; and if a few ringlets are observed to be making their way from under lace, the latter days of the world are surely at hand; the measure of the people’s iniquity is filled; and, in short, “there are no good servants nowadays.” Beauty is in itself a decided objection in female servants: tradesmen who procure the domestics of “respectable” people are always forbidden to send a beauty for approbation. “Oh, Ma’am, she is too good-looking for you.” “The wretch!” exclaims the lady in her heart. “No, no, Mr. So-and-so: I have had enough of your beauties in my house. I want respectable-looking women,”—that is to say, frights. Adornment is almost as bad as beauty:—“She is always thinking of the men, the creature.” She is not of the only class of women whose thoughts turn a good deal on the other sex. The fear is, however, lest the men should be thinking of the creature. The lady of the house need not be alarmed that the charms of her domestics should be increased to a dangerous degree by finery. Men have no eyes for the quality of caps, and are not curious to distinguish between silk and stuff gowns. They are natural philosophers. That sort of instinctive jealousy which all women, high or low, feel for each other, may be set at ease, as far as regards fine clothes. There are some better reasons for the sumptuary laws of English households. The love of finery cannot be gratified to any great extent out of a female servant’s wages: it is, therefore, a passion which, like other passions, may lead to transgressions against honesty and other virtues. Moderation should, therefore, be strictly inculcated; but that the heads of establishments should not take pleasure in the ornamental appearance of their handmaidens is a symptom of a narrow and illiberal spirit. Neatness of make, goodness of material, and a certain jauntiness of *mise*, are far more consistent with the really good qualities of a female domestic, than a sluttish indifference to costume. The sight of a young woman, though employed in household preparations, well dressed and well protected from climate, ought to be a pleasant object to the philanthropist: it argues, moreover, a self-respect and pride of person, likely to act as a guard against indiscretion. To dress well does not take up more time than to dress ill; and the neat person generally carries her neatness into her business. There is a flauntiness inconsistent with a due discharge of duty; this is both unbecoming and improper: the mistress, however, rarely sets about the correction in a charitable spirit, and, instead of improving the girl’s taste, only outrages her self-respect.



**POLICE FORCE, ALIAS POLICE VIOLENCE.**—If we may judge from what passes in the police offices, the most dangerous persons in London are the police-officers. When the old watchmen played booty, or awoke in a dream and arrested the wrong person, it was only thought to be the error of imbecility, and as these persons were of no use, it was thought there was little harm in them. In appointing, however, an army of able-bodied adventurers to guard the metropolis and its suburbs, by night and by day, we have placed authority in hands where it may be grievously abused, and therefore we should look to the responsibility of these individuals, and the tests by which they are selected. Of the cases reported perhaps one in ten is that of an offending policeman. They are detected as thieves; they are found attributing crimes capriciously to innocent persons; many are brought off on the plea of drunkenness. It should be remembered that there is no person, as he walks in the streets, who may not be seized by one of these men, and had up to the nearest police-office. Imagine a dyspeptic police-officer wandering down Regent-street—if he has aggravated his complaint by a dram or two, visions of thieves begin to haunt his brain, and he soon fancies that every man he meets is a pickpocket or dog-stealer. How pleasant it is to be collared in a thoroughfare, and asked in the same breath where you got the poor purblind poodle at your heels, that perhaps, for a dozen years, has followed you unmolested in every country in Europe! And, if you do not give an instant and satisfactory answer, (and what is satisfactory to a brute all confused and flushed with liquor?) to be trundled to the next magistrate, to be charged, to be examined, and, oh, worse than all, to be published by some reporter who has just shared his pot with the identical gentleman in blue. Of course it ultimately appears that the policeman is drunk, or next to it: an inspector steps forward, and states he shall represent his conduct to the commissioners; the man is shaken by his comrades into an apology; and the dog and the gentleman are permitted to depart, paying the fees. At the best, suppose the inspector does report the case, which is improbable enough, and the man is suspended; is this a sufficient protection? Is it likely to prevent the repetition of the offence? The proceedings of this Board require looking into: in no situation are character and intelligence more indispensable than in the police force. Are even ordinary tests ever applied? May not, as far as investigation and sifting of character would affect this Service, may not “the Force” be termed “the Police Violence?”

**A MODERN ALDERMAN.**—We have made a good deal of fun of the absence of solemnity and judicial importance in their magistrates. They are either dull or want the humour to ridicule us in return. Do the Yankees read our police reports? there occur nice little accidents, pleasant to the republican ear. If the “Quarterly Review” rejoices in a brace of judges fisticuffing on the bench, can the “New York Patriot” find no amusement in the story of an Alderman of London shuffling down by-streets to avoid a toll, and, when stopped at the gate, teach his servant to tell a lie, and join chorus himself, in order to evade a just demand? There is nothing which saves this peccadillo but the smallness of the temptation: it could not be for twopence halfpenny



that the Alderman shuffled and wriggled, and then quivered and shook before the representative of justice in the shape of a turnpike-man, one hand extending a ticket, and the other thrust in an apron pocket full of copper. On the other hand, larger criminals are saved by the magnitude of their offences. Bankrupts glory in the sum for which they fail, and defaulters feel a pride at having been trusted to so enormous an amount. It is a pity that the principle in any moral transaction is so little regarded by any body. They who judge rightly well know that he who will teach his servant to lie, who will lie himself and cheat the turnpike due, be it of a farthing, is no true man. An Alderman is a magistrate; he has constituents; and, if there is any virtue extant in the city, these constituents will know what to do. If the thing can be contradicted, let it: here is the report,—it is either a base libel, or there is a ward in the city that has a duty to perform for the honour of the country:—

“UNION-HALL.—A few days ago summonses were applied for against Alderman Kelly and his groom, for evading the toll at Kennington turnpike. The latter attended to answer the charge.

“From the toll-collector’s statement, it appeared that on Friday morning, the 15th instant, the Alderman and his groom passed through the Kennington-gate in a gig from Mount Nod, at Brixton, and paid the toll. In the evening the same parties returned, and when the collector inquired whether it was the same horse that they had in the gig that morning, both the Alderman and his groom were heard to answer in the affirmative. The collector who was on the morning duty, however, immediately discovered that it was a different horse, and accordingly summoned the parties.

“Mr. Everett, one of the lessees, stated that the Alderman had frequently evaded the toll under similar circumstances, and, in order that discovery should not take place, he drove down one of the streets north of Kennington-gate, leading into the Oval; and, by that plan, prevented the collector who had taken the toll in the morning, from seeing that a different horse had gone through the gate in the evening—a circumstance which rendered the Alderman liable to a payment of the additional toll.

“The groom, in the absence of the Alderman, admitted that he was in the gig with his master, and that it was a fresh horse they had on their return to Brixton in the evening. He added that the toll was paid when they went through the same morning to town, and he thought it cleared them in the evening.

“Mr. Wedgwood said that both the groom and his master must have been aware that if they drove a different horse through the turnpike in the evening on their return to Brixton, they were bound to pay an additional toll.

“Mr. Everett said that for the last year and a half the Alderman and his servants had evaded the toll in the manner already described.

“Mr. Wedgwood said that he should not consider he was doing his duty if he did not visit the offence with the full penalty the law allowed. It was proved that the defendant had told a direct falsehood for the purpose of evading the toll, and he (the Magistrate) should fine him 5*l.*, together with the expenses of the attendance of witnesses to prove the case.”

It is right to add, that since this was written, the Alderman has sent a letter to the “Times,” contradicting the statement that he answered the turnpike. He says he did not know at the time that it was the same horse, and he denies the systematic evasion. The report is only ex-parte, and must be looked to.

---



## The Lion's Mouth.

“ ALIENA NEGOTIA CENTUM.”—*Horat.*

---

### LONDON DURING A FIRE.

#### I.

SOME two dull hours before the orb of day  
 Tinges the steeple-tops with ruddy light ;  
 When London all in leaden slumber lay,  
 The dreariest, darkest, stillest time of night ;  
 When youthful spirits flag in pleasure's spite,  
 And the long-look'd for revel tiresome grows ;  
 When double vision cheats the student's sight,  
 And o'erwrought nature sickens for repose,  
 And novel-loving maids Sir Walter's pages close :

#### II.

When Pain and Sorrow find a little rest,  
 And Pity droops her head by sickness' side ;  
 When sated drunkards lose their filthy zest,  
 Night's lonesome hour is indistinctly cried,  
 And tradesmen's doors by felons' fingers tried ;  
 With desperate stakes when dicers deepest play,  
 Through the lone streets when noiseless shadows glide,  
 And flickering lamps 'gin shed a feeble ray  
 On outcast wanderers, without where their heads to lay ;

#### III.

In such a dark and dreary time of night,  
 When all, who'd where to lay their heads, sought rest ;  
 And none, save those in darkness who delight,  
 Or human wolves in blood and plunder's quest,  
 With weary feet the heavy pavement press'd ;  
 There, where an antique arch doth span the street,  
 And shines, in bold relief, the city's crest ;  
 Where wanton youths their painted harlots greet,  
 And lawyers add wherefore, to every why, they meet ;

#### IV.

A narrow, crooked lane, winds its dark way,  
 But whither leads, the chaste muse never knew ;  
 Suffice it—there's a house the light of day  
 Doth seldom penetrate, yeilded a stew ;  
 But what its foul inside presents to view  
 May not be told ; save that, in nightly round  
 Of beastly revel, an abandon'd crew  
 Their orgies hold—no good can there be found ;  
 But want, guilt, shame, disease, and misery abound.

#### V.

There, while its inmates on foul revel bent,  
 And reckless how their ill-used lives may end,  
 Fierce flames, as from some prison closely pent,  
 Sudden break forth, to every part extend,



Burst through the roof, and to the clouds ascend ;  
One scream of horror wakes the drowsy night.  
Upstarting on their legs—no way to wend ;  
Some dash to pieces, leaping from a height,  
And some of suffocation die, and some of fright.

## VI.

And soon a heap of ruins' crimson glow,  
With a thin smokeless silvery flame scarce seen,  
Is all that there, alas ! is left to show  
Of what man's habitation late had been,  
And those poor wretches' mortal parts, I ween,  
Whose hapless souls had to their audits pass'd  
Without the time to breathe a prayer between ;  
For age, nor sickness there, nor trumpet's blast,  
Did warn them of their living hours, approach'd the last.

## VII.

Thence Vice was summon'd in his full career,  
And sated Passion, from unwholesome sleep :  
Without reflection, Youth—Age, without fear ;  
They through the night their filthy orgies keep,  
While friends at home are left to watch and weep:  
And lovely woman in degraded state,  
Of the full wine-cup having drunken deep ;—  
All in the fulsome prime of joy, elate,  
Are on the instant called, forth to attend their fate.

## VIII.

Death—fearful Death—fell on them in the night !  
And ne'er had city, by a furious foe  
Surpris'd and sack'd, such sad cause of affright !  
Vain then was woman's tear—her voice of woe,  
Echo'd unanswer'd—round—above—below—  
The tie was sever'd—and her partner fled.  
And none did now that guilty creature know ;  
Vain were her lifted hands—in vain she shed  
Tears, that would husband, brother, to her aid have sped.

## IX.

The lost one had no husband, brother, then,  
Or aged parent, on whom she could call ;  
And for the first time sadly miss'd them when  
It was too late—she had no hope—of all  
Bereft and friendless—ill must her befall.  
A long-forgotten duty—lo ! she kneels,  
Although her peril well might her appal,  
To Heaven for mercy, earnestly appeals,  
And more than death's sharp pang her soul's sad anguish feels.

## X.

The scene hath shifted, and its horror spread,  
And two more houses in the ruin share ;  
And the red flames a frightful light have shed  
On naked wretches, huddling here and there,  
Some their poor persons shading—some at prayer ;  
Relentless Plunder, busy at his trade—  
The flooded streets—the welkin's crimson glare—  
Dense multitudes declining into shade,  
And burning wretches shrieking, but in vain, for aid.



## XI.

And many pray'd who seldom pray'd before,  
 And some had pray'd, but knew no form of prayer;  
 Some wept—some stood with terror mute—some swore  
 And though none had an instant now to spare,  
 One damsel, for her journey to prepare,  
 Straight from her pillow to her mirror flew,  
 And fondly loiter'd at that fatal snare,  
 Till, bridegroom like, the flames enamour'd grew,  
 And round her night-clad form their warm embraces threw

## XII.

But what unlook'd for horror hath ensued!  
 Why is exertion check'd—why stand the bold  
 In that uncertain, listless attitude!  
 Their panting limbs and energies controll'd  
 By some appalling sight may not be told:  
 Whence is that long and agonizing yell!  
 What can so vast a concourse silent hold!  
 At once the fiercest uncurb'd passions quell,  
 And rob the loose blasphemer of an oath to tell.

## XIII.

I see a poor wretch dangling from a beam,  
 Fix'd as a victim on a funeral pyre:  
 Vain human effort! Vain were Thames' broad stream!  
 Beneath him glows a bath of liquid fire,  
 As hot as bigot mercy could desire;  
 The quivering flames still higher, higher rise.  
 As human hate their fury did inspire.  
 He writhes, and, shrivelling o'er the furnace, dies,  
 And the rude mob avert their terror-loving eyes;

## XIV.

And Silence broken with one rending yell,  
 Doth sleeping streets awaken and alarm;  
 And thus, releas'd from that horrific spell  
 That courage could control and passion charm,  
 Again to labour rais'd the ponderous arm,  
 Oath echoes oath—around the engines grow  
 With emulative cheers the willing swarm;  
 Again the hose is strain'd, the waters flow,  
 And boiling torrents bubble o'er the waste below.

## XV.

Alas! what wretched victims are there more!  
 Of the fierce flames another house the prey;  
 Upon the kindling pile the engines pour;  
 Within, the intrepid firemen burst their way,  
 And just in time, a mother in dismay,  
 Seeking her child, discover—scorch'd and wet,  
 They bear her from the flaming pile away;  
 But she nor fire nor child shall e'er forget,  
 And in a maniac's cell still burns, and seeks him yet.

## XVI.

No earthly solace can her bosom heal,  
 All, save the memory of that night, is fled;  
 Cold, thirst, nor hunger, seems she e'er to feel,  
 Aught here, or aught hereafter, seems to dread,



But lives for one sad task—ceaseless to tread  
And search her gloomy cell and pallet o'er;  
Sleep o'er her mind may sometimes haply shed  
Forgetfulness—but waking, evermore  
Till sleep again returns, she doth her cell explore.

## XVII.

What fancies sometimes women will befall!  
A beauteous maiden shrinking from the sight  
Of the loose rabble, crouch'd beneath a wall;  
Though nearly senseless with excessive fright,  
She would not trust her beauty to the light;  
To hide her half-clad figure all her care;  
The wall began to totter in its height,  
And scarce for mercy had she breath'd a prayer,  
When with a crush it fell—but unscath'd left her there.

## XVIII.

There motionless she stood, like chisell'd stone,  
Develop'd her full form in the red glare;  
A silk shawl, o'er her lovely shoulders thrown,  
Was all that screen'd her from the eager stare  
Of the loose rabble—yet no jest was there,  
But the sad sight awed the vast multitude,  
And scarce from weeping many could forbear;  
All wondering gaz'd—so quietly she stood,  
Nor deem'd that horror had her faculties subdued.

## XIX.

At length, reviving, she at once descried  
The gazing multitude; away she flew,  
And, dashing all who'd check her course aside,  
Quick from their wondering eyes her form withdrew;  
One only did her naked steps pursue,  
One, only one, among the many there,  
To his vocation, spite of pity, true,  
Track'd her sad steps unto a portal, where  
He snatch'd her shawl and left her lovely figure bare.

## XX.

In quick succession scenes of horror pass,  
And sights—seen singly might become a part  
Of memory—fade, like shadows in a glass,  
And are forgotten: so, the brave of heart,  
Though Death before them, cloth'd in terror start,  
Fear not—while the poor frightened coward flies  
At the but distant waving of his dart;  
Use makes the coward brave, the foolish wise,  
And calls forth all our nature's latent faculties.

## XXI.

And the rough ragged heroes of this night,  
For deeds of daring, long enduring toil,  
Deserve eternal fame; even in despite  
Of those low vices that fair honour soil,  
And shine in their rude culture, as the foil  
Where patience, courage, honest worth are set.  
Few know the poor man's straits, his life's turmoil;  
How want and care the noblest natures fret,  
And how disdain and slight the fiery passions whet.



## XXII.

But from the chimneys now thin vapours rise,  
 And pale-faced Morning lifts her dewy head;  
 Forth to his daily toil the labourer hies;  
 And, hark! the busy hum and heavy tread  
 Of men and beasts have through the city spread.  
 Comb'd, curl'd, and scented in their best array,  
 Now showy shopboys, to the counter bred,  
 Their shops, themselves, and merchandise display,  
 While lowing herds and high-piled waggons choke the way.

## XXIII.

The scene soon changes, and, lo! Beauty there,  
 With fairy lightness, glides across the street—  
 Her taper legs and slender ankles bare;  
 Where carts and waggons clash'd, now coaches meet,  
 And where rude carters swore, fair ladies greet;  
 The hive is fully up—within—without—  
 All on some task intent. In calm retreat  
 Haply old age the bustling world shuts out,  
 While youth, health, strength, on worldly business are about.

## XXIV.

By politicians now the club-room's sought,  
 The shops by beauty, and the streets by beaux;  
 And, without sinning, fair ones now are naught,  
 For fair, as fair can be, that flowret grows  
 Whose lily leaves nor spot nor taint disclose;  
 Next from his desk the apish clerk is freed,  
 And westward the vast city's current flows;  
 To crowded shops the airy Parks succeed,  
 And the close carriage is deserted for the steed.

## XXV.

And then the Park's deserted, and the steed  
 To his full rack and manger's gently led—  
 Were poor men so considered in their need,  
 So kindly tended, so profusely fed,  
 They had been wealth's protection, not its dread.  
 The gaudy train now homeward hungry go,  
 And savoury odours grateful incense shed,  
 The rich repast's laid out in glittering show,  
 And those who eat the countless dishes hardly know.

## XXVI.

Another change, and, lo! the gilded room,  
 The silken hangings, and the dazzling sight  
 Of jewell'd beauty—lustres that illumine,  
 And with meridian splendour gem the night.  
 Hark! the loud laugh, quick jest, and full delight,  
 The scheme successful, and the baffled plot;  
 Now sadness reigns—each face, in sorrow dight,  
 Lost for an instant to their happier lot  
 While last night's tale is told, regretted, and forgot.

## XXVII.

How strange, in this our topsyturvy world,  
 To see two beings placed in strong contrast!  
 One in the giddy circle upmost whirl'd  
 Of fortune's wheel, his eyes closed to the past,



And proud, as rock his slippery seat were fast ;  
The other, in his misery, fearful lest  
Among his species he should lose his caste,  
Gain food, consideration, comfort, rest,  
And haply as a brute be pamper'd and caress'd.

## XXVIII.

Ask each one his opinion of the world,  
His strange demeanour to the other mark ;  
Reflecting that they both are hither hurl'd  
Upon the same frail tenure—and embark  
On the same sea, where both are in the dark—  
Tell each his duty to the other's love,  
Love, of that love that died for them, a spark ;  
Behold their gorges rise, and sadly prove  
What feelings want for wealth, and wealth for misery move.

## XXIX.

Yet of such strange and jarring elements,  
Thy myriads, London ! form one peaceful whole ;  
So opposite in wishes and intents,  
'Tis wonderful what hidden powers control,  
Hold them in league unto one common goal.  
Pleasure's the rich man's object, his life's end ;  
Want, fearful want, the poor man's constant dole :  
Yet, side by side, each other they attend,  
And little 'tis, oh God ! the rich the poor befriend !

ZAMIEL.

## HUMILITY AND DEFIANCE.

WHAT thoughts conflicting in my bosom rise !  
This strikes me low, that lifts me to the skies !  
Now I recline an infant at the breast,  
Now stride a warrior with forbidding crest.  
Here grovel base a helpless earthly clod,  
There pant defiance to the oppressor's rod.  
At first with not a finger to oppose,  
There every pulse with hostile fury glows ;  
Or soft as rills which pour their sacred stream  
In nightly murmurs on the poet's dream ;  
Or firm as rocks whose echo laughs to scorn  
The puny summons of the huntsman's horn ;  
The windows of my soul at once reveal  
A twig of osier, and a bar of steel :  
Thus good and ill, and light and shade, combine,  
And, though distinct, in folds together twine.  
But lives there one in solitude or throng  
So versed in practice, or in wit so strong,  
Whose eye inhuman clearly can decide  
The secret links which right and wrong divide,  
Who, by some mental microscope, can show  
Where virtue ends and vice begins to grow,  
Can dive into the mazes of the mind,  
All doubt annihilate, unfilm the blind,  
Point out so far, and not beyond, to steer,  
Where to press boldly on and where to fear,



Where to submit and due allegiance pay,  
 Where to resist the ruthless spoiler's sway,  
 Where to be hot as fire, or cool as ice,  
 Where life is infamy, where death is vice?  
 If such there live, on planet or in fame,  
 No mortal lineage can his kindred claim:  
 He, only He, our inmost thoughts can tell,  
 Who rules alike o'er heaven and earth and hell.  
 To him I bow—before his awful shrine  
 Each favour'd wish, each rebel thought resign:  
 If He but wills, and I that will can see,  
 That will be life, and breath, and all to me!  
 But not o'er me shall man tyrannic reign—  
 I scorn his bondage, and I rend his chain.  
 'Tis true, my viler limbs he may control,  
 Those be his share—but God's and mine my soul;  
 My soul, which in its deep recesses hates  
 Spoil-nurtur'd potentates, and crouching states.

A. C.

---

“The Buccaneer,” to whose great merits we paid our critical tribute some three months since, has, we are happy to perceive, gone into a second edition. We trust this success will encourage the accomplished writer to favour us soon with another work in a class of composition in which she is calculated to hold so eminent a station.

Mr. Moore will shortly publish a work of a very singular nature, and full of theological research.

The evidence just published by the Commission to inquire into the Poor-laws is pregnant with most valuable and startling facts; the evidence of Mr. Chadwick is worthy of the great powers of thought, and singular felicity in exemplifying principles by details, which characterize that gentleman. Mr. E. L. Bulwer has given notice of a motion on the Poor-laws for the 6th of June (the earliest disengaged day in the Order-book), which will bring the evidence formally before Parliament.

---

#### EPIGRAM

##### ON THE STATUELESS COLUMN.

Why at the top of Carlton-place,  
 Consisting but of shaft and base,  
 Is York's high column planted?  
 Where is the top? Alas! too well  
 His creditors the truth can tell—  
 The *capital* is wanted.

F. J. L.



## BIOGRAPHICAL PARTICULARS OF CELEBRATED PERSONS, LATELY DECEASED.

### LORD HUNTINGTOWER.

Lord Huntingtower died of apoplexy on the 7th March. He was the eldest son of George Manners, Esq. (eldest son of Lord W. Manners, son of John, second Duke of Rutland), and Louisa, present Countess of Dysart, who still survives, at a very advanced age, one of the most extraordinary women of the day. He was brother to the three celebrated beauties, Louisa, late Duchess of St. Alban's; Lady Sophia Heathcote; and the Honourable Mrs. Duff, wife of the present Earl of Fife, the circumstances of whose death created so great a sensation in the fashionable world many years ago. On his mother succeeding to the honours of her family at the decease of her brother, Wilbraham, Earl of Dysart, in 1821, he became Lord Huntingtower, and assumed, by royal permission, the name of Tollemache only. His Lordship, in 1790, married Catherine Rebecca, daughter of Francis Grey, Esq. of Lehenah, in the county of Cork, by whom he leaves a surviving family of six sons and five daughters. Mr. Algernon Tollemache, his youngest son, was lately elected member of Parliament for Grantham. In the severe winters of 1828-9 he employed no less than 528 labourers in the vicinity of Buckminster.

Though occasionally exhibiting peculiar eccentricities of conduct, Lord Huntingtower possessed singular tact in estimating the characters of all with whom he came in contact, joined to uncommon shrewdness and ability in the every-day concerns of life. His genealogical and heraldic knowledge was of an extraordinary and diffusive character, from the retentiveness of his memory, and its constituting a favourite branch of his constant study. His Lordship's eldest son, the Hon. Lionel William John Manners Tollemache, succeeds to the title of Huntingtower, and to the very large paternal estates of the family. His Lordship also comes next in succession to the Earldom of Dysart. He was born in 1794, and married Miss Toone, daughter of Colonel Toone, by whom he has one son, aged 13.

The very ancient family of Tollemache claims Saxon descent, and the name is said to be a corruption of the Saxon word "tollmack," tolling of the bell. The Tollemaches have flourished with the greatest honour in an uninterrupted male succession in the county of Suffolk since the first arrival of the Saxons in England, a period of more than thirteen centuries. Tollemache, Lord of Bentley, in Suffolk, and Stoke Tollemache, in the county of Oxford, lived in the sixth century; and upon the old manor-house at Bentley (which the family occupied previous to the magnificent seat at Helmingham coming into their possession) may still be seen the following inscription:—

"Before the Normans into England came,  
Bentley was my seat, and Tollemache was my name."

### PRINCE STANISLAUS PONIATOWSKI.

The Prince Stanislaus Poniatowski died at Florence, on the 13th February. He was born at Warsaw in 1754, and was the son of Casimir, brother of Stanislaus Augustus, the last King of the Poles. He was a liberal patron of the arts and literature, and retired to Florence, after having defended the interests of his country with manly eloquence in the Diets of Poland. This Prince was the first who set the example of a useful and glorious reform by emancipating the serfs of his extensive domain.

### SIR GEORGE AIREY.

The late Lieutenant-General Sir G. Airey, who died at Paris, commanded, in the year 1810, a brigade in Sicily, and was employed with the other troops in the defence of the coast during the threatened invasion of Murat, in addition to his duties of deputy adjutant-general. In February, 1811, he was appointed brigadier-general, and thereby vacated the situation of deputy adjutant-general. On the 4th of June, 1811, he was appointed major-general on the staff of Sicily; and, in December of the same year, was ordered to go to Zante, to take the command of the Ionian Islands. He remained there in command, until succeeded by Lieut.-Gen. Campbell in the year 1813. He was appointed to the colonelcy of the 39th (or Dorsetshire) regiment, 28th of October, 1823. His commission as Lieut.-Gen. bears date 19th July, 1821. Sir George, by his marriage with Miss Talbot, of the family of Baroness Talbot de Malahide, has left a numerous family. His eldest



daughter is married to the Hon. and Rev. Sir Francis Jervis Stapleton, Bart., son of the late Lord Le Despencer. Lieut.-Gen. the Hon. Sir Robert O'Callaghan, the present commander-in-chief at Madras, is spoken of in the military circles as likely to succeed Sir George in the command of the 39th.

## REV. F. B. HOOLE.

It is with feelings of deep sorrow we record the death of the Rev. Frederick B. Hoole, one of the curates of St. Andrew's, Holborn, who died on the 16th inst., in the thirty-fifth year of his age. Perhaps there was never a man more calculated to fill and fulfil the duties of the sacred office, which was his own free choice, than the individual whose loss we mourn in common with the many poor, on whom death has closed a hand "open as the day to melting charity." A curate's income is, indeed, prescribed, and if in any way he adds to it, it is by an increase of labour—a multiplicity of duty—under which the constitution sooner or later must, as in the present instance, faint and fall. There are few persons aware of the immense bodily exertion required to carry the curate of one of our overgrown Metropolitan parishes through the absolute business of the day, and for which, in general, a stipend is paid, according to the generosity, or the reverse, of the rector's principle. We have known instances, where, under the plea of "the pressure of the times," *half* the curate's salary has been withdrawn, although his labour continued undiminished—while the number of the rector's *servants* remained on full pay—to do their master's bidding!—The great merit in the humble and holy path pursued by the late curate of Saint Andrew's, was that he found wherewith to minister, not out of his abundance, but out of his necessities, to the wants of others. He performed the work-house duty, for which he received, but never appropriated to his own use, the sum of 50*l.* per annum—it was invariably returned to the uttermost farthing, for the purpose of distribution amongst the poor—and this surely was no small sacrifice. It is selfish to deplore the loss of one whose mortality has put on immortality—and whose pure and gentle spirit is now with Him from whom it came. We mourn not for the dead, but for the poor who are bereaved of a true and indefatigable friend—"zealous of good works;" and that his mother—whose name is engraven on the hearts and memories of all the young, and many of the matured persons of this, and other lands—has now no son. Mrs. Hoiland has somewhat advanced into the vale of years—and the staff upon which she leaned has been snapt in twain—it has been her sad task to close the eyes which she first taught to look on heaven. His last hours were, indeed, those of consolation to all around him; and free from every vestige of that suffering, which, during the past months, he endured with such exemplary patience.

## JOHN O'KEEFE.

This venerable dramatist died during the past month at Southampton. He had attained the unusual age of eighty-six; and, though in great retirement, had lived in competency during his later years. Some time since, on a report that his circumstances were not so flourishing as might be desired, the committee of the Literary Fund voted and sent him a considerable sum; but it turned out that the rumour was erroneous; and O'Keefe sent back the donation, with a gratifying statement of his own comfortable situation, and a handsome acknowledgment of the intended kindness.

O'Keefe was a native of Dublin, and a Roman Catholic. He was educated by a learned Jesuit, father Austin; but took to the stage, and wrote a comedy at the age of fifteen. Coming to London he ceased to perform, but produced between thirty and forty dramas of every kind, we believe, except tragedy. We copy the following from the "Biographical Dictionary."

"In 1800, Mr. O'Keefe, being reduced by blindness and other misfortunes to a state of great embarrassment, obtained a benefit at Covent Garden Theatre, and, at the end of the performance, he delivered a poetical address, in which humour and pathos were very happily blended. The printed works of this lively writer are as follow:—

"Tony Lumpkin in Town, fc. 8vo. 1778; Son-in-Law, 8vo. 1779; the Birth Day, 8vo. 1783; Omai, p. 8vo. 1783; Prisoner at Large, cr. 8vo. 1788; the Toy, cr. 8vo. 1789; World in a Village, com. 8vo. 1793; London Hermit, cr. 8vo. 1793; Wild Oats, cr. 8vo. 1794; Life's Vagaries, cr. 8vo. 1795; Irish Mimic (mus. ent.), 1795. In 1798 the following were collected and published: Alfred, a drama, 8vo.; the Basket-Maker (mus. enter.), 8vo.; a Beggar on Horseback, farce, 8vo.; the



Blacksmith of Antwerp, fc. 8vo.; the Castle of Andalusia, com. opera, 8vo.; the Czar Peter, ditto, 8vo.; the Doldrum, fc. 8vo.; the Farmer, fc. 8vo.; Fontainebleau, com. opera, 8vo.; Le Grenadier, pantomime, 8vo.; Highland Reel, com. opera, 8vo.; Little Hunchback, fc. 8vo.; Love in a Camp, fc. 8vo.; Man-Milliner, fc. 8vo.; Modern Antiques, fc. 8vo.; Poor Soldier, com. opera, 8vo.; Positive Man, fc. 8vo.; Sprigs of Laurel, com. opera, 8vo.; Tantarara Rogues all, fc. 8vo.; Wicklow Mountains, opera, 8vo. Besides these pieces, the author has produced many which remain in the hands of the proprietors of the theatres as stock-plays."

## ROBERT C. SANDS, ESQ.

In the 34th year of his age, Robert C. Sands, Esq., one of the editors of the "New-York Commercial Advertiser." Our readers who will take the trouble to read a production from the pen of Mrs. Trollope, entitled "The Refugee," will there find a character under the name of Hannibal Burns. The author of the "Domestic Manners of the Americans" has laboured to depict a New-York editor; and by way of representing such a person in the most odious light, not only makes him a police-officer, but one especially celebrated in the capture of runaway Englishmen. Hannibal Burns is portrayed as a low, vulgar, ignorant ruffian; willing to undertake any case, or perform any act; whose only delight appears in deluding the runaway, and making his piety the shield for his duplicity. With these amiable qualifications, he is also an editor of a New-York paper. We have heard many sensible persons actually take the character for granted, and on the assumption argue, that any alteration in the stamp or advertisement duties would be likely to give us newspapers with a similar class of persons for their conductors. We need not refute trash so absurd and ridiculous; but we cannot refrain from disabusing the public mind on the representation which it is pretended to give of a transatlantic contemporary.

We were acquainted with Mr. Sands, and look back to the period when we knew him, with mingled sensations of sorrow and delight. We can scarcely trust our pen when we think of him—and then look at the being that malevolence would thrust upon our belief. Poor Sands—he was indeed a gentleman! We knew him but for a short time, but in that brief period we became acquainted with a truly worthy man—a fine scholar and elegant writer—a wit, poet, in fact, a being whose intellectual powers were of the highest and most envied. His education had been of the best description. He graduated at Colombia College in 1815, and received his degree with high honours. He afterwards published his juvenile and academic productions, and gained by it both credit and emolument. He was engaged in several light works soon after he left college; and in union with other literary men he commenced a series of essays, which, at the time they were published, attracted as much attention as the "Salmagundi" of Washington Irving. He was the principal author of the "Yamoyden," a poetic work, which gives a true, spirited, and faithful picture of the Aborigines of America. He had been the editor of the "Atlantic Magazine," of the "New-York Review," and, when he died, was an editor of the paper which we have mentioned. He was in the act of writing an article, when he was seized with a determination of blood from the head, and he fell from his chair and expired. He had studied for the bar, and had practised; but the profession of the law was not suited to his talents. He was only happy while engaged in literary pursuits, or in the society of those who had a kindred disposition. His manners were gentle and unassuming, his wit without acerbity, and his imagination powerful in the extreme. Many of his productions have appeared in annuals, and they are without exception marked with the vigorous and fertile genius, purity of taste, grace, ease, and correctness, for which he was celebrated.

These few remarks have been made by one who knew him to have been all, and more than has been stated above; and who considers that there cannot be afforded a better opportunity than this to refute a calumny, and thus convey a reproof to a carping, cynical, and disappointed novelist.—J. W. G.

## MR. JOHN THOMAS SMITH.

WE are indebted to the "Athenæum" for the following memoir of Mr. John Thomas Smith, the keeper of the Prints in the British Museum, who died suddenly on Friday the 8th. "He was well known, from the situation which he held, and the works which at various times he edited; and he will be long remembered by the frequenters of the Museum—not so much for his knowledge of works of art, as for his abundant gossip on matters connected therewith. He was the son of old Na-



thaniel Smith, the printseller, formerly of May's Buildings, a well-known jackal to the Walpoles, Gulstons, and Cracherodes, the great print collectors of other days. The father etched a little, and from his instruction the son acquired the like art. When a very young man he commenced a series of Illustrations of the Antiquities of London and its environs; the first number of which work was published so early as 1791, and the last not till 1800. During its progress he also published 'Remarks on Rural Scenery, with twenty etchings of Cottages from Nature,' &c. 4to. 1797; this was followed by the 'Antiquities of Westminster,' 1807; and in 1809 he published sixty-two additional plates to this latter work. In 1810 he commenced his 'Ancient Topography of London,' consisting principally of specimens of domestic architecture. After this appeared, with an introduction by Francis Douce, his 'Vagabondiana, or Etchings of remarkable Beggars, &c. of notoriety in London and its environs.' His last publication was the 'Life of Nollekens'—written in a spirit of disappointed spleen, universally and justly condemned. We understand he has left a posthumous work, entitled a 'History of his own Life and Times.'

"The most important matter now to be considered is, who shall be his successor at the Museum? We hope, for the credit of the country, that the place will be given to some one of ability and experience. Several candidates have started—two we have heard named, and both of them are well entitled to hope for it, while some dozen others have no pretension except personal influence."

---

## CRITICAL NOTICES.

Life of Sir Walter Raleigh. By Patrick Fraser Tytler, Esq.,  
F.R.S. and F.S.A.

This is another admirable piece of biography furnished for the "Edinburgh Cabinet Library." Of Mr. Tytler's qualifications for the task which he has executed with so much credit to himself, the world has been long acquainted, and any commendation of ours, by way of introducing him to the reader, would be quite superfluous. These pages not only present us with the history and character of an extraordinary man, who, perhaps more than any other of his age, combined profound views with practical knowledge and activity; but we behold him surrounded with groups of his most eminent contemporaries. If some of them are shaded more deeply than we have been accustomed to view them, we are indebted to the impartial justice of Mr. Tytler for a more faithful estimation of them than his predecessors either had the ability or the inclination to execute. Raleigh has passed through the severe and critical investigation of all that was before obscure and unintelligible in his eventful life with eminent advantage. The mistakes and aspersions of Hume are corrected and removed; the gross charges against his honour and veracity, examined and refuted. The only real blot on his fair fame is the letter to Cecil respecting the Earl of Essex: and the treatment he afterwards received from that crafty minister of a weak, malignant, and contemptible master, has in it something like retribution. The secret history of his offences, and the real facts attending his trial and condemnation, are minutely disclosed and faithfully narrated by Mr. Tytler. His execution was a foul murder; and Coke, the Attorney-General, whatever may be his fame as a lawyer, stands doomed to everlasting infamy on account of his conduct in this detestable violation of every principle of honour and justice, of integrity and humanity. His associates in this deed of blood, which stands as their reproach through all generations, are Cecil and the Royal James, one of the most despicable tyrants that ever abused a sceptre. It is not too much to say that we have read this work with deep interest. The unbroken stream of its narrative and the classical purity of its style afforded gratification to our task, while the new light it has shed upon many important passages in our national history has increased our stock of important information. We certainly hail it as the most authentic account of Sir Walter Raleigh which has yet been given to the public. We trust that the hint conveyed by the concluding paragraph of Mr. Tytler's preface will not be thrown out in vain.—"It is high time that state papers, documents, and journals, and all our national muniments, should be made accessible to the public; till this



is the case no proper history of England can be written." May we hope that, by the labours of the New Record Commission, the freedom of consultation and transcription will be at length established, not only in the State-Paper Office, but in the other great collections of the kingdom, many of which, as they at present exist, are not so much the repositories as the cemeteries of our national records.

A Critical Dissertation on the Nature, Measures, and Causes of Value, chiefly in reference to the Writings of Mr. Ricardo and his Followers. By the Author of "Essays on the Formation and Publication of Opinions," &c. &c. (Reviewed by the Author of "Corn-Law Rhymes.")

Few men who, in this bread-taxed land, have to live by their own exertions, can afford to bestow on this book—which, to be understood, must not only be read, but deeply studied—the attention which it deserves; and, of course, no man who has not bestowed on it the attention which it deserves, can have a right to say that he differs from the author in opinion. If, then, I presume to dissent from any of his conclusions—after giving the Dissertation two careful and thorough perusals—it will become me, not to assent, but modestly to hint my dissent, in the shape of queries, which may lead to inquiry and discussion.

Throughout his first chapter, and indeed throughout the volume, Mr. Bailey seems to mistake *exchangeability* for *value*. He states, it is true, at the outset, "That value, in its ultimate sense, appears to mean the esteem in which any object is held." According to this true definition, a lock of hair cut from the head of a deceased child might be very valuable to the surviving parents, although nobody would buy it of them at any price. So far, all is well. But the author goes on to say, "That it is only when objects are considered together, as subjects of preference or exchange, that the specific feeling of value can arise."

Now, let us suppose that a sailor is shipwrecked, and that the wave which casts him on a barren rock throws up also a quantity of oysters. Will Mr. Bailey assert, that because there is no other useful article on the rock, the oysters are therefore of no value to the shipwrecked seaman? Or, if we suppose that the wave which cast the sailor and the oysters on the rock, threw up also a cask of biscuits, will Mr. Bailey assert, that if the oysters had not been cast up with the biscuits, the latter would have been of no value to the shipwrecked sailor? If he will not assert this, what becomes of his doctrine, "That a thing cannot be valuable in itself, without reference to another thing?" We can conceive, that the sailor might be under the necessity of resigning the biscuits or the oysters, and that he might determine to resign the oysters and keep the biscuits, which would prove, that in his estimation, the biscuits were worth the oysters; yet if the sailor happened afterwards to argue the question of value with the philosopher, he might reasonably contend, not only that oysters have always an intrinsic value, but that, in his case, the oysters supposed had a value *of which labour formed no part*. To the latter supposition, I think, Mr. Bailey ought himself to assent; for the ability with which, when refuting Ricardo and others, he demonstrates the truth of similar suppositions, in his masterly chapter on the Causes of Value, constitutes, in my opinion, the value of his publication.

As the limits of this Magazine will not permit me to follow the author of the Dissertation through all his chapters, I will make a quotation from the most important of them. How admirably, in the following passage, and in how few words, does he overthrow the pernicious sophistry of the famous theory of rent!

"The value of that corn which is produced on lands paying rent is not, it is acknowledged, in proportion either to the capital or to the labour actually expended in its production. It must be owing, therefore, to some other cause; and the only other cause is the state of the supply and demand, or the competition of the purchasers. This competition might raise the price to an indefinite height, if it were not for the existence of other lands, which, although they could produce corn only at a greater cost, would be brought into cultivation as soon as the price had risen sufficiently high to pay the ordinary profits on the capital required. It is, therefore, the possibility of producing corn, or the actual production of it, at a greater cost, which forms the limit to its value. But although this is the limit beyond which its value cannot rise, it cannot be said to be the cause of its value. It is the cause of its being no higher, not the cause of its being so high. A perforation in the side of a vessel, at any distance from the bottom, would effectually prevent its being filled to a greater height with water, but it would be no cause of the water attaining that height. At the utmost it could be considered as only a joint cause of the result.

"We accordingly find that the expression used by Mr. Ricardo on this subject is, not that the value of corn is *caused*, but that it is *regulated* by the cost of production on the least fertile lands.



The owners of land of superior fertility enjoy a monopoly, which, however, does not enable them to raise their commodity indefinitely, according to the varying wants and caprices of mankind, but which is bounded by the existence of inferior soils.

"It is simply out of this monopoly-value that rent arises. Rent proceeds, in fact, from the extraordinary profit which is obtained by the possession of an instrument of production, protected up to a certain point from competition. If the owner of this instrument, instead of using it himself, lets it out to another, he receives from him this surplus of profit under the denomination of rent. In this view of the subject, the extraordinary profit might exist, although the land in cultivation were all of the same quality; nay, must exist before inferior land was cultivated; for it could be only in consequence of extraordinary gains obtained by the monopolizers of the best land, that capital and labour would be expended on soils of a subordinate order. Rent, therefore, might exist, while all the land under cultivation was of equal fertility. Perhaps it might not exist under these circumstances during any long period, but its existence at all would prove that it was the effect of monopoly, an extraordinary profit, and not the consequence of the cultivation of inferior soils."

If the author had written nothing but his chapter on the Causes of Value, he would have deserved well of his country and mankind. Perhaps, we cannot yet say of Samuel Bailey, as of John Locke, that he has shot an arrow of lightning into the darkness of the human mind; but we can say, that he shoots deliberately, and with true aim, at errors in theory and nomenclature, which are already become practical mischiefs, if not public wrongs; and posterity will concede to the philosopher of Sheffield, that he, at least, possessed, in a very eminent degree, that power which distinguished, above all other men, the most useful of all, James Watt; I mean, the power of grasping, and holding fast, whatever idea, original or derived, he might have determined to examine. Indeed, if we can ascribe any fault to this profound thinker, it is, that he grasps his objects too tenaciously, stubbornly refusing to resign them, until he has pointed out differences which, if they exist at all, seem to be of little practical value. No person, however, will hastily condemn such distinctions, who reflects that many of the disputes, and much of the actual evil which we deplore, have arisen out of the abuse of words or terms, which either had no definite meaning, or to which different meanings were ascribed by the disputants; and surely the importance of establishing first principles will be disputed by no man who knows that one original and correct idea from the mind of a Scotch mechanic,—that of attaching the air-pump to the steam-engine,—is now, without a metaphor, not only *manufacturing* food for at least eight millions of human beings in Great Britain, but that it has actually called those millions into existence! Our bachelor philosopher of Burn Greave, while he smiles at this assertion, will assent to its truth. What married man, who has a family, knows not that matrimony is made of cakes and pudding? and the time is not distant, when the countrywomen of Harriet Martineau will, one and all, acknowledge that the great manufactory of mouths and bread is the *Mind* itself.

### The New Road to Ruin, by Lady Stepney. London. Bentley.

It would be a curious and interesting matter deliberately to criticise and arrange under distinct heads the different tribes of novels which have appeared during the continuance of a novel-writing mania, that has filled the shelves of our booksellers and book readers during the last ten or fifteen years. We do not mean that we should scrutinize every work—a labour for a Hercules,—but, taking one of each class, investigate its taste, sentiment, and the probability which exists of its possessing more than an ephemeral existence. There are novels without tales, and tales that are not novel. The didactic, the prosaic, the poetic, the voluminous, the heroic, the romantic, the scientific, the philosophic, the historic, the—our goose-quill calls for a respite. Lady Stepney's "Road to Ruin" it would be difficult to characterize, partaking as it does of two principal attributes—the conversational and the descriptive;—description, not so much of the natural, as of the physical and moral world. But there is also a considerable degree of dramatic incident and bustle in many of its pages. This accomplished lady has not, from the commencement of her work, directed her reader's attention to the development of plot, so much as to the development of character. She flies, "and flying sparkles," from subject to subject, and time to time; mingling details of honesty and villany, love and hatred, romance and plain dealing, so as to form a species of portrait gallery; where some will most value the lovely and interesting Ellen, others will be delighted with the simple and natural Fanny; and many, with ourselves, "set most store by" the striking and vivid delineations of the pompous, weak, and wicked



Lord Darmaya. Lady Stepney possesses a happy faculty of light and *piquant badinage*—occasionally she makes, perhaps, a little too frequent use of the French language; but this characteristic of her style is also very characteristic of the dialogue fashionable to the present day. Nobody, perhaps, is better calculated than the charming lady to whom we are indebted for these volumes, to paint the manners and existence of the privileged idlers of the world. She observes, with a lively tact, the aspect of the society which she embellishes; and her portraitures are no less faithful than attractive. Many of the aphorisms, interspersed through the volumes, are stamped either with charming sentiment or acute remark. We grieve that our limits, and our usual custom of abstaining from quotation in works of fiction, will not allow us to indulge our reader with any extracts. We hail with pleasure so delightful an acquisition to the galaxy of living female writers.

Travels and Researches of Baron Humboldt. By W. Macgillivray, A.M.

This forms Vol. X. of the "Edinburgh Cabinet Library." It is a *condensed* narrative of the journeys of the indefatigable and adventurous traveller whose name is familiar to every person whose attention has been drawn to political statistics or natural philosophy. In the equinoctial regions of America, and in Asiatic Russia, Baron Humboldt pursued those researches and investigations, analyses of which are contained in the work before us. The compiler observes—"From the various works which the Baron has given to the world have been derived the chief materials of the narrative; and when additional particulars were wanted, application was made to M. de Humboldt himself, who kindly pointed out the sources whence the desired information might be obtained. The life of a man of letters, he justly observed, ought to be sought for in his books; and for this reason little has been said respecting his occupations during the intervals of repose which have succeeded his perilous journeys."

In the process of condensation, the spirit of the original has escaped. The phrases "our travellers," "they proceeded," "they went out," &c. &c. deprive the narrative of the lively interest which an eye-witness of the scenes which he describes has so much the power of conveying to his readers. Mr. Macgillivray has given us rather a dry report of the travels and researches of Baron Humboldt than the narrative and observations of the traveller himself. Yet we willingly join with the publishers in the hope that "the work, notwithstanding its abridged form, will prove beneficial in diffusing a knowledge of the researches of the eminent naturalist from whose original labours it has been taken, and leading to the study of those phenomena which present themselves daily to the eye."

Valpy's Family Classical Library. Euripides, Vol. III. Homer, Vol. II.

We have little to remark on the above, more than that they serve worthily to continue the reputation already acquired by their predecessors. The volume of Euripides contains six tragedies of that great master. The second volume of Homer comprises the last eleven books of the Iliad and four of the Odyssey. To comment upon the performances of Potter and Pope at this time of day would by many be considered useless labour, yet we cannot but think that something more spirited than Potter's and more faithful than Pope's translation might have been found by diligent seeking. We should have preferred taking, where practicable, the best translations separate, and writers. Uniformity in a work of this kind constitutes no particular commendation. We cannot forbear one word upon the fact, that, while the place of Homer's birth and the period of his existence are still concealed in the inscrutable arcana of the past,—while learned men are disputing whether he might not have been Ulysses or Solomon, or even "really, truly nobody at all,"—his verses are still with us an immortal possession, in which time has shed its influence only to consecrate and ennoble. Unfading associations are interwoven in our recollection of the verses of the "blind Mæonides." Scarcely less do we love him than the other great name who wore the dignity of the tragic muse with a princely grace. But why talk in this rambling strain of those whom fame has taken for her own? Truly it is a work of supererogation, and we at once give over.

Deloraine. By the Author of "Caleb Williams." Bentley.

When we look back upon the immense stride that literature has made since the period when the author of "Caleb Williams" struck boldly into a new and un-



trodden path, and laid bare the machinery of human passion, so as to tempt more youthful anatomists to the same daring, we confess ourselves astonished at the power by which Mr. Godwin has been enabled to maintain his station, at a time of life when man generally sinks into that state so pathetically described as—

“Sans teeth, sans eyes, sans everything.”

Eighty years have rolled over the head of this extraordinary man, and yet behold! a mental Phoenix rises from the very ashes:—a creation of an imagination to the last degree wonderful, when we consider the hand is feeble, that traced the pages in which it is impossible not to see the master spirit, not indeed as it was in the strength and radiance of his early career, when, like the eagle on the mountain's brow, he stood or soared alone in his magnificence; but grand and striking as an outline of which, in his best days, he need not have been ashamed. “Deloraine” is by no means devoid of gentle interest, and the female character is, in many respects, beautifully developed; its proportions are at once correct and graceful, and this alone must render the volumes interesting to the general reader, not disposed to view it, with ourselves, as one of the curiosities of literature. We never analyse stories; it is, in our opinion, unfair both to the reader and the author. Who would sit down to view the sufferings of a heroine, the difficulties of a hero, did they know exactly how those sufferings and difficulties would terminate? *We* shall never forgive a certain elderly lady of our acquaintance, a worthy good soul in other respects, who adds to an insatiable literary appetite, as insatiable a literary digestion. “Have you read so-and-so?” she commences. “No; but we are going to;” or, “just in the second volume,” is the reply. “Well, I can tell you all about it. I have just finished it.” “My dear Madam, we would much rather *not* hear all about it. We prefer making it out.” Upon this the old lady looks silenced; but only for a moment. She has as many turns and windings as a hare, and, by sundry inuendoes and insinuations, finishes our curiosity and the book at one and the same time. We have, therefore, a fellow-feeling for others, and prefer recommending to informing,—if, indeed, a new novel by the author of “Caleb Williams” need any recommendation to the reading public.

### The Exile of Idria; a German Tale.

This German tale is one of deep interest. Its elements are love and injustice, patient and undeserved suffering, ultimate deliverance and long-continued happiness. These are, indeed, common materials, yet the incidents which are formed out of them are by no means common; and the poetry, though it be imitative in its style and structure, is often original. As a story, it cannot be read without deep and varied emotions; as a poem, it reflects infinite credit on the imaginative powers and refined taste of the author, with whom, indeed, we hope to be better acquainted.

### Loudon's Encyclopædia of Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture. Part X.

This is by far the most interesting part of this useful work that we have seen. The fundamental principles for laying out villas, coming as they do from a man of Mr. Loudon's great experience as a landscape gardener, ought to be read attentively by every one who has a villa to build, or grounds to improve. We were much struck with the views of the Earl of Shrewsbury's extraordinary place in Staffordshire, Alton Towers. This is a complete extravaganza, designed by the late Earl, as though to show how far caprice, and almost unbounded wealth, could change the features of nature. The result is a scene of gorgeous splendour, reminding the beholder of the descriptions in the “Arabian Tales,” and almost realizing the wildest visions of the poet's brain, yet totally destroying all the natural loveliness of the place. The sketch of Beau Ideal Villa, pointing out the comforts and luxuries required to form a complete villa, in the modern taste, for a country gentleman of independent fortune, is an excellent idea, well executed. It is evidently written quite *con amore*.

Piozziana; or, Recollections of the late Mrs. Piozzi. With Remarks, by a Friend. 8vo.

We are afraid the public in general will feel little interest in this gossip and tittle-tattle. Mrs. Piozzi has long filled all the space she was ever entitled to in



the literature of the age to which she belonged; and we are perfectly sure these Piozziana will add nothing to her fame,—in fact, they tell us nothing that we care to inquire about. We read the pages before us as we remember once to have read the letters of the celebrated John Wilkes, published after his death,—we were just enough interested to go on; but it was the interest of expectation, which was extinguished, however, long before we arrived at the last page. The writer is, we doubt not, a very respectable old gentleman, who, before the waning of his faculties, had some pretensions to literary taste, and a general acquaintance with the literature that was in vogue some fifty years ago. Those who, like himself, may be now lingering like shadows on this side the Styx, may perhaps wile away their time by turning over the leaves of his Piozziana; and this, we fear, is all we can venture to promise him.

The Trade of Banking in England; embracing the Substance of the Evidence taken before the Secret Committee of the House of Commons, digested and arranged under appropriate Heads. Together with a Summary of the Law applicable to the Bank of England, to private Banks of Issue, and Joint-Stock Banking Companies; to which are added, an Appendix and Index. By Michael J. Quin, Esq., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at-Law.

This elaborate and very seasonable volume is appropriately inscribed to the Lord Chancellor. Its merits can, however, be appreciated by that portion of the public who are deeply and practically interested in the subjects of which it treats. The title comprehensively announces its multifarious contents, but the whole plan and object are detailed in the preface, in which the writer informs us, that he has endeavoured, in the first place, to give a general view of the origin, privileges, and functions of the Bank of England; the mode in which its business is conducted; and of the character which it has acquired amongst those persons in London who, from their own experience, are peculiarly competent to bear testimony to the true nature of its operations. But we cannot do better than allow him to speak in his own person, assuring our readers, that, as far as we are able to judge, Mr. Quin has, with much industry and talent, furnished all the information on the subject of banking which the exigencies of the times seem to demand:—

“I have proceeded,” he continues, “to treat of its branch banks recently established in different parts of the country, collecting from the evidence laid before the Committee of the House of Commons, such information as might enable the public to judge of the value of those institutions. Considering the Bank and its branches then, in one point of view, I have traced out its actual condition as to capital, liabilities, and annual profits, from the accounts which were rendered to the Committee. As no similar returns were ever before communicated by the Bank, the real state of that Corporation can now be examined, for the first time, upon the faith of documents of an authentic description, and in which everything connected with the concerns of the Company is disclosed without reserve.

“Decided differences of opinion prevailed amongst several of the witnesses who appeared before the Committee, with respect to the fluctuations which from time to time have taken place in the currency. I found it necessary, therefore, to attempt to clear away the obscurities by which that subject has been heretofore surrounded; and I hope, that with the assistance of the practical knowledge relating to it which abounds in the Minutes of Evidence, I have succeeded in simplifying a topic which theorists had previously made almost unintelligible.

“In order to prepare the reader for this discussion, I have touched on the nature of the foreign exchanges—a theme also hitherto fruitful of perplexity to all persons who have not an immediate interest in their variations, and a practical acquaintance with the causes that elevate or depress them in the course of trade. If the reader go with me through these explanations, I trust that he will then be enabled to judge how far the management of the Bank is chargeable with producing contractions or enlargements of the circulation to the prejudice of the community, and whether any system of banking can be devised by which such alternations can for the future be prevented.

“The lessons afforded to the country by the catastrophe of 1825 are next alluded to, as well as the extent to which the Bank has profited by those serious and providential admonitions. The whole of the objections which have been made to its system of management, and the answer given to those objections, on the part of the Bank, are then exhibited, in order that the reader may decide for himself between conflicting opinions, arguments, and statements of facts, on which side the truth is probably to be found.

“As the inquiry now pending in Parliament extends to private and joint-stock banks, the evidence with respect to those establishments is condensed in successive chapters; and they will, perhaps, be found, in connexion with those which precede them, to disclose a more complete



view of the banking trade in this country than it was possible for any one writer to collect, without access to the valuable evidence lately published by order of the House of Commons. The improvements proposed by several witnesses with respect to the banking system are next drawn out from the mass of questions and answers; and I have presumed to conclude the first part of this work with such observations as occurred to me upon a careful and impartial consideration of the whole subject.

“References having been made in many passages of the evidence to the present state of the law upon several points connected with banking, I deemed it convenient to add, in a Second Part, a summary of all the more important statutes which relate either to the Bank of England, to private banks of issue, or joint-stock banking companies. In the Appendix will be found an account of the principal foreign banks, and of those of Ireland and Scotland; and also a series of useful tables compiled, at my request, by Mr. Keppel, one of the most accurate accountants in the city of London. I wish I were at liberty to mention the names of two other gentlemen, of great commercial experience and high character, who have done me the favour to revise this volume in its progress through the press. If upon the subject of which it treats it have any pretensions to authority, I owe it entirely to their suggestions, and to the kind vigilance with which they have preserved me from falling into material errors.”

Compendium of Modern Geography. By Rev. Alexander Stewart.  
3d Edition.

We are happy in adding our testimony to that of the many journalists who have expressed their approbation of this little work. It is extremely well arranged and very neatly got up. We think it unquestionably superior to either Goldsmith's or Guy's, though that is not saying much in its favour. Our principal doubt is about the manner in which it is to be used; if to be committed to memory at so many sentences per day, we think the learning it and the not learning it at all would be nearly equal in utility. A more miserable plan of teaching geography was never devised than that of making the pupil learn by heart a shapeless mass of facts about the population, language, manners, religion, &c. of every country as they are found in the geography-book, and scarcely ever looking upon a map. The thorough study of maps is the *sine quâ non* in elementary geography. After he knows a map or two by heart, then the perusal of such a work as this, and of narratives of voyages and travels, becomes really serviceable to him. Experience has proved the utility of this plan of proceeding.

The Producing Man's Companion; an Essay on the Present State of Society, Moral, Political, and Physical, in England; with the best Means of Providing for the Poor, and those Classes of Operatives who may be suddenly thrown out of their regular Employments by the Substitution of new Inventions. By Junius Redivivus. 12mo. 2nd Edition.

It is not easy to exaggerate the number and magnitude of the evils which its present institutions inflict upon the great body of society. The remains of feudal injustice and oppression; the pernicious operation of bad laws, made for the exclusive benefit of the rich, and the mal-administration of good ones, which increases the misery they were intended to alleviate among the poor; together with the rapid advance of intellect, and the improvement and application of science to the great business of trade and commerce, all seem to be rapidly hastening on a crisis when some great and radical change may be expected. There are some writers who, with sobriety and good sense, suggest remedies that are practical; and though we do not subscribe to all the doctrines advanced by Junius Redivivus, it is impossible to deny him the praise of benevolent intentions and vigorous powers. He is an accomplished and remarkable man, and in verse and prose possesses very remarkable faculties.

The Modern Cymon. From the French of M. Paul de Kock.

We have perused these two volumes with considerable pleasure and amusement. They exhibit a very faithful picture of French manner and society, and the characters are without exception drawn with inimitable fidelity and humour. The idea of the publishers in getting up this translation was, to give the English public an opportunity of judging of the merits of one of the most celebrated French novelists, divested of the impurities in which he is too apt to indulge. These impurities would here be a great objection to a work, but our neighbours on the other side of the water are not so scrupulous. De Kock is distinguished for his accurate knowledge of human nature in general, and his skill in depicting individual in-



stances of folly or absurdity. One great objection to translations is, the difficulty of preserving the spirit of the original, and we may almost go the length of saying, that to translate well, is as difficult as to write well. The present translator has fulfilled his task with ability, and therefore the reader who has not perused the original will not, as in ordinary circumstances, have to regret the loss of the spirit of the original. As the present work is likely to be very successful, other novels of the same author are, we hear, in the course of translation; and we understand that one of them, which possesses peculiar interest, has been prepared from the French, by Mr. C. Clyatt, and will shortly be published.

### Charterhouse Prize Exercises, from 1814 to 1832.

This is a proud monument to the institution whose name it bears. Of course the exercises are of various merits, but they are all worthy of a place in a publication designed to do honour to the masters and pupils of the Charterhouse. We recommend that the present volume should commence a series to be published at the intervals of two or three years; this will prove a useful stimulus to the emulation of the youthful aspirants for literary distinctions.

Some of these exercises are of such promise—both as to vigorous conception and classical execution—that we shall be disappointed if the names appended to them in a few years are not pronounced with admiration and delight at the bar and in the senate. We think we discern two or three embryo poets and orators.

---

## LIST OF NEW PUBLICATIONS.

Arnott's Elements of Physics, or Natural Philosophy, Vol. I. 8vo. 2ls. bds., and Vol. II. Part I. 8vo. 10s. 6d. bds. Fifth Edition.

Leonard's Voyage to Western Africa, 12mo. 4s. 6d.

A Treatise on the Physiology and Diseases of the Eye. By J. H. Curtis. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

An Introduction to the Study of English Botany. By Geo. Bancks. 8vo. Plates. 9s.

Piozziana; or, Anecdotes and Memoirs of Mrs. Piozzi. 8vo. 7s.

The Wondrous Tale of Alroy. By the Author of "Vivian Grey." 3 vols. post 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.

Commentary on the Revelation of St. John. By R. B. Cooper. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

Rev. R. Burton's Lectures upon the Ecclesiastical History of the Second and Third Century. 8vo. 12s.

The Parliamentary Pocket-Companion for 1833. 12mo. 4s.

The Transactions of the Linnæan Society, Vol. XVI. Part III. 2l.

Mahon's War in Spain, with Additions. 8vo. 15s.

The Lake of Killarney. By A. M. Porter. New Edition. 3 vols. 12mo. 16s. 6d.

Captain Head's Overland Journey from India to Europe. Oblong folio. 2l. 12s. 6d. bds.; India proofs, 3l. 13s. 6d.

The Dynasty of the Kajars, and History of Persia. With Plates. By Sir Harford Jones Brydges. 8vo. 1l. 4s.

Bishop Middleton on the Greek Article. New Edition. By Rev. H. J. Rose. 8vo. 14s.

Dendy's Book of the Nursery. Foolscap 8vo. 5s. cloth.

Aikman's History of Religious Liberty in England. 18mo. 3s. 6d.

Constance. A Novel. 3 vols. post 8vo. 1l. 11s. 6d.

Sketches in Greece and Turkey, with the Present Condition and Future Prospects of the Turkish Empire. 8vo. 9s. 6d.

Elliott's Views in the East. 2 vols. imperial 8vo. 5l. bds.; 4to. 10l. bds.

---



## LITERARY REPORT.

"The Tyrol." By the Author of "Spain in 1830."

"Waltzburg." A Tale of the Sixteenth Century.

"My Ten Years' Imprisonment in Italian and Austrian Dungeons. By Silvio Pellico. Translated from the original by Thomas Roscoe.

"The Gardener and Forester's Record" of the Culture and Management of Fruits, Vegetable, Forest Trees, and of all Subjects connected with the above Arts, calculated for information and improvement therein.

"Shipwrecks and Disasters at Sea." By Cyrus Redding, Esq. With several Engravings; forming Nos. 78 and 79 of "Constables Miscellany."

"Poor-Laws and Paupers Illustrated." No. I. "The Parish." A Tale. By Harriet Martineau; under the superintendence of the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge.

Dr. O. C. Wood announces a Translation from the German of Von Hammer's History of the Assassins. The French translation mentioned in our last is but indifferently done, and the work is of much interest.

"The Life, Times, and Correspondence of Dr. Isaac Watts." By the Rev. T. Milner, Author of the "History of the Seven Churches of Asia."

"The Narrative of Two Expeditions into the Interior of Australia," undertaken by Captain C. Sturt, by order of the Colonial Government, to ascertain the nature of the country.

"An Historical Sketch of the Princes of India, Stipendary, Tributary, Feudatory," &c.; with a Sketch of the Origin and Progress of British Power in India. By an Officer in the service of the East India Company.

The Countess of Blessington is occupied in writing a novel, "The Repealers," the object of which is, we understand, to depict the present melancholy condition of Ireland."

A curious work is announced, of which report speaks highly, with regard to both interest and information, entitled, "A History of Priestcraft in all Ages and Nations up to the present Moment."

A new edition of "Phœnician Ireland," by Henry O'Brien, Esq., A.B., author of the "Prize Essay" upon the "Round Towers," is announced.

## THE DRAMA.

It is a sad and sorrowful task, in these days of reform, to go on recording from month to month, and almost from year to year, the abundant want of everything like dramatic excellence. Translations and dansures ring the changes at both houses, with an occasional variety afforded by the illness or versatility of Mr. Kean's health or judgment, or the introduction of a farce, for which, when it is good, we have learned to be exceedingly grateful. At

## DRURY LANE

we have had a very pleasing version of Auber's charming ballet opera of *Le Dieu et la Bayadère*, brought out by the joint aid of Messrs. Bishop and Fitzball, under the new title of the *Maid of Cashmere*. The original story is one of considerable beauty, from that inexhaustible source of the extraordinary, the Indian mythology. The god Brama, in one of his incarnations, meets with very indifferent treatment from the inhabitants of the city of Cashmere, from whence he is driven by the police. The only one ready to take pity upon his abject fortune and condition is a dancing-girl, a profession always despised in India. She flies with him to her hut, conceals him there, and becomes enamoured. Her sister, who has the gift of song, by her harmony diverts the attentions of Brama from the dancing-girl to herself: this causes them to display their respective powers. In conclusion, the dancing-girl is seized by the authorities of Cashmere, and condemned to be burnt. She is placed upon the pile: it is fired; the god Brama becomes revealed, and her apotheosis ensues.

Mademoiselle Duvernay was as near perfection as possible; and, while bringing Taglioni to our remembrance in some of the movements, did not lose by the comparison. Miss Betts's singing-girl was like all she does—excellent, without superiority. We hear that Mrs. Wood refused the part; *why*, we cannot tell. Mr. Wood improved in this character, and we are glad of it, for it was needed. He is less *woody* than usual, both in his singing and acting.



A Miss Duff, formerly a pupil of the Academy of Music, has played occasionally Madame de Meric's rôle in our old favourite *Don Juan*. She is at present an unequal singer, but promises well.—Mr. Martin progresses in Leporello. There is much to applaud in a new actor's making so successful a *debut* in a part by no means easy.—Mr. Barnard has appeared in his own play of *The Nervous Man*,—and a nervous undertaking it must have been for one so unaccustomed to public performance. He was driven to it; and we consequently do not feel called upon to criticise his performance, as we should have done had he been a volunteer. He is one of the victims to the unjust theatrical system, against which we have so frequently raised our voice. Others are reaping the harvest his abilities sowed; for his farce was, with one late exception at the other house, the best of the season. Drury Lane has also been so good as gratuitously to announce Mr. Kean's appearance at Covent Garden! We were prepared for almost any absurdity in that quarter; but this *almost* surpassed our belief.

## COVENT GARDEN.

The novel upon which Mr. Planché's new play is founded has been dramatized in Paris; and we would remind Mr. La Porte, that much as we admire the internal arrangements of the French theatres, we do not admire the constant grafting of French plays upon the English stage. The plot of *Reputation, or the State Secret* is almost too difficult for us to unravel, but we will try. Otto, Count of Splugen, (Warde) is secretly married to the Princess Frederica, (Miss Taylor) sister to the Landgrave (G. Bennett.) He likewise holds the post of prime minister, and being of steady and sedate habits, his absence from a court festival is remarked, and commented on by the prince and his courtiers. A bet is made that he is engaged in an affair of gallantry, and they break up for the purpose of discovering him, and determining the wager. He is on a secret visit to his bride, but is intercepted by the Chamberlain (Abbott) on his way home, and, to prevent any suspicion, he feigns to have been engaged in an amour with a lowly maiden, Helena (Miss Tree), whose name he accidentally becomes acquainted with through the boy, Fritz (Miss Poole). This slander spreads abroad, and the loss of reputation to Helena is the consequence; her brother Hugo (Mr. C. Kean), maddened at the reproach, sets himself to discover and punish the offender. This he is enabled to do through the agency of Fritz, and immediately he seeks the presence of Otto, and demands of him the public assurance of his sister's innocence. He receives every satisfaction from the Count, but his public acknowledgment of where he spent his time, and which would give a clue to the development of the State Secret. Dissatisfied with this determination, Hugo is resolved upon revenge, and associates himself with a conspiracy formed against the state. As agent of the conspirators he is introduced as a spy into the bed-chamber of the Princess, there becomes acquainted with the secret, which he uses as an instrument to obtain the public avowal from the Count. Doubting the faith of the Count, he steals a note from the Princess's chamber, which discloses her marriage, and this note he gives to Anselm (Haines) the lover of Helena. The Landgrave is wounded in a scuffle with Anselm, and obtains possession of the document. The whole of the *dramatis personæ* are brought together in the last scene; the Landgrave half dead, half drunk, dooms his sister and the Count to the axe, which awaits but the signal of another cup to descend upon her neck. The fatal wine cup is at his lips; but, as in the *Critic*, death stops him short. The lady descends from the scaffold to ascend the throne. This is but an imperfect sketch, but sufficient, we trust, to give our readers a general idea of the subject.

We have good reason to believe that dramatizing this story was in a great degree forced upon Mr. Planché; and, truth to say, he affords us more amusement in the general way than any of our play wrights. He has a sort of epigrammatical manner of turning his short pieces, which renders them *piquant* and entertaining; and though his style lacks *finish*, it is never deficient in *point*; this is more than we can say in general, and if we cannot award the laurel, which belongs only to the genuine dramatist, we cannot but praise his fertility and industry.

The drama possesses the merit of striking situations, qualities readily acknowledged, and more highly appreciated, in the present times than of old; and had these been supported by language having some approach to poetry, and acting having some relation to it, its success might probably have not been so transient as under its present circumstances it is likely to be. Mr. C. Kean was intrusted with the part of Hugo, and fully confirmed us in those disadvantageous opinions we had before hazarded as to his powers. Mr. Warde, as Count Otho the minister,



acted extremely well ; his delineation of the character was well conceived, and the result of considerable study and reflection. Miss E. Tree, without any opportunity of displaying her talents, did as she always does, what the author sets down for her, well. Miss Taylor neither in look, manners, nor actions, displayed any thing of the princess. Mr. G. Bennett, heaven defend us from again witnessing Mr. G. Bennett's drinking and dying exit. It was solemnly ludicrous. Mr. Abbott's forced pleasantry and new suit, must only be matters of record, not of comment. Mr. Haines is much misplaced in the character of Anselm. We must confess that the curtain descended amid shouts of applause, and thus write our own condemnation—it is even so ; we only wish that to prevent unnecessary interruption, some judicious servant of the theatre was placed in the orchestra, to give the time by a motion of his hand. When shouts of tremendous applause should mount to the regions on high—secure of *their* sending back the echo of “ the most sweet voices ” of “ the people.”

We are glad to welcome Mr. Hacket, an American, on our English boards ; a grain of his real talent will have more effect in blowing away the bushels of light chaff which have been written on the subject of the United States and their inhabitants, by empty-headed and empty-pocketed individuals, than any attempts to set matters right, which should emanate from those less prejudiced and better informed on the subject. Varying in climate and productions, with thousands of miles of sea-coast, it is no wonder that the creature, man, exhibits various peculiarities in this mighty union.

Though last, not least, we have to notice Mr. Poole's clever *Nabob for an Hour* ; the materials for the plot, have nothing in themselves of a particularly novel nature ; but the whole is so skilfully worked out—and so cleverly managed, that it excites the genuine mirth of the audience, and deserves the “ run ” it is likely to obtain ; the dialogue is polished and sparkling—the points good—and the acting above all praise. Bartley as the Nabob, the inimitable Keeley as Dick Dumpey, and his intelligent, vixenish wife as Nancy Scraggs, were the triumphant pillars of the performance. Next to Miss Kelly, we have no such actress in that peculiar line, as Mrs. Keeley. She identifies herself to perfection with the person whose name she bears ; and never suffers the audience to remember that she is only *acting* a part until the curtain drops. She moreover dresses the *character* and not *herself*. She would never have done what pretty Miss Sidney did the other night in the *Merchant of Venice*, display a Parisian bonnet on the head of a Venetian lady. She is earnest, attentive, and industrious, and reaps her meed of fame and profit accordingly.

---

## FINE ARTS.

The tenth exhibition of the Society of British Artists was opened in Suffolk Street, on the 25th. It is on the whole, an excellent collection, highly creditable to British art, and affording ample proof of its improvement. Among the more distinguished of the Exhibitors are, John Wilson, Hart, R. B. Davis, Inskipp, Ripplingile, Lance, Hofland, Lee, and Clater.—There are three or four names in the catalogue with which we have not been heretofore acquainted—Pirie, Cooper, Chambers, and Fisk. They have done much towards establishing a reputation equal to the best of their competitors.

---

## PROCEEDINGS OF SOCIETIES.

### ROYAL INSTITUTION.

At a recent meeting, Mr. Faraday delivered a lecture on the practical prevention of dry-rot. After adverting to the extensive decay of wood in ships, houses, and other structures of that material, involving a loss of such magnitude as to have excited almost universal search after a remedy, Mr. Faraday said he would pass by all propositions for its prevention, except that one absolutely introduced by Mr. Kyan, and to which the lecturer had paid particular attention. The process is now



largely in use. The wood, prior to its application, is immersed in a solution of corrosive sublimate; in the course of a week a load of it is found to have absorbed five gallons of solution; at the end of that time it is removed, and shortly after becomes fit for building. The preservative powers of corrosive sublimate in furs, stuffed birds, anatomical specimens, &c., are well known; and those which it exerts over wood seem not to be less decisive, and far more useful.—Pieces of timber thus prepared were put into a fungus-pit at Woolwich for three years, and at that time taken out perfectly sound. Canvass and calico, treated in a similar manner, were also found to be preserved from mildew or decay. Mr. Faraday's suspicions appear to have been excited not so much as regarded the preservative power of the process, but the healthiness of the wood, canvass, &c., impregnated by it, and he required that such prepared materials should be thoroughly washed, and then submitted to a test for proving the power of resisting decay. He found, after calico and canvass had been washed in water, until all the solution which that fluid could remove had disappeared (mercury was still present), such prepared materials were preserved in a damp cellar, while the unprepared went rapidly to decay. Having ascertained this combined state of the mercurial preparation, Mr. Faraday expressed his opinion that the organic substances could be well preserved by it without deriving any unwholesome quality to deteriorate their application.

Mr. Faraday has also delivered a lecture on the velocity and nature of the electric discharge. This subject was taken up for Mr. Wheatstone, as forming part of a series of investigations into which he has entered relative to the nature of the impressions produced by light on the organs of vision. The object is to ascertain whether the time occupied by the passage of the electric spark is appreciable; if it be, then the existence of an electric fluid, or of two fluids, and the direction of the passage, may be determined. When a bright object passes very rapidly before the eye, the retention of the impression upon the nerve makes the object appear as a line. The lines of light from a cutler's wheel, when in motion, prove this effect. Mr. Wheatstone's object is to make electric sparks pass in a certain direction, but while so passing to give them motion sideways; in which case, if they occupy a portion of time in their direct course at all comparable to that which could be impressed upon them laterally, they would appear as oblique, and the obliquity being either in one direction or another, would indicate the passage between the two conductors. After some trials, Mr. W. gave up the idea of making the balls, between which the sparks were passing, traverse laterally, and substituted a rapidly revolving mirror, inclined at an angle greater or smaller to the axis of rotation. In such a mirror, images travel with extreme velocity through a very large circle; and it is the combination of this velocity with that of the electric spark which is looked to as affording hopes of observing a sensible deviation in the course of the spark. Notwithstanding the extreme character of this test, the time occupied by the transit of electricity did not become sensible. Hence its velocity must be almost infinite. Many other beautiful applications of the revolving mirror were then shown. By it sparks, which appeared perfectly continuous, were shown to be intermitting; in fact, no luminous phenomena produced by common electricity could be found which was constant, *i.e.*, which did not intermit. On the contrary, the spark from the voltaic battery appeared to be constant, *i.e.*, produced by a continuous current.

#### THE NAVAL AND MILITARY LIBRARY AND MUSEUM.

This establishment (now consisting of three thousand and twenty members, amongst whom will be found officers of the highest rank and talent in the United Service) has for its object the formation of a Repository for every thing connected with the professional art, science, and natural history. The importance and general advantage of such an institution may be gathered from the interest it has created in the military and naval circles, and from the almost unprecedented support it has received. The second Annual Report is before us, by which we find that the Government have afforded the society their protection—considering, very justly, that it is a national undertaking, and likely to be highly beneficial to the country, and therefore deserving of their support. The report states that—

“While liberal contributions of books, charts, maps, plans, models, objects of natural history, and specimens of art, from all quarters of the world continue to pour in from the widely-dispersed members and friends of the institution, it is very gratifying to us to announce to the meeting and the generous contributors, that his



Majesty's Government, at the express instance of our Most Gracious Sovereign, and with a due consideration of the public utility and benefit likely to result from our establishment, have been pleased to grant it the use of a more spacious building, situated in Scotland Yard, Whitehall.

"The very valuable donations which have been presented, and of which the printed list gives a full account, will no longer remain unpacked, or crowded together as they have hitherto been in two or three diminutive apartments, but will very soon, we trust, be scientifically classed, arranged, and fully displayed, so as to fulfil the objects of the Institution, by enabling the members to have full and easy access to every part of the collection.

"The house which has been placed at our disposal, and of which possession has been very recently obtained, is not in a sufficient state of repair; although it contains space enough within its walls for the present purposes of the institution, yet that space was so inconveniently arranged, that it would have been impossible to have derived full advantage from it without considerable alterations and repairs. G. L. Taylor, Esq., Civil Architect to the Admiralty, has the superintendence of these repairs, being responsible, not only to your Council, but also to the Board of Works, to whom it was necessary to submit the plans of such alterations as were deemed necessary.

"The Council have sanctioned a contract with Mr. Baker for the sum of 1830*l.*; and the work, which may reasonably be expected to be completed in three months, is now in progress. This estimate includes builder's work alone. The internal fittings will also require a considerable sum. But our funds are in so flourishing a state, as to enable us to do all that will be immediately required.

"In the plan for the requisite accommodation, the attention of the Council has, in the first place, been directed to three principal divisions, comprising the Library, the Model Room, and the collection of Specimens, illustrative of Natural History and of the Arts; these, as we proceed, will of course admit of many subdivisions: in addition to these main points, our attention has been directed to a proper apartment for the delivery of lectures, for the advancement of science, as relates to the two great arms of the nation's strength, the Naval and Military Services. Our printed list already shows names of professors too well known to need comment; to these we may look for that stimulus to our progress which their aid is sure to give. Under such auspices, those of our members who have made discoveries which relate to our professions, will have the means of their explaining them."

---

## VARIETIES.

*St. Alban's Abbey.*—We are rejoiced to find that the question of the restoration of St. Alban's Abbey is rapidly gaining on the attention of the public. The edifice is a noble specimen of sacred architecture, and is mixed up with so many stirring historical associations, is of such vast antiquity, and presents so many attractive features to the eye of taste, that to suffer it to remain in its present dilapidated state, would be an act of Gothic barbarism unworthy of this age of intellectual refinement. We need not inform our readers that St. Alban's Abbey is just now in the last stage of decay. The hand of Time has so heavily pressed upon it, that rafter and roof, tower and buttress, have been each and all dropping daily piece-meal to earth; and would ere this have been destroyed, but for the fine feelings of a Verulam, worthy of his title and descent, and other noble and scientific personages, who have stepped forward to save the structure from impending ruin.

For the date of the foundation of the venerable fane we must, we believe, go back to the earliest periods of English history. Its old walls have rung to the shouts of the people for the victories of Cressy—of Poitiers—of Agincourt—and been graced by the presence of an Elizabeth and a Burleigh, when they offered up their thanksgivings for the defeat of the Spanish Armada. Surely an edifice thus associated with our dearest and proudest recollections, and on which no Englishman can gaze without feeling his heart beat quicker at the sight, should not be suffered to fall, unnoticed, to decay, as though it were a mushroom, Regent-street structure of yesterday! Its very aspect—majestic in ruin—impresses veneration on the mind; it is history appealing to the eyes as well as to the thought; it is the past challenging the consideration of the present. To wrest the revered fabric from decay, and make



even Time himself let go his hold, for a season, on its "fair proportions," is an act worthy of a nation which holds it as an axiom, that there are other things in life worth considering beyond the mere vulgar accumulation of wealth. Our readers will be pleased to find that the subscription is going on favourably, and the repairs, under the judicious and economical management of Mr. Cottingham, are considerably advanced. Let the public, however, bear in mind, that the amount of subscriptions still requires increasing, and that, even as a matter of choice, a large number of subscribers, each presenting a small sum, is more desirable than a short list of names, each contributing a munificent donation. If there is any work of taste, mingled with holier feelings and ennobling associations, which ought to be the work of a union of all classes of society, surely an instance must be found in the restoration of such a temple as St. Alban's Abbey.

*British Museum.*—The accounts of this establishment have been laid upon the table of the House of Commons. The balance in hand, December 1831, was 4,752*l.* The Parliamentary grant was 16,922*l.* which, with other sums from property belonging to the trustees, and 148*l.* received for the sale of the Synopsis, and other Museum publications, make the total receipts, amount to 23,170*l.* for the year 1832. The payments for the same year are 18,572*l.*, thus leaving a surplus in hand of 6,598*l.* The salaries of the officers amounted to 2,742*l.*, and 4,950*l.* is paid for extra services; 3,675*l.* is paid to servants and attendants, 439*l.* for rent and taxes, 1,032*l.* for purchase of books, and 853*l.* for purchase of manuscripts. The expenditure for the current year is estimated at 16,844*l.* The number of persons who were admitted to view the British Museum and to the Reading Rooms has wonderfully increased of late years. In 1826, 79,131 were admitted; in 1827, 81,228; 1828, 68,101; 1829, 71,336; 1830, 99,112; 1831, 147,896. About 1,950 visited the Reading Rooms in 1810; 8,820, in 1820; and 46,800, in 1832. The days of public admission to the Museum are Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays, from ten till four.

*Savings Banks.*—Deposited, from Jan. 28, 1832, to the latest period the return can be made, 761,368*l.*; drawn for in the same period, 1,264,118*l.* 7*s.* 7*d.* The months in which the sums were principally drawn out were April, May, June, and July, last year, the crisis of the Reform Bill. In June, the amount taken out was 368,976*l.* 19*s.* 3*d.*, and it was during that month the smallest sum, 25,515*l.* was paid in of the whole period.

*Crime.*—The number of persons charged with criminal offences, in 1832, was 20,829; committed for trial, males, 17,486; females, 3,343—20,829. Of these there were convicted, 14,947; acquitted, 3,716; against whom no bills were found and not prosecuted, 2,166. Of those convicted, 1,449 were sentenced to death; and the remainder transported for various terms, imprisoned, whipped, fined, &c.; only 54 were, however, executed.

*London University.*—At a general meeting of proprietors, recently held, it was stated, that the original capital, 158,882*l.*, arising from shares and donations, had been sunk, and a debt incurred of 2,946*l.*, which debt would, from the excess of expenditure over probable income, be increased by the end of October to 3,715*l.*

*New Southern Continent.*—The "Literary Gazette" states, that an immense tract of land had been discovered by a whaler in the Antarctic Ocean. It is about latitude 67 degrees, and nearly due south of the Cape of Good Hope.

*Astronomical Notice.*—The second disappearance of the ring of Saturn will take place on April 28, and its visible breadth will gradually diminish until that time, affording the lovers of practical astronomy a fine opportunity of trying their telescopes in the three essential qualities of defining, illuminating, and magnifying power. The plane of the ring will pass the earth on the 10th of June, and after that time it will gradually increase in its apparent breadth in the ratio of little more than 1-100 part of a second in twenty-four hours. The northern side of the ring will then continue visible until the next conjunction of its plane with the earth and sun, which will include a period of nearly fifteen years.

*Navy Estimates.*—In the Navy Estimates for the year 1833-4, under the head of wages, there is a reduction of upwards of 2000*l.*—under that of victuals of 24,000*l.*; in the expenses of the Admiralty Office there is a reduction of 17,000*l.*; in the Navy Pay Office of more than 3000*l.*; in his Majesty's establishments at home of 5000*l.*; in the foreign establishments of about 3500*l.*; in wages to artificers of 33,000*l.* in the home, and 11,000*l.* in the foreign branch; in naval stores of 54,000*l.*;



in new works of about 44,000*l.*; and in the miscellaneous services of 7000*l.*; the total amount of the estimates for the effective service of 1833–4 being 2,713,431*l.*; and that for the year that is past, 1832–3, being 2,910,306*l.*, exhibiting a total saving of no less a sum than that of 196,875*l.*

*Poor Rates.*—In the year ending March 25, 1832, there was levied in England for poor-rates 8,255,315*l.* 12*s.*, out of which there was expended for the relief of the poor 6,731,131*l.* 10*s.* There was an increase of three per cent. on the average of England compared with the rates of the preceding year. The number of select vestries engaged in these levies was 2,234; the number of assistant overseers was 3,134; employed in repair of roads, 51,705: paid from poor-rates for such labour, 261,465*l.* 8*s.*; employed in other parish work, 17,390; paid for such work, 88,257*l.* 7*s.* In Wales, the total sum expended was 367,604*l.* 12*s.*, and the increase per cent. is double that in England, being six; employed on roads, 1,131; paid for their labour, 3,354*l.* 17*s.*

*Convicts.*—The expenses of the convict establishment in England from Jan. 1 to June 30, 1832, was 34,169*l.* 18*s.* 2*d.*, and the total earnings 23,287*l.* 9*s.* The expense of the Bermuda establishment for the half year ending Dec. 31, 1831, was 9,472*l.* 13*s.* 9*d.*; earnings of the convicts, 13,564*l.* 4*s.* On the 1st of Jan., 1832, there were 4,139 prisoners on board the hulks in England; since which there have been received at the several depôts 4,712, including 85 from Bermuda. Of these 3,877 have been transported to New South Wales and Van Dieman's Land; 120 to Bermuda; 690 discharged by pardon and expiration of sentence; 4 escaped; 652 died (of which 110 from cholera); and 3,898 remained in the hulks in England, Jan. 1st, 1833. For the last half-year the expenses in England were 34,811*l.* 9*d.*; the earnings 25,366*l.* 18*s.* 6*d.* For the first half-year of 1832 the expense at Bermuda was 8,764*l.* 14*s.* 4*d.*; the earnings 13,043*l.*

*Lunatics.*—By an official account just published, it appears that there are now under the care of the Lord Chancellor, 393 lunatics; that the allowance for their annual maintenance is 134,999*l.* 17*s.* 2*d.*; and that the value of their estates is 253,443*l.* 2*s.* 3*d.*

---

## FOREIGN VARIETIES.

*A New Poison.*—We find, in “The Repertory of Patent Inventions for March,” the following account of two new poisons, so deadly in their effects that prussic acid can scarcely now be considered as the most potent of destroyers:—“Professor Geiger, of Hidelberg, whilst recently engaged in making chemical experiments, succeeded in establishing some remarkable illustrations of the active principle of hemlock. Its base is an organic salt, which opens an entirely novel series of these highly interesting organic substances, for it is volatile, and similar to a volatile oil. Its poison is of the deadliest description. The smallest quantity, applied inwardly, produces paralysis; and one or two grains are sufficient to kill the largest animal. Another of Professor Geiger's late discoveries is the active principle of henbane (*atropin*); its base is likewise an organic salt. Its poison is quite as deadly as that of the former, but exhibits dissimilar appearances, and is not so rapid in its effects. Animals, where even a minute dose is administered, become languid, cannot stand upon their legs, are attacked by convulsions, and die within six hours.”

*Copper in the Blood of Animals.*—M. Sarzeau has confirmed what had been formerly observed by Vauquelin, that the blood of oxen contains a minute portion of copper, viz., one grain in each kilogram, or about a 15,000th part.—*Recueil Industriel.*

*Copper in Wheat.*—M. Sarzeau has also discovered that the grain of wheat contains copper, rather more than four times as much as the blood of oxen: but the flour from the same wheat only contained half as much as the blood. Therefore it is in the bran, or outer portion of the grains, that the copper exists. He calculates that in France about 34,061 kilograms (75,000*lbs.*) are thus annually taken up from the soil.—*Idem.*

*Copper in Bread.*—In France the salts of copper have been used in the manufacture of inferior flour into bread. M. Kuhlman, on one occasion, even discovered a crystal of the salt in one of the rolls for making children's pap. Its presence is detected by the prussiate of potash.—*Idem.*



## RURAL ECONOMY.

*Hemlock and Henbane.*—The base of Hemlock is an organic salt which opens an entirely novel series of these highly interesting organic substances, for it is volatile, and similar to a volatile oil. The peculiar qualities of this substance, both intrinsically and when brought into combination with acids, its rapidly changeable character, and the brilliant play of colours which it exhibits whilst undergoing change, render it one of the most interesting productions in organic chemistry. Its poison is of the deadliest description. The smallest quantity, applied inwardly, produces paralysis; and one or two grains are sufficient to kill the largest animal. Another of Professor Geiger's late discoveries is the active principle of henbane (*atropin*); its base is likewise an organic salt, but it is tenacious, admits of being reduced to a crystal, forms a crystalline salt with acids, like hemlock, and has a disagreeable smell, though it is not volatile, unless it be subjected to decomposition. Its poison is quite as deadly as that of the former, but exhibits dissimilar appearances, and is not so rapid in its effects. Animals, where even a minute dose is administered, become languid, cannot stand upon their legs, are attacked by convulsions, and die within six hours. The effect of this poison in dilating the pupil of the eye is extremely remarkable. The minutest portion of it, when applied to the eye of a cat, produces a dilation of the pupil for the next four and twenty hours; and the hundredth part of a grain prolongs the appearance for the next seven or eight days, besides inducing other singular symptoms of poisoning.—*Heidelberg Journal*.

*The Chinese Method of propagating Fruit Trees by Abscission.*—The Chinese, instead of raising fruit-trees from seeds, or from grafts, as is the custom in Europe, have adopted the following method of increasing them:—

They select a tree of that species which they wish to propagate, and fix upon such a branch as will least injure or disfigure the tree by its removal. Round the branch, and as near as they can conveniently to its junction with the trunk, they wind a rope, made of straw, besmeared with cow-dung until a ball is formed, five or six times the diameter of the branch. This is intended as a bed into which the young roots may shoot. Having performed this part of the operation, they immediately, under the ball, divide the bark down to the wood for nearly two-thirds of the circumference of the branch. A cocoa-nut shell, or small pot, is then hung over the ball, with a hole in its bottom so small that water put into it will fall only in drops. By this the rope is kept continually moist. During three succeeding weeks nothing farther is required, except to supply the vessel with water. At the expiration of that period one-third of the remaining bark is cut off, and the former incision is carried considerably deeper into the wood, as by this time it is expected that some roots have struck into the rope, and are giving their assistance in support of the branch.

After a similar period the operation is repeated, and, in about two months from the commencement of the process, the roots may generally be seen intersecting each other on the surface of the ball, which is a sign that they are sufficiently advanced to admit of the separation of the branch from the tree. This is best done by sawing it off at the incision. Care must be taken that the rope, which by this time is nearly rotten, is not shaken by the motion. The branch is then planted as a young tree.

## USEFUL ARTS.

*New One-Light Dry Gas Meter.*—Mr. Samuel Clegg has recently invented one of this kind; it may be described thus:—

Two glass globes, one filled with alcohol, and all air excluded from the other, and joined together by an S-shaped pipe, and form an instrument resembling in power the *differential thermometer* of Leslie, from which it differs only in shape.

This little instrument indicates, with the most remarkable accuracy, the variation of temperature between its globes; so that if a stream of gas, cooler than that in which the instrument is made to revolve, be allowed to flow upon the upper bulb, it causes the spirit in the lower bulb to ascend to the upper one in a time precisely corresponding with that in which the gas continues to discharge itself upon the bulb.

If, then, a regular stream of air could, by any simple contrivance, be made to act upon the upper bulb, the instrument would form an excellent time-piece. We shall proceed to describe the mechanism by which this thermometer is made applicable to the purpose of the most accurate gas meter.

The S instrument, formed of its globes and connecting pipe, all of glass, is mounted upon an axis, and revolves freely on a bearing near each end. To one



extremity of the axis is fastened a detend, to each limb of which, and parallel with the axis, a wire is attached: these rest alternately on a catch, and by their means the upper globe is sustained in its place, which is sufficiently out of the centre of gravity to let it fall whenever it is filled from the lower bulb. To the other extremity of the axis is fastened a crank which gives motion to a train of wheel-work calculated to register the number of revolutions performed by the globes during the space of half a year; or, which is the same thing, the quantity of gas which has passed through the meter in that time.

A very simple and efficacious contrivance for performing two important functions is the junction of a beam and its wire; the one regulates the quantity of gas to be discharged on the upper globe, the other nearly shuts out the supply of gas, should the wire of the detend by any means be knocked off the catch.

The wire is fastened to the beam, which moves upon a centre so adjusted that it overhangs the wire of the detend. Before the detend can pass this wire in its revolution, it must push it sideways, and by that means raise the end of the beam to the right of its centre. If the weight of the beam at this end be greater than at the other end, a resistance is offered to the wire at the end of the detend, and that in proportion to the weight raised; consequently, as that weight varies, so will the quantity of liquor in the upper bulb be more or less to overbalance that weight, of course requiring more or less time to raise the necessary quantity of alcohol into the superior globe, and this time is adjusted to the quantity of gas to be expended.

At the heavy end of the beam is a small circular orifice, and in this beam, running freely, is a ball rather larger than that orifice. The action will be, that, when the wire of the detend is off its catch, the heavy end of the beam will fall until a pipe, accompanying it, rests on a pipe beneath. In that situation the heavy end of the beam is the lowest, and the ball will roll to that end till it falls into the orifice closing the only communication to the burner. These pipes, coming in contact with every vibration of the globes, the beam must be of such length that the ball in its traverse will occupy more time than the detend requires for half a revolution. The detend will then be on its catch before the ball can reach the orifice, and thus the exit for the gas and its passage to the burner is preserved.

*Improved Book-Binder's Cutting Press.*—The improvements here are two, first, the suspending of a board under the press, which shall serve as a gauge upon which the book to be cut may rest, instead of adjusting it as heretofore, by the aid of a mark made on the edges of the paper; there are to be three screws with nuts on them to support and adjust this board, or gauge; two of them descend from the right-hand cheek, and one from the middle of the left, each of them passing through the board, and having nuts underneath it. The second improvement is the fixing of the two strips of wood, called the square, and cutting board, to the cheeks of the press by means of screws, so formed that they can be readily adapted to other squares and cutting boards, instead of replacing them every time a book is put into the press.

## COMMERCIAL AND MONEY-MARKET REPORT.

Although the state of trade generally, is far from presenting so favorable an aspect as the well-wishers of their country would desire, it still cannot be denied that a continued but slow, course of improvement is going on in some very important branches of our manufactures. Not only have the prices of the raw material, in the articles of Wool, Cotton, and Silk, advanced; but the increased prices of the manufactured goods have, in many instances, repaid the master, not merely for this advance, but have enabled him to make some addition to the wages of the operatives. The Woollen trade in Yorkshire, in particular, has presented a degree of animation, to which it has long been a stranger. Connected with the increased activity of the loom, there has been a correspondent demand for Dyers' Drugs and Woods. In addition to the generally improved state of the Silk trade, a further stimulus has been given to the purchase of Italian

Silk, from the fact of some considerable shipments having been made from this country to Calais for the service of the weavers of Lyons; and expectations are entertained that a beneficial trade may be established in that line.

The unsettled state of affairs, as regards Holland and Belgium, continues to exert a hurtful influence on our trade in Colonial produce with the Continent; there has been some demand for Coffee, but Sugar has been dull of sale, with no prospect of speedy amendment.

The Deputation from Liverpool to the Board of Trade, met with a courteous reception from the President, Lord Auckland; but, although there may, and probably does, exist a disposition to concede one principal point of their request, at no very distant period, namely, the permitting Brazilian Sugars to be refined in bond, in this country, for exportation, still it is not likely that the Government would, at the present moment, by such a concession,



add one more to the list of grievances of which the West India Planters and Merchants so loudly complain, and against which they are enabled to marshal so formidable array of interests.

The question of the American Tariff is still before the Legislature, and continues to excite the most live interest in the several States; the general opinion is that, by some concessions to South Carolina, the horrors of a civil war will be averted.

The Market for British Plantation Sugar has been dull throughout the last month, and a decline has taken place of 1s. to 1s. 6d. per cwt., the purchasers being almost exclusively confined to the Grocers. There has not been an equal depression in other sorts; not that there has been more briskness of demand, but, because the holders have generally withdrawn rather than submit to a reduction. In the Refined Market, the rate of price as compared with that of raw Sugars being rather low, and there not being a heavy stock on hand, former quotations have been generally maintained; the finer sorts for home consumption have given way in a trifling degree, and, latterly, Crashed has brought 6d. less. Lumps, 6ls. to 6ls. 6d.

The stock of West India Sugar on the 23d, was 13,012 hogsheads, and firkins, being an excess of 3,743 upon that of the corresponding date of 1832; the stock of Mauritius was 53,920 bags, being, in like manner, an excess of 24,671 bags. The deliveries of the former have been 525 hogsheads more, and of the latter 1,702 bags less, than during the like period of last year.

The last average price of Sugar is £1 6s. 2½d. per cwt.

There has not been much animation in the Coffee Market, during the past month; but, as the holders look with confidence to an increased demand on the Continent, they have preferred making limited sales to submitting to a reduced price; accordingly, an increased disposition to purchase being manifested, last week, led to a small advance in British Plantation. Foreign and East India Coffees maintained their prices, but the sale was dull; they may be quoted as follows; St. Domingo, ordinary quality, 54s. 6d.; Porto Rico, good to fine ordinary, 54s. 6d. to 57s.; Havannah, good to fine ordinary, 51s. 6d.; to 56s. 6d.; Sumatra, ordinary, 47s. At last public sale, a small parcel of Jamaica sold as follows; good ordinary, 78s. 6d.; fine ordinary, 82s.; fine fine ordinary, 85s. 6d.

There has been an extensive demand for Cotton, during the past month, both here and in Liverpool, and prices have advanced ¼d. to ½d. per lb.; there is less briskness in the market at present, but the advance is maintained.

In Indigo, both the demand and the price have improved during the last month. The description and valuation of the East India Company's Sale, on the 12th current, is announced as follows;

206 chests, ordinary to good consuming 2s. 9d. to 3s. 6d. 1,437 chests, good consuming to middling shipping, 3s. 6d. to 4s. 1,094 chests, middling to good shipping, 4s. to 4s. 6d. 236 chests, good to fine shipping 4s. 6d. to 5s. 8

chests, very fine shipping, 5s. and upwards; valued at last sale's prices.

Cochineal brought lately, by public sale of 103 bags, in bond, silver. 6s. 6d. to 6s. 9d.; black, 8s. to 8s. 4d.; inferior, 7s. 2d. to 7s. 5d.; 103 bags Garblings, duty paid, 3s. 9d. to 3s. 10d.

The announcement of a Government Contract for 75,000 gallons of Rum caused more bustle than usually attends such an event; and Leewards obtained, in consequence, an advance of ½d. to 1d. per gallon. The contract was eventually taken at about 1s. 9d. 3-16ths.: prices remain steady. For home consumption, good flavoured Jamaica brings 3s. to 3s. 2d.; inferior qualities, 2s. 5d. to 2s. 9d.

Spices are held at former prices, and with a disposition to advance.

Tallow remains at 43s. 9d. to 44s. Oils are more in demand, and fully realize former prices.

The Corn Market is, upon the whole, exceedingly dull, although some picked qualities of Wheat find ready purchasers at fair prices. In bonded Corn and Flour there is nothing doing.

The Money-market has suffered little fluctuation during the month of March, compared with that of the preceding month, the great variations having been confined to the Foreign Funds. An exception to this, however, is to be found in East India Stock, which was quoted on the 25th, for the opening, at 208 to 209; and, on the 26th, in consequence of what transpired at the meeting of Proprietors, on the preceding afternoon, rose suddenly to 223, and closed at 219.

The prices of the various Public Securities, at the close of the day, on the 26th, were as under:

#### BRITISH FUNDS.

Three per Cent. Consols, 87 three-eighths; ditto for the Account, 87 one-half, five-eighths.—Three per Cent. Reduced, shut.—Three and a Half per Cent. Reduced, shut.—New Three and a Half per Cent., 94 one-half, five-eighths.—Four per Cent. (1826), shut.—India Stock, shut.—Bank Stock, shut.—Exchequer Bills, 47, 48.—India Bonds, 27, 29.—Long Annuities, shut.

#### FOREIGN FUNDS.

Belgian Loan, 87 seven-eighths, 8 one-eighth.—Brazilian Five per Cent. 61 one-half, 2.—Chilian 22, 3.—Colombian (1824), Six per Cent. 17 one-half, 18.—Danish Three per Cent. 73 three-fourths, 4 one-eighth.—Dutch Two and a Half per Cent. 46 one-fourth, three-eighths.—Dutch Five per Cent. 88 one-fourth. French Five per Cent.—French Three per Cent.—Greek Five per Cent. 38, 9.—Mexican Six per Cent. 36 three-fourths, 7.—Portuguese Five per Cent. 51 to 2.—Portuguese New Loan, 5 one-eighth, 4 seven-eighths discount.—Russian Five per Cent. 102 three-fourths, 3 one-fourth.—Spanish Five per Cent. 20 one-eighth, one-quarter.

#### SHARES.

Anglo-Mexican Mines, 15, 16.—United ditto, 13, 13 10.—Colombian Mines, 8 10, 9 10.—Del Monte, 28, 29.—Imperial Brazil, 63, 64.—Bolanos, 142 10, 147 10.



## BANKRUPTS,

FROM FEBRUARY 19, 1833, TO MARCH 15, 1833, INCLUSIVE.

Feb. 19. M. PASS, Nine Elms, Surrey, lime-burner. J. DOWNES, Saville House, Leicester-square, jeweller. M. MARTIN, Regent-street, paper-stainer. J. T. WALKER, Oxford-street, watch-maker. W. DICKINSON, Milk-street, warehouseman. J. LLOYD, Carnarvon, builder. G. JACOB, Southampton, grocer. S. BROWNETT, Liverpool, watch-maker. S. MORRIS, Hellingly, Sussex, shoemaker. J. SHEA, Plymouth, watch-maker.

Feb. 22. G. RICH, Curzon-street, May-fair, tailor. W. A. CLARK, Bishopsgate-street, wine-merchant. E. PIERCY, Titchbourne-street, Golden-square, carver. S. SANDERS, Totness, Devonshire, coach-builder. T. JAMES, otherwise T. J. ROLLAND, Walcot, Somersetshire, letter of horses and gigs. G. MAY, Evesham, Worcestershire, bookseller. J. E. WINGFIELD, Pontypool, Monmouthshire, tavern-keeper. W. NEWBOLD, Birmingham, leather-seller. J. EVANS, Haverfordwest, baker. J. MULLEY SIMON, Frating, Essex, cattle-jobber. T. RUTLAND, Nottingham, coach-maker.

Feb. 26. E. BENNETT, Merstham, Surrey, smith. D. GREENLEY, jun. Goswell-street, victualler. R. TOPHAM, Dockhead, linen-draper. W. B. GUNNING, Egham, bricklayer. G. TINSLAY, New Quebec-street, victualler. J. GAIGER, Beaminster, grocer. T. DEFFURN, Old Compton-street, corn-dealer. G. N. WHITE, Waterloo-place, Albany-road, coal-merchant. H. GREAVES, Leicester, grocer. D. LOCKYER, Brighton, victualler. W. CLARKE, Redditch, builder. J. S. HARRISON, Bath, picture-dealer. S. SANDERS, Totness, coachbuilder. C. BROWN, Glamford Briggs, Lincolnshire, chemist. T. CARDWELL, Manchester, merchant. J. MUCLOW, Birmingham, stamper. J. PINE, jun. Devonport, victualler.

March 1. G. WITT, Chenies-street, Bedford square, cheesemonger. W. OAKS, Hounditch, coppersmith. R. EDDEN, Newgate-street, tailor. J. NEWSON, Silver-street, whitesmith. C. HOLTHOUSE, New-road, St. Georges's in the East, sugar-refiner. S. CASTLEDEN, Three Colt's-street, Limehouse, baker. E. HOPSON, Stonehouse, Gloucestershire, draper. W. A. and R. Best, Birmingham, pocket-book-makers. E. WATTS, Oldbury-on-the Hill, Gloucestershire, saddler. J. BEARE, Birmingham, founder. J. P. CLARKE, Manchester, commission-agent. J. FREEMAN, Blainason, Monmouthshire, victualler. J. BROCKMAN, Leamington Priors, Warwickshire, wine-merchant.

March 5. J. PARKER, Houndsditch, cork-

cutter. G. BYERS, Pall-mall, hatter. W. KEITH, Manchester, merchant. J. SWIFT, Liverpool, white-cooper. W. HARRIS, Tutbury, Staffordshire, brickmaker. G. HESLINGTON, Knaresborough, Yorkshire, linen-draper. T. ROSSETER, Romsey, Southampton, miller. R. and T. WILLIAMSON, Manchester, flour-dealers.

March 8. J. TANSLEY, Little Dean-street, Westminster, ironmonger. J. GRIFFITHS, High Holborn, hard-confectioner. T. BRIGNALL, South Mimms, inn-keeper. J. GLOSSOP, Brussels, wax-chandler. J. HELLEWELL, Wadsworth, Yorkshire, worsted-manufacturer. W. D. DAVIS, Leamington Priors, Warwickshire, inn-keeper. S. HOBDAI, Aston, near Birmingham, snuffer-maker. D. NEILD, Shaw Edge within Crompton, Lancashire, cotton-spinner. J. WOOLLISON, Leamington Priors, Warwickshire, plumber. T. CHAMBERS, Leamington Priors, Warwickshire, builder. W. PARTRIDGE, Birmingham, wharfinger. F. L. BYRNE, Kingston-upon-Hull, wine-merchant. T. HODSON, Westbromwich, Staffordshire, baker. J. BRINDLEY, Great Barr, Aldridge, Staffordshire, farmer. J. BATTYL, Fulbourn, Cambridgeshire, common-brewer.

March 12. W. TWYECROSS, Godalming, Surrey, leather-dresser. J. SPIVEY, King-street, provision-agent. J. M. WILLIAMS, Totteridge, Herts., bill-broker. J. CANN, Broad-street, Bloomsbury-square, eating-house-keeper. R. WALKINGTON, High Holborn, upholsterer. J. GREEN, Birmingham, ramrod-maker. J. MELLOR, Manchester, tailor. H. PRATT, Bilston, Staffordshire, miller. J. BENT, Bankfoot, Yorkshire, cotton-spinner. E. SCOTT, Great Yarmouth, Norfolk, grocer. J. HARTLEY, Shiffnall, Salop, huckster. R. HODGSON, Manchester, common-brewer. C. TUCK, Great Yarmouth, Norfolk, shipwright.

March 15. J. C. KEENE, Crooked Billet-yard, Kingsland-road, bricklayer. J. BURTON, High Holborn, grocer. J. LANCASTER, Aberdeen-place, Edgware-road, builder. R. S. ROACH, Great St. Helen's, wine-merchant. R. HARDY, Barbican, victualler. C. RYLAND, Birmingham, iron-merchant. R. P. GIBSON, Manchester, victualler. W. BEER, Bristol, wharfinger. T. BULMAN and J. MELLOR, Manchester, drapers. R. HARRISON, Atherton, Lancashire, cotton-manufacturer. R. GALE and R. MAYOR, Manchester, dyers. W. HUNT, Rochdale, woollen-manufacturer. S. S. HARGILL, Newlay, near Leeds, dyers. J. S. VALENTINE, Foxhill, Sutton Coldfield, Warwickshire, brickmaker.



## MONTHLY DIGEST.

## GREAT BRITAIN.

## HOUSE OF LORDS.

Feb. 26.—The House was chiefly occupied in receiving petitions on various subjects. Lord King presented a petition from a small parish in Somersetshire, complaining that the clergyman, who had been recently appointed by the Lord Chancellor, had created much consternation, by demanding a tithe of herrings, the trade in which article formed the principal source of subsistence to the population. The Lord Chancellor said, that as far as he understood, the clergyman had only set forth his right, but had not enforced it. If, however, he had transgressed the law, there was a remedy. As to the clergyman, he had been appointed under peculiar circumstances, and upon strong recommendations, and the Lord Chancellor had heard nothing to induce him to regret the appointment. In answer to a question from the Duke of Cumberland, Lord King said that the tithe had not been exacted, but that the tithe proctor had been burned in effigy.—As the petition complained also of tithes generally, some general conversation occurred on the subject, and Lord Wynford defended the character of the clergy of the Church of England against the charge of a disposition to exaction, which was made against them.—In answer to a question from Lord Ellenborough, whether any instructions had yet been issued to cruizers, for the more effectual suppression of the Slave Trade, Earl Grey said that there was now a treaty before France on the subject; that he expected its return in a few days, when the instructions alluded to would be issued.

Feb. 27.—The Bishop of Bath and Wells, adverting to the petition which had been presented the previous evening from a parish in his diocese, complaining of a demand of tithe upon herrings, said that he had received a letter from the clergyman alluded to, stating that the petition was got up by Lord King's agent, and that the clergyman, instead of advancing, had reduced his tithes. After some conversation with Lord King, the Bishop said he would make further inquiries.—Lord Teynham complained of a breach of privilege committed by the "Standard" newspaper of that evening, in calling him and Lord King "the Devil's Advocates," with other equally flattering names; and gave notice, that next day he would submit a motion for bringing the printer to the bar of the House. Lord King said he did not complain of any grievance at being designated a "Devil's Advocate," but was rather amused and gratified by it, for he had heard of the Devil's advocate in the court of Rome, who was a very important functionary, and whose duty, *ex officio*, was to warn the Pope not to admit any improper person to be declared a saint (loud laughter). On the suggestion of the Lord Chancellor, Lord Teynham agreed not to press the matter further.

Feb. 28.—The Change of Venue Bill for Ireland was read a third time and passed. Lord Wynford introduced his bill for reducing the expenses of Suits at Common Law.

March 4.—The Bishop of Bristol presented a petition on the subject of the observance of the Sabbath, and said he attributed much of the profanation of the day to the beer shops. Lord Wynford expressed a similar opinion, and hoped that Ministers would direct their attention to some remedial measure. The Marquis of Lansdowne intimated that the subject was under consideration.

March 7.—The Lord Chancellor presented a bill, founded on the recommendation of the Common Law Commissioners' Report, to facilitate the attainment of cheap and speedy justice.

March 12.—Some discussion took place respecting an alleged misapplication of the funds of Queen Anne's bounty, which misapplication was denied by the Bishops of London and Chester, and after the presentation of some petitions respecting the better observance of the Sabbath, the House adjourned.

March 14.—The Lord Chancellor, after mentioning that he did not think it expedient to bring forward the measure of popular education which he had introduced in the House of Commons when a member of that House, made an interesting statement upon the subject of general instruction, and moved that a message be



sent to the Commons for a copy of certain returns connected with education. The motion was agreed to.—The Law Amendment Bill was read a third time.

March 18.—Lord Teynham entered into a long statement of the circumstances which had induced the Lord Lieutenant of Mayo (the Marquis of Sligo) to place the barony of Gallen under the peace preservation act. The Marquis of Sligo defended the course he had pursued. The motion was withdrawn.

March 19.—In reference to a petition from 15,000 persons for the removal of civil disabilities from the Jews, the Bishop of London said he hoped that although the test and corporation acts had been repealed, the Legislature would never cease to be at least ostensibly a Christian one.

March 21.—Lord Plunket introduced the bill framed by the Government for the amendment of the special and common jury laws in Ireland. His Lordship in doing so stated that the object of it was to assimilate the law for the regulation of juries in Ireland as much as it was possible to the same law in England. The bill was read a first time, and ordered to be read a second time on Monday se'nnight.

---

#### HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Feb. 26.—The Chancellor of the Exchequer postponed, till the 12th instant, his motion for leave to bring in a bill for the general commutation of tithes.—Mr. Portman brought in a bill to consolidate and amend the laws respecting highways. It includes amendments in the mode of levying the rate, and gives the power of appeal; and also provides against abuses in the stopping up or diverting highways.—In answer to a question, Mr. Stanley stated that it was not at present the intention of the Government to introduce any measure establishing poor laws in Ireland.—Mr. Hume presented a petition from the Metropolis, signed by many thousand persons, for an amelioration of the Criminal Laws.

Feb. 27.—The experiment of the twelve o'clock sitting was tried for the first time, and there was a full attendance from noon until three o'clock. Numerous petitions were presented, and almost every subject was fully discussed. The Church of Ireland, in particular, was the subject of much discussion, several of the petitions having distinctly prayed for the abolition of that establishment. Lord John Russell complained of the mode of proceeding, and appealed to the House whether such varied discussions, without any distinct questions being before the House, was calculated to realize the object for which a daylight sitting had been adopted. In reply to a question, his Lordship stated that the Government would bring forward a distinct and practical measure of Church Reform; but, as to the provisions of it, he must at present be silent.—In the evening sitting, Mr. D. Roe moved for papers as to the state of Ireland. Mr. Stanley opposed the motion, as only intended to delay the bill, and it was eventually withdrawn.—The Chancellor of the Exchequer then moved the first reading of the Irish Disturbances' Bill, and a long discussion ensued. Mr. Tennyson moved, as an amendment, that the debate be adjourned for a fortnight. After several members had spoken, Mr. Stanley powerfully supported the bill. The debate was adjourned to the following day.

Feb. 28.—The adjourned debate on the Irish Disturbances' Suppression Bill was resumed, Mr. Sheil opening it with a speech of considerable length. He contended that an appeal to the existing laws ought to be made, by another Special Commission, before Courts-martial and Suspension of Juries were proposed. Mr. Macauley strongly advocated the measure, and resisted delay as unnecessary. Mr. Carew, Mr. Lennard, and Lord Ebrington, also supported the measure. Mr. J. Romilly, Mr. O'Connor, and Mr. Clay, deprecated the severity of the measure, and contended that conciliation would do more good. The debate was adjourned to the following day.

March 1.—Mr. H. Lytton Bulwer presented a petition from Coventry, praying the House to pause before they passed the Irish Disturbances Suppression Bill. Mr. H. L. Bulwer then moved the Order of the Day for resuming the adjourned debate on the Disturbances Bill, and spoke in favour of the amendment, contending that the necessity of the case did not require so extreme a measure, although some legislative interference was necessary. He thought that by delay in passing a measure of the kind, the House would show they were watchful of the interests of the



people, while, on the other hand, the suspension of the measure for so short a period as two weeks could not be injurious. The debate was again adjourned.

March 4.—The fourth debate on the Disturbances' Suppression Ireland Bill was begun by Dr. Baldwin, who expressed himself at great length against it. Lord Castlereagh supported the bill, declaring its necessity to be unfortunately too manifest. Several speakers followed. Among them Mr. Lambert supported the bill, but expressed the hope that some means of avoiding courts-martial might be devised in the Committee. Lord Duncannon said he should give his reluctant support to the bill; but he did so from his personal knowledge of the state of Carlow and Kilkenny. He, however, supported the bill on the understanding that the powers of the bill were not to be used to aid the collection of the tithes. In fairness he felt himself bound to state, that unless the tithe question was settled, he had no hope of tranquillity in Ireland; also that the Government must turn their attention to some system for securing permanent provision for the poor. The debate was again adjourned.

March 5.—The debate on the Disturbances' Suppression Bill was resumed. After several Members had addressed the House, Mr. O'Connell spoke at great length. He contended, in opposing the bill, that the Ministers ought first to prove that they had exhausted all the powers given to them by the constitution; that the precedent was a bad one; that in no instance had a second Special Commission failed; that witnesses had not been interrupted; and that jurymen, in no instance, had been impeded or injured for performing their duty. He, therefore, declared, that inquiry ought to precede such legislation; and that, as the necessities for the bill could not be made out, it ought not to be supported. The Chancellor of the Exchequer, in reply, said that the Ministers would abide by the bill, and that, if it were not supported, they should no longer think they had, or merited, the countenance of the House. A division then took place; the numbers were—for the first reading, 466; against it, 89.

March 6.—The Chancellor of the Exchequer afterwards, in a Committee of Ways and Means, proposed the continuation of the Sugar Duties. The proposition called forth a good deal of conversation, but it was eventually carried, opposition being threatened on the bringing up of the report. The Solicitor-General brought in four bills relative to Law Reform, which were read a first time.

March 7.—Mr. Hume moved for a Committee to resume the inquiry of a former Committee as to the expediency and the means of enlarging the House of Commons, or building one sufficiently commodious and extensive. The motion was agreed to, and a Select Committee appointed.—Mr. Hume moved for an account of the distribution of the military force. The motion was negatived on a division of 23 ayes, and 201 noes.—On the presentation of the report of the Committee of Ways and Means, the resolution for the continuation of the Sugar Duties was again discussed, but it was eventually carried, Mr. Hume's proposition for reduction of duty, &c. being negatived without a division.

March 8.—The Irish Grand Juries Bill was read a second time, and referred to a Select Committee. On the order of the day being moved for the second reading of the Disturbance Suppression Bill, Mr. Hume addressed the House at considerable length against the measure, which he termed an atrocious bill, and moved as an amendment to the motion for the second reading, "That the members of that House deeply lamented the disturbed state of some districts in Ireland, and were willing to intrust his Majesty with such powers as may be necessary to control and punish the midnight murderers and violators of the law and the public peace in that country; but that they could not consent to the provisions of a bill which placed Ireland out of the pale of the British constitution." The debate was then continued up to one o'clock in the morning, and Mr. Hume's amendment having been withdrawn, it was adjourned until Monday, with the understanding that the House would come to a division on that day. The members who participated in the debate were Mr. Alderman Wood, Mr. Tancred, Colonel Conolly, Mr. Richards, Mr. Ronayne, Mr. J. Brown, Mr. W. Roche, Sir John Key, Colonel Perceval, Lord Althorp, Mr. Baron, Mr. Fitzsimon, Mr. Warburton, and Sir J. Sebright.

March 11.—The debate upon the Bill for the Suppression of Disturbances in Ireland was resumed, and on a division the second reading was carried by a majority of 279. The numbers in favour of an adjournment were 84; against it, 363. The members who participated in the debate were Mr. C. Buller, Mr. Hawkins, Lord



Morpeth, Mr. Blackney, Lord Duncannon, Mr. Lynch, Mr. Talbot, Mr. C. Grant, Mr. Lalor, Mr. Cobbett, Col. Davies, Mr. F. O'Connor, Lord Althorp, and Mr. M. O'Connell. Those hon. members who opposed the measure were, Mr. Buller, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Lynch, Mr. F. O'Connor, and Mr. M. O'Connell.

March 12.—Mr. E. L. Bulwer made a statement with regard to the hardships under which dramatic authors laboured, and moved for leave to bring in a Bill for their better protection, which he obtained. By this Bill it is proposed to allow to dramatic authors the same copyright that was, by the existing law, given to all other authors,—for 28 years, or for the life of the author. It also enacts that no play shall be performed at any theatre without the author's consent; and that if played without his consent, he shall have the right of applying to a court of law for damages against the proprietor of the theatre where it was so played, the maximum of the damages to be given to him to be 50*l.*, and the minimum 10*l.*, for every night the said play was so performed. The same hon. Member also obtained leave to introduce a Bill “for Licensing Theatres, and for the better regulation of Dramatic Performances in the cities of London and Westminster, and within twenty miles thereof.”

March 13.—Lord Althorp stated some important changes which the Government had been induced to make in the Irish Disturbances Bill. These changes affect two of its provisions—that respecting courts martial, and that regarding domiciliary visits. Ministers now propose that no officer shall be permitted to sit in the court martial under the rank of Captain, (hear); and, secondly, that when the number on the court martial does not exceed seven persons, that no verdict should be valid unless at least five out of the seven agreed upon it (hear); and if the court-martial consist but of five persons, that no verdict should hold good in which all five had not agreed (hear;) and that when the number exceeded seven persons, seven must agree to the verdict. (Hear.) They also proposed that in the case of a domiciliary visit, where the party summoned answered by name, the civil force should not possess the power of enforcing an entrance.—Mr. O'Connell moved as an amendment to the motion for the Speaker's leaving the chair, “that it be an instruction to the committee to preserve inviolate the right of petition to the King's subjects in Ireland for redress of grievances, the petitions to be addressed to the Throne and the two Houses of Parliament.” This amendment was negatived by a majority of 62.

March 14.—Some debate occurred upon the Irish Church Reform Bill, and a Select Committee was subsequently appointed to search for precedents, and to report its opinion to the House whether a Bill to alter and amend the laws relating to the Temporalities of the Church in Ireland should, according to the rules and orders, originate in a Committee of the whole House. In consequence of the technical objection which gave rise to the appointment of this Committee, the Bill was postponed till Monday.

March 15.—In the Committee upon the Irish Bill, Mr. O'Connell moved an amendment to the first clause to the effect, that the Lord-Lieutenant have not power to interrupt any meeting called for the *bonâ fide* purpose of petitioning on grievances. His amendment was opposed by the Government, and on a division was negatived. The numbers were, 85 for it, 246 against it. Several other clauses were agreed to.

March 18.—The House resolved itself into a Committee on the Irish Disturbances Suppression Bill. Mr. Cobbett renewed his arguments in opposition to it, and gave it as his opinion that Ministers would attempt to introduce a similar measure into England if they were successful now in passing this. After various divisions upon different amendments, the fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh clauses were carried. Much debate took place upon the clause relating to the right of search, and it was at length arranged that amendments might be proposed to it upon the bringing up of the report.—The Irish Church Reform Bill was postponed.

March 19.—The Chancellor of the Exchequer having stated that he should not bring forward any business to obstruct the progress of the Irish Disturbances Bill, the House again resolved into Committee. They commenced with the 12th clause, which, with several subsequent clauses, were adopted, after much discussion, and a few amendments. In the 17th clause there were alterations more explicitly to provide that the act was not to extend to offences committed before its passing, and that none but legal evidence should be received. The 18th and 19th clauses were, after some discussion, agreed to; the latter with some alterations, proposed by Mr. O'Connell and the Solicitor-General, who also proposed some verbal amendments in



the 20th clause, which were agreed to. The 21st clause was struck out. The 22nd and 23d clauses were agreed to, and the chairman reported progress.

March 20.—On the order of the day being read for going into Committee on the Irish Disturbances Bill, Mr. T. Attwood brought forward his notice as an amendment, and submitted his proposition for the appointment of a Select Committee to inquire into the causes of the distress amongst the industrious classes. Mr. Gillon seconded the motion. The Chancellor of the Exchequer opposed it, and regretted that it was brought forward under circumstances that would not secure for it that full and considerate attention which he wished should be extended to so important a subject. After much discussion it was eventually lost by a minority of 34.—The Irish Disturbances Bill was necessarily postponed.

March 21.—The House again resolved into Committee upon the Irish Disturbances Bill, and the clauses up to the 27th were agreed to after some discussion. That clause, however, was strongly opposed, but was eventually carried.—Ayes 141, noes 67. The 28th clause was expunged. The clause suspending the act of Habeas Corpus gave rise to much discussion, and there was a division upon it. The additional clauses proposed by Mr. Stanley were adopted.

---

## THE COLONIES.

### NEW SOUTH WALES.

A notice has been addressed to young women who may be disposed to emigrate to New South Wales, which seems deserving of attention, as great facilities will now be offered to women of respectability. A vessel is about to sail for Van Dieman's Land, and such females as may feel disposed to emigrate can obtain a passage in her for the sum of six pounds, and will also have every accommodation during the voyage. The emigration committee has not only made those arrangements, but steps have been taken to enable young women to obtain good situations on their arrival. It appears that there is a great dearth of female servants in Van Dieman's Land, so that they may obtain excellent wages. The expense of the passage was before a great hindrance to emigration, but under present circumstances this difficulty is removed.

---

## FOREIGN STATES.

### FRANCE.

The French Chamber of Deputies has never been remarkable for the dignity or order of its proceedings, but it appears that a late debate there exceeded in violence any former example. An attack having been made upon Marshal Soult for his claim of secret service money, and for ordering the expenditure of 140*l.* on furniture to his hotel, without the authority of the legislature, had nearly led to his resignation. He demanded that the latter sum should be allowed, or that he should be impeached. The chamber took neither alternative: it refused the money and did not impeach the Marshal. A violent tumult occurred towards the end of the sitting, about the decision of the President regarding the vote of the secret service money. The disorder nearly amounted to a riot. One of the Members, M. Ludre, threatened another, M. Renouard, with his fist, amid violent gesticulations and abusive epithets. He was called to order by the general indignation, and the Chamber separated in great confusion.

### HOLLAND.

The latest Dutch Papers are entirely pacific in their tone. It appears that the intended spring reviews of the troops had been deferred, and that furloughs had been enlarged from the 1st to the 20th of April. Some Belgian movements in the direction of Forts Frederick and Doel had given some uneasiness, but appeared to indicate nothing very serious. The government has been lately more successful in proposing some taxes to the States-General, a fact which evinces its returning strength.



## PORTUGAL.

Lisbon Gazettes to the 3d. inst. give the following description of the position of the contending parties. We need hardly observe that it must be read with a degree of caution which the source is calculated to inspire:—These Gazettes represent the state of things at Oporto as under the worst possible aspect. The troops are said to be suffering from disease, there being no less than 14 deaths daily. The health of the Miguelites, on the contrary, is reported as unexceptionable; the siege is said to be pushed on with greater vigour, the fortifications are so advanced, that the besiegers are within pistol-shot of their opponents. It is added that the weather continued to be very stormy on the coast; that neither men nor ammunition could be landed; that one steam-boat had been already lost off Vela da Conde; that the communication with the Fos was entirely interrupted; and, lastly, that as an especial mark of Divine favour to the Miguelite cause, the Pope had allowed the soldiers to eat meat, notwithstanding Lent, “whilst they were engaged in the defence of these kingdoms.”

The accounts from Oporto are of course of an opposite character, but not sufficiently as to encourage hopes of the ultimate success of Don Pedro.

## POLAND.

The Administrative Council of Warsaw, by a decree, dated the 1st of March, has regulated the *weight of the chains* by which the Polish prisoners are to be fettered. It would appear that in future the chains are to be made exclusively at the Imperial forge; that they must be constructed upon a patent model, and must even bear the Government stamp. All male convicts are to drag seven pounds of iron after them—women six.

## GREECE.

The Bavarian Prince, Otho, the new Sovereign of Greece, has arrived in the territory which is in future to be under his dominion. He landed at Napoli di Romania on the 6th of February. The following are extracts from the sensible and encouraging Proclamation addressed by the new Monarch upon his arrival to the Grecian people.

“Depotism has but given way to anarchy, which oppresses you under its terrible scourge. What you had acquired by your noble efforts for the love of your country, discord and the most sordid egotism have deprived you of. To put an end to your ills—to a civil war, which consumes your most brilliant faculties—to direct your efforts to one only end, that of the prosperity, happiness, and glory of your country, shall henceforth become mine. To efface by degrees under the influence of peace and public order, the many traces of misfortune which have afflicted your country, gifted so liberally by the hand of nature—to take into consideration the sacrifices which have been made and the services which have been rendered to the country, to protect, under the ægis of the laws and of justice your persons and possessions from violence and rapine—to procure well-digested institutions, adapted to the situation, and wishes of your country, the advantages of a true liberty, which can only exist under the dominion of the laws—to conclude, in short, the regeneration of Greece—such is the painful but glorious task which I have undertaken. Whatever may be the efforts which this noble task requires of us, we shall be amply rewarded by our success; for, having ascended the throne of Greece, I here give you the assurance of protecting conscientiously your religion, of faithfully maintaining the laws, of doing justice impartially to all, and of preserving inviolate, with the aid of God, all that concerns your independence, your liberties, and your laws.”

## TURKEY.

Accounts from Constantinople to the 18th ult. state that Ibrahim had signified his formal consent to an armistice for an indefinite time, with the view of negotiating at his head-quarters the conditions of peace. The negotiations are to be partly assisted by the good officers of the ambassadors of Austria, Russia, England, and France, and will, in fact, be tantamount to a mediation.

---



## MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

*Married.*—At St. George's, Hanover-square, John Ynyr Burgos, Esq., to Lady Caroline Clements, youngest daughter of the Earl and Countess Leitrim.

Viscount Torrington, to Miss Astley, daughter of Sir John Dugdale Astley, of Langham-place, and Eveleigh, Wiltshire.

The Count de Boissire, to Miss Saunders, of Bryanstone-square.

*Died.*—In Edinburgh, the Dowager Lady Canningham Fairlie, wife of J. Hathorn, Esq.

At Logiealmond, Lady Stewart Drummond.

The Right Rev. Dr. Gradwell, Bishop of

Lydda, and coadjutor to the Right Rev. Dr. Bramston, Bishop of Usula, Vicar Apostolic in the London district.

At Laulpettah, near Vellore, J. S. Lushington, second son of the Right Hon. S. R. Lushington, Governor of the Madras Presidency.

At Bath, the Hon. Mrs. Mackay, mother to Lord Reay, aged 82.

At Howbury-hall, near Bedford, the seat of her son, Frederick Polhill, Mary, the relict of the late John Polhill, Esq., of Howbury-hall, and of Cavendish-square, in the 74th year of her age.

## PROVINCIAL OCCURRENCES

## IN THE COUNTIES OF ENGLAND, AND IN WALES, SCOTLAND, AND IRELAND.

## LONDON.

*New Cemetery.*—A plan for a new cemetery, something resembling that of Pere la Chaise, has been formed. It is proposed to occupy a site of about eighteen acres, on a rising ground near Highgate. Mr. Goodwin, the architect, is the originator of this design.

*Drainage and Sewerage of London.*—Mr. Donaldson, the architect, has delivered a lecture at the Royal Institution, on the drainage and sewerage of the metropolis, with special reference to a plan of Mr. John Martin, the distinguished artist, for improving the drainage of London, whereby the sewerage might be preserved as manure for agricultural purposes. Mr. Donaldson drew a picture, by no means agreeable, of the existing state of the Thames at London, which he characterized as really a huge sewer, or *cloaca maxima*, owing to the volumes of filth and putrescent matter which roll down to it from Fleet Ditch, King's Scholar's Pond Sewer, and other large drains. The chief feature of Mr. Martin's plan is the formation of a grand sewer on each bank of the river (for the body of the city), the tops sufficiently high to form quays. He proposes that the sides of the sewers should be constructed of iron caissons, the bottom paved with brick, and the top arched with sheet iron. The whole length of the sewers, on both banks, would be seven miles and a half. The drainage, he proposes, should be received into receptacles, the grand one to be situate at the first convenient space near the *embouchure* of the Regent's Canal, at the extreme east of London, from whence the soil might be conveyed to barges, and transported by canals to various parts of the country. Mr. Martin has also contrived, in order to obviate the smell arising from drains and the fall of grit and rubbish into the gullies, a box of cast iron, open at the top, on which the grating is placed, with a flap of wood suspended to the edge of the grating, and the bottom resting on the edge below, which simple contrivance excludes the smell from beneath, and the heavy substances which would fall to the bottom of the box, could be taken out by the scavengers. The whole cost of the sewers, including the quays, &c., he estimates at 60,000*l.* per mile. The plan, which is novel and ingenious, would make the filth, which now pollutes our river, the means of fertilizing our waste lands.

In an official report to one of the departments of Government, during the last month, it is stated that the value of property in every part of London, excepting what are called fashionable situations, has fallen at least one-fourth and in many instances one-third.



## CORNWALL.

The discovery of the hull of a vessel imbedded in the beach near Newlyn, Mount's Bay, has excited much curiosity; and it appears by a letter from Mr. John A. Boase, of Penzance, that she was about fifty tons, flat-bottomed, clincher-built, of oak thirty feet long. Her ribs were not more than four inches apart, and sufficiently strong for a vessel double her size. There were marks of nails, but not a bit of iron was found, from which it would seem that wood, when shut up from the air, is the most durable. The vessel appears to have been in ballast when lost; two ancient coins were found on board, one of which was in a perfect state of preservation, and bore the inscription "Ave Maria," by which it appears to have been of ecclesiastical origin, but of what age, or of what country, it is difficult to say, as it was without date; but Mr. Boase says, that from its resemblance to the coins of the fourteenth century, and some other appearances, he should assign it to that period, and thinks it of Anglo-Norman origin.

## DEVON.

*Plymouth and Devonport Banking Company.*—The first Annual General Meeting of this Company, has been held. The report of the Directors proved highly satisfactory and gratifying to the Proprietors. A dividend of one pound per share (equal to 6 per cent. on the advanced capital) was declared, and the retiring Directors were re-elected.

## DORSET.

As some labourers were a short time since digging up a piece of meadow ground, about half a mile from Poole, they turned up an urn containing several hundred Roman coins. The urn, which was unfortunately broken, was of fine pottery. The coins were in the finest preservation, and were of the reigns of the Emperors Valerianus, Gallienus, Claudius Gothicus. Quintillus, and Aurelianus; of Salonina, the wife of Gallienus; and of the usurpers (some of those known as the thirty tyrants) Postumus, Lælianus, Victorinus, Tetricus, and Tetricus Cæsar. These individuals all reigned from the middle to the latter part of the third century. The coins are nearly all of the third brass, and only a few of silver. Many of them are commonly met with; but some, particularly those of Quintillus, who reigned but seventeen days, are of considerable rarity. But the most interesting circumstance connected with the discovery of these coins is, that it sets at rest whether Poole was or was not known in the period during which the Romans had possession of this island; for this discovery—which, we believe, is not an isolated one, as similar coins are stated to have been found recently—so near the town, together with the remains of Roman vicinal ways, still traceable leaning thither, shows that our topographers are erroneous in saying that Poole was unknown in the British, Roman, or Saxon times.—*Western Flying Post.*

## DURHAM.

*Powerful Steam Engine.*—One of the largest steam engines (and probably the most powerful one) in the world commenced working during the month at Colonel Braddyll's new colliery at South Hetton, near Durham. This stupendous machine has been erected for the purpose of pumping water from a depth of eight hundred and seventy-six feet. The diameter of its cylinder is 34 inches—length of stroke in cylinder, nearly  $10\frac{1}{2}$  feet—ditto in pumps, nearly  $3\frac{1}{2}$  feet—diameter of pumps  $18\frac{1}{2}$  inches, and when worked at ordinary speed, it will throw up from 55 to 60,000 gallons of water per hour. Its power is rated at that of 240 horses, but it is capable of exerting the power of 300 horses in action together.

## ESSEX.

*Boring for Water.*—The system of boring for spring water is now practised in various parts of Essex with complete success. The operation of boring near the Sluice House, Canney Island, has been attended with a remarkable result. Water was found at the depth of 150 feet, so near the sea that the workmen were sometimes annoyed by the spray. During high water the well overflows at the rate of several gallons a minute, but decreases as the tide recedes: the water is never salt in the slightest degree. Lord Winchelsea, the owner of several farms in the island of Foulness, after having spent 500*l.* in attempting to find water upon the old plan,



relinquished the undertaking. His Lordship's tenants are now amply supplied with water by boring, who were obliged before to take their cattle several miles for water.

*Labour Rate.*—The reports from the parishes around us, where the principle of employing the poor has been adopted for the last 6 weeks, are very encouraging. At Folstead where the plan has been tried, there were from 50 to 60 men unemployed; not a man is now to be found idle, and the redundancy of labourers is converted into a scarcity. The husbandmen can now obtain wages according to their competence, and they have, in consequence, emerged from a comparative state of beggary to that situation in society which they formerly filled.—*Essex Herald*.

In the parish of Ardleigh a labour-rate has been agreed on by the inhabitants, as a means of promoting employment and diminishing the poor-rate. Every occupier of land assessed above the value of 5*l.* is assessed at the rate of 4*s.* in the pound for six weeks. He is to keep an account of the labourers he employs for that time, and the wages he pays, which must not exceed 10*s.* a week. If the wages paid amount to his assessment, he is not called on to pay; but, if not, he is to pay the difference as his amount of poor-rate. The rate, at 4*s.* in the pound for six weeks, is calculated to be equal to the payment of all the able-bodied labourers in the parish for that period. The resolutions to continue in force for six months, and it is expected may produce beneficial results.

## HAMPSHIRE.

*Vindonium.*—Some fine remains of the Roman station Vindonium have recently been discovered in a field at Silchester, near Strathfieldsay. Apartments paved with brick, coins, rings, and fragments of pottery, &c., have already rewarded the researches which are still being carried on.

## LANCASHIRE.

*Trade of Liverpool.*—The customs duties received at this port during the last year amounted to nearly four millions sterling, giving an excess of 325,000*l.* over those of the preceding year. As the total increase in the kingdom was only 223,167*l.*, not only must the whole of that increase have taken place in this port, but the deficiencies of other places must have been compensated for, by the improvement of the trade of Liverpool. The increase would have been much greater, but for the cholera.

*Antiquarian Curiosity.*—A few weeks ago while the workmen employed for the Preston Water Company were engaged near the Chapel Well, at Longridge, in replacing the soil over a water-course or conduit which they had constructed some feet under the surface of the ground—one of them found amongst the materials a stone axe, which from its antique appearance might have been used in a remote age for domestic purposes, or as a battle-axe, or—should we speculate further—perhaps as an instrument wherewith to sacrifice animals or human beings on the altars of Druidical superstition. This singular weapon weighs about six pounds. It is about nine inches long, and is shaped like a common coal-axe, though owing to the more fragile nature of the material, it is necessarily of greater thickness and rotundity of shape. The back or hammer end is hemispherical, and the cutting or wedge end, which, if properly applied, would split a piece of deal, or cut a softish substance, has a pretty sharp edge. The hole for the handle, which is round, is 1½ inch in diameter, it is placed five inches from the other, and appears to be ingeniously bored with a due regard to the advantageous use of the instrument, and the strength of the material. From the hole on each side there is a channel or hollow, which may probably have been considered ornamental. The stone itself is of a hard and compact texture, and of a muddy green colour like the stone with which great part of the streets of Edinburgh are paved. It is reasonable to suppose that neither iron nor malleable metals were known when this rude implement was made, and it becomes a curious speculation how long the labourer was employed in its formation, and in the grinding out of the hole for the handle; the last operation having probably been accomplished by the action of sand and water, applied by the tedious process of turning round a stick with the hand.—*Preston Chronicle*.

## SUSSEX.

*Emigration from Sussex.*—The Petworth Agricultural Committee have just pub-



lished six letters from emigrants who sailed from Portsmouth for Upper Canada, in April and May, 1832, amounting altogether to 767 persons, all from Sussex. The letters contain the most satisfactory accounts of their success. They had all proceeded up the country, and had either settled on land or got employment at high wages.—*Observer*.

A great buoy, marked "Wreck" on the head, has been laid in six fathoms at low water, spring-tides, about ten fathoms to the southward of the wreck of a brig sunk off Hastings, with the following marks and compass bearings, viz.—St. Leonard's Church on with Barlow's Windmill, bearing N.E. by N.  $\frac{1}{4}$  N.; Barbeach Tower, its apparent breadth open to the westward of Barnham-hill Trees, N.W.  $\frac{3}{4}$  N.; Beachey Head W.  $\frac{1}{4}$  N.; Fairlight, E. by N.

#### YORK.

*Roman Remains*.—As some men were removing the soil for cellaring a new house about to be erected on the property of Mr. Eshelby, builder, situated near a mile out of York, on the right-hand side of the South road, about the depth of three feet from the surface, they came to what they supposed a curious drain, but which finally proved to be a Roman sepulchre. This sepulchre is formed of tiles, each being one foot seven inches of inner chord length, one foot three inches and a half in breadth, and one inch and one quarter of thickness. The tiles are curved in length, having a versed sine of two inches, and have on the sides elevated edges. The sepulchre consists in length of four of the tiles placed on the ground on their ends, resting against four corresponding ones, thus forming a Gothic arch, having a span of two feet; over the raised joints of the tiles are placed semi-conical tiles of seven inches inner diameter at one end, and nearly five inches inner diameter at the other, and of one foot seven inches in length. Each end of the tomb was nearly closed by a tile of the larger size, and the angles by the smaller. The ridge was also covered with the smaller curved tiles, but which were broken, according to the statement of the workmen, before they were aware of their importance. The larger portion of the tiles bear either the inscription LEG. VI. or LEG. VI. VI. and have prints from human fingers, sandals, and animals feet. Within the sepulchre was found, about six inches in thickness, a layer of the remains of a funeral pile, consisting of bones, charcoal, and several iron nails; but no vestige of urn, earthen vessel, coin, or fibula. It appears from inspection of the surrounding site that the ground had been removed to a large extent, and perhaps to four feet in depth, for the funeral pile; that afterwards the principal portion of the remains had been collected into an elongated mound, and covered with the tiles as above described. The vacant parts were filled through a lapse of ages with fine earth. A sepulchre of nearly similar form was found in 1768. The above curious specimen of an ancient tomb is now deposited in the Yorkshire Museum.—*York Herald*.

#### SCOTLAND.

At the last meeting of the Society of Scottish Antiquarians thirty-eight coins were presented from the large number found in October last in a metal casket in Hexham church-yard. The secretary exhibited an elegant snuff-box of horn, lined with gold, and mounted with silver, having set in the lid a beautifully painted miniature, half-length of Prince Charles Edward Stuart, in the Highland dress, which was presented (a short time after the battle of Culloden in 1746) by the Prince himself to Mackenzie of Gruinard. The miniature is believed to have been painted at Rome when the Prince was there previous to the rebellion, 1745.

---

[*Yeomanry*.—Circulars have been issued to the officers commanding the different corps of yeomanry, requiring a return of their arms and ammunition, as well as their present state; also of the present depôts of arms, specifying such of them as are in the possession of the men.]

---



# INDEX

## TO THE FIRST PART OF 1833.

- ABATTOIR in London, 398  
 Academy, Royal, proceedings of, 115  
 Adelphi Theatre, performance at, 246  
 Affair of honour, 90  
 Agricultural Improvement Institution, 382  
 Agricultural labourers, 119  
 Aigulets of Anne of Austria, 171  
 Alderman, a modern one, 489  
 America, United States of, 396  
 Anatomy Bill, 118  
 Anderson, Dr., his death, 234  
 Antediluvian remains, 118  
 Appointments, promotions, &c., 132  
 Arts, Fine. *See* Fine Arts  
 Arts, Society of, its proceedings, 248, 380  
 Arts, Useful. *See* Useful Arts  
 Asiatic Society, Royal, proceedings of, 116  
 Asmodeus at Large, No. IX., 61—No. X.  
     Passion—its history and its termination,  
     155  
 Atwood, Mr., his committee, 407  
 Award, the judicial, 226  
 Auto-biography of an Ugly Man, 440  
  
 Baird, Sir David, his Life reviewed, 236  
 Ballantyne, James, his death, 235  
 Ballot, question of the, 140, 227  
 Bank Notes, prevention of counterfeit, 385  
 Bank of England, report on, 117  
 Banking in England, 318  
 Bankrupts, 124, 258, 387, 518  
 Barley, new machine for beating, 121  
 Barrow's Peter the Great, noticed, 106  
 Barruel, M., his experiments on the human  
     blood, 223  
 Bayly, T. H., poetry by, 328  
 Bellegarde, noticed, 244  
 Bigamy, question in, 93  
 Bill of Belial. A Poetical Allegory, 413  
 Bingham, Major-General Sir George, his  
     death, 233  
 Biographical particulars of Celebrated Per-  
     sons lately Deceased—Sir John Leslie, 97;  
     Professor Scarpa, 98; Dr. Spurzheim,  
     99; Baron Newborough, 101; Lord  
     Ribblesdale, *ib.*; the Earl of Kilmorye,  
     *ib.*; Sir Henry Blackwood, 102; Admi-  
     ral Sir Thomas Foley, G.C.B., 233; Ma-  
     jor-General Sir George R. Bingham,  
     K.C.B. *ib.*; William Bray, Esq., 234;  
     Dr. Anderson, of Hamilton, *ib.*; Mr.  
     James Ballantyne, 235; Earl Fitzwilliam,  
     361; Admiral Lord Exmouth, 362; Sir  
     George Dallas, Bart., 363; Vice-Admiral  
     Windham, 364; Mr. Alderman Waith-  
     man, 365; Jean Baptiste Say, *ib.*; Lord  
     Huntingtower, 497; Prince Stanislaus  
     Poniatowski, *ib.*; Sir George Airey, *ib.*;  
     Rev. F. B. Hoole, 498; John O'Keefe, *ib.*;  
     *April*—VOL. XXXVII. NO. CXLVIII,  
     Robert C. Sangs, Esq. 499; Mr. John  
     Thomas Smith, *ib.*  
 Birmingham, cemetery near, 264  
 Birnie, Sir Richard, statement respecting, 231  
 Birth, the fatal, 74  
 Blackwood, Sir H., memoir of, 102  
 Blessington, Countess of, her Conversations  
     with Lord Byron, 214, 308  
 Bookbinder's cutting-press, improved, 516  
 Book of Beauty, noticed, 244  
 Booksellers, Parisian, 466  
 Bray, William, Esq., some account of, 234  
 Brewster, Sir D., discoveries made by, 250  
 British Institution, exhibition of, 377  
 British Museum, accounts of, 513  
 Buonaparte, Joseph Napoleon, Biographical  
     Sketch of, noticed, 372  
 Burial-ground, ancient, discovered, 251  
 Byron, Lord, Journal of Conversations with,  
     214, 308  
  
 Cabinet Cyclopædia, noticed, 372  
 Canada, accounts from, 128, 396  
 Canal from the Rhone to the Rhine, 120  
 Cape of Good Hope, accounts from, 129  
 Chain cables, 122  
 Chambers's History of Scotland, noticed,  
     109  
 Charterhouse Prize Exercises, noticed, 507  
 China, accounts from, 131, 397  
 Cholera medals, 252  
 Christenings and burials in London, 118  
 Christian names, on grand ones, 349  
 Christmas at Hereford, 263  
 Church property, remarks on, 350  
 Church Reform, considerations on, 138  
 Clegg, Mr., gas-meter invented by him, 515  
 Coal-mines, ventilation in, 119  
 Cobbett and the Jews, 484  
 Coins, Roman, discovered, 526  
 Colonies, state of the, 128, 260, 395, 523  
 Commentary, monthly, 88, 223, 346, 481  
 Commercial and money-market reports, 123,  
     256, 385, 516  
 Commons, new House of, proceedings in,  
     392, 482  
 Constance, a Novel, noticed, 503  
 Conversations with Lord Byron, No. VI.,  
     214; No. VII., 308  
 Cookery, 94  
 Corn Law Rhymes, Lines by the author of,  
     60, 74  
 Corporation Reform, 329  
 Cotton manufacture, increase of, 264  
 Courier, Paul Louis, his Life and Writings,  
     285  
 Courtier of the old regime, 465  
 Covent Garden Theatre, performances at,  
     114, 246, 378, 508



- Cowper, William, Life of, noticed, 374  
 Critical notices of new publications, 102, 236, 366, 500  
 Curiosity, antiquarian, 527  
  
 Dahlia roots, method of preserving, 121  
 Dallas, Sir George, Bart., his death, 363  
 Dead, true memorials of the, 223  
 Deaths, 132, 262, 397, 525  
 Deloraine, a Novel, noticed, 504  
 Diplomatic Service, the, 418  
 Domesticity; or dissertation on servants, 200  
 Drama, the, 114, 246, 377, 508  
 Drawings, mode of fixing and varnishing, 256  
 Drury Lane Theatre, performances at, 114, 246, 377, 508  
  
 Ear, lectures on the, 248  
 East Indies, accounts from, 129  
 Eclipses in 1833, 117  
 Elections, 259  
 Elliott, Ebenezer, on the state of feeling and opinion in a manufacturing town, 75  
 Entomological Magazine, noticed, 242  
 Euripides, translation of, noticed, 103  
 Exile of Idria, noticed, 504  
 Exmouth, Admiral, some account of, 362  
  
 Factory children, state of, 251  
 Fairy Mythology, noticed, 242  
 Fame, the Love of, by Mrs. Norton, 31  
 Fiction, moral, on, 146  
 Field-gardens for the poor, 253  
 Fifty-one original Fables, noticed, 375  
 Fine arts, 113, 247, 377, 510  
 Fire, shower of, 252  
 Fish, discovery of a curious one, 118  
 Fitzwilliam, Earl, and Alderman Waithman, 354; some account of, 361  
 Foley, Admiral Sir Thomas, some account of, 233  
 Foreign states, 130, 261, 396, 523  
 Foreign varieties. *See* Varieties, foreign.  
 Forsaken to her Father, 328  
 France, political proceedings in, 130; affairs of, 523  
 Frend's plan of universal education, noticed, 104  
 Fruit-trees, Chinese method of propagating, 515  
 Funds, state of, 123, 257, 386, 517  
  
 Geographical Society, proceedings of, 114  
 Germany, on the recent attempts to revolutionize it, 41  
 Gibson's French, English, and Latin Vocabulary, noticed, 105  
 Gin-shop finery, 483  
 Glass-blowing, 122  
 Gleig's Lives of Military Commanders, noticed, 106  
 Godwin, Wm., Fragment of a Romance by, 32  
 Gold-headed Cane, Ode to, 228  
 Gordon's History of the Greek Revolution, noticed, 102  
  
 Gorton's Topographical Dictionary, noticed, 238  
 Government, first political measure of, 138  
 Great Britain, proclamation for dissolving Parliament of, 125; revenue of, 259; affairs of, 388, 519; population of, 250  
 Greece, proceedings in, 131, 524; lines on melancholy journey through, 230  
 Greenland, accounts from, 129  
 Gregory's Memoirs of Dr. Good, noticed, 111  
  
 Hall, Robert, works of, noticed, 370  
 Hamett's official reports on the cholera in Dantzic, noticed, 103  
 Heeren's Reflections on the Politics, &c., of the Ancient Nations of Africa, noticed, 106  
 Hemlock and Henbane, 515  
 High-tax plan, some results of it, 17  
 Hofer, the death of, 326  
 Holland, state of affairs in, 131, 396, 523  
 Hops, amount of duty on, 136  
 Horace *à-la-mode*, 276  
 Hoste, Sir William, Life of, noticed, 376  
 House of Commons, proceedings in, 392, 482, 520  
 House of Lords, proceedings in, 388, 519  
 Humboldt, Baron, Travels and Researches of, noticed, 503  
 Hunt, Leigh, Esq., poetical works of, 297  
  
 Ibrahim Pacha, the conqueror of Syria, 153  
 Inconstant, lines to one, 307  
 India, a new route to, 382  
 Inferno of Dante, translated, 369  
 Ionian Isles, arrival of Lord Nugent in, 260  
 Irish, their last petition, 265  
 Ixion in Heaven, Part II., 175  
  
 Jackal, the marten cat, and the lion, 343  
 Jacotot's system of education, 381  
 Jamaica, return of manumissions in, 260; House of Assembly in, 395  
 Journal of the Geographical Society of London, noticed, 373  
 Judges, list of, for the spring assizes, 251  
  
 Kilmorey, Earl of, memoir of, 101  
 Knowledge, arcana of, 486  
  
 Labourers, independent, their position under operation of poor-laws in England, 277  
 Labour-rate, establishment of, 264, 527  
 Landor, W. S., his account of the death of Hofer, 326; two epitaphs by him, 360  
 Lardner, Dr., on Style, 352  
 Leather trade, its depressed state, 263  
 Leslie, Sir John, memoir of, 97; the successor of, 224  
 Library of Romance, reviewed, 241  
 Life in Death, 302  
 Lion's Mouth, the, 96, 228, 357, 490  
 Literary Association, new, 346, 481  
 Literary report, 112, 245, 376, 508  
 Literature a step to public employment backwards, 225  
 ————Royal Society of, proceedings of, 44



- Lithography, in imitation of mezzotint, 385  
 London, drainage and sewerage of, 525  
 Loudon's *Encyclopædia of Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture*, noticed, 112, 504  
 Lying, prevalence of, 354  
  
 Mackintosh's *England*, noticed, 373  
 Manufacturing towns, on the state of feeling and opinion in, 75  
 Marriages, 132, 262, 397, 525  
 Martineau, Miss, her *Illustrations of Political Economy*, 146  
 Mechanics' institutions, establishment of, 120  
 Medico-Botanical Society, proceedings of, 117  
 Men and books, 48  
 Men and Books,—Bookstalls and a Lover of them, 449; French Emigrant, 451; *Memoirs of Madame de Staël*, *ib.*; Whims of a Patronizing Duchess, 453; Exactions of a Princess, 455; the Abbé de Chaulieu and his gallantry at fourscore, 456; Areal Love, *ib.*; Extraordinary and candid account of a series of Husband-huntings, 457; Dacier in his Last Days, 458; Royal and considerate advertisement [of a Wife to Let, 459; Geometrical test of the amount of a man's affections, 460  
 Missionary Annual, noticed, 111  
 Modern Cymon, noticed, 507  
 Modern Sabbath examined, 242  
 Moliere, genius of, 429  
 Momification, 120  
 Monthly Commentary, 88, 223, 346, 451  
 Monthly digest of occurrences, 125, 259, 388, 519  
 Morison's *Portraiture of Modern Scepticism*, noticed, 108  
 Mortal Life, and the State of the Soul after Death, noticed, 109  
 Mosaical and Mineralogical Geologies Illustrated, noticed, 366  
 Musical Snuff-box, the, 231  
  
 Nation, spirit of the, 483  
 Naval and Military Library and Museum, 511  
 Newborough, Baron, memoir of, 101  
 New Road to Ruin, noticed, 502  
 New South Wales, accounts from, 523  
 New year, the, 169  
 New Zealand flax, 252  
 Nicholas's *History of the Battle of Agincourt* noticed, 110  
 Nights of the Round Table, noticed, 372  
 Norton, Mrs., poetry by, 31  
  
 Ode to the stone pillar near Carlton Terrace, 357  
 Onions, fecundity of, 121  
  
 Paper used in lithographic printing, on removing acids from, 354  
 Paper hangings, substitute for, 122  
 Parliament, dissolution of, 125; list of the new members of, *ib.*; the King's speech, 388; proceedings of, 403  
 Parliamentary pledge, a new kind of, 92  
 Passion and Reason, noticed, 238  
 Passion, its history and its termination, 155  
 Pears's chemical durable ink, 95  
 Pecchio, Count, his notions of England, 13  
 Pendulums, compensating, 254  
 Pennyalinian mystery, the, 90  
 Picture, the Veiled, 467  
 Piozziana, noticed, 505  
 Platonist, the Modern, No. I., 24  
 Pleasures, intended for the poor, 348  
 Poetry—The Love of Fame, by Mrs. Norton; a Shadow, by the author of *Corn-Law Rhymes*, 60; O, darling Room, 70; the Death of the Old Year, 72; the Fatal Birth, 74; to the Lady Susan Hamilton on her Marriage, 96; Sonnets to Rosalie, by the author of 'The Village Poorhouse,' 152; The New Year, 169; Ode to the Gold-headed Cane, 228; Lines written at the close of a late Autumnal Evening at the Sea-side, 229; on a Melancholy Journey through Greece, 230; the Musical Snuff-box, 231; Horace *à-la-mode*, 276; Lines to an Inconstant, 307; the Forsaken to her Father, 328; Pecchio and Pudding, 341; Ode to the Stone Pillar near Carlton Terrace, 357; two epitaphs by Walter Savage Landor, 360; the Prayer of Marmaduke, by Mary Howitt, 475; London during a fire, 490; Humility and Defiance, 495; Epigram, 496  
 Poems, Narrative and Lyrical, reviewed, 240  
 Poland, proceedings in, 131  
 Poison, a new one, 514  
 Police, expense of, 119  
 Police Force, *alias* Violence, 488  
 Political events, 125, 259, 388  
 Politician, the, No. VIII., 1; No. IX., 7; No. X., 137; No. XI., 141; No. XII., 265; No. XIII., 401  
 Pontefract, the new champion for, 80  
 Poor, new schools for the instruction of, 262  
 Poor-laws, their operation in England, 277  
 Population, increase of, 120  
 Port of London, 398  
 Portugal, proceedings in, 131, 524  
 Potato, fecundity of the, 121  
 Post-office in Paris, 252  
 Preacher, the, noticed, 105  
 Press-roller, agricultural, 253  
 Prince Jaloux of Moliere, 435  
 Printing-machine, new, 384  
 Principles of English Grammar, noticed, 374  
 ——— of Geology, noticed, 366  
 Private theatricals, 185  
 Producing Man's Companion, noticed, 506  
 Professions, subterranean, 88  
 Promotions, Appointments, &c. 132  
 Provincial occurrences, 133, 262, 398, 525  
 Publications, new, list of, 112, 245, 376, 500, 507  
  
 Quarterly Review, letter to the editor, 82  
 Quin, M. J., Esq., his Trade of Banking in England, noticed, 505



- Rail-roads and steam on the Continent, 120  
 Raleigh, Sir Walter, Life of, noticed, 500  
 Reading, light, passion for, 485  
 Recollections of a Chaperon, reviewed, 238  
 Relic, interesting, 249  
 Ribblesdale, Lord, memoir of, 101  
 Roads, new machine for cleansing, 384  
 Rocks, on blasting them under water, 255  
 Rollers, new, for lithographic stones, 255  
 Romance, fragment of one, by Godwin, 32  
 Roman remains, 528  
 Ross, Capt., expedition in search of, 381  
 Rouen, composition of the silver bell at, 383  
 Royal Astronomical Society, proceedings of, 248  
 ——— Academy, proceedings of, 115  
 ——— Society, proceedings of, 115  
 ——— Institution, proceedings of, 510  
 ——— Irish Academy, 249  
 Rural economy, 121, 252, 383, 515  
 Russia and Turkey, co-operation of, 261  
  
 St. Alban's Abbey, restoration of, 512  
 St. Catharine's Dock Company, 263  
 Say, Jean Baptiste, some account of, 365  
 Scarpa, Professor, memoir of, 98  
 Schinderhannes, noticed, 375  
 Sea-side, lines written by the, 229  
 Selections from the Choric Poetry of the Greek Dramatic Writers, noticed, 243  
 Sermons, by Rev. H. Stebbing, noticed, 244  
 Servants, dissertation on, 200  
 Shadow, a, 60  
 Sharp and Easy, a dialogue, 80  
 Sheriffs, appointment of, 400  
 Signal lanterns, 250  
 Sinking Fund, statement respecting, 250  
 Skeletons, human, discovered, 398  
 Sketches of Paris, No. I. A Minister's Salon, 460  
 Societies, proceedings of, 114, 248, 379  
 Society, Asiatic, 116, 380  
 ——— Medico-Botanical, 117  
 ——— of Arts, 248, 380  
 ——— of Literature, 114, 379  
 ——— Royal, 115  
 ——— Royal Astronomical, 248  
 ——— Royal Geographical, 114  
 ——— Zoological, 116  
 Sonnets to Rosalie, 152  
 SouthSeamanners, transferred to Cornhill, 352  
 Southwark, improvements in, 263  
 Spain, state of affairs in, 130  
 ——— King of, decree revoked by, 261  
 Spurzheim, Dr., memoir of, 99  
 Steam-engine, new, 122  
  
 Stewart's Compendium of Modern Geography, noticed, 506  
 Strand Theatre, Miss Kelly's performances at, 247  
 Stuart's Three Years in North America, reviewed, 410  
 Sutton, Mr. Manners, proposed re-appointment of, 141  
  
 Taggart's Memoir of Capt. Heywood noticed, 110  
 Tea-drinking, danger of, 351  
 Teasel, a species of thistle, 253  
 Theatricals, private. By an Amateur, 185  
 Three Histories, noticed, 374  
 Tithes, on the abolition of, 139  
 Tout est perdu, 354  
 Trade, state of, 400  
 Traits and Stories of the Irish Peasantry, noticed, 239  
 Turner's Latin Exercises, noticed, 105  
  
 Union Hall, proceedings at, 489  
 Useful arts, 122, 254, 384, 515  
  
 Valpy's Family Classical Library, noticed, 503  
 Value, critical Dissertation on the causes of, noticed, 501  
 Varieties, domestic, 117, 249, 381, 512  
 ——— foreign, 120, 252, 383, 514  
 Vessel, hull of one discovered, 526  
 Vinegar, river of, 120  
 Visit to Germany and the Low Countries, reviewed, 239  
 Vote, conservative recipe for making one, 227  
  
 Waithman, Alderman, 354 ; his death, 365  
 Water, boring for, 526  
 Water Colours, New Society of Painters in, 247  
 Webbe's Lyric Leaves, noticed, 107  
 West Indies, accounts from, 128  
 Why do people hate their servants to dress fine? 486  
 Windham, Vice-Admiral, his death, 364  
 Wondrous Tale of Alroy, reviewed, 342  
 Wood, preservation of, 255, 384  
 Woolwich, projected docks at, 263  
  
 Yest, mode of making, 252  
 Young's Christian's Guide to Confirmation, noticed, 371  
  
 Zinc milk-pails, 256  
 Zoological Society, proceedings of, 116























